

22. On an Asymptotic Property of Spectra of a Random Difference Operator

By Masatoshi FUKUSHIMA, Hideo NAGAI, and Shintaro NAKAO

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Comm. by Kôzaku YOSIDA, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1975)

We consider a discrete version of the Schrödinger operator with a random potential q :

$$(1) \quad (H^\omega u)(a) = -(H^0 u)(a) + q(a, \omega)u(a), \quad a \in Z^\nu.$$

Here u is a function on the ν -dimensional lattice space Z^ν , H^0 is a second order difference operator defined by

$$(2) \quad (H^0 u)(a) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} \{u(a_1, \dots, a_i - 1, \dots, a_\nu) - 2u(a) \\ + u(a_1, \dots, a_i + 1, \dots, a_\nu)\}, \quad a \in Z^\nu,$$

with a positive constant σ and $\{q(a, \omega); a \in Z^\nu\}$ is a family of random variables defined on a certain probability space (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) . The only assumption we make on random variables $\{q(a, \omega); a \in Z^\nu\}$ is that they form a stationary random field. Their common distribution function will be denoted by $F(x)$:

$$(3) \quad F(x) = P(q(0) \leq x), \quad x \in R^1.$$

Denote by $L^2(Z^\nu)$ the space of all square summable functions on Z^ν with inner product $(u, v) = \sum_{a \in Z^\nu} u(a)v(a)$. Since H^0 is a bounded symmetric operator on $L^2(Z^\nu)$, it is easy to see that the operator H^ω restricted to the space $C_0(Z^\nu)$ of functions with finite supports is essentially self-adjoint and that its self-adjoint extension A^ω can be described as follows:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(A^\omega) = \{u \in L^2(Z^\nu); H^\omega u \in L^2(Z^\nu)\} \\ A^\omega u = H^\omega u \quad u \in \mathcal{D}(A^\omega). \end{cases}$$

Let $\{E_\lambda^\omega; \lambda \in R^1\}$ be the resolution of the identity associated with A^ω : $A^\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_\lambda^\omega$.

It turns out that $(E_\lambda^\omega I_0, I_0)$ is measurable in $\omega \in \Omega$ where $I_0(a) = \delta_0(a)$ $a \in Z^\nu$. So we can define

$$(5) \quad \rho(\lambda) = \langle (E_\lambda^\omega I_0, I_0) \rangle$$

where $\langle \ \rangle$ is the expectation with respect to the probability measure P . ρ is called the spectral distribution function of the ensemble of operators $\{H^\omega; \omega \in \Omega\}$. Our present aim is to show that ρ and the distribution function F of $q(0)$ have the same tails asymptotically in the following sense.

Theorem. *The next two conditions are equivalent to each other for $\alpha > 1$ and $A > 0$.*

$$(6) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log F(x)}{|x|^\alpha} = -A.$$

$$(7) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log \rho(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^\alpha} = -A.$$

We can prove this theorem quite easily if we use the following two lemmas. We introduce a time continuous Markov process $\dot{M} = (\dot{Q}, \dot{B}, \dot{X}_t, \dot{P}_a)_{a \in Z^v}$ on Z^v whose generator is H^0 .

Lemma 1.

$$(8) \quad t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-tx} \rho(x) dx = \dot{E}_0 \left(\left\langle \exp \left(- \int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s) ds \right) \right\rangle; \dot{X}_t = 0 \right) (\leq \infty), \quad t > 0,$$

\dot{E}_0 being the expectation with respect to \dot{P}_0 .

Lemma 2. *Let $H(\lambda)$ be a non-decreasing function such that $H(-\infty) = 0$ and $G(t)$ be its Laplace transform:*

$$(9) \quad G(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dH(\lambda).$$

Then the following two statements are equivalent:

$$(10) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log H(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^\alpha} = -A \quad \alpha > 1, A > 0,$$

$$(11) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(t)}{t^\gamma} = B \quad \gamma > 1, B > 0.$$

Here α, γ, A and B are related by

$$\gamma = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \left(\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} \right) \quad B = (\alpha - 1) \alpha^{\alpha/(1-\alpha)} A^{1/(1-\alpha)} \quad (A = (\gamma - 1) \gamma^{\gamma/(1-\gamma)} B^{1/(1-\gamma)}).$$

Proof of Theorem. It holds that

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-\nu \sigma^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dF(\lambda) &\leq \dot{E}_0 \left(\left\langle \exp \left(- \int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s) ds \right) \right\rangle; \dot{X}_t = 0 \right) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dF(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

because we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\dot{E}_0 \left(\left\langle \exp \left(- \int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s) ds \right) \right\rangle; \dot{X}_t = 0 \right) \\ &\geq \dot{E}_0 \left(\langle \exp(-tq(0)) \rangle; \dot{X}_s = 0 \quad 0 \leq s \leq t \right) = e^{-\nu \sigma^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

and furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{E}_0 \left(\left\langle \exp \left(- \int_0^t q(\dot{X}_s) ds \right) \right\rangle; \dot{X}_t = 0 \right) &\leq \dot{E}_0 \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \langle e^{-tq(\dot{X}_s)} \rangle ds; \dot{X}_t = 0 \right) \\ &\leq \langle e^{-tq(0)} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

applying Jensen inequality to

$$\exp \left(- \int_0^t \{ tq(\dot{X}_s) \} \cdot \frac{1}{t} ds \right).$$

Combining (12) with Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(13) \quad e^{-\nu\sigma^2 t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda} dF(\lambda) \leq t \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda} \rho(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\lambda} dF(\lambda).$$

Our theorem is now immediate from (13) and Lemma 2. q.e.d.

The random difference operator $-H^0 + q$ has been introduced by one of the authors [1] where $q(a)$, $a \in Z^{\nu}$, are assumed to be independent identically distributed non-negative random variables. Our theorem with $\alpha=2$ corresponds to a theorem of L. A. Pastur [2] in which the Schrödinger operator $-A + q$ with $q(x)$, $x \in R^{\nu}$, being a stationary Gaussian random field is treated.

The reason why we call ρ the spectral distribution function is in that the following ergodic theorem holds. Let A be a rectangle containing the origin with sides parallel to axes. Let $\lambda_1^{\omega} \leq \lambda_2^{\omega} \leq \lambda_3^{\omega} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_N^{\omega}$ be the eigenvalues of the problem: $(H^{\omega}u)(a) = \lambda u(a)$, $a \in A - \partial A$; $u(a) = 0$, $a \in \partial A$. We put, for each λ , $\mathcal{N}^{\omega}(\lambda; A) = \sum_{\lambda_i^{\omega} \leq \lambda} 1$. Suppose the stationary random field $q(a)$, $a \in Z^{\nu}$, is metrically transitive, then there exists a set $\Omega_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ such that, for each $\omega \in \Omega_0$,

$$\lim_{\substack{L^{(i)}(A) \rightarrow \infty \\ i=1,2,\dots,\nu}} \frac{\mathcal{N}^{\omega}(\lambda; A)}{|A|} = \rho(\lambda)$$

at every continuity point λ of $\rho(\lambda)$. Here $L^{(i)}(A)$ (resp. $|A|$) is the side length (resp. volume) of A .

The proof of Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and the above ergodic theorem will be given elsewhere.

References

- [1] M. Fukushima: On the spectral distribution of a disordered system and the range of a random walk. *Osaka Journal of Math.*, **11**, 73-85 (1974).
- [2] L. A. Pastur: Spectra of random self-adjoint operator. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, **28**, 1-67 (1973).