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All number fields we consider are in the complex number field. The symbol $\langle S\rangle$ denotes a multiplicative group generated by $S$.

For a finite extension $k / \boldsymbol{Q}$, let $E_{k}$ be the group of units of $k$, and $E_{k}^{\prime}$ be the group generated by all units of proper subfields of $k$ together with roots of unity in $k$. We define the group $H_{k}$ of relative units of $k$ by
$H_{k}=\left\{\varepsilon \in E_{k} \mid N_{k / k^{\prime}}(\varepsilon)\right.$ is a root of unity for a proper subfield $k^{\prime}$ of $\left.k\right\}$.
Let us consider the problem to construct $E_{k}$ with the help of $E_{k}^{\prime}$. It is interesting to utilize $H_{k}$ together with $E_{k}^{\prime}$ when $\left(E_{k}: E_{k}^{\prime}\right)=+\infty$. Hasse [2] has treated such a case when $k$ is a real cyclic quartic number field. We are going to treat the case when $k$ is a non-galois quartic (resp. sextic) number field having a quadratic subfield (resp. a quadratic and a cubic subfields). Then the galois closure of $k / \boldsymbol{Q}$ is a dihedral extension of degree 8 or 12 over $\boldsymbol{Q}$. We restrict our investigation on such extensions.

From now on, we assume $n=2$ or 3 . Let $L / \boldsymbol{Q}$ be a galois extension of degree $4 n$ with the galois group

$$
G=\langle\sigma, \tau\rangle ; \quad \sigma^{2 n}=\tau^{2}=(\sigma \tau)^{2}=1
$$

The invariant subfield of the subgroup $\langle\tau\rangle$ (resp. $\left\langle\sigma^{3} \tau\right\rangle,\left\langle\sigma^{n}\right\rangle$ ) is denoted by $K$ (resp. $F, \Omega$ ), and the maximal abelian subfield by $\Lambda$. Then $K$ and $F$ are non-galois number fields of degree $2 n$ which we are going to study. The quadratic subfield of $K$ (resp. $F$ ) is denoted by $K_{2}$ (resp. $F_{2}$ ). When $n=3$, the cubic subfield of both $K$ and $F$ is denoted by $K_{3}$. The quartic field $\Lambda$ is the composite field of $K_{2}$ and $F_{2}$ which contains another quadratic subfield $\Lambda_{2}$. Note that $\Lambda=\Omega$ when $n=2$.

It is easy to show the following, which is in Nagell [6] when $n=2$.
Proposition 1. When $L \cap R=\Omega$, we have $E_{K}=E_{K}^{\prime}$ and $E_{F}=E_{F}^{\prime}$.
Therefore we treat the two cases:
Case I: $L \cap R=K$. Case II: $L \subset \boldsymbol{R}$.
Taking into account that all roots of unity of $L$ is contained in the quartic subfield $\Lambda$, we take and fix a generator $\omega$ (resp. $\zeta, \rho$ ) of the group of roots of unity of $\Lambda$ (resp. $\Lambda_{2}, F_{2}$ ).

1. Type of $\boldsymbol{E}_{K}$ and $\boldsymbol{E}_{F}$. A typical example of $K$ and $F$ are a pure number field of degree $2 n$. The method, which is used in Stender [8],
[9], [10] in such cases, to determine fundamental units of $K$ and $F$ is based on the following easy lemma of group theory:

Let $E$ be a free abelian group of rank $r$, and $E_{i}$ be $m$ subgroups of rank $r_{i}(1 \leq i \leq m)$. Assume that there are $m$ natural numbers $n_{i}$ and $m$ homomorphisms $f_{i}: E \rightarrow E_{i}$ which satisfy $f_{i}(x)=x^{n_{i}}$ for $x \in E_{i}$ and $f_{i}(x)=1$ for $x \in E_{j}(j \neq i)$. Then $\left\langle E_{1}, \cdots, E_{m}\right\rangle=E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{m}$ (direct product). Thus we put $f:=f_{1} \times \cdots \times f_{m}, H:=\operatorname{Ker}(f)=\left\{x \in E \mid f_{i}(x)\right.$ $=1(1 \leq i \leq m)\}$ and $r_{0}:=\operatorname{rank}(H)$. Then we have

