

## 81. An Extension of the Aumann-Perles' Variational Problem<sup>\*)</sup>

By Toru MARUYAMA

Department of Economics, Keio University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Nov. 12, 1979)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $u: [0, 1] \times \mathbf{R}_+^l \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $x: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^l$  and consider the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{x}{\text{Maximize}} \int_0^1 u(t, x(t)) dt \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & \int_0^1 x(t) dt = (1, 1, \dots, 1). \end{aligned}$$

( $\mathbf{R}_+^l$  designates the non-negative orthant of  $\mathbf{R}^l$ .) The variational problem of this type has a lot of interesting applications to economic analysis (cf. Aumann-Shapley [3], Kawamata [7], and Yaari [9]). Aumann-Perles [2] first examined this problem and established a set of sufficient conditions which assures the existence of an optimal solution. Berliocchi-Lasry [4] and Artstein [1] generalized the problem and proved the existence of solutions respectively in quite different ways.

In this paper, I am going to get a further extension of the problem, the application of which can be seen in recent formulations of welfare economics (cf. Kawamata [7]).

**2. An extension of the problem.** Let  $T$  be a compact metric space, and  $\bar{\mu}$  be a non-atomic, positive Radon measure on  $T$  with  $\bar{\mu}(T) = C < +\infty$ . We designate by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\mu}}$  the set of all positive Radon measures  $\mu$  on  $T$  such that

$$(i) \quad \mu \ll \bar{\mu} \quad (ii) \quad \mu(T) \leq C.$$

Let  $X$  be a locally compact Polish space, and let

$$\begin{aligned} u &: T \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \\ g_i &: T \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+ \quad ; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, l. \end{aligned}$$

Then our problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{\mu, x}{\text{Maximize}} \int_T u(t, x(t)) d\mu \\ & \text{subject to} \end{aligned}$$

$$(I) \quad \begin{aligned} & a) \quad \int_T g_i(t, x(t)) d\mu \leq \omega_i \quad ; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, l \\ & b) \quad \mu \in \mathfrak{M}_{\bar{\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>\*)</sup> The financial support by Keio Gijuku Academic Development Funds is gratefully acknowledged.

c)  $x : T \rightarrow X$  is measurable

where  $(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_l)$  is a fixed vector.

$\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\mu$  and  $x : T \rightarrow X$  determine the disintegration of the form :

$$(*) \quad \gamma = \int_T \delta_t \otimes \delta_{x(t)} d\mu.$$

Hence our problem is equivalent to the problem :

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Maximize } \int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma \\ & \text{subject to} \end{aligned}$$

- (II)            a)  $\int_{T \times X} g_i(t, x) d\gamma \leq \omega_i \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l$   
                   b)  $\gamma$  is of the form (\*).

I am indebted to Berliocchi-Lasry [4] for such a transformation of the original problem (I) into the form (II) and a full use of disintegration theory in this problem. In comparison to Berliocchi-Lasry [4], where  $\mu$  is always fixed, we regard  $\mu$  as one of the control variables as well as  $x$ .

3. Disintegration of measures. Let  $\gamma$  be a Radon measure on  $T \times X$  which can be expressed as

$$\gamma = \int_T \delta_t \otimes \nu[t] d\mu(t),$$

where  $\delta_t$  is the Dirac measure at  $t$ ,  $\mu$  is a Radon measure on  $T$ , and  $\nu : t \mapsto \nu[t]$  is a weak\*-measurable mapping on  $T$  into the set of all Radon probability measures on  $X$ . If such an expression is possible,  $\gamma$  is said to have a  $\mu$ -disintegration. We designate by  $\Delta(\mu)$  the set of all Radon measures on  $T \times X$  that have  $\mu$ -disintegrations, and put

$$\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu) = \bigcup_{\mu \in \mathfrak{M}_\mu} \Delta(\mu).$$

It may be convenient to collect here a few results on disintegration of measures which are useful in later discussions.

