79. Asymptotic Behavior of Iterates of Nonexpansive Mappings in Banach Spaces. II

By Isao Miyadera

Department of Mathematics, Waseda University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1978)

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space and let X^* be the dual space of X. The value of $x^* \in X^*$ at $x \in X$ will be denoted by (x, x^*) . The *duality mapping* F (multi-valued) from X into X^* is defined by

 $F(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : (x, x^*) = ||x||^2 \text{ and } ||x^*|| = ||x||\}$ for $x \in X$. We say that X is smooth, if $\lim_{t\to 0} t^{-1}(||x+ty||-||x||)$ exists for every x and y with ||x|| = ||y|| = 1. F is single-valued if and only if X is smooth. The duality mapping F of a smooth Banach space X is said to be weakly continuous at 0 if w- $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = 0$ in X implies that $\{F(x_n)\}$ converges weakly* to 0 in X*, where $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ denotes the weak limit of $\{x_n\}$. It is easy to see that Hilbert space and (l^p) , 1 ,have this property.

Throughout the rest of this paper it is assumed that X is a smooth and uniformly convex real Banach space having the duality mapping F which is weakly continuous at 0, and C is a nonempty closed convex subset of X. By $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ we mean that T is a nonexpansive mapping from C into itself, i.e., $T: C \rightarrow C$ satisfies $||Tx - Ty|| \leq ||x - y||$ for all $x, y \in C$. The set of fixed-points of T will be denoted by $\mathcal{F}(T)$.

In [5], Z. Opial proved the following: Let $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ and $x \in C$. If $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \phi$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||T^{n+1} - T^n x|| = 0$, then the sequence $\{T^n x\}$ is weakly convergent to an elemet of $\mathcal{F}(T)$. (Let F_{μ} be a duality mapping of Xinto X^* with gauge function μ (see [5]). We note here that F_{μ} is weakly continuous at 0 if and only if F is weakly continuous at 0.) The purpose of this note is to prove the following

Theorem. Let $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ and $x \in C$. Then $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \phi$ and $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^{n+1}x - T^nx) = 0$. Moreover $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ if the weak limit exists.

In the case that X is a Hilbert space, the theorem has been obtained by \mathbf{R} . E. Bruck [2].

2. Proof of Theorem. In the preceding paper [4] the author proved the following: Let $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ and $x \in C$. Then $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \phi$ and $\omega_w(x) \subset \mathcal{F}(T)$, where $\omega_w(x)$ denotes the set of weak subsequential limits of $\{T^n x\}$. Therefore to prove Theorem it suffices to show the following No. 10]

Proposition. Let $T \in Cont(C)$ and $x \in C$. If

(1) $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} (T^{n+1}x-T^nx)=0,$ then $\omega_w(x)\subset \mathcal{F}(T).$

Recall that X is called uniformly convex if the modulus of convexity

 $\delta(\varepsilon) = \inf \{1 - \|x + y\|/2 : \|x\| \le 1, \|y\| \le 1 \text{ and } \|x - y\| \ge \varepsilon\}$ is positive for every ε with $0 < \varepsilon \le 2$. Let $\alpha > 0$. It is easily seen that for every ε with $0 < \varepsilon \le 2\alpha$

(2) $||x|| \leq \alpha$, $||y|| \leq \alpha$ and $||x-y|| \geq \varepsilon$ imply $||x+y||/2 \leq \alpha(1-\delta(\varepsilon/\alpha))$.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a bounded sequence in C. Then there exists a unique point $c \in C$ such that

 $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - c\| \le \limsup_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x\| \quad \text{for } x \in C \setminus \{c\}.$ (See [3].) The point c is called the *asymptotic center* of $\{x_n\}$ with respect to C. By the weak continuity of F at 0 we have the following (see [4, Lemma (b)]):

(3) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in C. If $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n$ exists, then the weak limit is the asymptotic center of $\{x_n\}$ with respect to C.

Proof of Proposition. Let $u \in \omega_w(x)$. Then there is a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $w-\lim_{k\to\infty} T^{n_k}x=u$. By (1) we have

 $w-\lim_{k\to\infty} T^{n_k+m}x=u$ for every nonnegative integer m. It follows from (3) that for every $m\geq 0$, u is the asymptotic center of $\{T^{n_k+m}x; k=1, 2, \cdots\}$ with respect to C. Consequently

(4) $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \|T^{n_k+m}x-u\| \leq \limsup_{k\to\infty} \|T^{n_k+m}x-z\|$ for $z \in C$ and $m=0, 1, \cdots$.

