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1. The aim of the present note is to give the description of monodromy preserving deformation of a linear ordinary differential equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} Y \equiv\left(x \frac{d}{d x}+L \frac{d}{d x}+M x+N\right) Y=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in a Hamiltonian form and to establish transformation formulas of the associated ' $\tau$ functions' ([2]-[5]). Here the coefficients $L, M$ and $N$ are constant matrices of size $r$ while $Y$ can be a column vector as well as a square matrix of size $r$ of functions of $x$. We assume that $L$ (resp. $M$ ) has distinct eigenvalues which we write $-a_{j}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.-c_{j}\right), j=1, \cdots, r$ so that $-L$ (resp. $-M$ ) is conjugate to the diagonal matrix $A$ $=\left(a_{j} \delta_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1, \cdots, r}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C=\left(c_{j} \delta_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1, \ldots, r}\right)$. Hereafter we shall normalize $-L=Q A Q^{-1},-M=C$ so that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=Q(x-A) Q^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d x}-C\right)-B=\left(\frac{d}{d x}-C\right) Q(x-A) Q^{-1}-B^{\prime} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by setting $B=L M-N, B^{\prime}=1+M L-N$. We have
(3) $\quad B^{\prime}=1+B-\left[Q A Q^{-1}, C\right]$.

We also set: $P=Q^{-1} B, E_{j}=\left(\delta_{k j} \delta_{k^{\prime}}\right)_{k, k^{\prime}=1, \cdots, r}$, and $B_{j}=Q E_{j} P$. By writing our equation, $\mathcal{L} Y=0$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} Y=\left(Q(x-A)^{-1} P+C\right) Y \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observing $(x-A)^{-1}=\sum_{j=1}^{r}\left(x-a_{j}\right)^{-1} E_{j}$, we see that (1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} Y=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{B_{j}}{x-a_{j}}+C\right) Y, \quad \text { with } B_{j} \text { of } \operatorname{rank} \leq 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

an equation with regular singularities at $x=a_{1}, \cdots, a_{r}$ and an irregular singularity of rank 1 at $x=\infty$. Note that the number of regular singularities is equal to the size $r$.

Conversely, suppose we are given an equation (5) with rank of $B_{j} \leq 1$ and $C=\left(c_{j} \delta_{j k}\right)$ diagonal. Set $\lambda_{j}=$ trace $B_{j}$ which is an eigenvalue of $B_{j}$, and define $Q$ to be the matrix whose $j$-th column vector $[Q]_{j}$ is the eigenvector of $B_{j}$ belonging to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}: B_{j}[Q]_{j}=\lambda_{j}[Q]_{j}$.

[^0]Then we can set $B_{j}=Q E_{j} P, j=1, \cdots, r$ and $P$ consists of row eigenvectors of $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{r}$. Therefore the equation (5) is written as (4) which is equivalent to (1). Hence (1) and (5) are equivalent to each other.

We set $\Lambda=\left(\lambda_{j} \delta_{j k}\right), K=\left(\kappa_{j} \delta_{j k}\right)$ where $\kappa_{1}, \cdots, \kappa_{r}$ denote diagonal elements of $B\left(=Q P=\sum_{j=1}^{r} B_{j}\right)$ so that $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \kappa_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{r} \lambda_{j}$ (for brevity we write $K=\operatorname{diag} B$ to mean that $K$ is the diagonal part of $B$ ).

As will be discussed in the subsequent note II, the case $r=2$ corresponds to the deformation theory leading to the Painleve equation of the fifth kind.

Our strategy is first to endow the coefficient matrices $Q$ and $P$ in (4) with a structure of canonical dynamical variables by defining their Poisson bracket by
( 6 )

$$
\left\{Q_{i j}, P_{k l}\right\}=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j k}, \quad\left\{Q_{i j}, Q_{k l}\right\}=0, \quad\left\{P_{i j}, P_{k l}\right\}=0 .
$$

