## 88. The Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution of the Equations Describing Compressible Viscous Fluid Flow

By Nobutoshi Itaya<br>(Comm. by Kinjirô Kunugi, m. J. A., April 13, 1970)

Since J. Leray's discussion on the nonstationary movement of incompressible viscous fluid, there appeared a number of papers on it such as Kiselev-Ladyzhenskaya's ([1]), but very few reports, if any, on that of compressible viscous fluid have been made, presumably, because of the complexities that the system of equations describing it contains. In view of these circumstances, we try to find a way of solving this problem firstly from a classical point of view.

1. Introduction. When $\mu$ (viscosity), $k$ (heat conductivity), and $c_{v}$ (specific heat at constant volume) are constants (which does not injure the mathematical generality), the movement of isotropic Newtonian fluid is described as follows: ( $\rho$ : density, $v$ : velocity, $f$ : outer force, $p:$ pressure, $\theta:$ absolute temperature, and $F(\nabla v)$ : dissipation function $(\geq 0)$ ),

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\operatorname{div} \rho v=0, \\
\rho\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}+(v \cdot \nabla) v\right)=\rho f-\nabla \rho \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}-\nabla \theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}+\left(\mu \Delta+\frac{\mu}{3} \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div}\right) v,  \tag{1.1}\\
c_{v} \rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=k \Delta \theta+F-\theta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta} \operatorname{div} \rho v-c_{v} \rho v \cdot \nabla \theta, \\
\quad p=\theta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_{n} \rho^{n},\left(0<\rho<\rho^{*}=\text { radius of convergence of } p ; p\right. \text { is }
\end{array}\right.
$$ assumed to be virially expanded).

We shall consider a Cauchy problem of (1.1) in which the initial condition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, 0)=\rho_{0}(x)(>0), v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x), \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x)(\geq 0) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the first place, we make the following linear problem correspond with the 2 nd expression of (1.1).

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t}=\sigma(x, t)\left(\Delta+\frac{1}{3} \nabla \operatorname{div}\right) v+f \equiv \sigma(x, t) P_{0}\left(D_{x}\right) v+f,  \tag{1.2}\\
v(x, 0)=v_{0}(x)\left(\in H^{2+\alpha}\left(\overline{R^{3}}\right)\right),\left((x, t) \in R_{T}^{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\sigma, f \in H_{T}^{\alpha}, 0<\sigma_{0} \leq \sigma(x, t) \leq \sigma_{1}<+\infty$, and $H_{T}^{\alpha}$ is the space of functions $g(x, t)$ defined on $\overline{R_{T}^{3}}\left(R_{T}^{3} \equiv R^{3} \times(0, T)\right)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
|g|_{T}^{(0)} & \equiv \sup _{R_{T}^{3}}|g|<+\infty,|g|_{T}^{(\alpha)} \equiv|g|_{x, T}^{(\alpha)}+|g|_{t, T}^{(\alpha / 2)} \\
& \equiv \sup \frac{\left|g(x, t)-g\left(x^{\prime}, t\right)\right|}{\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha}}+\sup \frac{\left|g(x, t)-g\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)\right|}{\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{\alpha / 2}}<+\infty
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

The system (1.2) is uniformly parabolic in Petrowsky's sense, i.e.,
$\exists a_{0}>0$ such that $\max _{j} \sup _{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{Re} \beta_{j}(\xi ; x, t)<-a_{0}\left(\forall(x, t) \in R_{T}^{3}\right)$,
where $\beta_{j}$ 's are the roots of $\operatorname{det}\left(\sigma P_{0}(i \xi)-I \beta\right)$ and $\xi \in R^{3}$.
2.1. The fundamental solution and its estimates. In relation with (1.2), we consider the following system of ordinary differential equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d V}{d t}=\sigma(y, s) P_{0}(i \zeta) V(\zeta, t ; y, s),\left.V\right|_{t=s}=I(\text { unit matrix }),\left(\zeta \in C^{3}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and define $Z=\left(Z^{i j}\right)$, using $V$ as follows: $\quad(i, j=1,2,3)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{i j}(x-z, t ; y, s) \equiv(2 \pi)^{-3} \int_{R^{3}} \exp \left[i \xi_{0}(x-z)\right] V^{i j}\left(\xi_{0}, t ; y, s\right) d \xi_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $\dot{Z}^{i j}$, we have, e.g., for $m(|m| \geq 0$ ), and $t>s$ : ( $m$, index vector),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|D_{x}^{m} Z^{i j}(x-z, t ; y, s)\right| \\
& \quad \leq C_{1}^{(|m|)}(t-s)^{-(|m|+3) / 2} \exp \left[-\left(24 a_{1} \sigma_{1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x-z|^{2}}{t-s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