*T and X are assumed to be compact throughout this section.*

**Proposition 1** (Castaing [5]). *Let  $\Gamma : T \multimap X$  be a measurable multi-valued mapping such that  $\Gamma(t) \subset X$  is compact for all  $t \in T$ . Then a Radon measure  $\gamma$  on  $T \times X$  has a disintegration of the form :*

$$\begin{cases} \gamma = \int_T \delta_t \otimes \nu[t] d\mu \\ \text{supp } \nu[t] \subset \Gamma(t) \quad \text{a.e. } (t) \end{cases}$$

*if and only if*

$$\int_{T \times X} f(t, x) d\gamma \leq \int_T \sup_{x \in \Gamma(t)} f(t, x) d\mu$$

*for all  $f \in C(T \times X)$ , the set of all continuous real-valued functions on  $T \times X$ .*

**Proposition 2** (Maruyama [8]). *Consider*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n &= \int_T \delta_t \otimes \nu_n[t] d\mu_n \quad ; \quad n=1, 2, \dots \\ \gamma &= \int_T \delta_t \otimes \nu[t] d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

- (i) If
  - a)  $w^*\text{-lim } \mu_n = \mu$
  - b)  $t_p \rightarrow t$  implies  $w^*\text{-lim } \nu_n[t_p] = \nu_n[t]$  for all  $n$   
⟨continuity⟩
  - c)  $w^*\text{-lim } \nu_n[t] = \nu[t]$  for all  $t \in T$ ,  
⟨pointwise convergence⟩

then  $w^*\text{-lim } \gamma_n = \gamma$ .

(ii)  $w^*\text{-lim } \gamma_n = \gamma$  implies a). But b) and c) are not necessarily true.

**Proposition 3.**  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu)$  is weak\*-compact and convex.

**4. Positive normal integrands.** A function  $g: T \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$  is called a *positive normal integrand* (PNI) if there exists a function  $h: T \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$  such that

- (i)  $h$  is (Borel) measurable,
- (ii)  $h(t, x)$  is lower semi-continuous in  $x$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$ ,
- (iii)  $h(t, \cdot) = g(t, \cdot)$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$ .

The following lemma can easily be proved.

**Lemma 1.** If  $T$  and  $X$  are compact and  $g$  is a PNI, then the mapping

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_{T \times X} g(t, x) d\gamma$$

is lower semi-continuous on  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu)$ .

Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l$  be PNI's and let  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  be the set of all  $\gamma \in \Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu)$  such that

$$\int_{T \times X} g_i(t, x) d\gamma \leq \omega_i \quad \text{for all } i=1, 2, \dots, l.$$

If  $T$  and  $X$  are compact, then we can conclude, from Lemma 1, that  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  is weak\*-compact.

We can extend this result to the case where  $X$  is locally compact.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $T$  be compact,  $X$  be locally compact, and  $\tilde{X} = X \cup \{\infty\}$  be the one-point compactification of  $X$ . If

$$g(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad (\text{a.e. } \mu) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

then  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  is weak\*-compact and convex.

**5. Existence of optimal solutions.** **Proposition 5.** Assume the following three conditions for  $u: T \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ .

- (i)  $u$  is Borel measurable,
- (ii)  $u(t, x)$  is upper semi-continuous in  $x$  for  $\mu$ -almost every  $t$ ,
- (iii) for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $b_\epsilon \in L^\infty(\mu)$  such that  

$$u^+(t, x) \geq b_\epsilon(t) \Rightarrow u^+(t, x) \leq \epsilon g(t, x)$$

where  $u^+(t, x) = \text{Max} \{u(t, x), 0\}$ .

Then the mapping

$$\gamma \mapsto \int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma$$

is upper semi-continuous on  $\Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$ .

By Propositions 4 and 5, the following problem (A) has a solution.

$$(A) \quad \underset{\gamma}{\text{Maximize}} \int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma \quad \text{on } \Delta(\mathfrak{M}_\mu; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l).$$

Let

$$\gamma^* = \int_T \delta_t \otimes \nu^*[t] d\mu^*$$

be a solution of (A). Then  $\gamma^*$  is obviously a solution of the problem:

$$(B) \quad \underset{\gamma}{\text{Maximize}} \int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma \quad \text{on } \Delta(\mu^*; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l).$$

**Remark.**  $\Delta(\mu^*; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  is also weak\*-compact and convex. See Berliocchi-Lasry [4].

