

### 144. A Note on the Functional-Representations of Normal Operators in Hilbert Spaces

By Sakuji INOUE

Faculty of Education, Kumamoto University  
(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., Nov. 12, 1963)

Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be the complex abstract Hilbert space which is complete, separable, and infinite dimensional; let both  $\{\varphi_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$  and  $\{\psi_\mu\}_{\mu=1,2,3,\dots}$  be incomplete orthonormal infinite sets which are orthogonal to each other and by which a complete orthonormal system in  $\mathfrak{H}$  is constructed; let  $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$  be an arbitrarily prescribed bounded sequence of complex numbers; let  $(u_{ij})$  be an infinite unitary matrix with  $|u_{jj}| < 1, j=1, 2, 3, \dots$ ; let  $\Psi_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{\mu j} \psi_j$ ; let  $N$  be the operator defined by

$$Nx = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu (x, \varphi_\nu) \varphi_\nu + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} (x, \psi_\mu) \Psi_\mu$$

for every  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$  and an arbitrarily given complex constant  $c$ ; let  $L_y$  be the continuous linear functional associated with an arbitrary element  $y \in \mathfrak{H}$ ; and let the operator  $N$  defined above be denoted symbolically by

$$N = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}.$$

Then  $Nx$  is expressible in the form

$$Nx = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

In Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Vol. 37, 614–618 (1961), I defined “the functional-representation of  $N$ ” by  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}$  and proved that the functional-representation of  $N$  converges uniformly, that  $N$  is a bounded normal operator with point spectrum  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$ , and that  $\|N\| = \max(\sup_\nu |\lambda_\nu|, |c|)$ . In the same Proceedings, Vol. 38, 18–22 (1962), conversely I treated of the question as to whether any bounded normal operator with point spectrum in  $\mathfrak{H}$  can always be expressed in the form of the above-mentioned infinite series of the continuous linear functionals associated with all the elements of a complete orthonormal system in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , by using such a unitary matrix as above. Though, in the latter paper, the conclusion was affirmative, an additional hypothesis, that is, “If the whole subset with non-zero measure of the continuous spectrum of  $N$  lies on a circumference with center at the origin” had to be set up: for otherwise, in the particular case where  $N$  has no eigenvalue,  $N$  is not necessarily expressed by the linear combination of  $L_{\psi_\mu}$  in connection with the unitary

matrix  $(u_{ij})$ , as Mr. D. A. Edwards pointed out in *Mathematical Reviews*, Vol. 26, No. 2 (1963).

In the present paper we shall show that the above-mentioned functional-representation replaced by a bounded Hermite matrix  $(\alpha_{ij})$  instead of the unitary matrix  $(u_{ij})$  also expresses a bounded normal operator with point spectrum  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Theorem A.** Let  $\{\varphi_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$  and  $\{\psi_\mu\}_{\mu=1,2,3,\dots}$  both be incomplete orthonormal infinite sets which are orthogonal to each other and by which a complete orthonormal system in  $\mathfrak{H}$  is constructed; let  $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$  be an arbitrarily prescribed bounded sequence of complex numbers; let  $(\alpha_{ij})$  be an infinite Hermite matrix with  $\bar{\alpha}_{ij} = \alpha_{ji}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{jk}|^2 \leq |\alpha_{jj}|^2$  such that the operator  $A$  associated with  $(\alpha_{ij})$  is a bounded operator in Hilbert coordinate space  $l_2$ ; let  $\Psi_\mu = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu j} \psi_j$ ; let  $L_x$  be the continuous linear functional associated with  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$ , that is, let  $L_x(y) = (y, x)$  for every  $y \in \mathfrak{H}$ ; and let  $N$  be the operator defined by

$$N = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu},$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrarily given complex constant. Then this functional-representation of  $N$  converges uniformly and  $N$  is a bounded normal operator with point spectrum  $\{\lambda_\nu\}_{\nu=1,2,3,\dots}$ , the norm of which is given by  $\max(\sup_\nu |\lambda_\nu|, |c| \cdot \|A\|)$ .

