## 67. Convergence and Approximation of Integral Solutions of Nonlinear Evolution Equations

## By Michiaki WATANABE

Faculty of General Education, Niigata University (Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Nov. 13, 1978)

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space with norm  $|\cdot|$ . A subset A of  $X \times X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -accretive if  $\tau(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2) + \omega |x_1 - x_2|$  is non-negative for every  $[x_i, y_i] \in A$ , i=1, 2, where  $\tau(x, y) = \inf_{\lambda>0} \lambda^{-1}(|x + \lambda y| - |x|)$  for  $x, y \in X$ .

Consider the following Cauchy problem

(1) 
$$du/dt + Au \ni 0, \quad 0 \le t < T, \quad u(0) = x.$$

According to Bénilan [1], a continuous function  $u:[0,T)\to X$  is called an integral solution of type  $\omega$  (for simplicity an  $\omega$ -integral solution) of (1), if it satisfies u(0)=x and

(2) 
$$e^{-\omega t} |u(t)-u| - e^{-\omega s} |u(s)-u| \leq \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\omega \sigma} \tau(u(\sigma)-u, -v) d\sigma$$
 for every  $[u, v] \in A$  and  $0 \leq s \leq t < T$ .

Concerning the existence of an  $\omega$ -integral solution of (1) in a general Banach space, sufficient conditions were given by Crandall and Liggett [4], Bénilan [1], Y. Kobayashi [5] and Pierre [8]. Some of them were then applied by Brezis and Pazy [3], Kurtz [6], Miyadera and Kobayashi [7] and others to obtain convergence and approximation theorems for the semigroups corresponding to  $\omega$ -integral solutions.

In this paper we deal with some problems of similar nature, but in a slightly different manner. Our method does not depend upon any theorem on generation of nonlinear semigroups. Instead, we make use of a necessary condition for an X-valued function to be an  $\omega$ -integral solution of (1) (Lemma 1). Assuming the existence of an  $\omega$ -integral solution u(t) of (1), we estimate the error of it, the difference between u(t) and its approximation throughout. Our results, the statements of which appear somewhat complicated, still include most of the results obtained by the previous authors.

2. The main theorems. Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of subsets  $\subset X \times X$ . By  $\operatorname{Lim} A_n \supset A$  we mean that  $A_n$  converge to A in the sense of Kurtz [6], that is, for every  $[u,v] \in A$  there exist  $[u_n,v_n] \in A_n$  such that  $\operatorname{lim} (|u_n-u|+|v_n-v|)=0$ .

We first study a relation between the convergence of  $\omega_n$ -integral solutions  $u_n(t)$  of the Cauchy problems

$$(1)_n$$
  $du/dt + A_n u \ni 0$ ,  $0 \le t < T$ ,  $u(0) = x_n$ 

and the convergence of  $A_n$  and  $x_n$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $\omega_n$ -accretive sets  $\subset X \times X$ . Suppose that  $\operatorname{Lim} A_n \supset A$ ,  $\operatorname{lim} x_n = x$  and  $\omega_n \leq \omega$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

If  $\omega_n$ -integral solutions  $u_n(t)$  of  $(1)_n$  and an  $\omega$ -integral solution u(t) of (1) exist, then it holds that

 $\lim \sup |u_n(t) - u(t)|$ 

$$\leq 2e^{\omega t} |x - u| + 2 \prod_{k=1}^{m} (1 - \lambda_k \omega_0)^{-1} \Big\{ |x_0 - u| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_k + \lambda_k y_k - x_{k-1}| + e^{\omega_0 t} \Big( \Big( t - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Big)^2 + \lambda t \Big)^{1/2} |v| \Big\}$$

for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\{[x_k, y_k]\}_{k=1}^m \subset A$ ,  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset (0, 1/\omega_0)$ ;  $[u, v] \in A$  and  $t \in [0, T)$ , where  $\omega_0 = \text{Max}(0, \omega)$  and  $\lambda = \text{Max}_{1 \le k \le m} \lambda_k$ .

Remark. This theorem implies that  $u_n(t)$  converge to u(t) uniformly on [0, T) if x belongs to  $\overline{D(A)}$  and A generates a semigroup in the sense of [4] or [5]. In [3] and [7] not only A but  $A_n$  were assumed to generate semigroups.

Our second theorem extends a result in [7].

