

#### 48. *Approximate Solutions for Some Non-linear Volterra Integral Equations*

By Shin-ichi NAKAGIRI and Haruo MURAKAMI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Kobe University

(Comm. by Kinjirô KUNUGI, M. J. A., March 12, 1974)

In this short note we give generalized  $\varepsilon$ -approximate solutions  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$  of the following non-linear integral equations of Volterra-type

$$(P) \quad x(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds.$$

Under very general assumptions on  $f(t)$  and  $g(t, s, x)$  similar to the Carathéodory-type, R. K. Miller and G. R. Sell [1] proved the local existence theorem by applying the fixed point theorem of Schauder-Tychonoff. We shall prove that their assumptions in [1] assure the existence of generalized  $\varepsilon$ -approximate solutions  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$  of (P) and give some interesting properties of  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$  which will play an essential role in our sequel paper [3]. As an easy application of our results, we can show another existence proof of a solution of (P).

Let  $|x|$  denote the Euclidean norm of a vector  $x$  of  $R^n$ . For each interval  $I$  containing  $O$  and each subset  $K$  of  $R^n$ , we define a space  $C(I; K)$  by the set of all continuous functions with domain  $I$  and range in  $K$  with the compact-open topology. Then  $C[0, \alpha] = C([0, \alpha]; R^n)$  is the Banach space of continuous functions on  $[0, \alpha]$  with the norm of uniform convergence. We note that the space  $C[0, \alpha] = C([0, \alpha]; R^n)$  is not a Banach space but a Fréchet space. Denote by  $\mathcal{L}^1[0, \alpha]$  the Banach space consisting of all Lebesgue measurable functions  $x: [0, \alpha] \rightarrow R^n$  with finite norm  $\int_0^\alpha |x(t)| dt < \infty$ .

We assume the following hypotheses which are somewhat weaker than those in [1].

(H1) The function  $f$  is defined and continuous for all  $t$  in  $R^+ = \{t \in R: t \geq 0\}$  with values in  $R^n$ .

(H2) Let  $g(t, s, x)$  be a function defined on  $R^+ \times R^+ \times R^n$  with values in  $R^n$  such that

(i) for each fixed  $(t, x) \in R^+ \times R^n$ ,  $g(t, s, x)$  is Lebesgue measurable in  $s$  and  $g(t, s, x) = 0$  for  $s > t$ , and

(ii) for each fixed  $(t, s) \in R^+ \times R^+$  such that  $s \leq t$ ,  $g(t, s, x)$  is continuous in  $x$ .

(H3) For each real number  $l > 0$  and each compact subset  $K$  of  $R^n$ , there exists a function  $m(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{L}^1[0, t]$  for each  $t \in [0, l]$  such that

$$|g(t, s, x)| \leq m(t, s) \quad (0 \leq s \leq t \leq l, x \in K)$$

and

$$\sup \left\{ \int_0^t m(t, s) ds : 0 \leq t \leq l \right\} < \infty.$$

(H4) For each compact subinterval  $J$  of  $R^+$ , each compact set  $K$  in  $R^n$  and each  $t_0$  in  $R^+$ ,

$$\sup \left\{ \int_J |g(t, s, \phi(s)) - g(t_0, s, \phi(s))| ds : \phi \in C(J; K) \right\}$$

tends to zero as  $t \rightarrow t_0$ .

(H5) Given any constant  $l > 0$  and any compact set  $K \subset R^n$ , we have

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \int_t^{t+h} |g(t+h, s, \phi(s))| ds = 0$$

uniformly in  $(t, \phi)$  for  $0 \leq t \leq l$  and  $\phi \in C([0, l+1]; K)$ .

We define approximate solutions, sometimes called Carathéodory iterates, which will be used in the proof of the main theorem in our later paper [3]. A function  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  is said to be an  $\epsilon$ -Carathéodory iterate at a point  $\xi \in [0, \alpha]$  for a continuous solution  $x(t)$  of (P) on  $[0, \alpha]$ , or simply a Carathéodory iterate, if

$$(1) \quad x(t; \xi, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} f(0) & \text{on } [-\epsilon, 0] \\ x(t) & \text{on } [0, \xi] \\ f(t) + \int_0^\xi g(t, s, x(s)) ds + \int_\xi^t g(t, s, x(s-\epsilon; \xi, \epsilon)) ds & \text{on } [\xi, \alpha]. \end{cases}$$

We shall give some explanation of this definition in the following Proposition 1.

