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1. Introduction. Let $\Omega$ be the half-space of $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}:\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \mid\right.$ $\left.x_{n}>0\right\}$, and $\Gamma$ be a boundary of $\Omega$.

Consider the hyperbolic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u=\left(\frac{\partial^{2 m}}{\partial t^{2 m}}+a_{1}(x, D) \frac{\partial^{2 m-1}}{\partial t^{2 m-1}}+\cdots+a_{2 m}(x, D)\right) u+B\left(x, D, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=f \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $a_{k}(x, D)=\sum_{|\alpha|=k} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha}, D_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}, \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{n}\right),|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}$ $+\cdots+\alpha_{n}, D^{\alpha}=D_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots D_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$, and $B$ is an arbitrary differential operator of order $(2 m-1)$.

We assume that all coefficients are sufficiently differentiable and bounded with their derivatives in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$.

Our aim of the present note is to assert the following
Theorem 1. We assume that $a_{\alpha_{1} \cdots \alpha_{n}}\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=0$ when $\alpha_{n}$ is odd. Let all the roots $\tau_{i}(x, \xi),(i=1, \cdots, 2 m)$ with respect to $\tau$ of the equation $\tau^{2 m}+a_{1}(x, \xi) \tau^{2 m-1}+\cdots+a_{2 m}(x, \xi)=0$ be pure imaginary, distinct and not zero, uniformly. Then for any $f(t, x) \in C^{1}\left([0, T] ; L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$ and any initial data $\left(u(0, x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, x), \cdots, \frac{\partial^{2 m-1} u}{\partial t^{2 m-1}}(0, x)\right) \in \mathscr{D}_{i}(i=1,2)$, there exists a unique solution $u$ of the equation (1.1) satisfying boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\Delta u\right|_{\Gamma}=\cdots=\left.\Delta^{m-1} u\right|_{\Gamma}=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} u\right|_{\Gamma}=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \Delta u\right|_{\Gamma}=\cdots=\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n}} \Delta^{m-1} u\right|_{\Gamma}=0 . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution satisfies $\left(u(t, x), \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(t, x), \cdots, \frac{\partial^{2 m} u}{\partial t^{2 m}}(t, x)\right) \in C^{0}([0, T]$; $\left.\mathscr{D}_{i} \times L^{2}(\Omega)\right)$, where $\mathscr{D}_{1}=D\left(\Lambda_{-}^{2 m}\right) \times \cdots \times D\left(\Lambda_{-}\right), \mathscr{D}_{2}=D\left(\Lambda_{+}^{2 m}\right) \times \cdots \times D\left(\Lambda_{+}\right)$. In the case of Dirichlet type boundary condition (1.2), we consider $\mathscr{D}_{1}$, and in the case of Neumann type boundary condition (1.3), we consider $\mathscr{D}_{2}$. The definitions of $\Lambda_{+}, \Lambda_{-}$are represented in the following section.

It is not difficult to show that from the considerations in the proof of Theorem 1 it implies the theorems obtained by S. Mizohata [5]
and by S. Miyatake [4]. The method of proof of Theorem 1 is based on singular integral operators with boundary conditions developed below and on Leray's one [3].

The detailed treatment and other interesting results shall be published elsewhere.
2. Singular integral operators with boundary conditions.

Definition 1. Let $A(\xi)$ be any bounded function in $\boldsymbol{R}^{n}$, homogeneous of degree zero. For $u(x) \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, we define
where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A(D) u \equiv F^{+\prime}\left(A(\xi) F^{+} u(\xi)\right), \quad A_{2}(D) u \equiv F^{-\prime}\left(A(\xi) F^{-} u(\xi)\right), \\
\left(F^{+} u\right)(\xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}} \cos \left(x_{n} \xi_{n}\right) u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) d x^{\prime} d x_{n}, \\
\left(F^{-} u\right)(\xi)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}} \sin \left(x_{n} \xi_{n}\right) u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) d x^{\prime} d x_{n}, \\
\left(F^{+\prime} u\right)(\xi)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}} \cos \left(x_{n} \xi_{n}\right) u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) d x^{\prime} d x_{n}, \\
\left(F^{-\prime} u\right)(\xi)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}} \sin \left(x_{n} \xi_{n}\right) u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) d x^{\prime} d x_{n}, \\
\boldsymbol{R}^{n} \ni x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)=\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), \\
\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \in \boldsymbol{R}^{n} ; x_{n}>0\right\}=\Omega, \\
x^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} x_{j} \xi_{j}, \quad i=\sqrt{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Definition 2. We define the following positive self-adjoint operators in $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right)$ or $L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)$ : we set

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{+}^{2}=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}, & D\left(H_{+}^{2}\right)=\left\{u \in H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right) ; \frac{d u}{d x}(0)=0\right\} \\
H_{-}^{2}=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}, & D\left(H_{-}^{2}\right)=H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right) \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right) \\
H^{2}=-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} . & D\left(H^{2}\right)=H^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and set $H_{+}=\left(H_{+}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, H_{-}=\left(H_{-}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, H=\left(H^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then we have that $D\left(H_{+}\right)$ $=H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right), D\left(H_{-}\right)=H_{0}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{1}\right), D(H)=H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{1}\right)$.