 \mathbf{Put}

 $r_m = \limsup_{k \to \infty} ||T^{n_k + m}x - u||$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Then by (4) and $T \in \text{Cont}(C)$ we have

$$r_{m+1} = \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m + 1} x - u\| \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m + 1} x - Tu\|$$

 $\leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m} x - u\| = r_m \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$

Therefore $\{r_m\}$ is convergent to $r = \inf \{r_m : m \ge 0\}$.

We now prove that u is a fixed-point of T. First, let r=0. Since $|(u-Tu, x^*)| \leq 2 ||x^*|| ||T^{n_k+m}x - u|| + |(T^{n_k+m}x - T^{n_k+m+1}x, x^*)|$ for $x^* \in X^*$,

it follows from (1) that

 $|(u-Tu, x^*)| \leq 2 \|x^*\| \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m}x - u\| = 2 \|x^*\| r_m$ for every $x^* \in X^*$ and $m \geq 0$. By $\lim_{m \to \infty} r_m = r = 0$ we have

 $(u-Tu, x^*)=0$ for every $x^* \in X^*$, i.e., Tu=u.

Next, let r > 0. We use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem in [1]. To prove $u \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ it suffices to show that $||T^p u - u|| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose, for contradiction, that the sequence $\{||T^p u - u||\}$ does not converge to 0. Then there is a d > 0 and a subsequence $\{p_j\}$ of $\{p\}$ such that $r \ge d$ and $||T^{p_j}u - u|| \ge d$ for all $j \ge 1$. We can choose an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $(r + \varepsilon_0)[1 - \delta(d/(r + \varepsilon_0))] < r$. By $r = \lim_{m \to \infty} r_m$ there exists a positive integer m_0 such that

 $\limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m} x - u\| = r_m < r + \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } m \ge m_0.$

Therefore for every $m \ge m_0$ there exists a positive integer k(m) such that

(5) $||T^{n_k+m}x-u|| \leq r+\varepsilon_0$ for every $k \geq k(m)$.

Take an integer j > 0 with $p_j \ge m_0$. By (5) we have that

 $\|T^{p_j}u - T^{n_k+2p_j}x\| \leq \|u - T^{n_k+p_j}x\| \leq r + \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } k \geq k(p_j)$

and

 $\begin{aligned} & \|u - T^{n_k + 2p_j} x\| < r + \varepsilon_0 \quad \text{for } k \ge k(2p_j). \\ \text{Since } \|(T^{p_j} u - T^{n_k + 2p_j} x) - (u - T^{n_k + 2p_j} x)\| = \|T^{p_j} u - u\| \ge d, \text{ it follows from} \\ (2) \text{ that } \\ & \|T^{n_k + 2p_j} x - (u + T^{p_j} u)/2\| \end{aligned}$

$$T^{n_k+2p_j}x - (u+T^{p_j}u)/2 \| = \|(T^{p_j}u - T^{n_k+2p_j}x) + (u-T^{n_k+2p_j}x)\|/2 \le (r+\epsilon_0)[1-\delta(d/(r+\epsilon_0))] \quad \text{for } k \ge \max{\{k(p_j), k(2p_j)\}},$$

and hence

 $\limsup_{k\to\infty} \|T^{n_k+2p_j}x-(u+T^{p_j}u)/2\| \leq (r+\varepsilon_0)[1-\delta(d/(r+\varepsilon_0))] \leq r.$ Since u is the asymptotic center of $\{T^{n_k+2p_j}x; k=1, 2, \cdots\}$ with respect to C, we have

> $r_{2p_j} = \lim \sup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + 2p_j} x - u\|$ $\leq \lim \sup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + 2p_j} x - (u + T^{p_j} u)/2\| < r.$

This contradicts $r = \inf \{r_m : m \ge 0\}$. Therefore $||T^p u - u|| \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and hence $u \in \mathcal{F}(T)$. Q.E.D.

3. An extension of Theorem. A mapping $T: C \rightarrow C$ is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of positive numbers with $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 1$ such that

 $||T^nx - T^ny|| \le a_n ||x-y||$ for $x, y \in C$ and $n=1, 2, \cdots$. S. C. Bose [1] has extended Opial's theorem (which is stated in Introduction) to the case of asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. We can also extend our Theorem to the following form:

Theorem'. Let $T: C \to C$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping and let $x \in C$. Then $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \phi$ and $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} (T^{n+1}-T^n x)=0$. Moreover $w-\lim_{n\to\infty} T^n x \in \mathcal{F}(T)$ if the weak limit exists.

