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Let
(1)

$$
P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+b(x)
$$

be a first order linear differential operator with analytic coefficients defined at the origin of $C^{n}$. In this note, we discuss the following problem: Consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P u=f . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$f$ and $u$ being analytic functions at the origin, what condition should $f$ satisfy for the existence of a local solution $u$ of the equation (2) and how many solutions exist when $f$ satisfies the condition? That is, our problem is to clarify the kernel and cokernel of the operator $P$. When $n=1$, Komatsu [2] and Malgrange [3] have a deep result for the index of the operator $P$, which is not necessarily of the first order.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the stalk at the origin of the sheaf of holomorphic functions over $C^{n}$. Let $\mathfrak{U}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be the ideals of $\mathcal{O}$ generated by $a_{1}(x), \cdots, a_{n}(x)$ and $a_{1}(x), \cdots, a_{n}(x), b(x)$ respectively. In the case when $\mathfrak{H}$ is equal to $\mathcal{O}$, the answer to this problem is well-known as the theorem of Cauchy-Kowalevsky. In this note, therefore, we assume that $\mathfrak{N}$ is a proper ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Such equations are used by Hadamard [1] to construct the elementary solution of a second order linear partial differential equation and by Sato-Kawai-Kashiwara [4] to determine the structure of pseudo-differential equations. We want to have general theory about the equation of such type. First we give the following conditions to formulate a theorem. We discuss examples which do not satisfy these conditions later.
(A) $\quad \mathfrak{Q}$ is a proper and simple ideal of $\mathcal{O}$.

Let $M=\left(\partial\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right) / \partial\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)\right)(0)$ be the Jacobian matrix of $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ at the origin. Let $M^{*}=J_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{m} \oplus J_{1}^{\prime} \oplus \cdots \oplus J_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ be the Jordan canonical matrix of $M$, where $J_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant m)$ and $J_{j}^{\prime}\left(1 \leqslant j \leqslant m^{\prime}\right)$ are the matrices of the Jordan blocks of sizes $N_{i}$ and $N_{j}^{\prime}$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ and $\lambda_{j}^{\prime}=0$ respectively.
(B) i) $N_{j}^{\prime}=1\left(1 \leqslant j \leqslant m^{\prime}\right)$.
ii) There exists a real number $\theta$, such that $\theta<\arg \lambda_{i}<\theta+\pi$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, where we denote by $\arg \lambda_{i}$ the argument of complex number $\lambda_{i}$.
(C) $\quad$ The equation $b(0)=0$ holds or $b(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_{i} \lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for arbitrary non-negative integers $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{m}$.
Remark. (C) holds if condition (B) ii) holds, $b(0) \neq 0$ and $\theta<\arg b(0)<\theta+\pi$ for $\theta$ of (B) ii).

Theorem. Assuming conditions (A), (B) and (C), we have the following conclusion.

$$
\text { Coker } P \simeq \mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{B} \text { and Ker } P \simeq \begin{cases}\mathcal{O} / \mathfrak{B}, & \text { if } \mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}, \\ 0 & \text { if } \mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{B} .\end{cases}
$$

That is, an analytic solution $u$ of (2) exists locally if and only if $f \in \mathfrak{B}$. If $\mathfrak{A} \neq \mathfrak{B}, u$ is uniquely determined by $f$, and if $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}$, there is a one-one correspondence between the solutions $u$ and the Cauchy data $\left.u\right|_{V}$, where $V$ is the variety defined by $\mathfrak{B}$.

Proof. Taking account of conditions (B) ii) and (C), there exists a positive number $\varepsilon$ which satisfies

$$
\left|l_{1} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+l_{m} \lambda_{m}+b(0)\right| \geqslant\left(l_{1}+\cdots+l_{m}\right) \varepsilon
$$

for any non-negative integers $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{m}$. Multiplying $P$ by a constant number, we may assume from the beginning $\varepsilon$ is equal to 2 , i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_{i} \lambda_{i}+b(0)\right| \geqslant 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_{i} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking a different coordinate system, $M$ is transformed into $G^{-1} M G$, where $G$ is the Jacobian matrix of the coordinate transformation. Then, under a suitable coordinate system $x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}, M$ is equal to $M^{*}$ and $P=\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial / \partial x_{i}^{\prime}+b\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Let $k=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m}, k^{\prime}=n-k$, $K_{i}$ be equal to $j$ if $N_{1}+\cdots+N_{j-1}<i \leqslant N_{1}+\cdots+N_{j}$ and $\delta_{i}$ be equal to 1 if there exists $j$ such that $N_{1}+\cdots+N_{j-1}<i<N_{1}+\cdots+N_{j}$ and 0 otherwise. Considering condition (A) and (B) i), it is clear that $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by $c_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right), \cdots, c_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Now we define the following coordinate system $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{k^{\prime}}$ :

$$
\begin{cases}y_{i}=c_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right) / \lambda_{K_{i}}-\delta_{i} y_{i+1} & \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k \\ z_{j}=x_{k+j}^{\prime} & \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Under this coordinate system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i}(y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} a_{j}^{\prime}(y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}+b(y, z) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote by $y$ and $z$ coordinates $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}$ and $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{k}$, respectively, and $M$ is equal to $M^{*}$ because

$$
\frac{\partial\left(y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{k^{\prime}}\right)}{\partial\left(x_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}\right)}(0)
$$

is the identity matrix, and $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}$.
Case 1. $\mathfrak{X}=\mathfrak{B}$.

