

## 52. On Outer Automorphisms of Certain Finite Factors

By Masahiro NAKAMURA\*<sup>1</sup>) and Zirô TAKEDA\*\*<sup>2</sup>)

(Comm. by K. KUNUGI, M.J.A., April 12, 1961)

1. In a recent paper [4], T. Saitô proved a remarkable theorem: If  $A$  and  $B$  are finite continuous factors, and if  $G$  and  $H$  are countable groups of automorphisms of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively, then one has (in the notation of [3])

$$(1) \quad (G \times H) \otimes (A \otimes B) = (G \otimes A) \otimes (H \otimes B),$$

where the action of  $g \otimes h$ , with  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$ , on  $A \otimes B$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad (a \otimes b)^{g \otimes h} = a^g \otimes b^h,$$

(in (2),  $a^g$  and  $b^h$  indicate the actions of  $g$  and  $h$  on  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  respectively). Besides that Saitô gave an interrelation between the crossed and direct products of von Neumann algebras, it is remarkable that Saitô's theorem implies a theorem [4; Thm. 2], which may shed a light on the classifications of finite continuous factors in future.

However, in an approach of the crossed product of von Neumann algebras presented by the authors [3], it is unsatisfactory that Saitô remains to prove a fact that  $G \times H$  is a group of outer automorphisms of  $A \otimes B$  if  $G$  and  $H$  are groups of outer automorphisms of  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. The purpose of the present short note is to supply it by a help of a classical technique due to Murray and von Neumann [2].

2. The precise statement is as follows:

**THEOREM.** *If  $g$  and  $h$  are automorphisms on finite continuous factors  $A$  and  $B$  respectively and at least one of them is outer, then  $g \otimes h$  defined by (2) is an outer automorphism of  $A \otimes B$ .*

**Proof.** It is sufficient by [1; Chap. 1, § 4, Prop. 2] that  $g \otimes h$  is outer on  $A \otimes B$ . To prove, it is not less general to assume that  $g$  is outer. If  $g \otimes h$  is inner on  $A \otimes B$ , then there is a unitary operator  $u$  in  $A \otimes B$  such that

$$(3) \quad (a \otimes 1)u = u(a^g \otimes 1).$$

Now, by a classical argument due to Murray and von Neumann [2; Chap. II], each operator in (3) can be described by a matrix with entries belonging to  $A$ :

$$a \otimes 1 \sim \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & a & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}, \quad a^g \otimes 1 \sim \begin{pmatrix} a^g & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & a^g & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & a^g & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

\*<sup>1</sup>) Osaka Gakugei Daigaku.

\*\*<sup>2</sup>) Ibaraki University.

and

$$u \sim \begin{pmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} & \cdots \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} & \cdots \\ u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} & \cdots \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computing both sides of (3), one has easily

$$(4) \quad au_{ij} = u_{ij}a^g,$$

for any  $a \in A$  and  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $g$  is outer and  $A$  is a finite continuous factor, (4) implies at once by [3; Lemma 1]  $u_{ij} = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , which is a contradiction.

### References

- [1] J. Dixmier: *Les Algèbres d'Opérateurs dans l'Espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1957).
- [2] F. J. Murray and J. von Neumann: On rings of operators, *Ann. of Math.*, **37**, 116-229 (1936).
- [3] M. Nakamura and Z. Takeda: On some elementary properties of the crossed products of von Neumann algebras, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **34**, 489-494 (1958).
- [4] T. Saitô: The direct and crossed product of rings of operators, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, **11**, 299-304 (1959).