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Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\|\cdot\|$ the inner product and norm in $H$, respectively. Let $\phi^{t}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function on $H$ and put $D_{t}=\left\{v \in H ; \phi^{t}(v)<+\infty\right\}$ and $D\left(\partial \phi^{t}\right)=\left\{v \in H ; \partial \phi^{t}(v) \neq \emptyset\right\}$ for each $t \in[0, T]$, where $0<T<+\infty$ and $\partial \phi^{t}$ is the subdifferential of $\phi^{t}$. In this paper we consider the evolution equation
(E) $\quad u^{\prime}(t)+\partial \phi^{t}(u(t)) \ni f(t), \quad t \in[0, T]$,
where $u^{\prime}(t)=(d / d t) u(t)$ and $f$ is given in $L^{2}(0, T ; H)$.
In recent years the evolution equation (E) with time-dependent domain $D\left(\partial \phi^{t}\right)$ has been studied by Attouch-Bénilan-Damlamian-Picard [1], Brézis [3], Moreau [7], Kenmochi [5] and Yamada [11]. In the same direction we further study the equation (E).

For each $\lambda>0$ and $t \in[0, T]$, define

$$
\phi_{\lambda}^{t}(v)=\inf \left\{\|v-z\|^{2} /(2 \lambda)+\phi^{t}(z) ; z \in H\right\}, \quad v \in H
$$

According to [4; Chap. II], we see that

$$
\partial \phi_{\lambda}^{t}(v)=\left(v-J_{\lambda}^{t} v\right) / \lambda
$$

and

$$
\phi_{\lambda}^{t}(v)=\left\|v-J_{\lambda}^{t} v\right\|^{2} /(2 \lambda)+\phi^{t}\left(J_{\lambda}^{t} v\right)
$$

for each $v \in H$, where $J_{\lambda}^{t}=\left(I+\lambda \partial \phi^{t}\right)^{-1}$.
Now suppose that
(h1) there are positive constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\phi^{t}(z)+\alpha\|z\|+\beta$ $\geqq 0$ for any $t \in[0, T]$ and $z \in H$;
(h2) for each $\lambda>0$ and $z \in H$ there is a non-negative function $\rho$ $\in L^{1}(0, T)$ such that

$$
\phi_{\lambda}^{t}(z)-\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z) \leqq \int_{s}^{t} \rho(\tau) d \tau
$$

for $s, t \in[0, T]$ with $s \leqq t$;
(h3) (i) for each $r \geqq 0$, there are a number $a_{r} \in[0,1)$ and functions $b_{r}, c_{r} \in L^{1}(0, T)$ such that $(d / d t) \phi_{2}^{t}(z) \leqq a_{r}\left\|\partial \phi_{2}^{t}(z)\right\|^{2}+b_{r}(t)\left|\phi_{2}^{t}(z)\right|+c_{r}(t)$ a.e. on $[0, T]$ for $z \in H$ with $\|z\| \leqq r$ and $\lambda \in(0,1]$; and (ii) there are an $H$-valued function $h$ on $[0, T]$ and a partition $\left\{0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots<t_{N}\right.$ $=T\}$ of $[0, T]$ such that $\phi^{t}(h(t)) \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and the restriction of $h$ to ( $t_{k-1}, t_{k}$ ) belongs to $W^{1,1}\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k} ; H\right)$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, N$.

Theorem. For each $u_{0} \in \bar{D}_{0}$ and $f \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ there exists a
unique function $u \in C([0, T] ; H)$ satisfying that $u(0)=u_{0}, \sqrt{t} u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T$; $H)$ and $u^{\prime}(t)+\partial \phi^{t}(u(t)) \ni f(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Furthermore $u(t) \in D_{t}$ for all $t \in(0, T]$ and the function $t \rightarrow t \phi^{t}(u(t))$ is bounded on $(0, T]$. In particular, if $u_{0} \in D_{0}$, then $u^{\prime} \in L^{2}(0, T ; H)$ and $t \rightarrow \phi^{t}(u(t))$ is bounded on $[0, T]$.

This theorem is able to be obtained in a way quite similar to that in [1] (for details, see [9]).

Remark 1. When (h3) is replaced by the following (h3)', the same conclusion in the theorem remains valid:
(h3)' There are a number $a \in\left[0,1\right.$ ) and functions $b, c \in L^{1}(0, T)$ such that

$$
(d / d t) \phi_{\lambda}^{t}(z) \leqq a\left\|\partial \phi_{\lambda}^{t}(z)\right\|^{2}+b(t)\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{t}(z)\right|+\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right) c(t) \quad \text { a.e. on }[0, T]
$$

for every $z \in H$ and $\lambda \in(0,1]$; in this case we do not require (ii) of (h3).
The following proposition gives a useful condition under which (h1), (h2) and (h3) hold.