It is sufficient to show that when $f(0, z) \equiv 0$, there exists a unique solution $u$ of (2) satisfying the initial condition $u(0, z)=v(z)$ for any $v$.

We define a semi-order on the set of pairs of multi-indices $(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{k}\right), \beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \cdots, \beta_{k^{\prime}}\right)$ and where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{j}$ are nonnegative integers, in the following way:

We define $(\alpha, \beta)<\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)$ when and only when
or

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
|\alpha|<\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|, & \left(|\alpha|=\alpha_{1}+\cdots+\alpha_{k} \text { etc. }\right), \\
|\alpha|=\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|, & |\beta|<\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|,
\end{array}
$$

or $\quad|\alpha|=\left|\alpha^{\prime}\right|, \quad|\beta|=\left|\beta^{\prime}\right|, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} i \alpha_{i}<\sum_{i=1}^{k} i \alpha_{i}^{\prime}$.
Set $a_{i}(y, z)=\sum_{\alpha>0} a_{i \alpha}(z) y^{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha>0, \beta \geqslant 0} a_{i_{\alpha \beta}} z^{\beta} y^{\alpha}$ etc. Then easily we have the unique solution $u(y, z)=\sum_{\alpha \geqslant 0} u_{\alpha}(z) y^{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha \geqslant 0, \beta \geqslant 0} u_{\alpha \beta} z^{\beta} y^{\alpha}$ of a formal power series under the initial condition $u_{0}(z)=v(z)$ in the following way. Let $g$ be the ideal of the ring of formal power series generated by all $y^{\alpha^{\prime}} z^{\beta^{\prime}}$ which satisfy $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)>(\alpha, \beta)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(u_{\alpha \beta} z^{\beta} y^{\alpha}\right) & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\lambda_{K_{i}} y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}+\delta_{i} y_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\right) u_{\alpha \beta} z^{\beta} y^{\alpha} \quad \bmod g \\
& \equiv u_{\alpha \beta} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{K_{i}} z^{\beta} y^{\alpha} \quad \bmod \mathfrak{g},
\end{aligned}
$$

because $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{k}, M=M^{*}$ and $b(y, z) \in \mathfrak{A}$. Therefore, comparing the coefficients of $z^{\beta} y^{\alpha}$ of both sides of the equation (2), we can determine $u_{\alpha \beta}$ by (5) inductively:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{K_{i}}\right) u_{\alpha \beta}=\text { a number determined only by } u_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}} \text { which satisfy }  \tag{5}\\
\text { the relation }\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)<(\alpha, \beta) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then we can prove by the method of majornant that $u$ is analytic at the origin. In fact, for suitable positive numbers $r, C$ and $C^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}(y, z)-\lambda_{K_{i}} y_{i}-\delta_{i} y_{i+1} \ll \frac{C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} & \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k,  \tag{6}\\
a_{j}^{\prime}(y, z) \ll \frac{C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} & \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant k^{\prime}, \\
b(y, z) \ll \frac{C s}{r-(s+t)}, & v(z) \ll \frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t},
\end{array} \quad f(y, z) \ll \frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)}, ~ l\right.
$$

where we define $s=y_{1}+\cdots+y_{k}, t=z_{1}+\cdots+z_{k^{\prime}}$. Taking account of (3), (5) and (6), we have easily the relation $\varphi \gg u$ if a formal power series $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
P^{*} \varphi \gg \frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(0, z) \gg \frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t},  \tag{7}\\
\text { where } P^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(2 y_{i}-\frac{C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}} \\
\quad-\frac{C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} \sum_{i=1}^{k^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}}-\frac{C s}{r-(s+t)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

On the other hand, the solution $\varphi$ of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(1-k \frac{C(s+t)}{r-(s+t)}\right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}-k^{\prime} \frac{C(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t}-\frac{C}{r-(s+t)} \varphi  \tag{8}\\
=\frac{C^{\prime}}{r-(s+t)}, \quad \varphi(0, t)=\frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is analytic at the origin, which is clear by the theorem of CauchyKowalevsky, so we come to the conclusion, because $\varphi$ satisfies (7). In fact,

$$
P^{*} \varphi=y_{1} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial s}+\frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)} \gg \frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(0, z)=\frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t}
$$

## Case 2. $\mathfrak{U} \neq \mathfrak{B}$.

It is sufficient to show that there exists a unique solution $u$ of (2) when $f$ belongs to $\mathfrak{B}$.