Theorem 2. Let  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of  $\omega_n$ -accretive sets  $\subset X \times X$  and  $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of lipschitz operators:  $\overline{D(A_n)} \to X$  with constants  $M_n \geq 1$  satisfying  $R(I + h_n A_n) \supset U_n \overline{D(A_n)}$  for a  $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $h_n \downarrow 0$ . Suppose that  $\text{Lim}(A_n + (I - U_n)/h_n) \supset A$ ,  $\overline{D(A_n)} \ni x_n \to x$  and  $\text{Max}(0, \omega_n) + (M_n - 1)/h_n \leq \omega$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ . If an  $\omega$ -integral solution u(t) of (1) exists, then

 $\limsup |u(t)-\{(I+h_nA_n)^{-1}U_n\}^{\lceil t/h_n\rceil}x_n|$ 

$$\leq 2e^{\omega t} |x - u| + 2 \prod_{k=1}^{m} (1 - \lambda_k \omega)^{-1} \Big\{ |x_0 - u| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_k + \lambda_k y_k - x_{k-1}| \\ + e^{\omega t} \Big( \Big( t - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Big)^2 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k + \lambda t \Big)^{1/2} |v| \Big\}$$

holds for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\{[x_k, y_k]\}_{k=1}^m \subset A$ ,  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset (0, 1/\omega)$ ;  $[u, v] \in A$  and  $t \in [0, T)$ , where  $\lambda = \max_{1 \le k \le m} \lambda_k$ .

Corollary. Let A be an  $\omega$ -accretive set  $\subset X \times X$  and F(h), h > 0 be a family of contractions:  $\overline{D(A)} \to X$  satisfying  $R(I+hA) \supset F(h)\overline{D(A)}$  for small h > 0. Suppose that B is single valued with  $D(B) \supset D(A)$  and that  $\lim_{h \downarrow 0} h^{-1}(I-F(h))u = Bu$  for every  $u \in D(A)$ . If A+B generates a semigroup in the sense of Kobayashi for example, then the unique  $\omega$ -integral solution  $e^{-\iota(A+B)}x$  of  $du/dt + (A+B)u \ni 0$ ,  $0 \le t < \infty$ ,  $u(0) = x \in \overline{D(A)}$  is approximated as

$$e^{-t(A+B)}x=\lim_{h\downarrow 0} \{(I+hA)^{-1}F(h)\}^{\lceil t/h\rceil}x,$$

where the limit is uniform on any bounded t-intervals  $\subset [0, \infty)$ .

3. The proof of the results. Here are our key lemmas. Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Lemma 1, and Theorem 2 follows from Lemmas 1 and 2. The proof is quite similar to that in [7]. Therefore, in this section we confine ourselves to proving the lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let  $A \subset X \times X$  be  $\omega$ -accretive. If an  $\omega$ -integral solution u(t) of (1) exists, then it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |u(t)-x_{m}| &\leq e^{\omega t} |x-u| + \prod_{k=1}^{m} (1-\lambda_{k}\omega_{0})^{-1} \\ &\times \left\{ |x_{0}-u| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_{k}+\lambda_{k} y_{k}-x_{k-1}| + e^{\omega_{0}t} \left( \left(t-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k}\right)^{2} + \lambda t \right)^{1/2} |v| \right\} \\ for \ any \ x_{0} &\in X, \ \{ [x_{k}, y_{k}] \}_{k=1}^{m} \subset A, \ \{ \lambda_{k} \}_{k=1}^{m} \subset (0, 1/\omega_{0}); \ [u, v] \in A \ \ and \ \ t \in [0, T). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. This lemma is a generalization of the approximation theorem by Brezis and Pazy [2]. Moreover, (3) suggests a direct and simple proof of uniqueness and continuous dependance on the initial value of the  $\omega$ -integral solution of (1) under a certain restriction on A. The well-known Bénilan's method was rather technical and complicated. It is also to be noted that this lemma remains true even if the  $\omega$ -accretiveness of A is replaced by the condition that  $\tau(x_1-x_2,y_1)+|y_2|+\omega|x_1-x_2|$  is non-negative for  $[x_i,y_i]\in A$ , i=1,2.

