

9. On Summability $[c, k]$ and Summability $[R, k]$ of Laplace Series

By G. S. PANDEY

Department of Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain, India

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M.J.A., Jan. 12, 1963)

1. If $f(\theta, \phi)$ be a function defined for the range $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi$, the Laplace series associated with this function on the sphere S is

$$(1.1) \quad f(\theta, \phi) \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1/2) \int_S f(\theta', \phi') P_n(\cos \gamma) \sin \theta' d\theta' d\phi',$$

where

$$\cos \gamma = \cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos(\phi - \phi'),$$

and $P_n(x)$ denotes the n -th Legendre polynomial.

The generalized mean value of $f(\theta, \phi)$ is given by

$$(1.2) \quad f(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sin \gamma} \int_{C_\gamma} f(\theta', \phi') dS',$$

where the integral is taken along the small circle whose centre is (θ, ϕ) on the sphere and whose curvilinear radius is γ .

The series

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n$$

is said to be strongly summable (c, k) or summable $[c, k]$ to the sum S , if

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n |s_\nu^{(k-1)} - s| = o(n),$$

where $s_\nu^{(k-1)}$ denotes the ν -th cesaro mean of order $(k-1)$ of the series (1.3).

Again, we say that the series (1.3) is strongly summable (R, k) or summable $[R, k]$ to the sum S , if

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{|s_\nu^{(k-1)} - s|}{\nu+1} = o(\log n).$$

The object of this paper is to obtain some new results for the series (1.1) on its $[c, k]$ and $[R, k]$ summability.

We prove the following theorems:

Theorem 1: If

$$(1.6) \quad \varphi(t) \equiv \int_{\delta}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\gamma} d\gamma = o\left[t \left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^\alpha\right], \quad (\alpha > 0)$$

as $t \rightarrow 0$, ($0 < \delta \leq \pi$), then

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) - \sigma| = o[n(\log n)^\alpha], \quad (0 \leq k \leq 1),$$

where

$$\phi(\gamma) = [f(\gamma) - A] \sin \gamma,$$

and $\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma)$ denotes the ν -th cesaro mean of order k of the series (1.1).

Theorem 2: If

$$(1.8) \quad \varphi(t) = 0 \left[t \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{1+\alpha} \right],$$

then

$$(1.9) \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{|\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) - \sigma|}{\nu+1} = 0 [(\log n)^{1+\alpha}].$$

2. *Proof theorem 1.* The ν -th cesaro mean of order k of the series (1.1) is given by

$$\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\pi f(\gamma) \sin \gamma \cdot S_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) d\gamma,$$

where $S_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma)$ denotes the ν -th cesaro mean of order k of the series

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) P_n(\cos \gamma).$$

It is known that (see Hobson, E. W.: Spherical and Ellipsoidal Harmonics, 1931, p. 355):

$$(2.2) \quad |S_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma)| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{B_1}{\nu^{k-1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma^{1+k}} \sin^{-1/2} \gamma, & (0 < \gamma \leq \pi); \\ B_2 \nu^2, & (0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi), \\ B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are independent of } \nu \text{ and } \gamma. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu=0}^n |\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) - \sigma| &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \int_0^\pi \phi(\gamma) S_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) d\gamma + 0(n) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left[\int_0^{1/\nu} + \int_{1/\nu}^\pi \right] + 0(n). \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + 0(n), \quad \text{say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now using (2.2) we have $J_1 = 0(n^3) \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(\gamma)| d\gamma$.

But we observe that, if

$$\varphi(t) = 0 \left\{ t \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha \right\}, \quad \alpha > 0$$

then

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du = 0 \left\{ t^2 \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha \right\}.$$

For, $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t |\phi(u)| du$

$$= \int_0^t -u \varphi'(u) du, \quad \text{where } \varphi'(u) = \frac{d}{du} \{\varphi(u)\}$$

$$= 0 \left[t^2 \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha \right] + 0 \left[\int_0^t u \left(\log \frac{1}{u} \right)^\alpha du \right] = 0 \left[t^2 \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^\alpha \right].$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_1 &= O(n^3) \left\{ 0 \left[\gamma^2 \left(\log \frac{1}{\gamma} \right)^\alpha \right]_0^{1/n} \right\} \\
 &= O[n(\log n)^\alpha].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.4}$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\gamma^{1+k}} \cdot \nu^{1/2-k} \cdot \frac{B_1}{\sin^{1/2}\gamma} d\gamma \\
 &= O(n^2) \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\gamma} d\gamma \\
 &= O[n(\log n)^\alpha].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

Thus the theorem follows from (2.3), (2.4), and (2.5).

3. *Proof of theorem 2.* We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{|\sigma_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma) - \sigma|}{\nu+1} &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \int_0^{\pi} |\phi(\gamma)| \cdot \frac{S_\nu^{(k)}(\gamma)}{\nu+1} + \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{O(1)}{\nu+1} \\
 &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left[\int_0^{1/n} + \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \right] + O(\log n) \\
 &= I_1 + I_2 + O(\log n),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.1}$$

say. Now, treating I_1 in the same manner as J_1 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= O(n^2) \int_0^{1/n} |\phi(\gamma)| d\gamma \\
 &= O[(\log n)^{1+\alpha}]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.2}$$

using the hypothesis (1.8). Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= O(n) \int_{1/n}^{\pi} \frac{|\phi(\gamma)|}{\gamma} d\gamma \\
 &= O[(\log n)^{1+\alpha}].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.3}$$

Thus in view of the relations (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3), the theorem is proved.

I am thankful to Dr. D.P. Gupta for valuable suggestions during the preparation of this paper.