## 39. On Neutral Elements in Lattices

By Junji HASHIMOTO and Seima KINUGAWA Mathematical Institute, Kobe University

(Comm. by Kenjiro Shoda, M.J.A., March 12, 1963)

1. Introduction. An element n of a lattice L is called neutral if and only if  $\{n, x, y\}$  generates a distributive sublattice of L for any pair of elements x, y of L. It has been studied by many authors to define neutral elements by some equalities. For instance, Grätzer and Schmidt [2] have shown

LEMMA 1. An element n of L satisfying the following equalities is neutral:

 $x \smile (n \frown y) = (x \smile n) \frown (x \smile y), \ x \frown (n \smile y) = (x \frown n) \smile (x \frown y)$ for all x, y \in L.

And they have proposed the question whether the neutrality can be defined by a single equality or not. In the present paper we intend to answer this question by proving

THEOREM 1. An element n of a lattice L is neutral if it satisfies  $(n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) \smile (x \frown y) = (n \smile x) \frown (n \smile y) \frown (x \smile y)$ 

for all  $x, y \in L$ .

Again it is well-known [1] that an element n of a complemented modular lattice is neutral if and only if its complement is unique. Grätzer and Schmidt [2] have stated a generalized theorem in modular lattices and proposed to generalize this fact for relatively complemented lattices. In response to the proposal we shall show

THEOREM 2. Let L be a relatively complemented lattice with 0 and 1. An element n of L is neutral if and only if it has a unique complement.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that  $n \in L$  satisfies

 $(n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) \smile (x \frown y) = (n \smile x) \frown (n \smile y) \frown (x \smile y)$ (1) for all  $x, y \in L$  and put  $a = x \frown (n \smile y), b = y \frown (n \smile x).$ 

Substituting  $n \smile y$  for y in (1), we have  $n \smile (x \frown (n \smile y)) = (n \smile x)$  $\neg (n \smile y)$ ; namely  $n \smile a = (n \smile x) \frown (n \smile y) \ge b$  and  $n \smile a \ge a \smile b$ ; similarly  $n \smile b \ge a \smile b$ . Then using (1) with respect to n, a, b, we get

$$a \leq a \cup b = (n \cup a) \cap (n \cup b) \cap (a \cup b) = (n \cap a) \cup (n \cap b) \cup (a \cap b)$$
$$= (n \cap x) \cup (n \cap y) \cup (x \cap y) \leq n \cup (x \cap y).$$

Now put  $c = (x \frown n) \smile (x \frown y)$ . Then  $n \frown a \leq c \leq a$ . Substituting a, c for x, y in (1), we have  $(n \frown a) \smile c = (n \smile c) \frown a$ , whence  $c = (n \frown a) \smile c = (n \smile c) \frown a = (n \smile (x \frown y)) \frown a = a$ .

Thus  $x \frown (n \smile y) = (x \frown n) \smile (x \frown y)$ , and dually  $x \smile (n \frown y) = (x \smile n)$  $\frown (x \smile y)$ . So it follows from Lemma 1 that n is neutral. No. 3]

and

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Let L be a relatively complemented lattice and n an element of L which has only one relative complement in any interval containing it. Given  $x, y \in L$ , we put  $a = x \smile (n \frown y)$  and we shall first show  $n \frown a = (n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y)$ .

Evidently  $n \frown x \leq (n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) \leq n \frown a \leq a$ . Let u be a relative complement of  $n \frown a$  in the interval  $[(n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y), a]$  and v a relative complement of  $(n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y)$  in  $[n \frown x, u]$ . Then we have

 $n \smile v = n \smile (n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) \smile v = n \smile u = n \smile (n \frown a) \smile u = n \smile a = n \smile x$ and  $n \frown v = n \frown a \frown u \frown v = ((n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y)) \frown v = n \frown x.$ So v is the relative complement of n in  $[n \frown x, n \smile x]$  and hence v = x. Thus we have  $u = v \smile (n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) = a$  and  $(n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y) = u \frown (n \frown a) = n \frown a.$ 

Now let s be a relative complement of  $b=(x \cup n) \cap (x \cup y)$  in the interval  $[a, x \cup y]$ . Since  $n \cup b \ge n \cup a = n \cup x \ge n \cup b$ , we have  $n \cup s = n \cup a \cup s = n \cup b \cup s = n \cup x \cup y$ 

and  $n \frown s = n \frown (x \smile n) \frown (x \smile y) \frown s = n \frown a = (n \frown x) \smile (n \frown y).$ 

Again if t is a relative complement of  $(y \cup n) \cap (y \cup x)$  in the interval  $[y \cup (n \cap x), x \cup y]$ , then interchanging x and y in the above statement, we have  $n \cup t = n \cup x \cup y$  and  $n \cap t = (n \cap x) \cup (n \cap y)$ . So both s and t are relative complements of n in the interval  $[(n \cap x) \cup (n \cap y), n \cup x \cup y]$  and from the first assumption we get s = t, whence  $s \ge y \cup (n \cap x) \ge y, s \ge x \cup y \ge b$  and  $a = s \cap b = b$ . Thus  $x \cup (n \cap y) = (x \cup n)$  $\cap (x \cup y)$  and dually  $x \cap (n \cup y) = (x \cap n) \cup (x \cap y)$ . So n is neutral and we infer

LEMMA 2. If an element n of a relatively complemented lattice L has only one relative complement in any interval containing it, then n is neutral.

Now let L be a relatively complemented lattice with 0, 1 and n an element of L having only one complement n' in L. We shall show that the relative complement x of n in an interval [a, b], where  $a \le n \le b$ , is uniquely determined. If y is a relative complement of a in [0, x] and z a relative complement of b in [y, 1], then we get n < z = n < b < z = n < y = n < x < y = 0

$$n \sim z = n \sim b \sim z = n \sim y = n \sim x \sim y = a \sim y = 0$$
$$n \sim z = n \sim a \sim y \sim z = n \sim x \sim z = b \sim z = 1.$$

Namely z is the complement of n and hence coincides with n'. So  $x=(z \frown b) \smile a = (n' \frown b) \smile a$  is uniquely determined in [a, b]. Therefore Theorem 2 mentioned at the beginning is immediately deduced from Lemma 2.

## References

- G. Birkhoff: Lattice Theory, Revised ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ., Vol. 25, New York (1948).
- [2] G. Grätzer and E. T. Schmidt: Standard ideals in lattices, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., 12 (1961).