**Proof.** Since, by hypotheses, a complete orthonormal system in  $\mathfrak{H}$  is constructed by the two incomplete orthonormal sets  $\{\varphi_\nu\}$  and  $\{\psi_\mu\}$ , every element  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$  is expressed in the form

$$x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu \varphi_\nu + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} b_\mu \psi_\mu$$

where  $a_\nu = L_{\varphi_\nu}(x)$  and  $b_\mu = L_{\psi_\mu}(x)$ , and  $\|x\|^2 = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |a_\nu|^2 + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |b_\mu|^2 < \infty$ . Since, in addition,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\bar{\alpha}_{ij}|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{ji}|^2 < \infty$ ,  $j=1, 2, 3, \dots$ , by virtue of the hypothesis concerning  $A$ , there is no difficulty in showing that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Nx\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \right\|^2 \quad (x \in \mathfrak{H}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 |a_\nu|^2 + |c|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \alpha_{jk} \right|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Af\|^2 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_j \alpha_{jk} \right|^2 \quad (f \equiv (\bar{b}_1, \bar{b}_2, \bar{b}_3, \dots) \in l_2) \\ &\leq \|A\|^2 \|f\|^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly

$$\|Nx\|^2 \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 |a_\nu|^2 + |c|^2 \|A\|^2 \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} |b_\mu|^2 \leq M^2 \|x\|^2,$$

where  $M = \max(\sup_\nu |\lambda_\nu|, |c| \cdot \|A\|)$ . Moreover, if  $x$  is an element belonging to the subspace determined by  $\varphi_\nu$ ,  $\|Nx\| = |\lambda_\nu| \|x\|$ ; and if, on

the contrary,  $x$  is in the subspace determined by  $\{\psi_\mu\}$ ,

$$\|Nx\| = |c| \|A\tilde{x}\| \leq |c| \|A\| \|\tilde{x}\| = |c| \|A\| \|x\|$$

where  $\tilde{x} = (\overline{L_{\phi_1}(x)}, \overline{L_{\phi_2}(x)}, \overline{L_{\phi_3}(x)}, \dots) \in l_2$ . In consequence,  $N$  is a bounded operator with norm  $M$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

If we now denote by  $f_P$  the element derived from the above-mentioned element  $f = (\overline{b_1}, \overline{b_2}, \overline{b_3}, \dots) \in l_2$  by putting  $\overline{b_1} = \overline{b_2} = \overline{b_3} = \dots = \overline{b_{P-1}} = 0$ , then similarly it is verified without difficulty that, for any  $x = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} a_\nu \varphi_\nu + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} b_\mu \psi_\mu \in \mathfrak{H}$  where  $a_\nu = L_{\varphi_\nu}(x)$  and  $b_\mu = L_{\psi_\mu}(x)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + c \sum_{\mu=P}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \right\|^2 &= \sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 |a_\nu|^2 + |c|^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=P}^{\infty} b_j \alpha_{jk} \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 |a_\nu|^2 + |c|^2 \|Af_P\|^2 \\ &\leq M^2 \left( \sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} |a_\nu|^2 + \sum_{\mu=P}^{\infty} |b_\mu|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The positive integer  $P$  here can be so chosen as to satisfy the inequality

$$\sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} |a_\nu|^2 + \sum_{\mu=P}^{\infty} |b_\mu|^2 < \frac{\varepsilon \|x\|^2}{M^2}$$

for an arbitrarily given positive number  $\varepsilon$  and any non-null element  $x \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Hence we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu=P}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=P}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu} \right\| < \sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

for such a  $P$ . Thus the functional-representation of  $N$  converges uniformly.

