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1. In a recent paper [4], M. J. Crabb gives the best bound $\sqrt{2}$ of the inequality proposed by C. A. Berger and J. G. Stampfli [2]:

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|T^{n} x\right\| \leqq \sqrt{2}\|x\|
$$

for an operator $T$ with $w(T)=1$, where $w(T)$ is the numerical radius of $T$ given by

$$
w(T)=\sup \{|(T x, x)| ;\|x\|=1\}
$$

Using his method, he proves also a generalization of a theorem of Berger-Stampfli [3] and Williams-Crimmins [6]. In the present note, we shall give a further generalization of Crabb's theorem in an elementary method basing on an idea of C. A. Berger and J. G. Stampfli.
2. Following after B. Sz. Nagy and C. Foiaş [5], let $\mathcal{C}_{\rho}$ be the set of all operators acting on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{S}$ such that there exist a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{R}$ containing $\mathscr{S}$ as a subspace and a unitary operator $U$ acting on $\Re$ satisfying
(1) $\quad T^{m}=\rho P U^{m} \mid \mathscr{K} \quad(m=1,2, \cdots)$,
where $P$ is the projection of $\mathfrak{\Re}$ onto $\mathfrak{S}$. (1) implies at once
(2) $\quad T^{* m}=\rho P U^{* m} \mid S_{\mathcal{S}} \quad(m=1,2, \cdots)$.

It is well-known by [5] that

$$
\mathcal{C}_{1}=\{T \in \boldsymbol{B}(\mathfrak{S}) ;\|T\| \leqq 1\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C}_{2}=\left\{T \in \boldsymbol{B}\left(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathcal{C}}\right) ; w(T) \leqq 1\right\} .
$$

Therefore, the following theorem contains Crabb's theorem as a special case ( $\rho=2$ ):

Theorem. Suppose that $T \in \mathcal{C}_{\rho}(\rho \neq 1)$ and that (3) $\quad\left\|T^{n} x\right\|=\rho$
for some integer $n$ and a unit vector $x$. Then we have
(i) $T^{n+1} x=0$,
(ii) $\left\|T^{k} x\right\|=\sqrt{\rho}$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n-1$,
(iii) $x, T x, \cdots, T^{n} x$ are mutually orthogonal,
and
(iv) The linear span $\mathfrak{R}$ of $x, T x, \cdots, T^{n} x$ is a reducing subspace of $T$.
3. Proof. Ad (i). Let $T$ be as in (1). Then

$$
\rho\|x\|=\left\|T^{n} x\right\|=\left\|\rho P U^{n} x\right\|=\rho\left\|P U^{n} x\right\| .
$$

Since $U$ is unitary and $P$ is a projection, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P U^{n} x=U^{n} x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or $U^{n} x \in \mathscr{F}$. Hence

$$
T^{n} x=\rho U^{n} x
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\rho P U^{n+1} x=T^{n+1} x=T\left(\rho U^{n} x\right)=(\rho P U)\left(\rho U^{n} x\right)=\rho^{2} P U^{n+1} x .
$$

Hence we have $T^{n+1} x=0$ for $\rho \neq 1$.
Ad (ii). For each $k(1 \leqq k<n)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{k} x\right\|^{2} & =\left(T^{k} x, T^{k} x\right)=\rho^{2}\left(P U^{k} x, P U^{k} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(U^{n-k} P U^{k} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(P U^{n-k} P U^{k} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\left(T^{n} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left(P U^{n} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left\|U^{n} x\right\|^{2}=\rho\|x\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left\|T^{k} x\right\|=\sqrt{\rho}$.
Ad (iii). Since $T^{n+j} x=0$ by (i), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T^{i} x, T^{j} x\right) & =\rho^{2}\left(P U^{i} x, U^{j} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(U^{n-j} P U^{i} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(P U^{n-j} P U^{i} x, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\left(T^{n+i-j} x, U^{n} x\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for every $i$ and $j$ such as $0 \leqq j<i \leqq n$.
Ad (iv). It is clear that $\mathcal{R}$ is invariant under $T$. Therefore it suffices to prove that the vectors $x, T x, \cdots, T^{n} x$ are orthogonal to $T a$, where $a$ is a vector in $\mathscr{S}_{\mathcal{S}}$ which is orthogonal to $\mathfrak{R}$.

For each $k(1 \leqq k \leqq n)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(T a, T^{k} x\right) & =\left(\rho P U a, \rho P U^{k} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(P U a, U^{k} x\right) \\
& =\rho^{2}\left(U^{n-k} P U a, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\left(T^{n-k+1} a, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left(P U^{n-k+1} a, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left(U^{n-k+1} a, U^{n} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left(a, U^{k-1} x\right) \\
& =\rho\left(a, P U^{k-1} x\right) \\
& =\left(a, T^{k-1} x\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $T a$ is orthogonal to $T x, \cdots, T^{n} x$. At this end, we shall show that $T a$ is orthogonal to $x$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T^{* n} U^{n} x\right\| & =\rho\left\|P U^{* n} U^{n} x\right\| \\
& =\rho\|P x\|=\rho\|x\|=\rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\|x\|=\left\|U^{n} x\right\|=1$, by (i) we have $T^{*(n+1)} U^{n} x=0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{*(n+1)} U^{n} x & =\rho P U^{*(n+1)} U^{n} x \\
& =\rho P U^{*} x=T^{*} x=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have finally

$$
(T a, x)=\left(a, T^{*} x\right)=0
$$

This completes the proof.
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