**Proposition 1.** *Let the functions  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy (H1)–(H4), then a Carathéodory iterate  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, \alpha]$  for each  $\xi \in [0, \alpha]$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .*

**Proof.** The last term of the formula (1) defines a continuous function  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  for  $[\xi, \xi + \epsilon]$ . For if we take a compact set  $K_0 = \cup \{x(t) : 0 \leq t \leq \xi\}$  and  $l = \xi + \epsilon$  in (H3), then we see that  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  is defined and bounded on  $[\xi, \xi + \epsilon]$  by (H2) and (H3), and that  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  is continuous on  $[0, \xi + \epsilon]$  by (H1), (H2) and (H4), because if  $x(t)$  is continuous on  $[0, \xi]$  and  $t, t + h \in [\xi, \xi + \epsilon]$  the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |x(t+h; \xi, \epsilon) - x(t; \xi, \epsilon)| \\ & \leq |f(t+h) - f(t)| + \int_0^\xi |g(t+h, s, x(s)) - g(t, s, x(s))| ds \\ & \quad + \int_\xi^{\xi+\epsilon} |g(t+h, s, x(s-\epsilon; \xi, \epsilon)) - g(t, s, x(s-\epsilon; \xi, \epsilon))| ds \end{aligned}$$

holds. Here we note that  $K_1 = \cup \{x(t; \xi, \epsilon) : -\epsilon \leq t \leq \xi + \epsilon\}$  is compact. It then follows that (1) can be used to extend  $x(t; \xi, \epsilon)$  as a continuous function over  $[-\epsilon, \xi + 2\epsilon]$ . Continuing in this fashion (1) serves to de-

fine  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$  over  $[0, \alpha]$ .

For each positive integer  $n$ , define  $x_n(t)$  by  $x(t) = x_n(t; 0, 1/n)$ . Here, we can give another proof of the existence theorem in [1] by using Carathéodory iterates  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Under the hypotheses (H1)–(H4), there exists an interval  $[0, \beta]$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , on which there is a continuous solution  $x(t)$  of (P).*

We shall only give a brief sketch of the proof. We can find an interval  $[0, \beta]$  and a compact set  $K \subset R^n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \overline{\cup \{K(t) : t \in [0, \beta]\}} \quad (\text{the closure in } R^n). \\ K(t) &= \{p \in R^n : |p - f(t)| < \delta\} \quad \text{and} \\ \delta &= \sup \left\{ \int_0^t |g(t, s, \phi(s))| ds : 0 \leq t \leq \beta, \phi \in C([0, \beta]; K) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then each approximate solution  $x_n(t)$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, \beta]$ . Moreover  $x_n(\cdot) \in D[0, \beta]$ , where the set  $D[0, \beta]$  is defined by

$$D[0, \beta] = \{x(\cdot) \in C[0, \beta] : x(t) \in K(t) \text{ for every } t \in [0, \beta]\}.$$

Hence we see from (H3) and (H4) that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is equi-continuous and uniformly bounded on  $[0, \beta]$ , and so  $\{x_n\}$  has a subsequence with a limit,  $x$  say. Then  $x(t)$  is a solution of (P) on  $[0, \beta]$ .

For any  $T > 0$  we put  $F^*(T) = \cup \{F(t) : 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ , where  $F(t)$  is the cross-section  $F(t) = \{p : p = x(t), \text{ where } x \text{ is some solution of (P)}\}$ . Let  $\alpha_M$  be the positive number  $\alpha_M = \sup \{\beta > 0 : F^*(\beta) \text{ is compact}\}$ . By (H5) we see that  $[0, \alpha_M)$  becomes a right maximal interval (for details, see [2]).

**Proposition 2.** *Let the Hypotheses (H1)–(H4) be satisfied, and let  $c$  be a fixed number in  $[0, \alpha_M)$ . Then for any  $r_0 > 0$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that an  $\varepsilon$ -Carathéodory iterate  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$  at  $\xi \in [0, c]$  for a fixed solution  $x(t)$  of (P) on  $[0, c]$  belongs to  $V(F^*(c), r_0)$  for all  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0]$  and every  $t, \xi \in [0, c]$ , where  $V(F^*(c), r_0)$  is an  $r_0$ -neighbourhood of  $F^*(c)$ .*

**Proof.** To prove this proposition assume the contrary. Then without loss of generality we can assume that there exists a sequence of Carathéodory iterates  $\{x(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  such that

- (I)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$  (monotonely decreasing) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \xi_0$
- (II)  $x(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) \in V(F^*(c), r_0)$  for  $t \in [0, t_n]$  and  $x(t_n; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) \in \partial V(F^*(c), r_0)$  (the boundary of  $V(F^*(c), r_0)$ )
- (III)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x(t_n; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = x_0 \in \partial V(F^*(c), r_0)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0$ .