( $a_{1}$ depends on $P_{0}$ ).
There exists a unique bounded solution of (1.2). Thus, the so-called fundamental solution $\Gamma$ for it is unique, and has an expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(x, t ; & ; z, s)=Z(x-z, t ; z, s) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} d t_{0} \int_{R^{3}} Z\left(x-z, t ; y, s_{0}\right) J\left(y, s_{0} ; z, s\right) d y \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J$ satisfies a Volterra type integral equation. For $J$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|J(x, t ; z, s)| \leq C_{2}(t-s)^{-5-\alpha / 2} \exp \left[-\bar{C}_{2} \frac{|x-z|}{t-s}\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.3), (2.5), etc., it is shown that $J$ is Hölder-continuous with the exponents $\alpha$ and $\alpha / 2$ in $x$ and $t$, respectively.
2.2. Estimates of the bounded solution of a linear problem. As $v_{0}(x) \in H^{2+\alpha}\left(\overline{R^{3}}\right)$ in (1.2),
(2.6) $v(x, t)=v_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{R^{3}} \Gamma(x, t ; z, s)\left[\sigma(z ; s) P_{0}\left(D_{z}\right) v_{0}(z)+f(z, s)\right] d z$.

Finally, we have, e.g., for $|m|=1,2$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (2.7) } & \left|D_{x}^{m} v(x, t)\right| \leq\left|D_{x}^{m} v_{0}(x)\right|+C_{\delta}^{(|m|)} t^{(2-|m|+\alpha) / 2}\left\|f+\sigma P_{0} v_{0}\right\|_{T}^{(\alpha)},  \tag{2.7}\\
& \left(|m|=1,2 ;\|\cdot\| \|\left._{T}^{(\alpha)} \equiv|\cdot|\right|_{R_{T}^{3}} ^{(0)}+\left.|\cdot|\right|_{R_{T}^{(\alpha)}} ^{(\alpha)},\right. \\
(2.7)^{\prime} & \left|D_{x}^{m} v(x, t)-D_{x}^{m} v\left(x, t^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C_{6}^{(|m|)}\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|^{(2-|m|+\alpha) / 2}\left\|f+\sigma P_{0} v_{0}\right\|_{T}^{(\alpha)} .
\end{array}
$$

$C_{5}^{(|m|)}$, etc., are positive functions continuous in $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1},|\sigma|_{T}^{(\alpha)}$, and $T$, and monotonically increasing in each parameter.

The equation connected with the 3rd expression of (1.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t}=\tilde{\sigma}(x, t) \Delta \theta+g,\left(\widetilde{\sigma}, g \in H_{T}^{\alpha}, 0<\sigma_{0} \leq \tilde{\sigma} \leq \tilde{\sigma}_{1}<+\infty\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is, of course, uniformly parabolic in Petrowsky's sense. So, we can treat (2.8) in parallel with (1.2). The corresponding constants, etc., will be denoted, e.g., by ' $C_{1}$, etc.
3.1. The existence of a bounded solution of (1.1)-(1.1)". We define:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\langle u\rangle_{T} & \equiv \sum_{|m|=0}^{2}\left|D_{x}^{m} u\right|_{R_{T}^{3}}^{(0)}+\sum_{|m|=0}^{1}\left|D_{x}^{m} u\right|_{t, R_{T}^{3}}^{(\alpha) 2}, \quad\langle u\rangle_{T}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{|m|=2}\left|D_{x}^{m} u\right|_{R_{T}^{3}}^{(\alpha)},  \tag{3.1}\\
(\theta)_{T} & \equiv \sum_{|m|=0}^{1}\left(\left|D_{x}^{m} \theta\right|_{R_{T}}^{(0)}+\left.\left|D_{x}^{m} \theta\right|\right|_{t, R_{T}} ^{(\alpha / 2)}\right)+\left.\sum_{|m|=1}\left|D_{x}^{m} \theta\right|\right|_{x, R_{T}^{3}} ^{(\alpha)}, \\
\langle u\rangle_{T} & \equiv\langle u\rangle_{T}+\langle u\rangle_{T}^{\prime}, \hat{H}_{T}^{2+\alpha} \equiv\left\{u:\langle u\rangle_{T}<+\infty\right\}, H_{T}^{2+\alpha} \\
& \equiv\left\{u:\langle u\rangle_{T}+\left\|D_{t} u\right\|_{T}^{(\alpha)}<+\infty\right\}, \hat{H}_{T}^{1+\alpha} \equiv\left\{\theta:(\theta)_{T}<+\infty\right\} .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

