

11. On the Acyclicity of Free Cobar Constructions. I

By Kazuhiko AOMOTO

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., April 12, 1977)

1. Let A be a group ring or an enveloping algebra of Lie algebras over Z with an augmentation $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow Z \rightarrow (0)$. Let (X, ∂) be a complex of left A -modules

$$(1.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow X_p \xrightarrow{\partial_p} X_{p-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{p-1}} \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} Z \rightarrow (0)$$

where each X_p is a free left A -module and each ∂_p is a left A -module homomorphism. Let A^f be a free associative algebra over Z such that we get an exact sequence

$$(1.2) \quad (0) \rightarrow L \xrightarrow{\iota_0} A^f \xrightarrow{\kappa_0} A \rightarrow (0)$$

where L denotes an ideal of A^f . First we assume

Assumption 1. i) *There exist two sequences (X^f, ∂^f) and $(L \otimes_{A^f} X^f, 1 \otimes \partial^f)$ of left A^f -modules on the augmentation ε^f ,*

$$(1.3) \quad (0) \rightarrow (A^f)^+ \rightarrow A^f \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^f} Z \rightarrow (0)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & (0) & & (0) & & (0) & & (0) \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \rightarrow & X_p & \xrightarrow{\partial_p} & X_{p-1} & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & X_1 & \xrightarrow{\partial_1} & A & \rightarrow Z \rightarrow (0) \\
 & \uparrow \kappa_p & & \uparrow \kappa_{p-1} & & \uparrow \kappa_1 & & \uparrow \kappa_0 \\
 \rightarrow & X_p^f & \xrightarrow{\partial_p^f} & X_{p-1}^f & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & X_1^f & \xrightarrow{\partial_1^f} & A^f & \rightarrow Z \rightarrow (0) \\
 & \uparrow \iota_p & & \uparrow \iota_{p-1} & & \uparrow \iota_1 & & \uparrow \iota_0 \\
 \rightarrow & L \otimes_{A^f} X_p^f & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial_p^f} & L \otimes_{A^f} X_{p-1}^f & \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow & L \otimes_{A^f} X_1^f & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \partial_1^f} & L & \\
 & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 & (0) & & (0) & & (0) & & (0)
 \end{array}$$

where each κ_p denotes an epimorphism as left modules and each X_p or X_p^f is isomorphic to the tensor product $A \otimes S_p$ or $A^f \otimes S_p$ respectively for a free abelian group S_p such that $L = X_2^f \otimes A^f$.

ii) ∂_p^f are all injective on X_p^f , $p \geq 1$.

Let \tilde{X}^f be the direct sum $\bigoplus_{p=2}^{\infty} X_p^f \oplus (A^f)^+$ and $T(\tilde{X}^f)$ be the tensor algebra of \tilde{X}^f denoted by A . A becomes a free graded algebra $\bigoplus_{s=0}^{\infty} A_s$, where A_s is spanned by the elements of the form $u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{m-1} u_m$, $u_j \in X_{p_j}^f$ ($p_j \geq 2$), $j \leq m-1$, and $u_m \in A^f$ such that $s = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (p_j - 1)$.

We introduce a lexicographic order into a basis of A : Let $\{u_p^\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma_p\}$ be an ordered basis of S_{p+1} , $p \geq 1$ and $\{u_\gamma^0, \gamma \in \Gamma_0\}$ be an ordered system

of generators of $(A')^+$. Then the elements of the form $u_{r_1}^{p_1} \cdot u_{r_2}^{p_2} \cdots u_{r_m}^{p_m}$, $\gamma_\nu \in \Gamma_{p_\nu}$, $p_\nu \geq 0$, form a basis of A .

Definition. We say that u_α^p , $\alpha \in \Gamma_p$ is greater than u_β^q , $\beta \in \Gamma_q$, if $p > q$ or $p = q$ and $\alpha > \beta$, and that an element $u_{\alpha_1}^{p_1} \cdots u_{\alpha_m}^{p_m}$ is greater than an element $u_{\beta_1}^{q_1} \cdots u_{\beta_m}^{q_m}$ if $p_m = q_m$, $\alpha_m = \beta_m$, \cdots , $p_{m-k+1} = q_{m-k+1}$, $\alpha_{m-k+1} = \beta_{m-k+1}$ and $p_{m-k} > q_{m-k}$, or $p_m = q_m$, $\alpha_m = \beta_m$, \cdots , $p_{m-k+1} = q_{m-k+1}$, $\alpha_{m-k+1} = \beta_{m-k+1}$, $p_{m-k} = q_{m-k}$ and $\alpha_{m-k} > \beta_{m-k}$ for a certain k . Let $A(p_1, p_2, \cdots, p_m)$ be the left A' -submodule of A generated by the elements $u_{r_1}^{p_1} \cdot u_{r_2}^{p_2} \cdots u_{r_m}^{p_m}$, $\gamma_\nu \in \Gamma_{p_\nu}$, $p_i \geq 1$ and by $\mathcal{A}(p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ be the direct sum of all the $A(q_1, \cdots, q_n)$ such that $(q_1, \cdots, q_n) < (p_1, \cdots, p_m)$. An element of $A(p_1, \cdots, p_m)$ will be called of type (p_1, \cdots, p_m) , and the type (p_1, \cdots, p_m) will be said to be higher than the type (q_1, \cdots, q_n) if $p_m = q_n$, \cdots , $p_{m-k+1} = q_{n-k+1}$ and $p_{m-k} > q_{n-k}$ for a certain k . We next assume

Assumption 2. *There exist boundary operators $\delta = \{\delta_s\}$, $\delta_s: A_s \rightarrow A_{s-1}$ such that*

- i) $\delta_s u^s \equiv \partial_{s+1} u^s \pmod{\mathcal{A}(s-1)}$, $u^s \in S_{s+1}$,
- ii) $\delta u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{m-1} u_m = \sum_{\nu=1}^m (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} \deg u_j} u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{\nu-1} \cdot \delta u_\nu \cdots u_{m-1} \cdot u_m$,
- iii) $\delta_1(A_1)$ coincides with L .

Then we have the complex (A, δ) such that δ preserves each $\mathcal{A}(p_1, \cdots, p_m)$. This complex will be called the "free cobar construction" of the complex (X, ∂) . By induction procedure with respect to the types we can prove the

Main Theorem. *Under Assumptions 1 and 2 we have*

$$(1.5) \quad H_p(A) \cong (0), p \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad H_0(A) \cong A, p = 0,$$

if and only if (1.1) is a free resolution of A .

References

- [1] J. F. Adams: On the cobar construction. Colloque de topologie algébrique, Louvain, 81-87 (1956).
- [2] K. T. Chen: Reduced bar construction on de Rham complexes. A Collection of Papers in Honor of S. Eilenberg. Acad. Press, 19-32 (1976).
- [3] —: Iterated integrals, fundamental groups and covering spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **206**, 83-98 (1975).
- [4] T. Nakamura: On cohomology operations. Japanese Jour. of Math., **33**, 93-145 (1963).