First we have by (5)' the unique solution of a formal power series as in Case 1: $\left\{\begin{array}{l}u_{0}(z)=f_{0}(z) / b_{0}(z), \text { which is analytic because } f \in \mathfrak{B}, \\ \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{K_{i}}+b(0)\right) u_{\alpha \beta}=\text { a number determined only by } u_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}} \text { which } \\ \text { satisfy the relation }\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}\right)<(\alpha, \beta), \text { where we use the same } \\ \text { notations as in Case } 1 .\end{array}\right.$
We have the following majorant series as in Case 1:
$(6)^{\prime}\left\{\begin{array}{l}f(0, z) / b(0, z) \ll \frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t}, \quad f(y, z)-f(0, z) \ll \frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)}, \\ b(y, z)-b(0,0) \ll \frac{C(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} \text { and the others are the same as in } \\ \text { Case 1. }\end{array}\right.$
As in Case 1, we can prove the existence of $\varphi$ which is analytic at the origin and satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{*} \varphi \gg \frac{C^{\prime} s}{r-(s+t)} \quad \text { and } \quad \varphi(0, z) \gg \frac{C^{\prime}}{r-t}, \\
& P^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(2 y_{i}-\frac{2 C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} y_{i+1}^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}-\frac{C s(s+t)}{r-(s+t)} \sum_{j=1}^{k^{\prime}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Considering (3), (5) ${ }^{\prime}$, (6) $)^{\prime}$, (7) ${ }^{\prime}$ and $z^{\beta} y^{\alpha} \ll s \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\partial / \partial y_{i}\right) z^{\beta} y^{\alpha}$ for $|\alpha|>0$, we see that $\varphi$ is a majorant series of $u$, so $u$ is analytic. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We give some examples which do not satisfy (A), (B) or (C).

1) $P=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P \simeq \boldsymbol{C}, \quad \operatorname{Im} P \nexists x_{1} x_{2}$.
2) $P=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+1, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} P \nexists x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2}$.
3) $P=x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+1, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P=0, \quad \operatorname{Im} P \nexists\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{-1}$.
4) $P=x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P \ni x_{2}^{2}-2 x_{1} x_{3}, \quad \operatorname{Im} P \nexists x_{2}^{2}$.
5) $P=x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}$,

Ker $P \ni x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}, \quad \operatorname{Im} P \nRightarrow x_{1} x_{4}$.
6) $P=x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}$,

Ker $P=\left\{f\left(x_{2}\right) ; f \in \mathcal{O}_{1}\right\}$,
$\operatorname{Im} P \not \not \nexists x_{3}\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{-1}$, where we denote by $\mathcal{O}_{1}$ the stalk at the origin of the sheaf of holomorphic functions over $C^{1}$.
7) $P^{\prime}=P+1$, where $P$ is the same as in 4 ), 5) or 6 ,
$\operatorname{Ker} P^{\prime}=0, \quad \quad \operatorname{Im} P^{\prime} \nRightarrow\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{-1}$.
8) $P=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P \simeq \operatorname{Coker} P \simeq\left\{f\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) ; f \in \mathcal{O}_{1}\right\}$.
9) $P=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-\lambda x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad$ where $\lambda$ is a positive irrational number,

Ker $P \simeq C$. If $f(0)=0$, the equation $P u=f$ has a solution of a formal power series, but it is a problem of Diophantine approximation whether the series converges or not. Let $a_{n}, b_{n}$ and $\lambda$ be numbers satisfying $a_{1}=1, a_{n+1} \geqslant 2 a_{n}!, \lambda=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 / a_{n}$ and $b_{n}<a_{n} \lambda<b_{n}+1$, where $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are integers, and $f$ be equal to $1-\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{-1}$. Then the formal solution is not analytic because its coefficient of $x_{1}^{b_{n}} x_{2}^{a_{n}}$ is larger than $a_{n}$ !. On the other hand, when $\lambda$ is an algebraic number, we see that the formal solution is always analytic at the origin by the theorem of Roth.
$P=x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-1, \quad$ Ker $P \simeq \operatorname{Coker} P \simeq\left\{C x_{1}+C^{\prime} x_{2} ; C, C^{\prime} \in C\right\}$.
Remark. In the case 1), 2), 3), 6) and 7), a similar result holds as in the theorem if we think $P$ in the category of formal power series, for instance, in 3 ), $u=\sum_{i, j \geqslant 0}(-1)^{j}((i+j)!/ i!) x_{1}^{i} x_{2}^{j}$ satisfies $P u=\left(1-x_{1}\right)^{-1}$.

We give finally the following examples satisfying (A), (B) and (C).
11)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\left(x_{2}+x_{3} x_{4}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+2 x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
& \text { Ker } P \simeq \text { Coker } P \simeq\left\{f\left(x_{4}\right) ; f \in \mathcal{O}_{1}\right\} \text {, } \\
& P^{\prime}=P-3 / 2, \quad \operatorname{Ker} P^{\prime}=\operatorname{Coker} P^{\prime}=0 \text {, } \\
& P^{\prime \prime}=P+x_{3}+x_{4}^{2}, \quad \text { Ker } P^{\prime \prime}=0, \text { Coker } P^{\prime \prime} \simeq\left\{C+C^{\prime} x_{4} ; C, C^{\prime} \in C\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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