We denote by $d$ the exterior differentiation with respect to $A$ and $C$ and define a 1 form $\omega$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega(A, C)= & \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}(P Q)_{i j}(P Q)_{j i} d \log \left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)+\sum_{i, j} Q_{i j} P_{j i} d\left(a_{j} c_{i}\right)  \tag{7}\\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}(Q P)_{i j}(Q P)_{j i} d \log \left(c_{i}-c_{j}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Then the deformation equations, which describe dependence of the coefficient matrices $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{r}$ of the equation (5) or $Q, P$ of (4) on the deformation parameters $A$ and $C$, is given by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
d Q & d Q, \omega\}, \quad d P=\{P, \omega\},  \tag{8}\\
\text { i.e. } \quad d Q=Q \Theta^{*}+d C \cdot Q A+C Q d A+\Theta Q, \\
d P=-\Theta^{*} P-A P d C-d A \cdot P C-P \Theta,
\end{array}
$$

where $\Theta$ and $\Theta^{*}$ are defined by
(9) $[\Theta, C]=[Q P, d C], \quad \operatorname{diag} \Theta=0 ; \quad\left[A, \Theta^{*}\right]=[d A, P Q], \quad \operatorname{diag} \Theta^{*}=0$.

Indeed, the linear equation (4) $\mathcal{L} Y=0$ with $\mathcal{L}$ of (2) and the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
d Y=\Omega Y, \quad \Omega=-Q d A \cdot Q^{-1}\left(\frac{d}{d x}-C\right)+x d C+\Theta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are consistent under the conditions (8) because we have then
(11) $\quad d \mathcal{L}=\Omega^{*} \mathcal{L}-\mathcal{L} \Omega \quad$ with $\quad \Omega^{*}=\Omega-\left[Q d A \cdot Q^{-1}, C\right]-\left[Q A Q^{-1}, d C\right]$.

Hence our 'Hamiltonian equations of motion' (8) describe the deformation of (5) under which the monodromy structure is preserved. If $Q$ and $P$ satisfy ( 8 ) the 1 form $\omega(A, C)$ of (7) is closed : $d \omega=0$. Hence the function $\tau(A, C)$ is well-defined uniquely up to a constant multiple by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(A, C)=d \log \tau(A, C), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we call the ' $\tau$ function' of (8), in accordance with [4], [5].
The equation (5) admits a local solution $Y(x)$ at $x=A$ and a formal solution $Y^{(\infty)}(x)$ at $x=\infty$ of the following form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(x)=Q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{n} \frac{(x-A)^{\Lambda+n}}{(\Lambda+n)!} e^{C(x-A)}, \quad Y_{0}=1 \quad(\text { at } x=A), \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
Y^{(\infty)}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{n}^{(\infty)}(x-A)^{K-n} e^{c x}, \quad Y_{0}^{(\infty)}=1 \quad(\text { at } x=\infty)
$$

where the $j$-th column vector of $Y(x)$ is a local column vector solution at $x=a_{j}$ having the exponent $\lambda_{j}$. The coefficients $Y_{n}$ and $Y_{n}^{(\infty)}$ are uniquely determined by
(14) $n_{n} \quad\left(Y_{n}-Q^{-1}\left[C, Q Y_{n-1}\right]\right)(\Lambda+n)-\left[A, Y_{n+1}-Q^{-1}\left[C, Q Y_{n}\right]\right]=P Q Y_{n}$,

$$
Q^{-1}\left(Y_{n}^{(\infty)}(K-n)+\left[Y_{n+1}^{(\infty)}, C\right]\right)-\left[A, Q^{-1}\left(Y_{n-1}^{(\infty)}(K-n+1)+\left[Y_{n}^{(\infty)}, C\right]\right)\right]
$$

$$
=P Y_{n}^{(\infty)}
$$

The solutions (13) thus determined are shown to automatically satisfy the deformation equation (10), and consistency of the suppositions $Y_{0}=1$ and $Y_{0}^{(\infty)}=1$ in (13) are verified also in the course.

We note that the diagonal parts of (14) ${ }_{0}$ give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\operatorname{diag} P Q, \quad K=\operatorname{diag} Q P \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (8) together with (15) implies $d \Lambda=0$ and $d K=0$. Namely $\lambda_{j}, \kappa_{j}$ ( $j=1, \cdots, r$ ) are the constants of integration of (8) as they should be.

