29. On Schlicht Functions. I

By Tetsujiro KAKEHASHI

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., March 12, 1959)

It is known that $w = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is a schlicht (convex) function with a positive real part for |z| < 1, and, when |z| = 1, w corresponds to the imaginary axis. Hence, for |z| < 1, $\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^2$ is a schlicht function with the cut on the negative real axis. For any real number λ , a function

$$\left[rac{1+z}{1-z}+i\lambda
ight]^2; \quad |z|<1$$

is univalent, and for any positive number $\mu \geq 0$,

$$\left\{\left[rac{1+z}{1-z}+i\lambda
ight]^2+\mu
ight\}^{rac{1}{2}}; \quad |z|{<}1$$

is a schlicht function with positive real part. For any two real numbers λ_1 and λ_2 ,

$$\left[\left\{\left[\frac{1+z}{1-z}+i\lambda_{1}\right]^{2}+\mu\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+i\lambda_{2}\right]^{2}\right]$$

is univalent for |z| < 1.

In such a way, we can form a class of schlicht functions which have a certain type of slits. That is, for any set of real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k$, and for any positive numbers $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{k-1}$, a function defined by

(1)
$$\left[\cdots\left\{\left[\left\{\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}+i\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}+\mu_{1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+i\lambda_{2}\right]^{2}+\cdots+\mu_{k-1}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}+i\lambda_{k}\right]^{2}\right]^{2}$$

is analytic and univalent for |z| < 1, and the values form a region with a tree-shaped slit.

The chief object of this paper is to give properties of coefficients obtained by Taylor expansion of such a function.

Let $F_k(z)$ be denoted by the function (1), we have

$$(2) \begin{cases} F_{0}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} (1+z)^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} [\varphi_{0}(z)]^{2} \\ F_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} [1+z+i\lambda_{1}(1-z)]^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} [\varphi_{1}(z)]^{2} \\ & \cdots \\ F_{k}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} [\cdots \{ [1+z+i\lambda_{1}(1-z)]^{2} + \mu_{1}(1-z)^{2} \}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \cdots \\ & + i\lambda_{k}(1-z)]^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-z)^{2}} [\varphi_{k}(z)]^{2} \\ & \cdots \\ \cdots \\ \end{array}$$

If we put (3)
$$\begin{cases} \varphi_k(z) \\ \varphi_k$$

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_k(z) \equiv \alpha_{k0} + \alpha_{k1}z + \alpha_{k2}z^2 + \cdots, \\ F_k(z) \equiv A_0^{(k)} + A_1^{(k)}z + A_2^{(k)}z^2 + \cdots, \end{cases}$$

we have

At first we show the next

Theorem 1. For any set of real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots$, any set of positive numbers μ_1, μ_2, \cdots , and for any $k=0, 1, 2, \cdots$, we have

$$|A_1^{(k)}| \geq 4,$$

where $A_1^{(k)}$ is defined by (2) and (3). The equality is valid only when k=0.

This theorem can be proved by mathematical inductions. $A_i^{(0)} = 4$ is clear by $\alpha_{00} = \alpha_{01} = 1$. And $A_1^{(1)} = 4(1+i\lambda_1)$ satisfies the theorem, and $\alpha_{10} = 1 + i\lambda_1$ has the positive real part 1.

For a function

$$\varphi(z) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z + \alpha_2 z^2 + \cdots$$

and for any real number λ , we have

 $\lceil \varphi(z) + i\lambda(1-z) \rceil^2 = (\alpha_0 + i\lambda)^2 + 2(\alpha_0 + i\lambda)(\alpha_1 - i\lambda)z$

$$+\{2(\alpha_0+i\lambda)\alpha_2+(\alpha_1-i\lambda)^2\}z^2+[2(\alpha_0+i\lambda)\alpha_3+2(\alpha_1-i\lambda)\alpha_2]z^3+\cdots$$

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} [\varphi(z) + i\lambda(1-z)]^2 \\ \equiv A_0 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \cdots,$$

we have

$$A_0 = (\alpha_0 + i\lambda)^2$$
$$A_1 = 2(\alpha_0 + i\lambda)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1).$$

Now we assume that, for any real number λ , the real part of α_0 is positive and the absolute value of A_1 is not less than 4. Let, for any real number λ' and any positive number μ , G(z) be a function defined by

$$\begin{split} G(z) &= \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \left[\left\{ \left[\varphi(z) \right]^2 + \mu(1-z)^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + i\lambda'(1-z) \right]^2 \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(1-z)^2} \left[\left(\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2 + \cdots \right) + i\lambda'(1-z) \right]^2 \\ &\equiv B_0 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + \cdots \end{split}$$

From the relation

$$(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 z + \alpha_2 z^2 + \cdots)^2 + \mu (1 - z)^2 = (\beta_0 + \beta_1 z + \beta_2 z^2 + \cdots)^2,$$

we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_0^2 = \alpha_0^2 + \mu \\ \beta_0 \beta_1 = \alpha_0 \alpha_1 - \mu \\ 2\beta_0 \beta_2 + \beta_1^2 = 2\alpha_0 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1^2 + \mu \\ 2\beta_0 \beta_3 + 2\beta_1 \beta_2 = 2\alpha_0 \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \beta_i \beta_j = \alpha_{i+j=n \ge 3} \\ i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{pmatrix} \beta_i \beta_j = \sum_{i+j=n \ge 3} \alpha_i \alpha_j,$$

and hence

$$B_1 = 2(\beta_0 + i\lambda)(\beta_0 + \beta_1) = 2\alpha_0 \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda'}{\sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \mu}}\right)(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1),$$

where the absolute value of $2(\alpha_0+i\lambda)(\alpha_0+\alpha_1)$ is not less than 4, and α_0 has a positive real part.

The minimum absolute value of $\alpha_0 + i\lambda$ is reached by the positive real part of α_0 , and when λ' varies on the real axis, the minimum absolute value of $1 + \frac{i\lambda'}{\sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \mu}}$ is not less than absolute value of $1 + \frac{i\lambda}{\alpha_0}$. Accordingly, the absolute value of $\alpha_0 \left(1 + \frac{i\lambda'}{\sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \mu}}\right)$ is greater than the real part of α_0 when $\mu > 0$, and then, the absolute value of the coefficient B_1 is greater than 4. It is easily verified that the real part of β_0 is positive from $\beta_0 = \sqrt{\alpha_0^2 + \mu}$. Thus, the theorem has been established.

Next, we consider an asymptotic relation of a coefficient $A_n^{(k)}$ when n approaches to infinity.

From the relation $\varphi_k(1)=2$ for every positive integer k, the following equation

(5)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (A_n^{(k)} - A_{n-1}^{(k)}) = [\varphi_k(1)]^2 = 4$$

follows at once from (4). For an arbitrary small positive number ε and a sufficiently large fixed integer n_0 , we can verify

$$A_n^{(k)} - A_{n_0}^{(k)} - 4(n - n_0) \left| < (n - n_0) \varepsilon \right|$$

from (4), and hence we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_n^{(k)}}{n}=4.$$

Now the following theorem follows at once.

Theorem 2. For any positive integer $k \neq 0$, we have a following asymptotic relation

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_n^{(k)}}{nA_1^{(k)}}=\kappa; \quad |\kappa|<1.$$

Remark. This theorem means that, for n sufficiently large, we have

$$\left\{ egin{array}{c} \left| rac{A_n^{(k)}}{A_1^{(k)}}
ight| < n; \quad k \ne 0 \ rac{A_n^{(0)}}{A_1^{(0)}} = n. \end{array}
ight.$$

[Vol. 35,

136