Lemma 1. (i) The group $\left\langle H, E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{m}\right\rangle=H \times E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{m}$. (ii) The image $f(E)$ contains $E_{1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times E_{m}^{n_{m}}$ and the inverse image $f^{-1}\left(E_{1}^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times E_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)=H \times E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{m} . \quad$ Therefore $r=r_{0}+r_{1}+\cdots+r_{m}$, and the index $\left(E: H \times E_{1} \times \cdots \times E_{m}\right)$ divides $n_{1}^{r_{1}} \cdots n_{m}^{r_{m}}$. (iii) If $n_{i}(1 \leq i$ $\leq m)$ are pairwise relatively prime, a basis $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{s}\left(s:=r-r_{0}\right)$ of $f(E)$ can be chosen so that $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{r_{1}} \in E_{1} ; y_{r_{1+1}}, \cdots, y_{r_{1}+r_{2}} \in E_{2} ; \cdots ; y_{s-r_{m}+1}$, $\cdots, y_{s} \in E_{m}$.

In Lemma 1, if we regard $E$ as $E_{K} /\langle-1\rangle\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.E_{F} /\langle\rho\rangle\right)$ and $E_{i}$ as the groups of units of maximal proper subfields of $K$ (resp. $F$ ) modulo roots of unity, then the relative norm maps from $K$ (resp. $F$ ) satisfy the condition of $f_{i}$, and then $H$ can be regarded as $H_{K} /\langle-1\rangle$ (resp. $H_{F} /\langle\rho\rangle$ ). Hence we have

Corollary 1 (Nagell [6]). Let $n=2$. (i) In Case I, let $E_{K_{2}}=\langle-1$, $\left.\eta_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\eta_{2}>1, H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\varepsilon_{1}>1$, and let $H_{F}\left(=E_{F}\right)=\left\langle\rho, \varepsilon_{0}\right\rangle$. Then $E_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\rangle$, where
$\varepsilon_{2}=\eta_{2}$ if $\pm \eta_{2} \oplus N_{K / K_{2}}\left(E_{K}\right), \varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt{\eta_{2}}$ or $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}}$ otherwise.
(ii) In Case II, let $E_{K_{2}}=\left\langle-1, \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\eta_{2}>1$, and $H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\varepsilon_{0}>1$ and $\varepsilon_{1}>1$. Then $E_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right\rangle$, where
$\varepsilon_{2}=\eta_{2}$ if $\pm \eta_{2} \oplus N_{K / K_{2}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{1}^{\nu} \eta_{2}}(\mu, \nu=0$ or 1$)$ otherwise.
Corollary 2. Let $n=3$. (i) In Case I, let $E_{K_{2}}=\left\langle-1, \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\eta_{2}>1$, $E_{K_{3}}=\left\langle-1, \eta_{3}\right\rangle$ with $\eta_{3}>1, H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\varepsilon_{1}>1$, and let $H_{F}=\left\langle\rho, \varepsilon_{0}\right\rangle$. Then $E_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right\rangle$ and $E_{F}=\left\langle\rho, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}\right\rangle$, where $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}$ and $\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}$ are given by:
$\varepsilon_{2}=\eta_{2}$ if $\eta_{2} \notin N_{K / K_{2}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\eta_{2}}$ or $\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}^{ \pm 1}}$ otherwise;
$\varepsilon_{3}=\eta_{3}$ if $\eta_{3} \notin N_{K / K_{3}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{3}=\sqrt{\eta_{3}}$ or $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{3}}$ otherwise;
$\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}=\eta_{3}$ if $\eta_{3} \notin N_{F / K_{3}}\left(E_{F}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}=\sqrt{\rho^{\mu} \varepsilon_{0}^{\nu} \eta_{3}}\left(\mu, \nu=0\right.$ or $\left.1, \mu^{2}+\nu^{2} \neq 0\right)$ otherwise.
(ii) In Case II, let $E_{K_{2}}=\left\langle-1, \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ with $\left.\eta_{2}\right\rangle 1, E_{K_{3}}=\left\langle-1, \eta_{3}, \eta_{4}\right\rangle$ with $\eta_{3}>1, \eta_{4}>1$, and let $H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}\right\rangle$ with $\varepsilon_{0}>1, \varepsilon_{1}>1$. Then $E_{K}=\langle-1$, $\left.\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1} \cdots, \varepsilon_{4}\right\rangle$, where $\varepsilon_{i}(i=2,3,4)$ are given by :
$\varepsilon_{2}=\eta_{2}$ if $\eta_{2} \notin N_{K / K_{2}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{1}^{\nu} \eta_{2}}(\mu, \nu= \pm 1$ or 0$)$ otherwise;
$\varepsilon_{3}=\eta_{3}$ if $\pm \eta_{3} \notin N_{K / K_{3}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{3}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{1}^{\nu} \eta_{3}}(\mu, \nu=0$ or 1$)$ otherwise;
$\varepsilon_{4}=\eta_{4}$ if $\pm \eta_{4}, \pm \eta_{3} \eta_{4} \notin N_{K / K_{3}}\left(E_{K}\right)$, and $\varepsilon_{4}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{0}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{1}^{\nu} \eta_{3}^{2} \eta_{4}}(\mu, \nu, \lambda=0$ or 1$)$ otherwise.
2. Minkowski unit. In order to investigate the relation between $E_{K}$ and $E_{F}$, the following group homomorphisms are useful when $n=\mathbf{2}$ (resp. 3) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi: K^{\times} \rightarrow F^{\times} ; \varphi(x):=x^{1+\sigma}\left(\text { resp. } x^{\sigma+\sigma^{2}}\right), \\
& \psi: F^{\times} \rightarrow K^{\times} ; \psi(y):=y^{1+\sigma^{3}}\left(\text { resp. } y^{\sigma+\sigma^{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it is easy to see
Lemma 2. (i) $\varphi\left(H_{K}\right) \subset H_{F}$ and $\psi\left(H_{F}\right) \subset H_{K}$.
(ii) When $n=2$ (resp. 3),
$\psi \circ \varphi(x)=x^{2} N_{K / K_{2}}(x)^{\sigma}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.x^{-3} N_{K / K_{2}}(x) N_{K / K_{3}}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)$ for $x \in K^{\times}$,
$\varphi \circ \psi(y)=y^{2} N_{F / F_{2}}(y)^{\sigma}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.y^{-3} N_{F / F_{2}}(y) N_{F / K_{3}}\left(y^{2}\right)\right)$ for $y \in \boldsymbol{F}^{\times}$.
From this lemma follow the following propositions.
Proposition 2. Let $n=2$. The notation being as in Corollary 1, we have