Sketch of Proof. It suffices to prove the following (a) and (b):

(a) w-lim_{$n\to\infty$} $T^n x$ exists if and only if $\mathcal{F}(T) \neq \phi$ and $\omega_w(x) \subset \mathcal{F}(T)$;

(b) if $w - \lim_{n \to \infty} (T^{n+1}x - T^nx) = 0$ then $\omega_w(x) \subset \mathcal{F}(T)$.

A proof of (a) may be found in [1]. To prove (b), let $w-\lim_{k\to\infty} T^{n_k}x$ =u and put $r_m = \limsup_{k\to\infty} ||T^{n_k+m}x - u||$ for $m \ge 0$. Noting that u is the asymptotic center of $\{T^{n_k+m}x; k=1, 2, \cdots\}$ with respect to C for every $m \ge 0$ and T is asymptotically nonexpansive, we have

 $r_{m+l} \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + m + l} x - T^l u\| \leq a_l r_m \quad \text{for } m \geq 0 \text{ and } l \geq 0.$ It follows from $\lim_{l \to \infty} a_l = 1$ that $\limsup_{l \to \infty} r_l = \limsup_{l \to \infty} r_{m+l} \leq r_m$ for

320

$$\begin{split} m &\geq 0. \quad \text{Thus } \limsup_{l \to \infty} r_l \leq \liminf_{m \to \infty} r_m, \text{ and therefore } \{r_m\} \text{ is convergent.} \quad \text{Put } r = \lim_{m \to \infty} r_m. \quad \text{Then, using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition, we obtain that } u \in \mathcal{F}(T). \quad (\text{In this case, replace } "r_m < r + \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } m \geq m_0" \text{ in the proof of Proposition by } "r_m < r + \varepsilon_0/2 \\ \text{for } m \geq m_0". \quad \text{After this our argument is as follows.} \quad \text{For every } m \geq m_0 \\ \text{there is an integer } k(m) > 0 \text{ such that } \|T^{n_k + m}x - u\| < r + \varepsilon_0/2 \text{ for } k \geq k(m). \\ \text{Choose an integer } j_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \|T^{n_k + m}x - u\| < r + \varepsilon_0/2 \text{ for } k \geq k(m). \\ \text{Choose an integer } j_0 > 0 \text{ such that } \|J_{j_0} \geq m_0 \text{ and } a_{p_j}(r + \varepsilon_0/2) < r + \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } j \geq j_0. \\ \text{We have that } \|T^{p_j}u - T^{n_k + 2p_j}x\| \leq a_{p_j}(r + \varepsilon_0/2) < r + \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } k \geq k(p_j) \\ \text{and } j \geq j_0, \text{ and } \|u - T^{n_k + 2p_j}x\| < r + \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } k \geq k(2p_j). \\ \text{These and } \|T^{p_j}u - u\| \\ \geq d \text{ yield } \|T^{n_k + 2p_j}x - (u + T^{p_j}u)/2\| \leq (r + \varepsilon_0)[1 - \delta(d/(r + \varepsilon_0))] \text{ for } k \geq \max \\ \{k(p_j), k(2p_j)\} \text{ and } j \geq j_0. \quad \text{Therefore } r_{2p_j} \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \|T^{n_k + 2p_j}x - (u + T^{p_j}u)/2\| < (r + \varepsilon_0)[1 - \delta(d/(r + \varepsilon_0))] \\ \text{This contradicts } r = \lim_{m \to \infty} r_m.) \end{split}$$

References

- S. C. Bose: Weak convergence to the fixed point of an asymptotically nonexpansive map. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 68, 305-308 (1978).
- [2] R. E. Bruck: On the almost-convergence of iterates of a nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space and the structure of the weak ω-limit set. Israel J. Math., 29, 1-16 (1978).
- [3] M. Edelstein: Fixed point theorems in uniformly convex Banach spaces. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 44, 369-374 (1974).
- [4] I. Miyadera: Asymptotic behavior of iterates of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces. Proc. Japan Acad., 54, 212-214 (1978).
- [5] Z. Opial: Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximations for nonexpansive mappings. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73, 591-597 (1967).