In order to approach our final goal, we have to prepare a couple of results from convex analysis. Proposition 6 comes from Carathéodory's theorem, and Proposition 7 is an easy corollary of Ljapunov's convexity theorem. For the detailed proofs, see Berliocchi-Lasry [4].

**Proposition 6.** Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a locally convex topological linear space and  $K$  be a compact, convex subset of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\varphi_i: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, l$ ) be affine functions and define

$$H = \{x \in K \mid \varphi_i(x) \leq 0; i=1, 2, \dots, l\}.$$

Then any extreme point of  $H$  can be expressed as a convex combination of at most  $(l+1)$  extreme points of  $K$ .

**Proposition 7.** Let  $\mu$  be a finite non-atomic measure on  $T$  and consider the formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \int_T f_{ij}(t) d\mu & \quad ; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n \\ \lambda_j \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j & = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a decomposition  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_p$  of  $T$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j \int_T f_{ij}(t) d\mu = \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{T_j} f_{ij}(t) d\mu \quad ; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since the mapping  $\gamma \mapsto \int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma$  is linear and  $\Delta(\mu^*; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  is convex,  $\gamma^*$  can be assumed to be an extreme point of  $\Delta(\mu^*; g_1, g_2, \dots, g_l)$  without loss of generality. Hence by Proposition 6, there exist measurable mappings  $x_j: T \rightarrow X$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, l+1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^* & = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \lambda_j \int_T \delta_t \otimes \delta_{x_j(t)} d\mu^* \\ \lambda_j & \geq 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \lambda_j = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 7, there exists a decomposition  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{l+1}$  of  $T$  such that

$$\int_{T \times X} u(t, x) d\gamma^* = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \int_{T_j} u(t, x_j(t)) d\mu^*$$

$$\int_{T \times X} g_i(t, x) d\gamma^* = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \int_{T_j} g_i(t, x_j(t)) d\mu^* \quad ; \quad i=1, 2, \dots, l.$$

If we define

$$x^*(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{l+1} \chi_{T_j}(t) x_j(t),$$

then  $(\mu^*, x^*)$  is a solution of our problem (I), where  $\chi_{T_j}(t)$  is the characteristic function of  $T_j$ . The idea of constructing  $x^*(t)$  by using Propositions 6 and 7 is completely due to Berliocchi-Lasry [4].

Summing up, we have

**Theorem.** *Assume the followings:*

a)  $u: T \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) in Proposition 5;

b)  $g_i: T \times X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbf{R}}_+$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, l$ ) is a PNI such that  $g(t, x) = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty$  (a.e.  $\bar{\mu}$ ) as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

*Then our problem (I) has a solution.*

## References

- [1] Z. Artstein: On a variational problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **45**, 404–415 (1974).
- [2] R. J. Aumann and M. Perles: A variational problem arising in economics. *Ibid.*, **11**, 488–503 (1965).
- [3] R. J. Aumann and L. S. Shapley: *Values of Non-Atomic Games*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1974).
- [4] H. Berliocchi and J. M. Lasry: Integrandes et mesures paramétrées en calcul des variations. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **101**, 129–184 (1973).
- [5] C. Castaing: Application d'un théorème de compacité à un résultat de désintégration des mesures. *C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris*, **273**, 1056–1059 (1971).
- [6] —: Sur une nouvelle extension du théorème de Ljapunov. *Ibid.*, **264**, 333–336 (1967).
- [7] K. Kawamata: Marginal contribution of a firm and optimal entry (1978) (mimeographed).
- [8] T. Maruyama: A note on the disintegration of measures: A convergence theorem (to appear).
- [9] M. E. Yaari: On the existence of an optimal plan in continuous-time allocation process. *Econometrica*, **32**, 576–590 (1964).