**Proof.** We will show that u(t) satisfies

$$(4) |u(t)-u| \leq e^{\omega t} |x-u| + e^{\omega_0 t} t |v|,$$

$$e^{-\omega_i t} |u(t)-x_i| \leq |x-u| + \sum_{k=1}^{i} (1-\lambda_k \omega_0)^{-1}$$

$$(5) \times \left\{ |x_0-u| + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \lambda_k |v| + \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} |x_k + \lambda_k y_k - x_{k-1}| + e^{-\omega_i t} |x_i + \lambda_i y_i - x_{i-1}| \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \int_0^t e^{-\omega_i \sigma} |u(\sigma) - x_{i-1}| d\sigma, i = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $\omega_i = \omega - 1/\lambda_i$ . If (4) and (5) are true, (3) can easily be proved by induction with the aid of a simple inequality

$$C+rac{1}{h}\int_0^t e^{\sigma/h}((\sigma-C+h)^2+\lambda\sigma)^{1/2}d\sigma\!\leq\! e^{t/h}((t-C)^2+\lambda t)^{1/2}, \qquad t\!\geq\! 0$$

for every h,  $\lambda$  and C with  $0 \le h \le C$ ,  $0 \le h \le \lambda$ .

Now, (4) is clear from (2). Dealing with (2) again, we obtain  $e^{-\omega t} |u(t)-u| - e^{-\omega s} |u(s)-u|$ 

$$\leq -\frac{1}{h} \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\omega \sigma} |u(\sigma) - u| d\sigma + \frac{1}{h} \int_{s}^{t} e^{-\omega \sigma} \tau(u(\sigma) - u, u(\sigma) - u - hv) d\sigma,$$

which is, as is easily verified, equivalent to

$$e^{-(\omega-1/h)t}|u(t)-u|-e^{-(\omega-1/h)s}|u(s)-u|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{h}\int_{s}^{t}e^{-(\omega-1/h)\sigma}\tau(u(\sigma)-u,u(\sigma)-u-hv)d\sigma \qquad (h>0).$$

Applying this inequality, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} e^{-\omega_{i}t} \left| u(t) - x_{i} \right| \leq \left| x - x_{i} \right| + (1 - \lambda_{i}\omega)^{-1} \left| x_{i} + \lambda_{i}y_{i} - x_{i-1} \right| (e^{-\omega_{i}t} - 1) \\ + \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}} \int_{0}^{t} e^{-\omega_{i}\sigma} \left| u(\sigma) - x_{i-1} \right| d\sigma. \end{array}$$

The  $\omega$ -accretiveness of A implies  $(1-\omega_i\lambda)|x_i-u| \leq |x_{i-1}-u| + \lambda_i|v| + |x_i+\lambda_i y_i - x_{i-1}|$  and therefore

$$|x_i - x| \leq |x - u| + \prod_{k=1}^{i} (1 - \lambda_k \omega_0)^{-1}$$

(7) 
$$\times \left\{ |x_0 - u| + \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k |v| + \sum_{k=1}^i |x_k + \lambda_k y_k - x_{i-1}| \right\}.$$

Combining (6) and (7), we have (5).

Q.E.D.

Lemma 2. Let  $A \subset X \times X$  be  $\omega$ -accretive and U be a lipschitz operator:  $\overline{D(A)} \to X$  with constant  $M \ge 1$  satisfying  $R(I + hA) \supset U\overline{D(A)}$  for  $h \in (0, 1/\omega_0)$ .

Then it holds that

$$|x_m - \{(I + hA)^{-1}U\}^r x| \leq (1 - h\omega_0)^{-r} M^r |x - u|$$

(8) 
$$+ \prod_{k=1}^{m} (1 - \lambda_k \omega_1)^{-1} \Big\{ |x_0 - u| + \sum_{k=1}^{m} |x_k + \lambda_k (y_k + \frac{I - U}{h} x_k) - x_{k-1}| \\ + (1 - h\omega_0)^{-r} M^r \Big( \Big( rh - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k \Big)^2 + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k + rh^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big| v + \frac{I - U}{h} u \Big| \Big\},$$

$$r = 0, 1, \dots$$

for any  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $\{[x_k, y_k]\}_{k=1}^m \subset A$ ,  $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset (0, 1/\omega_0)$ ;  $[u, v] \in A$  and  $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ , where  $\omega_1 = \operatorname{Max}(0, \omega + (M-1)/h)$  and  $\lambda = \operatorname{Max}_{1 \leq k \leq m} \lambda_k$ .