Definition 3. We set

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda_{+}=-\left(\Delta^{\prime}+H_{+}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \Lambda_{-}=\left(-\Delta^{\prime}+H_{-}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & \Lambda=\left(-\Delta^{\prime}+H^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \\
D\left(\Lambda_{+}\right)=H^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}\right), & D\left(\Lambda_{-}\right)=H_{0}^{1}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}\right), \\
\text { where } \quad \Delta^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}^{2}} .
\end{array}
$$

It follows that

$$
\Lambda_{+} u=\left.\Lambda \widetilde{u}\right|_{x_{n}>0} \text { for } u(x) \in D\left(\Lambda_{+}\right), \quad \Lambda_{-} u=\left.\Lambda \tilde{u}\right|_{x_{n}>0} \text { for } u(x) \in D\left(\Lambda_{-}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \text { for } x_{n}>0, \\
u\left(x^{\prime},-x_{n}\right) \text { for } \quad x_{n}<0,
\end{array}\right. \\
& \tilde{u}\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) & \text { for } \quad x_{n}>0 \\
-u\left(x^{\prime},-x_{n}\right) & \text { for } & x_{n}<0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In what follows we consider only $\Lambda_{+}$, as we can consider $\Lambda_{-}$similar to $\Lambda_{+}$.

Definition 4. $a(x, \xi) \in \Xi_{4}^{\infty}$ means that
$a(x, \xi) \in C_{x, \xi}^{4, \infty}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}} \times\left(\boldsymbol{R}^{n}-\{0\}\right)\right), \quad a(x, \lambda \xi)=a(x, \xi)$ for $\lambda>0$, and for every integer $s(\geq 0)$, there exists $M_{s}(a)(<\infty)$ such that

$$
\sum_{\substack{| || | \leq|\leq 4\\| \nu \mid \leq s}} \sup _{\substack{|k|=1 \\ x \in \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}_{+}^{n}}}\left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}\right)^{\nu} a(x, \xi)\right| \leq M_{s}(\alpha) .
$$

Theorem 2. Let $a(x, \xi), b(x, \xi) \in \Xi_{4}^{\infty}$. We set singular integral operators $a(x, D), b(x, D)$ with symbol $a(x, \xi), b(x, \xi)$, respectively, that is, for $u(x) \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}\right), a(x, D) u=F^{+\prime}\left(\alpha(x, \xi) F^{+} u(\xi)\right)$. Then, for $u(x)$ $\in D\left(\Lambda_{+}\right)$, we obtain the following estimates.
i) $\left\|(a(x, D) b(x, D)-b(x, D) a(x, D)) \Lambda_{+} u\right\|_{x_{n}>0}$

$$
\leq c\left(M_{2\left(\left[\frac{3}{2} n\right]+3\right)}(a) \cdot M_{2(n+1)}(b)+M_{2(n+1)}(a) M_{2\left(\left[\frac{3}{2} n\right]+3\right)}(b)\right)\|u\|_{x_{n}>0},
$$

ii) $\left\|\left(a(x, D) \Lambda_{+}-\Lambda_{+} a(x, D)\right) u\right\|_{x_{n}>0} \leq c M_{2(n+1)}(a)\|u\|_{x_{n}>0}$,
iii) $\left\|\left(a(x, D)^{*}-a^{\#}(x, D)\right) \Lambda_{+} u\right\|_{x_{n}>0} \leq c M_{2\left(\left[\frac{3}{2} n\right]+3\right)}(\alpha)\|u\|_{x_{n}>0}$,
iv) $\left\|(a(x, D) b(x, D)-(a \circ b)(x, D)) \Lambda_{+} u\right\|_{x_{n}>0}$

$$
\leq c M_{2\left(\left[\frac{3}{2} n\right]+3\right)}(a) M_{2(n+1)}(b)\|u\|_{x_{n}>0} .
$$

Here $\|u\|_{x_{n}>0}^{2}=\int_{R_{+}^{n}}|u|^{2} d x, \quad a^{\#}(x, D),(a \circ b)(x, D)$ are singular integral operators with symbol $\overline{a(x, \xi)}, a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi)$, respectively, $c$ depends only on dimension $n$ and [ ] denotes the Gauss symbol.

Definition 5. $\mathcal{A}$ is the algebra generated by $\alpha(x, \xi) \in \Xi_{4}^{\infty}$ with the property: $a\left(x, \xi^{\prime}, \xi_{n}\right)=a\left(x, \xi^{\prime},-\xi_{n}\right)$ and $f(x) \frac{\xi_{n}}{|\xi|}$ with the property: $f\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right)=0$ and $f(x) \in C^{4}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{R}}_{+}^{n}\right)$. For $\alpha(x, \xi)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}(x, \xi) f_{i}(x) \frac{\xi_{n}}{|\xi|}$, we associate with the singular integral operator $\alpha(x, D)$ as follows:

$$
\alpha(x, D) u=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{m} F^{\prime}\left(f_{i}(x) \frac{\xi_{n}}{|\xi|} a_{i}(x, \xi) F \tilde{u}\right)\right|_{x_{n}>0}, \quad \text { for } u \in L^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}^{n}\right),
$$

where $F$ is Fourier transformation and $F^{\prime}$ its inverse.
Theorem 3. For the symbols $\alpha(x, \xi), \beta(x, \xi) \in \mathfrak{A}$, the statements of Theorem 2 are also valid.

The proof of Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 3 from which it is seen that the proof is accomplished by the familiar method with the use of singular integral operator with respect to the Cauchy problem for hyperbolic operators ([1], [3], [5]-[7]).
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