It is manifest in (1)-(2) that the formal Laplace transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} \longmapsto y, \quad x \longmapsto-\frac{d}{d y}, \quad Y \longmapsto \hat{Y} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

changes $\mathcal{L} Y=0$ into $\left((y-C) Q(-d / d y-A)-B^{\prime} Q\right) Z=0$ with $Z=Q^{-1} \hat{Y}$; so we have

Theorem 1. By the formal Laplace transformation (16) the equation (4) is transformed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d y} Z=\left(\hat{Q}(y-C)^{-1} \hat{P}-A\right) Z \quad \text { with } \quad \hat{Q}=Q^{-1}, \hat{P}=-B^{\prime} Q, Z=Q^{-1} \hat{Y} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In place of (15) we have (from (3))
(18) $\quad \operatorname{diag} \hat{Q} \hat{P}=-(1+\Lambda)$, $\quad \operatorname{diag} \hat{P} \hat{Q}=-(1+K)$.

Namely the transformation means the replacement:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q, P, A, C) \longmapsto(\hat{Q}, \hat{P}, C,-A) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We claim
Theorem 2. $\hat{Q}$ and $\hat{P}$ constitute canonical transforms of $Q$ and $P$, and the deformation equations (8) stay invariant under the transformation (19).

Since the same statement as Theorem 2 is obviously true with the transformation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q, P, A, C) \longmapsto(P,-Q, C,-A) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since (19) is the composition of (20) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(Q, P, A, C) \longmapsto(-\hat{P}, \hat{Q}, A, C) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that Theorem 2 is reduced to the corresponding statement with (21), for which we give a proof below.
2. Let us write (21) as $(Q, P) \mapsto\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}\right)$ by setting $Q^{\prime}=-\hat{P}$ and $P^{\prime}=\hat{Q}$, or more explicitly

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\prime}=\left(1+Q P-\left[Q A Q^{-1}, C\right]\right) Q \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
P^{\prime}=Q^{-1}
$$

whose inverse transformation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=P^{\prime-1}, \quad P=P^{\prime}\left(-1+Q^{\prime} P^{\prime}+\left[P^{\prime-1} A P^{\prime}, C\right]\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any expression $F=f(Q, P, A, C)$ we shall write $F^{\prime}=f\left(Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}, A, C\right)$; for example for $B=Q P$ we write $B^{\prime}=Q^{\prime} P^{\prime}$, in coincidence with (3).

From (7) and (22) we have the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}-\omega=\operatorname{trace}\left(Q A Q^{-1} d C+Q^{-1} C Q d A\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using this and (22) we also have
(25) $\quad\left(\operatorname{trace} P^{\prime} d Q^{\prime}-\omega^{\prime}\right)$ - $($ trace $P d Q-\omega)=d W$, with

$$
W=W\left(Q, Q^{\prime}, A, C\right)=\operatorname{trace} Q^{-1}\left(Q^{\prime}-C Q A\right)+\log \operatorname{det} Q .
$$

Because of the independence of $Q=P^{\prime-1}$ and $Q^{\prime}$ (25) shows that the transformation (22) is a canonical transformation. Therefore if $Q$ and $P$ satisfy (8) then $Q^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime}$ satisfy the same equations.

Now (18) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\prime}=1+\Lambda, \quad K^{\prime}=1+K ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely, the constants of integration $\lambda_{j}$ 's and $\kappa_{j}$ 's undergo simultaneous increase by 1 under this transformation (22) of solutions of (8).

We now introduce the following transformation (an example of Schlesinger's transformation [1]) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}=Q(x-A) Q^{-1} Y, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose inverse is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=P^{\prime-1} Q^{\prime-1}\left(\frac{d}{d x}-C\right) Y^{\prime} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

by virtue of the second expression of $\mathcal{L}$ in (2). We have now
Theorem 3. By the Schlesinger transformation (27) the equations (1) and (10) are transformed into $\mathcal{L}^{\prime} Y^{\prime}=0$ and $d Y^{\prime}=\Omega^{\prime} Y^{\prime} . \quad$ More specifically, the equation (4) and the solutions (13) are transformed respectively into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d x} Y^{\prime}=\left(Q^{\prime}(x-A)^{-1} P^{\prime}+C\right) Y^{\prime}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} \frac{B_{j}^{\prime}}{x-a_{j}}+C\right) Y^{\prime}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y^{\prime}(x)=Q^{\prime} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{n}^{\prime} \frac{(x-A)^{1+\Lambda+n}}{(1+\Lambda+n)!} e^{C(x-A)} & (\text { at } x=A)  \tag{30}\\
Y^{(\infty) \prime}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Y_{n}^{(\infty)^{\prime}}(x-A)^{1+K-n} e^{C x} & (\text { at } x=\infty)
\end{array}
$$

where $Q^{\prime}, P^{\prime}$ are given by (22), and $Y_{n}^{\prime}, Y_{n}^{(\infty)^{\prime}}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Y_{n}^{\prime}=Q^{\prime-1} Q\left(Y_{n}(1+\Lambda+n)-\left[A, Y_{n+1}\right]\right), & Y_{0}^{\prime}=1 ;  \tag{31}\\
Y_{n}^{(\infty)}=Y_{n}^{(\infty)}-Q\left[A, Q^{-1} Y_{n-1}^{(\infty)}\right], & Y_{0}^{(\infty)}=1 .
\end{array}
$$