Next we shall show that the operator  $N$  is normal. Since the identity operator  $I$  is given by  $I = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}$ , it is found by direct computation that

$$\begin{aligned} (Nx, y) &= \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu j} \psi_j \right] \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x), \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) \overline{L_{\varphi_\nu}(y)} + c \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu \kappa} L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \overline{L_{\psi_\kappa}(y)} \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{H}). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\Psi_\mu^* = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_{j\mu} \psi_j$  and  $\bar{N} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu^* \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}$ , similarly we can show that the functional-representation of  $\bar{N}$  is uniformly convergent, that  $\bar{N}$  is a bounded operator in  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and that

$$(x, \bar{N}y) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_\nu L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) \overline{L_{\varphi_\nu}(y)} + c \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu \kappa} L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \overline{L_{\psi_\kappa}(y)} \quad (x, y \in \mathfrak{H}).$$

We have therefore  $(Nx, y) = (x, \bar{N}y)$ , which implies that  $\bar{N}$  is the adjoint operator  $N^*$  of  $N$ . In addition, it is a matter of simple manipulations to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 NN^*x &= N \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + \bar{c} \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu^* \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \right] \quad (x \in \mathfrak{H}) \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) \varphi_\nu + |c|^2 \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \bar{\alpha}_{\mu\kappa} L_{\psi_\kappa}(x) \right] \Psi_\mu
 \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N^*Nx &= N^* \left[ \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}(x) \right] \quad (x \in \mathfrak{H}) \\
 &= \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_\nu|^2 L_{\varphi_\nu}(x) \varphi_\nu + |c|^2 \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\kappa\mu} L_{\psi_\kappa}(x) \right] \Psi_\mu^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, on the other hand,  $\bar{\alpha}_{\mu\kappa} = \alpha_{\kappa\mu}$  for  $\mu, \kappa = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  by the hypothesis on the matrix  $(\alpha_{ij})$ , and hence since  $\Psi_\mu^* = \Psi_\mu$ , the just established results permit us to conclude that  $NN^* = N^*N$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Consequently  $N$  is a normal operator in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

Thus it remains only to prove that the set  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  is the point spectrum of  $N$ . However it is obvious that any  $\lambda_\nu$  is an eigenvalue of  $N$  corresponding to the eigenelement  $\varphi_\nu$ ; and moreover, since  $\sum_{\kappa=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{\kappa\nu}|^2 \equiv |\alpha_{\nu\nu}|^2$ ,  $N$  has not any eigenvalue other than  $\lambda_\nu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , as can be seen from the reasoning used in one of the preceding papers [cf. Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 37, 614–618 (1961)]. Consequently the point spectrum of  $N$  is given by  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  itself.

Remark A. Though this theorem holds also in the case where  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  is a finite set, we are interested in the case where  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  is an infinite set. Because, by applying the bounded normal operator defined by an arbitrarily given functional-representation  $\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \lambda_\nu \varphi_\nu \otimes L_{\varphi_\nu} + c \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} \Psi_\mu \otimes L_{\psi_\mu}$  where  $\Psi_\mu$  denotes such an element  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_{\mu j} \psi_j$  or  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\mu j} \psi_j \in \mathfrak{H}$  as was described before, we can treat of various problems on complex-valued functions which cannot be discussed from a point of view of the classical function theory.

Remark B. Let  $N$  be the bounded normal operator defined by such a functional-representation as was stated in Remark A; let  $\Delta_a$  be the set of all those accumulation points of  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  which do not belong to  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  itself; let  $\Delta$  be the continuous spectrum of  $N$ ; let  $\Delta' = \Delta - \Delta_a$ ; and let  $\{K(\lambda)\}$  be the spectral family of  $N$ . Since the projector  $K(\Delta')$  is permutable with each of  $N$  and  $N^*$ ,

$$N(I - K(\Delta')) \cdot [N(I - K(\Delta'))]^* = [N(I - K(\Delta'))]^* \cdot N(I - K(\Delta'))$$

in  $\mathfrak{H}$ .  $N(I - K(\Delta'))$  is therefore a bounded normal operator. Furthermore it is readily verified that not only  $\{\lambda_\nu\}$  is the point spectrum of  $N(I - K(\Delta'))$ , but that also

$$N(I - K(\Delta')) = \int_{\{\lambda_\nu\} \cup \Delta_a} \lambda dK(\lambda);$$

and hence it is found that  $\Delta_a$  is the continuous spectrum of  $N(I - K(\Delta'))$ . This result is useful for applications of the spectral theory to the function theory.