Proof. In view of

$$\begin{split} \lambda^{-1}(|x_1+\lambda(y_1+((I-U)/h)x_1)-x_2|-|x_1-x_2|)\\ & \geq \tau(x_1-x_2,\,y_1+((I-U)/h)x_1)\\ & \geq h^{-1}\,|x_1-x_2|-\tau(x_1-x_2,\,-y_2-h^{-1}x_2+h^{-1}Ux_1)+\tau(x_1-x_2,\,y_1-y_2),\\ \text{we find that} \end{split}$$

 $(\lambda + h - \omega \lambda h) |x_1 - x_2| \le \lambda |x_2 + h y_2 - U x_1| + h |x_1 + \lambda (y_1 + ((I - U)/h)x_1) - x_2|$  for every  $[x_1, y_1]$  and  $[x_2, y_2] \in A$ . Making use of this, we obtain

$$(9) \quad (\lambda_{i}+h-\omega\lambda_{i}h) |x_{i}-\{I+hA)^{-1}U\}^{j}x| \\ \leq \lambda_{i}M |x_{i}-\{(I+hA)^{-1}U\}^{j-1}x|+h |x_{i-1}-\{(I+hA)^{-1}U\}^{j}x| \\ +h |x_{i}+\lambda_{i}(y_{i}+((I-U)/h)x_{i})-x_{i-1}|, \\ (10) \quad |x_{0}-\{(I+hA)^{-1}U\}^{j}x| \leq (1-h\omega_{0})^{-j}M^{j}|x-u|+|x_{0}-u|$$

On the other hand, recalling the proof of (7), we have

(11) 
$$|x_{i}-x| \leq |x-u| + \prod_{k=1}^{i} (1-\lambda_{k}\omega_{1})^{-1} \left\{ |x_{0}-u| + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \lambda_{k}| v + \frac{I-U}{h} u \right| + \sum_{k=1}^{i} \left| x_{k} + \lambda_{k} \left( y_{k} + \frac{I-U}{h} x_{k} \right) - x_{k-1} \right| \right\}.$$

The inequalities (10) and (11) show that (8) is valid for (m, r) = (0, j), (i, 0) respectively. By means of (9) the lemma can be proved by induction as in [5]. Q.E.D.

 $+(1-h\omega_0)^{-j}M^{j}jh |v+((I-U)/h)u|.$ 

Example due to Kobayashi. Kobayashi informed us, among other things, of the following meaningful example concerning Theorem 1:

Let X be the real line and consider the subsets  $A = \{[x,1]: x \ge 0\}^{\cup} \{[0,0]\}$  and  $A_n = \{[x,1]: x \ge 0\}^{\cup} \{[0,1/n]\}$ ,  $n=1,2,\cdots$  of  $X \times X$ . Clearly A and  $A_n$  are accretive (0-accretive) and  $\operatorname{Lim} A_n \supset A$  holds. The Cauchy problems  $(1)_n$  with u(0) = 0 admit 0-integral solutions  $u_n(t) = 0$  and  $v_n(t) = -t/n$ , and  $A_n$  fail to generate semigroups of any type ever known.

Nevertheless, both  $u_n(t)$  and  $v_n(t)$  surely converge to the unique

strong solution u(t) = 0 of (1) with the initial value 0 uniformly on [0, T). The author would like to thank Prof. Y. Kobayashi for his kind advices.

## References

- [1] Ph. Bénilan: Equations d'évolution dans un espace de Banach quelconque et application. Thèse Orsay (1972).
- [2] H. Brezis and A. Pazy: Accretive sets and differential equations in Banach spaces. Israel J. Math., 8, 367-383 (1970).
- [3] —: Convergence and approximation of semigroups of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces. J. Func. Anal., 9, 63-74 (1972).
- [4] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett: Generation of semigroups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces. Amer. J. Math., 93, 265-298 (1971).
- [5] Y. Kobayashi: Difference approximation of Cauchy problems for quasidissipative operators and generation of nonlinear semigroups. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27, 640-665 (1975).
- [6] T. G. Kurtz: Convergence of sequences of semigroups of nonlinear operators with an application to Gas kinetics. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 186, 259-272 (1973).
- [7] I. Miyadera and Y. Kobayashi: Convergence and Approximation of Nonlinear Semi-Groups. Japan-France Seminar on Functional Analysis and Numerical Analysis (1976).
- [8] M. Pierre: Génération et perturbations de semi-groupes de contractions nonlinéaires. Thèse (1976).