We now proceed to the transformation formula to the $\tau$ function. We get $d \log \operatorname{det} Q=\operatorname{trace}\left(Q A Q^{-1} d C+Q^{-1} C Q d A\right)$ by (8). Comparing this with (24) we see $d \log \tau^{\prime}-d \log \tau=d \log \operatorname{det} Q$, and obtain the following formula.

Theorem 4. We have, by suitably normalizing constant factors of $\tau$ functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{\prime}}{\tau}=\operatorname{det} Q . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $Q^{(n)}, P^{(n)}, F^{(n)}=f\left(Q^{(n)}, P^{(n)}, A, C\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y^{(n)}\right)$ the transforms of $Q, P, F=f(Q, P, A, C)$ (resp. Y) by (22) (resp. (27)) iterated $n$ times; whence $\operatorname{diag} P^{(n)} Q^{(n)}=n+\Lambda$, $\operatorname{diag} Q^{(n)} P^{(n)}=n+K$.

We define an $n r \times n r$ matrix $R_{n}$ as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}=\left(R_{i, j}\right)_{i, j=0,1, \cdots, n-1} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i, j}$ are $r \times r$ matrices given by
(34) $\quad R_{00}=Q, \quad R_{0, j+1}=C R_{0 j}, \quad R_{i+1,0}=R_{i 0} A$,

$$
R_{i+1, j}=R_{i j} A+j R_{i, j-1}+\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} R_{i, j-1-k} P C^{k} Q \quad(j=1,2,3, \cdots)
$$

For example
$R_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
Q & C Q & C^{2} Q \\
Q A & C Q A+Q+Q P Q & C^{2} Q A+2 C Q+C Q P Q+Q P C Q \\
Q A^{2} & C Q A^{2}+2 Q A+Q P Q A+Q A P Q & R_{2,2}
\end{array}\right], \\
& R_{2,2}=C^{2} Q A^{2}+4 C Q A+2 Q+C Q P Q A+C Q A P Q+Q P C Q A+Q A P C Q \\
& \\
& +3 Q P Q+Q P Q P Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5. We have, by using the same normalization as in (32),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\tau^{(n)}}{\tau^{(0)}}=\operatorname{det} R_{n} . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can derive from the definition (34)
(36) $R_{n}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & & & \\
& \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \\
& 1 & \left(Q^{(n-2)}\right)^{-1} C Q^{(n-2)}
\end{array}\right] \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & Q^{-1} C Q & \cdots & Q^{-1} C^{n-1} Q \\
& 1 & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \quad \vdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

whence we have $\operatorname{det} R_{n}=\operatorname{det} Q \operatorname{det} Q^{\prime} \cdots \operatorname{det} Q^{(n-1)}$ which together with Theorem 4 implies Theorem 5.

The inverse transformation (28) is rewritten as $Q^{-1} Y=Q^{\prime-1}(d / d x$ $-C) Q^{\prime} \cdot Q^{\prime-1} Y^{\prime}$ which through the Laplace transformation (16) reads (37) $\quad Z=\hat{Q}^{\prime}(y-C)\left(\hat{Q}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} Z^{\prime} \quad$ or $\quad Z^{(n-1)}=\hat{Q}^{(n)}(y-C)\left(\hat{Q}^{(n)}\right)^{-1} Z^{(n)}$ where we write $\hat{Q}^{(n)}=\left(Q^{(n)}\right)^{-1}=P^{(n+1)}, Z^{(n)}=\left(Q^{(n)}\right)^{-1} \widehat{Y^{(n)}}$ in accordance with the convention. It is now easy to see that the canonical transformation (19) induces the transformation of associated quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q^{(n)}, P^{(n)}, \omega^{(n)}, \cdots\right) \longmapsto\left((-)^{n} P^{(1-n)},(-)^{n-1} Q^{(1-n)}, \omega^{(1-n)}, \cdots\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (20) induces
(39) $\quad\left(Q^{(n)}, P^{(n)}, \omega^{(n)}, \cdots\right) \longmapsto\left((-)^{n} P^{(-n)},(-)^{n-1} Q^{(-n)}, \omega^{(-n)}, \cdots\right)$.

