

162. On Mappings of Type  $l^p$ 

By Masatoshi NAKAMURA

(Comm. by Kinjirō KUNUGI, M.J.A., Oct. 12, 1967)

In this note, we shall improve a result in A. Pietsch [1], and we shall consider the problem 8.4.4 mentioned in [1]. We mainly follow notations in [1].

Let  $E$  and  $F$  be normed spaces. Let  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  be all continuous linear mapping of  $E$  into  $F$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(E, F)$  be all mappings  $t \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  such that  $t(E)$  is finite dimensional subspace of  $F$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}_r(E, F)$  be all mappings  $t \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  such that  $t(E)$  is at most  $r$ -dimensional subspace of  $F$ .

The norm  $\| \cdot \|$  of  $t$  in  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is defined by  $\|t\| = \sup \{ \|t(x)\|; \|x\| \leq 1, x \in E \}$  and the  $r$ -th approximation number of  $t$  in  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$  is defined by

$$\alpha_r(t) = \inf \{ \|t - u\|; u \in \mathcal{A}_r(E, F) \}.$$

By  $l^p(E, F)$  we denote all  $t \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$  such that  $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_r(t)^p < \infty$  holds for all positive number  $p$ , and we put

$$\rho_p(t) = \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_r(t)^p \right\}^{1/p}$$

**Lemma.** Each mapping  $t \in \mathcal{A}(E, F)$  can be represented in following form

$$t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \langle x, a_i \rangle y_i, \quad |\lambda_i| \leq \|t\| \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, r,$$

where  $a_i \in U^0$ ,  $y_i \in V$ , and  $\lambda_i$  are real or complex numbers.

Proof of this lemma is found in ([1], p. 121).

**Proposition.** Each mapping  $t \in l^p(E, F)$  (where  $p$  is any positive number) can be represented in following form, for any positive number  $\delta$ ,

$$t(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \lambda_r \langle x, a_r \rangle y_r$$

and

$$\left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_r|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq 2^{1+3/p} (1 + \delta) \rho_p(t),$$

where  $a_r \in U^0$ ,  $y_r \in V$ , and  $\lambda_r$  are real or complex numbers.

**Proof.** By definition of  $\alpha_r(t)$ , for all natural number  $n$ , there exist  $u_n$  in  $\mathcal{A}_{2^{n-2}}(E, F)$  such that  $\|t - u_n\| \leq (1 + \delta) \alpha_{2^{n-2}}(t)$ .

Let  $v_n = u_{n+1} - u_n$  then we have  $d_n \equiv$  dimensional of  $v_n(E) \leq 2^{n+2}$  and

$$\|v_n\| \leq \|t - u_n\| + \|t - u_{n+1}\| \leq 2(1 + \delta) \alpha_{2^{n-2}}(t).$$

By lemma,  $v_n$  is represented by

$$(1) \quad v_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{dn} \lambda_i^n \langle x, a_i^n \rangle y_i^n,$$

where  $a_i^n \in U^0$ ,  $y_i^n \in V$ ,  $|\lambda_i^n| \leq \|v_n\|$ .

Then the sequence  $\{\alpha_r(t)\}$  ( $r=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) is a monotone decreasing, therefore

$$\sum_n 2^{n-1} \alpha_{2^{n-2}}(t)^p \leq \sum_n \sum_{r=2^{n-1}-1}^{2^n-2} \alpha_r(t)^p = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \alpha_r(t)^p = \rho_p(t)^p.$$

On the other hand,  $d_n \|v_n\|^p \leq 2^{p+n+2}(1+\delta)^p \alpha_{2^{n-2}}(t)^p$  implies

$$\sum_n d_n \|v_n\|^p \leq 2^{p+3}(1+\delta)^p \rho_p(t)^p.$$

Hence, by (1)

$$\sum_n \sum_{i=1}^{dn} |\lambda_i^n|^p \leq \sum_n d_n \|v_n\|^p \leq 2^{p+3}(1+\delta)^p \rho_p(t)^p.$$

Therefore

$$\left\{ \sum_n \sum_{i=1}^{dn} |\lambda_i^n|^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq 2^{1+3/p}(1+\delta) \rho_p(t)$$

and

$$t(x) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_{m+1}(x) = \sum_n v_n(x) = \sum_n \sum_{i=1}^{dn} \lambda_i^n \langle x, a_i^n \rangle y_i^n.$$

In particular, if  $p$  is equal to 1, then we have the following

**Theorem.** *Any mapping  $t$  in  $l^1(E, F)$  is a nuclear mapping, and*

$$\nu(t) \leq 2^4 \rho_1(t).$$

By the theorem above, we can replace  $\nu(t) \leq 2^3 \rho_1(t)$  into  $\nu(t) \leq 2^4 \rho_1(t)$ . But we do not know whether  $2^4$  is the smallest number  $\rho$  satisfying  $\nu(t) \leq \rho \rho_1(t)$ .

### Reference

- [1] A. Pietsch: Lokalkonvexe Räume. Berlin (1965).