If $v \in \hat{H}_{T}^{2+\alpha}$ in the first expression of (1.1), then $\rho$ is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x, t)=\rho_{0}\left(x_{0}(x, t)\right) \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t} \operatorname{div} v(\bar{x}(s ; x, t), s) d s\right], \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{x}(s ; x, t)$ is the characteristic curve passing $(x, t)$ and $x_{0}(x, t)$ $=\bar{x}(0 ; x, t)$. It is easily shown that the correspondence $\left\{x_{0}=x_{0}(x, t), t_{0}\right.$ $=t\}$ is $1-1$, and that $D_{x_{0}}^{m} x, D_{x}^{m} x_{0}$, etc. $(|m| \leq 2)$ exist, being bounded and continuous. For $\theta<0$, we define $p$ by the righthand side of (1.1)'. We assume in (1.1)" that
(3.3) $\quad \rho_{0} \in H^{1+\alpha}\left(\overline{R^{3}}\right),\left(0<\bar{\rho}_{0} \leq \rho_{0} \leq \overline{\bar{\rho}}_{0}<\rho^{*}\right), \theta_{0}$ and $v_{0} \in H^{2+\alpha}\left(\overline{R^{3}}\right)$.

We take ${ }^{\forall} M_{1}>\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{R_{2}^{3}}^{(2)}$, and choose $T_{1}$ such that $0<T_{1}<M_{1}^{-1} \log \left(\rho^{*} / \overline{\bar{\rho}}_{0}\right)$.
Now, we define a mapping $G_{T_{1}}$ from

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{T_{1}}^{0} \equiv\left\{(v, \theta):(v, \theta) \in \hat{H}_{T_{1}}^{2+\alpha}\right. & \times \hat{H}_{T_{1}}^{1+\alpha},\langle v\rangle_{T_{1}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \left.\leq M_{1}, v(x, 0)=v_{0}, \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

into $\hat{H}_{T_{1}}^{2+\alpha} \times \hat{H}_{T_{1}}^{1+\alpha}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 5) }\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\hat{v}(x, t)=v_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{R^{3}} \Gamma\left(x, t ; z, s ; \rho_{v}\right)\left\{N_{1}+\frac{\mu}{\rho_{v}} P_{0} v_{0}\right\}(z, s) d z, \\
\hat{\theta}(x, t)=\theta_{0}(x)+\int_{0}^{t} d s \int_{R^{3}} \Gamma\left(x, t ; z, s ; \rho_{v}\right)\left\{N_{2}+\frac{k}{c_{v} \rho_{v}} \Delta \theta_{0}\right\}(z, s) d z,
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left(N_{1}=f-\frac{\nabla \rho}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \rho}-\frac{\nabla \theta}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \theta}-(v \cdot \nabla) v, N_{2}=\frac{F}{c_{v} \rho}-\frac{p}{c_{v} \rho} \operatorname{div} v-v \cdot \nabla \theta\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

$G_{T_{1}}$ is well-defined, because the following inequalities hold:

$$
\begin{cases}\left\|N_{1}+\frac{\mu}{\rho_{v}} P_{0} v_{0}\right\|_{R_{T_{0}}^{3}}^{(\alpha)} \leq B_{1}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)+B_{2}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\ & \times\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}^{\prime},\left(B_{2} \searrow 0\left(T_{0} \searrow 0\right)\right), \\ \left\|N_{2}+\frac{k}{c_{v} \rho_{v}} \Delta \theta_{0}\right\|_{R_{T_{0}}^{3}}^{(\alpha)} \leq B_{3}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right), & \left({ }^{\forall} T_{0} \in\left[0, T_{1}\right]\right)\end{cases}
$$

By (2.7), etc., we obtain from (3.5) :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\langle\hat{v}\rangle_{T_{0} \leq 1} \leq\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{R_{3}}^{(2)}+A\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)\left[B_{1}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)\right.  \tag{3.7}\\
\left.+B_{2}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)(v)_{T_{0}}^{\prime}\right], \\
(\hat{\theta})_{T_{0}} \leq\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{R_{3}^{3}}^{(1+\alpha)}+\prime A\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right) \times B_{3}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right), \\
\langle\hat{v}\rangle_{T_{0}}^{\prime} \leq|\nabla \nabla v|_{R_{3}^{3}}^{(\alpha)}+\hat{A}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right) \times\left[B_{1}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)\right. \\
\left.+B_{2}\left(\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}},(\theta)_{T_{0}}, T_{0}\right)\langle v\rangle_{T_{0}}^{\prime}\right],
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A, B_{2}$, and ${ }^{\prime} A \searrow 0\left(T_{0} \searrow 0\right)$. Taking $M_{2}>\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{R^{3}}^{(1+\alpha)}$, we can choose $T^{\prime} \in\left(0, T_{1}\right]$ and $M_{3}(>0)$ such that $\langle v, \hat{v}\rangle_{T^{\prime}} \leq M_{1},\langle\theta, \hat{\theta}\rangle_{T^{\prime}} \leq M_{2},\langle v, v\rangle_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ $\leq M_{3}\left(M_{1}, M_{2}\right)$. Thus, if we denote by $S_{T^{\prime}}$ the set of $(v, \theta) \in S_{T^{\prime}}^{0}$, such that ( $v, 0$ ) satisfies the above-cited condition, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{T^{\prime}} S_{T^{\prime}} \subset S_{T^{\prime}} \cap\left(H_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha} \times H_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha}\right) \subset S_{T^{\prime}} \subset \dot{H_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha \beta}} \times \dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha \beta},(\beta \in(0,1)), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha \beta} \times \dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha \beta}$ is a Fréchet space defined by a countable system of seminorms
(3.9)