Proposition. Suppose that for each $r \geqq 0$ there are real-valued functions $\alpha_{r} \in W^{1,2}(0, T)$ and $\beta_{r} \in W^{1,1}(0, T)$ with the following property: for each $s, t \in[0, T]$ with $s \leqq t$ and $v \in D_{s}$ with $\|v\| \leqq r$ there exists $w \in D_{t}$ such that

$$
\|w-v\| \leqq\left|\alpha_{r}(t)-\alpha_{r}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi^{s}(v)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi^{t}(w)-\phi^{s}(v) \leqq\left|\beta_{r}(t)-\beta_{r}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi^{s}(v)\right|\right) .
$$

Then (h1), (h2) and (h3) are satisfied.
First, we refer to [2; Lemma 1] (or [5; Lemma 3.2]) for the verification of (h1). Next, we note that for each $r \geqq 0$ there is $r_{1} \geqq 0$ such that $\left\|J_{\lambda}^{t} z\right\| \leqq r_{1}$ for all $t \in[0, T], \lambda \in(0,1]$ and $z \in H$ with $\|z\| \leqq r$. Let $z \in H$ with $\|z\| \leqq r$ and $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Then for $s, t \in[0, T]$ with $s \leqq t$, we find by assumption $w \in D_{t}$ so that

$$
\left\|w-J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right\| \leqq\left|\alpha_{r_{1}}(t)-\alpha_{r_{1}}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi^{t}(w)-\phi^{s}\left(J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right) \leqq\left|\beta_{r_{1}}(t)-\beta_{r_{1}}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\lambda}^{t}(z)-\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z) \\
& \leqq\|z-w\|^{2} /(2 \lambda)+\phi^{t}(w)-\left\|z-J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right\|^{2} /(2 \lambda)-\phi^{s}\left(J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right) \\
& \leqq\left\|w-J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right\| \cdot\left\|z-J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right\| / \lambda+\phi^{t}(w)-\phi^{s}\left(J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right)+\left\|w-J_{\lambda}^{s} z\right\|^{2} /(2 \lambda) \\
& \leqq\left|\alpha_{r_{1}}(t)-\alpha_{r_{1}}(s)\right| \cdot\left\|\partial \phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right\|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|\beta_{r_{1}}(t)-\beta_{r_{1}}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|\right) \\
& \quad+\left|\alpha_{r_{1}}(t)-\alpha_{r_{1}}(s)\right|^{2}\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} /(2 \lambda),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $(d / d \mathrm{~s}) \phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z) \leqq\left|\alpha_{r_{1}}^{\prime}(s)\right| \cdot\left\|\partial \phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right\|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|^{1 / 2}\right)+\left|\beta_{r_{1}}^{\prime}(s)\right|\left(1+\left|\phi_{\lambda}^{s}(z)\right|\right)$ for a.e. $s \in[0, T]$. Thus (i) of (h3) is satisfied with (h2). To verify (ii) of (h3) we observe that there are $R>0$ and a set $\left\{z_{t} \in D_{t} ; 0 \leqq t \leqq T\right\}$ such that $\left\|z_{t}\right\| \leqq R$ and $\left|\phi^{t}\left(z_{t}\right)\right| \leqq R$ for all $t \in[0, T]$. Now, take $r>R+1$, put $M=R+\alpha r+\beta+1(\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants such as in (h1)) and choose $\eta>0$
so that

$$
\left\{1+M \exp \left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\beta_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau\right)\right\} \int_{I(t)}\left|\alpha_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau \leqq 1
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$, where $I(t)=[t, t(\eta)]$ with $t(\eta)=\min \{t+\eta, T\}$. Then for each $t \in[0, T]$ there is $h_{t} \in W^{1,2}(\stackrel{\circ}{I}(t) ; H)$ satisfying that $s \rightarrow \phi^{s}\left(h_{t}(s)\right)$ is bounded on $I_{t}$; in fact, for each partition $\Delta_{n}=\left\{t=s_{0}^{n}<s_{1}^{n}<\cdots<s_{N(n)}^{n}\right.$ $=t(\eta)\}$ with $s_{k}^{n}=t+k\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$ and $\left|\Delta_{n}\right|=(t(\eta)-t) / 2^{n}$, we can build by induction a sequence $\left\{v_{k}^{n}\right\}$ such that $v_{0}^{n}=z_{t},\left\|v_{k}^{n}\right\| \leqq r$,

$$
\left\|v_{k+1}^{n}-v_{k}^{n}\right\| \leqq\left\{1+M \exp \left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\beta_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau\right)\right\} \int_{s_{k}^{n}}^{s_{k+1}^{n}}\left|\alpha_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau
$$

and

$$
\phi^{s_{k+1}^{n}}\left(v_{k+1}^{n}\right) \leqq \phi^{n_{k}^{n}}\left(v_{k}^{n}\right)+M \exp \left(\int_{0}^{T}\left|\beta_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau\right) \int_{s_{k}^{n}}^{s_{k+1}^{n}}\left|\alpha_{r}^{\prime}\right| d \tau
$$

for $k=0,1, \cdots, N(n)-1$. Besides, putting $v_{n}(s)=v_{k}^{n}$ and $\nabla_{n} v_{n}(s)$ $=\left(v_{k}^{n}-v_{k+1}^{n}\right) /\left|\Delta_{n}\right|$ for $s \in\left(s_{k}^{n}, s_{k+1}^{n}\right]$, we are able to show that suitable subsequences of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\nabla_{n} v_{n}\right\}$ converge weakly to some functions $h_{t}$ and $\bar{h}_{t}$ in $L^{2}(\dot{I}(t) ; H)$, respectively, and that $s \rightarrow \phi^{s}\left(h_{t}(s)\right)$ is bounded on $I(t)$. Since $\bar{h}_{t}=h_{t}^{\prime}$ clearly, this function $h_{t}$ is the desired one. Making use of the family $\left\{h_{t} ; 0 \leqq t \leqq T\right\}$ we easily obtain an $H$-valued function $h$ and a partition of $[0, T]$ required in (ii) of (h3).

Remark 2. Our hypothesis in the proposition seems to be checked more easily than that imposed by Yamada [11]. Also, compare the hypotheses by Watanabe [10], Péralba [8], Attouch-Damlamian [2], Maruo [6] and Kenmochi [5] with ours.

Remark 3. The above results were suggested by H. Brézis.
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