We can verify that  $0 \leq \xi_0 < t_0 \leq c$ . Moreover in (I) and in (III), we can assume that the sequences  $\{\xi_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  converge monotonely (monotonely decreasing or monotonely increasing). Hence we can consider four cases.

*Case (A):*  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \xi_0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0$  (monotonely increasing). In this case we define a family of continuous functions  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  on  $[0, t_0]$

as follows :

$$\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) = \begin{cases} x(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) & \text{on } [0, t_n] \\ x(t_n; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) & \text{on } [t_n, t_0]. \end{cases}$$

Then by (II)  $\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)$  belongs to the closure  $\overline{V(F^*(c), r_0)}$  for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$ ,  $\xi_n$  and  $\epsilon_n > 0$ , and therefore the family  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)\}$  is uniformly bounded.

Let  $t \in [0, \xi_n]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{x}(t+h; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| &= |x(t+h) - x(t)| \\ &\leq \sup \{|x(t+h) - x(t)| : t \in [0, t_0]\} \\ &= I_0(h), \quad \text{if } t+h \in [0, \xi_n]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t \in [\xi_n, t_n]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{x}(t+h; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| &\leq |f(t+h) - f(t)| \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\xi_n} |g(t+h, s, x(s)) - g(t, s, x(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\xi_n}^{t+h} |g(t+h, s, x(s-\epsilon_n; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)) - g(t, s, x(s-\epsilon_n; \xi_n, \epsilon_n))| ds \\ &\leq \sup \{|f(t+h) - f(t)| : t \in J\} \\ &\quad + 2 \sup \left\{ \int_J |g(t+h, s, \phi(s)) - g(t, s, \phi(s))| ds ; \phi \in C(J; K) \right\} \\ &= I_1(h) + 2I_2(t, h), \end{aligned}$$

if  $t+h \in [\xi_n, t_n]$  where  $J = [0, t_0]$  and  $K = \overline{V(F^*(c), r_0)}$ . And let  $t \in [t_n, t_0]$ , then

$$|\bar{x}(t+h; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| = 0, \quad \text{if } t+h \in [t_n, t_0].$$

We shall now show that  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)\}$  is equi-continuous at each point  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Let  $t$  be fixed. Then we can verify the following inequalities as above :

$$|\bar{x}(t+h; \xi, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| \leq \begin{cases} I_0(h) & \text{for } t+h \in [0, \xi_n] \\ I_1(h) + 2I_2(t, h) & \text{for } t+h \in [\xi_n, t_n] \\ I_1(t_n - t) + 2I_2(t_n, t_n - t) & \text{for } t+h \in [t_n, t_0] \end{cases}$$

for all  $n$  satisfying  $t \in [0, \xi_n]$ ,

$$|\bar{x}(t+h; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| \leq \begin{cases} I_1(h) + 2I_2(t, h) & \text{for } t+h \in [0, t_n] \\ I_1(t_n - t) + 2I_2(t_n, t_n - t) & \text{for } t+h \in [t_n, t_0] \end{cases}$$

for all  $n$  satisfying  $t \in [\xi_n, t_n]$ , and

$$|\bar{x}(t+h; \xi_n, \epsilon_n) - \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \epsilon_n)| \leq \begin{cases} I_1(t_n - t) + 2I_2(t_n, t_n - t) & \text{for } t+h \in [0, t_n] \\ 0 & \text{for } t+h \in [t_n, t_0] \end{cases}$$

for all  $n$  satisfying  $t \in [t_n, t_0]$ . Since  $f$  and  $x$  are continuous on the compact interval  $[0, t_0]$ ,  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_0(h) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_1(h) = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_1(t_n - t) = 0$ ,

because  $0 \leq t_n - t \leq h$ . Hypothesis (H4) with  $J = [0, t_0]$  and  $K = \overline{V(F^*(c), r_0)}$  implies  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_2(t, h) = 0$ . Moreover, it follows from Hypothesis (H4) that