$$
[(v, \theta)]_{N, T^{\prime}} \equiv\left\langle\langle v\rangle_{N, T^{\prime}}+\langle\theta\rangle_{N, T^{\prime}},(N=1,2, \cdots),\right.
$$

where the suffix " $N, T^{\prime \prime}$ " indicates that "sup" is considered in $R_{N, T}^{3}$, $\equiv\left\{(x, t):|x| \leq N+M_{1}\left(T^{\prime}-t\right), 0 \leq t \leq T^{\prime}\right\}$. After some calculations, it is shown that $S_{T^{\prime}}$ is a compact convex subset in $\dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha \beta} \times \dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha \beta}$, and that $G_{T^{\prime}}$ is a continuous operator from $S_{T^{\prime}}$ as a subset of $\dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha \beta} \times \dot{H}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha \beta}$ into itself. Therefore, by Tikhonov's theorem on the existence of a fixed point in a locally convex linear topological space, we have:

Theorem. For some $T^{\prime} \in(0, T], \exists(v, \theta, \rho) \in H_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha} \times H_{T^{\prime}}^{2+\alpha} \times \tilde{B}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha}$ such that ( $v, \theta, \rho$ ) satisfies (1.1)-(1.1) ${ }^{\prime \prime} . \quad$ Moreover, $\theta(x, t) \geq 0$.

$$
\left(\tilde{B}_{T^{\prime}}^{1+\alpha}=\left\{\rho:|\rho|_{T^{\prime}}^{(0)}+|v \rho|_{T^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}+\left|D_{t} \rho\right|_{T^{\prime}}^{(\alpha)}\right\} ; T^{\prime}, \quad \text { used for } \quad R_{T^{\prime}}^{3}\right) \text {. }
$$

3.2. The problem of uniqueness. Assuming that there are two solutions, we estimate their difference on the basis of the expression that it satisfies. More precision is needed than in the case of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. If, for $\rho_{0} \in H^{2+L}\left(\bar{R}^{3}\right)$, there exists a solution ( $v, \theta, \rho$ ) of (1.1)-(1.1)" $\in\left(H_{T}^{2+\alpha} \cap B_{x, T}^{3+L}\right) \times H_{T}^{2+\alpha} \times \tilde{B}_{T}^{1+\alpha}$, then the solution is unique there. (' $L$ ' denotes that Lipschitz's condition is satisfied).

If the given functions are smoother, then, taking account of Theorem 2, we have:

Theorem 3. If

$$
\rho_{0} \in H^{2+L}\left(\bar{R}^{3}\right)\left(0<\bar{\rho}_{0} \leq \rho_{0}\right), v_{0} \in H^{4+\alpha}\left(\bar{R}^{3}\right), \theta_{0}(x) \in H^{3+\alpha}\left(\bar{R}^{3}\right),
$$

and $f \in H_{T}^{2+\alpha}$, then, for some $T^{\prime} \in(0, T], \exists_{1}(v, \theta, \rho) \in H_{T}^{4+\alpha} \times H_{T}^{3+, \alpha} \times \tilde{B}_{T^{1}}^{1+\alpha}$ such that $(v, \theta, \rho)$ satisfies (1.1)-(1.1) ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(H_{T^{\prime}}^{n+\alpha} \equiv\{g:\right. & \sum_{2 r+|m|=0}^{n}\left|D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{m} g\right|_{R_{T^{\prime}}^{3}}^{(0)}+\sum_{2 r+|m|=n-1}^{n}\left|D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{m} g\right|_{t, R_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{(\alpha / \alpha)} \\
& \left.\left.+\sum_{2 r+|m|=n}\left|D_{t}^{r} D_{x}^{m} g\right|_{x, R_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\langle(\alpha)}<+\infty\right\}(n=3,4)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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