$$
\left(H_{K}:\left\langle-1, \psi\left(H_{F}\right)\right\rangle\right)\left(H_{F}:\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(H_{K}\right)\right\rangle\right)=2(\text { resp. 4) }
$$

in Case I (resp. Case II). If $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}} \in K$ in Case I, we have

$$
H_{F}\left(=E_{F}\right)=\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \psi\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right\rangle .
$$

Proposition 3. Let $n=3$. The notation being as in Corollary 2, we have

$$
\left(H_{K}:\left\langle-1, \psi\left(H_{F}\right)\right\rangle\right)\left(H_{F}:\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(H_{K}\right)\right\rangle\right)=3 \text { (resp. 9) }
$$

in Case I (resp. Case II). In Case I, it holds that

$$
H_{F}=\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad H_{K}=\left\langle-1, \psi\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)\right\rangle
$$

if $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}^{ \pm 1}} \in K$, and that $\varepsilon_{3}=\eta_{3}$ if and only if $\varepsilon_{3}^{\prime}=\eta_{3}$.
In Case I, we study whether $L$ has a Minkowski unit; a unit which together with some of its conjugates forms a set of fundamental units of $L$ (cf. Brumer [1]). A condition that $L$ has a real M-unit (Minkowski unit which is real) is obtained by Propositions 2 and 3.

Theorem 1. When $n=2$ (resp. 3) in Case I, the notation being as in Corollary 1 (resp. 2), the field $L$ has a real $M$-unit $\xi_{1}$ (i.e. $\xi_{1} \in E_{K}$ such that $E_{L}=\left\langle\omega, \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}^{o}, \cdots, \xi_{1}^{\left.g^{2 n-2}\right\rangle}\right\rangle$ ) if and only if

$$
\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}}, E_{4}=\left\langle\omega, \eta_{2}\right\rangle \quad \text { and } \quad K \neq K_{2}\left(\sqrt{2 \eta_{2}}\right), \neq \boldsymbol{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}),
$$

(resp. $\varepsilon_{2}=\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{2}^{ \pm 1}}, \varepsilon_{3}=\sqrt{\varepsilon_{1} \eta_{3}}, E_{\Lambda}=\left\langle\omega, \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ and $E_{\Omega}=\left\langle\zeta, \eta_{3}, \eta_{3}^{\sigma}\right\rangle$ ), and then we can take $\xi_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{2}^{-1} \varepsilon_{3}$ ) as an $M$-unit of $L$.

