241. Some Theorems on Manifolds of Constant Curvature

By Koichi OGIUE

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo

(Comm. by Zyoiti SUETUNA, M.J.A., Dec, 12, 1966)

§1. Riemannian manifolds of constant curvature.

Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold with metric tensor g. We always assume that the dimension n of M is ≥ 3 . Let \mathcal{V} be the covariant differentiation with respect to the Riemannian connection associated with g. The curvature tensor field R is given by

 $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_{x}\nabla_{y}Z - \nabla_{y}\nabla_{x}Z - \nabla_{[x,y]}Z,$

where X, Y, and Z are vector fields on M. Then we have

(1) R(X, Y) + R(Y, X) = 0,

(2) R(X, Y)Z + R(Y, Z)X + R(Z, X)Y = 0 (Bianchi's 1st identity),

(3) $(\nabla_{x}R)(Y,Z) + (\nabla_{y}R)(Z,X) + (\nabla_{z}R)(X,Y) = 0$

(Bianchi's 2nd identity).

The Riemannian curvature tensor field of M, denoted also by R, is the tensor field of covariant degree 4 defined by

$$R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(R(X_3, X_4)X_2, X_1).$$

Then R possesses the following properties:

 $(4) R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + R(X_2, X_1, X_3, X_4) = 0,$

$$(1') R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + R(X_1, X_2, X_4, X_3) = 0,$$

 $(5) R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = R(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2),$

$$(2') \quad R(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + R(X_1, X_3, X_4, X_2) + R(X_1, X_4, X_2, X_3) = 0,$$

$$(3') \qquad (\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{X}_{5}}R)(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{4}) + (\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{X}_{3}}R)(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{4}, X_{5})$$

$$+(\nabla_{x_4}R)(X_1, X_2, X_5, X_3)=0.$$

M is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature if and only if

(6)
$$R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

where k is a constant.

If R_{jkl}^{i} and g_{ij} are the components of the curvature tensor field and the metric tensor with respect to a local coordinate system, then the components R_{ijkl} of the Riemannian curvature tensor are given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \sum_{m=1}^{n} g_{im} R_{jkl}^{m}.$$

If M is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, then $R_{ikl}^{i} = k(\delta_{k}^{i}g_{il} - \delta_{l}^{i}g_{ik})$

or

 $R_{ijkl} = k(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk}).$

Theorem 1. M is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature if and only if R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X and Y for every X, Y, and Z.

Proof. If M is a Riemannian manifold of constant curvature, then the equation (6) means that R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X and Y.

To prove the converse, let R(X, Y)Z be a linear combination of X and Y for every X, Y, and Z. Then there exist two tensor fields α and β of covariant degree 2 such that

$$R(X, Y)Z = \alpha(Y, Z)X + \beta(X, Z)Y.$$

From (1) we have

 $\{\alpha(Y, Z) + \beta(Y, Z)\}X + \{\alpha(X, Z) + \beta(X, Z)\}Y = 0.$

Since X, Y, and Z are arbitrary, we get $\alpha + \beta = 0$. Hence we have (7) $R(X, Y)Z = \alpha(Y, Z)X - \alpha(X, Z)Y$.

This, together with (2), implies

(8) $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(Y, X)$ for every X and Y.

Let α_{ij} denote the components of α . Then (7) can be written as follows:

 $R_{ikl}^i = \alpha_{li} \delta_k^i - \alpha_{ki} \delta_l^i$

(7')

or

(7") $R_{ijkl} = \alpha_{lj}g_{ik} - \alpha_{kj}g_{il}.$

This, together with (4), implies

(9) $\alpha_{lj}g_{ik} - \alpha_{kj}g_{il} + \alpha_{li}g_{jk} - \alpha_{ki}g_{jl} = 0.$

Let (g^{ij}) denote the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) . Multiplying (9) by g^{il} and summing with respect to *i* and *l* we obtain $\alpha = \frac{a}{n}g$, where $a = \sum_{i,l=1}^{n} g^{il} \alpha_{il}$. Hence we have

$$R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\},\$$

that is,

$$R^i_{jkl} = k(\delta^i_k g_{jl} - \delta^i_l g_{jk}),$$

where $k = \frac{a}{n}$ is a function on *M*. This, together with (3), implies

$$k_{,m}(\delta_{k}^{i}g_{jl}-\delta_{l}^{i}g_{jk})+k_{,k}(\delta_{l}^{i}g_{jm}-\delta_{m}^{i}g_{jl})+k_{,l}(\delta_{m}^{i}g_{jk}-\delta_{k}^{i}g_{jm})=0,$$

where $k_{,m}$ denote the components of the covariant differential ∇k . Taking the trace with respect to *i* and *m* we obtain

$$k_{,l}g_{jk} - k_{,k}g_{jl} = 0$$

Multiplying by g^{jk} and summing with respect to j and k we have $k_{,i}=0.$

Hence k is a constant.

Let M be a manifold with torsionfree affine connection and curvature tensor field R. It is natural to say that M is a manifold of constant curvature if R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X and Y for every X, Y, and Z. § 2. Kählerian manifolds of constant holomorphic curvature.

Let M be a connected Kählerian manifold with complex structure J and with Kählerian metric g. We always assume that the real dimension 2n of M is ≥ 4 . Let \mathcal{P} be the covariant differentiation with respect to the Kählerian connection associated with (J, g). Then the curvature tensor field R satisfies (1), (2), (3), and

(10) R(JX, JY) = R(X, Y),

(11) R(X, Y)JZ = JR(X, Y)Z.