$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_2(t, h) = 0$  uniformly in  $t \in J$  by the standard argument on uniform continuity on compact sets. Thus we have  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} I_2(t_n, t_n - t) = 0$ . This

shows the equi-continuity of  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  at a point  $t \in J$ . Hence  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  is relatively compact in  $C(J; K)$  by Ascoli-Arzelà's Theorem. Thus we can find a subsequence  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_{n_k}, \varepsilon_{n_k})\} \subset \{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  and  $x_0(t) \in C(J; K)$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}(t; \xi_{n_k}, \varepsilon_{n_k}) = x_0(t)$  uniformly in  $t \in J$ . For notational convenience we shall write  $n$  for  $n_k$ . If we can show that

$$x_0(t) \text{ is a solution of (P) on } J = [0, t_0] \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}(t_0, \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = x_0 \in \partial V(F^*(c), r_0) \tag{2}$$

then we will have shown that  $x(t_0) = x_0$ . This result would contradict  $x_0 \in \partial V(F^*(c), r_0)$  and the proof of our Proposition 2 in Case (A) would be complete. (2) is trivial by the definition of  $\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)$ . We shall now show that  $x_0(t)$  is a solution of (P). By our construction, the relation

$$\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = f(t) + \int_0^t g(t, s, \bar{x}(s; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)) ds$$

holds on  $[0, t_n]$  and

$$\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = f(t_n) + \int_0^{t_n} g(t_n, s, \bar{x}(s; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)) ds$$

on  $[t_n, t_0]$ , where

$$\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = \begin{cases} \bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) & t \in [0, \xi_n] \\ \bar{x}(t - \varepsilon_n; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) & t \in [\xi_n, t_0]. \end{cases}$$

For any fixed  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , the condition  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0$  (monotonely increasing) implies that there exists  $N > 0$  such that

$$\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = f(t) + \int_0^t g(t, s, \bar{x}(s; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)) ds$$

for any  $n \geq N$ . Here we note that

$$|g(t, s, \bar{x}(s; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n))| \leq m(t, s) \quad (0 \leq s \leq t \leq t_0, n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where  $m(t, \cdot)$  is the measurable function in  $\mathcal{L}^1[0, t]$  stated in (H3) corresponding to  $l = t_0$  and  $K = \overline{V(F^*(c), r_0)}$ . By the equi-continuity of  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$ , we can verify that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{x}(t - \varepsilon_n, \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = x_0(t)$  for every  $t \in [0, t_0]$ . Therefore by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we have

$$x_0(t) = f(t) + \int_0^t g(t, s, x_0(s)) ds.$$

We can show that this equality holds also at  $t = t_0$ , because by the continuity of  $x_0(t)$  and (H4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_0(t_0) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} x_0(t) \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} f(t) + \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \int_0^t g(t, s, x(s)) ds \\ &= f(t_0) + \int_0^{t_0} g(t_0, s, x_0(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_0(t)$  is a solution of (P) on  $[0, t_0]$ . Thus (1) is verified.

*Case (B):*  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \xi_n = \xi_0$  (monotonely increasing) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = t_0$  (monotonely decreasing). We define in this case  $\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)$  on  $[0, t_0]$  by  $\bar{x}(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n) = x(t; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)$ . Then we can suppose that  $\{\bar{x}(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  is an equi-continuous family on  $[0, t_0]$  with a uniform limit  $x_0(t)$ . Then we can prove as before that  $x_0(t)$  satisfies (1). Moreover the equi-continuity of  $\{x(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)\}$  and (III) imply that (2) is also true in this case. Hence, Case (B) can be proved by contradiction as before.

Other cases can be demonstrated in similar fashion.

**Remark.** In the Proposition 2 above,  $\varepsilon_0$  depends on  $r_0$  and  $x(\cdot)$ . This result can be improved to that  $\varepsilon_0$  depends on  $r_0$  only, if we use instead of  $x(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)$  new Carathèodory iterates  $x_n(\cdot; \xi_n, \varepsilon_n)$  constructed from a sequence of solutions  $\{x_n(\cdot)\}$  with a uniform limit  $x(\cdot)$ .

About the continuity in  $\xi$  of  $x(t; \xi, \varepsilon)$ , we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2. Then for any solution  $x$  of (P) and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , Carathèodory iterates  $x(\cdot; \xi, \varepsilon)$  belong to  $C[0, \alpha_M)$  and  $x(\cdot; \xi, \varepsilon)$  is continuous in  $\xi \in [0, \alpha_M)$  with the compact-open topology of  $C[0, \alpha_M)$ .*

The proof of this theorem will be found in our forecoming note [3].

### References

- [1] R. K. Miller and G. R. Sell: Existence, uniqueness and continuity of solutions of integral equations. An addendum. *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.*, **87**, 281–286 (1970).
- [2] R. K. Miller: *Nonlinear Volterra Integral Equations*. Mathematical Lecture Note Series, Benjamin (1971).
- [3] S. Nakagiri and H. Murakami: Kneser's property of solution families of non-linear volterra integral equations (to appear).