The proof of the "only if" part is easy. The "if" part is proved by showing that $\varepsilon_{2}$ (resp. $\varepsilon_{2}^{-1} \varepsilon_{3}$ ) actually gives an $M$-unit on account of Proposition 2 (resp. 3) and of the fact that $E_{L}^{n}$ is contained in $E_{L}^{\prime}$.

It seems more complicated to see whether $L$ has an $M$-unit $\xi_{1}$ which is not necessarily real (e.g. $E_{L}=\left\langle\omega, \xi_{1}, \xi_{1}^{\prime}, \xi_{1}^{\top}\right\rangle$ when $n=2$ in Case I). However, we have

Proposition 4. Let $n=2$ in Case I. The notation being as in Corollary 1, the field $L$ has no $M$-unit if $E_{K}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\rangle$ and $E_{A}=\langle\omega$, $\left.\sqrt{\omega \eta_{2}}\right\rangle$.

The proof is given by showing contradiction under the assumption
that there is an $M$-unit in $L$.
3. Binomial unit. In the following, we assume $K=\boldsymbol{Q}(\theta)$ ( $\theta:={ }^{2 n} \sqrt{d}>0$ ) is a real pure number field of degree $2 n$ with a natural number $d>1$. We may suppose that the action of $G$ on $L=\boldsymbol{Q}(\theta, \zeta)$ satisfies that $\theta^{\sigma}=\zeta \theta, \theta^{\sigma}=\theta, \zeta^{\sigma}=\zeta$ and $\zeta^{\sigma}=\zeta^{-1}$. Then $F=\boldsymbol{Q}\left({ }^{2 n} \sqrt{\left.-n^{n} d\right)}\right.$ is a totally imaginary pure number field of degree $2 n$. We mention that $\sqrt{\eta_{2}} \notin K$ when $n=2$ and that $\sqrt[3]{\eta_{2}}, \sqrt{\eta_{3}} \notin K$ when $n=3$.

We can construct a set of fundamental units of $K$ in a certain case when $K$ has a binomial unit.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $d$ is square free and that $K$ has a binomial unit $a-b \theta$ with natural numbers $a$ and $b$ such that $a+1 \geq b^{2 n}$. Then a set $\left\{\xi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of fundamental units of $K$ is given by
$\xi_{1}=a-b \theta, \xi_{2}=a+b \theta$; and $\xi_{3}=a^{2}+a b \theta+b^{2} \theta^{2} \quad$ when $n=3$.
The theorem is proved by Stender's method in [8], [9] after some calculations. The field, which is considered in Theorem 2, is different from that of Stender [10] if $b>1$.

The simplest example of Theorem 2 is the case when $a=b^{2 n} c \pm 1$ with a natural number $c$ and $d=\left(a^{2 n}-1\right) / b^{2 n}$ is square free. There are infinitely many such cases for any fixed (odd when $n=2$ ) natural number $b$ (see [5]). This example has been treated more in detail in author's article [7] when $n=3$.

By Propositions 2, 3 and Theorem 2, we obtain
Corollary 3. The assumption being as in Theorem 2,

$$
E_{F}=\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right\rangle\left(\text { resp. }\left\langle\rho, \varphi\left(\xi_{1}\right), \varphi\left(\xi_{1}^{\sigma^{3}}\right)\right\rangle\right) \quad \text { when } n=2(\text { resp. } 3)
$$

As an explicit form of a set of fundamental units of $K$ is given in the case of Theorem 2, we can determine $E_{4}$ and $E_{\Omega}$ according to Kuroda [4] and Iimura [3] and see that the condition of Theorem 1 is satisfied except for the case $d=2$. Thus we have

Theorem 3. The assumption being as in Theorem 2, $\xi_{1}$ is a real $M$-unit of $L=\boldsymbol{Q}(\theta, \zeta)$ if $d \neq 2$.

Lastly, we give an example of $L$ which has no (real or imaginary) $M$-unit in case when $n=2$. Let $\theta^{4}=d:=3 g^{2}$ with a square free natural number $g$ which is not divisible by 3. Then the condition of Proposition 4 is verified easily (cf. [4] and [8]).

Proposition 5. The field $L=\boldsymbol{Q}\left(\sqrt[4]{3 g^{2}}, \sqrt{-1}\right)$ has no $M$-unit if $g$ is a square free natural number prime to 3 .
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