M is a Kählerian manifold of constant holomorphic curvature if and only if

(12)
$$R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

 $+ \Omega(Y, Z)JX - \Omega(X, Z)JY - 2\Omega(X, Y)JZ\},$

where Ω denotes the 2-form defined by $\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ for every X and Y and k is a constant.

Theorem 2. M is a Kählerian manifold of constant holomorphic curvature if and only if R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X, Y, JX, JY, and JZ for every X, Y, and Z.

Proof. If M is a Kählerian manifold of constant holomorphic curvature, then the equation (12) means that R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X, Y, JX, JY, and JZ.

To prove the converse, let R(X, Y)Z be a linear combination of X, Y, JX, JY, and JZ for every X, Y, and Z. Then there exist five tensor fields $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu$, and ν of covariant degree 2 such that

$$\begin{split} R(X, Y)Z = & \alpha(Y, Z)X + \beta(X, Z)Y + \lambda(Y, Z)JX \\ & + \mu(X, Z)JY + \nu(X, Y)JZ. \end{split}$$

From (10) we have

 $\begin{aligned} &\{\alpha(Y,Z) + \lambda(JY,Z)\}X + \{\beta(X,Z) + \mu(JX,Z)\}Y \\ &+ \{\lambda(Y,Z) - \alpha(JY,Z)\}JX + \{\mu(X,Z) - \beta(JX,Z)\}JY \\ &+ \{\nu(X,Y) - \nu(JX,JY)\}JZ = 0. \end{aligned}$

Since X, Y, and Z are arbitrary, we get

(13) $\lambda(Y,Z) = \alpha(JY,Z),$

(14) $\mu(X, Z) = \beta(JX, Z),$

(15) $\nu(X, Y) = \nu(JX, JY)$

for every X, Y, and Z.

From (1) we have

$$egin{aligned} & \{lpha(Y,Z)+eta(Y,Z)\}X+\{lpha(X,Z)+eta(X,Z)\}Y\ & +\{\lambda(Y,Z)+\mu(Y,Z)\}JX+\{\lambda(X,Z)+\mu(X,Z)\}JY\ & +\{
u(X,Y)+
u(Y,X)\}JZ\!=\!0. \end{aligned}$$

Since X, Y, and Z are arbitrary, we get

- $\alpha + \beta = 0,$
- $\lambda + \mu = 0,$
- and

(18) $\nu(X, Y) + \nu(Y, X) = 0$ for every X and Y. Hence we have $R(X, Y)Z = \alpha(Y, Z)X - \alpha(X, Z)Y$ $+\alpha(JY, Z)JX - \alpha(JX, Z)JY + \nu(X, Y)JZ.$ This, together with (2), implies $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(Y, X),$ (19) $\nu(X, Y) = \alpha(X, JY) - \alpha(JX, Y)$ (20)for every X and Y. On the other hand, from (11) we have (21) $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(JX, JY)$ for every X and Y. This, together with (20), implies $\nu(X, Y) = -2\alpha(JX, Y).$ (22)Hence we have (23) $R(X, Y)Z = \alpha(Y, Z)X - \alpha(X, Z)Y$ $+\alpha(JY, Z)JX - \alpha(JX, Z)JY - 2\alpha(JX, Y)JZ.$ Let J_{j}^{i} , Ω_{ij} , and α_{ij} denote the components of J, Ω , and α respectively. Then (23) can be written as follows:

(23')
$$R_{jkl}^{i} = \alpha_{lj} \delta_{k}^{i} - \alpha_{kj} \delta_{l}^{i} + \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj} J_{l}^{a} J_{k}^{i} - \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj} J_{k}^{a} J_{l}^{i} - 2 \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{al} J_{k}^{a} J_{ij}$$

(23")
$$R_{ijkl} = \alpha_{lj}g_{ik} - \alpha_{kj}g_{il} + \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj}J_l^a \Omega_{ik} - \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj}J_k^a \Omega_{il} - 2\sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{al}J_k^a \Omega_{ij}.$$

This, together with (4), implies

(24)
$$\alpha_{lj}g_{ik} - \alpha_{kj}g_{il} + \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj}J_{l}^{a}\Omega_{ik} - \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{aj}J_{k}^{a}\Omega_{il} + \alpha_{li}g_{jk} - \alpha_{ki}g_{jl} + \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{ai}J_{l}^{a}\Omega_{jk} - \sum_{a=1}^{2n} \alpha_{ai}J_{k}^{a}\Omega_{jl} = 0.$$

Multiplying (24) by g^{il} and summing with respect to i and l and using (21) we obtain $\alpha = \frac{a}{2n}g$ where $a = \sum_{i,l=1}^{2n} g^{il}\alpha_{il}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{array}{l} R(X, Y)Z = k\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + \Omega(Y, Z)JX \\ - \Omega(X, Z)JY - 2\Omega(X, Y)JZ\} \end{array}$$

where $k = \frac{a}{2n}$ is a function on *M*. By the similar way as in

Theorem 1, we can see that k is a constant.

Let M be a complex manifold with a torsionfree affine connection which preserves the almost complex structure tensor J. It is natural to say that M is a manifold of constant holomorphic curvature if R(X, Y)Z is a linear combination of X, Y, JX, JY, and JZ.

References

- S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: Foundations of Differential Geometry. Interscience, New York (1963).
- [2] K. Yano: The theory of Lie Derivatives and its Applications. North Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam (1957).

1117