Specifically, if we set $Q^{(n)}=q_{n}(Q, P, A, C)$ and $P^{(n)}=p_{n}(Q, P, A, C)$ then we have $(-)^{n} P^{(-n)}=q_{n}(P,-Q, C,-A)$ and $(-)^{n-1} Q^{(-n)}=p_{n}(P,-Q, C$, $-A$ ). Similarly we get for $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-)^{r(n(n-1) / 2)} \frac{\tau^{(-n)}}{\tau^{(0)}}=\operatorname{det} R_{n}^{*}, \quad \text { with } \quad R_{n}^{*}=\left.R_{n}\right|_{(Q, P, A, C) \mapsto(P,-Q, C,-A)} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $I=\left(i_{1}, \cdots, i_{k}\right), I^{\prime}=\left(i_{k_{+1}}, \cdots, i_{r}\right)$ be ordered subsets of $\{1,2, \cdots, r\}$ complementary to each other. We denote by $M_{I, J}=M_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right),\left(j_{1}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)}$ the minor of size $k$ of a matrix $M$. (36) tells that $P^{(n)}=\left(Q^{(n-1)}\right)^{-1}$ is in the last $r \times r$ block of $R_{n}^{-1}$. Using this fact and the formula: $\left(M^{-1}\right)_{I, J}$ $=\left((-)^{|I|+|J|} / \operatorname{det} M\right) M_{J^{\prime}, I^{\prime}},|I|=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{(n)} \cdot P_{I, J}^{(n)}=\left(-|I|+|J| \tau^{(0)} \cdot\left(R_{n}\right)_{\left(1, \cdots,(n-1) r,(n-1) r+J^{\prime}\right),\left(1, \cdots,(n-1) r,(n-1) r+I^{\prime}\right)},\right.  \tag{41}\\
& \tau^{(n)} \cdot Q_{I, J}^{(n)}=\tau^{(0)} \cdot\left(R_{n+1}\right)_{(1, \cdots, n r, n r+I),(1, \cdots, n r, n r+J)},
\end{align*}
$$

where $l+I=\left(l+i_{1}, \cdots, l+i_{k}\right)$. Likewise we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau^{(-n)} \cdot Q_{I, J}^{(-n)}=(-)^{|I|+|J|} \tau^{(0)} \cdot\left(R_{n}^{*}\right)_{\left(1, \ldots,(n-1) r,(n-1) r+J^{\prime}\right),\left(1, \ldots,(n-1) r,(n-1) r+I^{\prime}\right)},  \tag{42}\\
& \tau^{(-n)} \cdot P_{I, J}^{-(-n)}=\tau^{(0)} \cdot\left(R_{n+1}^{*}\right)_{(1, \ldots, n r, n r+I),(1, \cdots, n r, n r+J)} .
\end{align*}
$$

From these identities we conclude
Theorem 6. $\tau^{(n)} P_{I, J}^{(n)}$ and $\tau^{(n)} Q_{I, J}^{(n)}(n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots ; k=0,1, \cdots, r$ with $k=\#(I)=\#(J))$ are all (multi-valued) holomorphic outside $\bigcap_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} S_{j}$, where $S_{j}$ is the union of the singularities of $Q^{(j)}, P^{(j)}$ and $\tau^{(j)}$.
Note that both $\tau^{(n)} P_{I, J}^{(n)}$ and $\tau^{(n)} Q_{I, J}^{(n)}$ reduce to $\tau^{(n)}$ when $k=0$.
From (3) : $Q^{\prime} P^{\prime}=1+Q P-\left[Q A Q^{-1}, C\right]$ and its variant: $P^{\prime} Q^{\prime}=1+P Q$ $-\left[A, Q^{-1} C Q\right]$ we obtain

Corollary 7. If $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}$ have no common divisor outside $\bigcap_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} S_{j}$, then $\tau^{(n)} Q^{(n)} P^{(n)}$ and $\tau^{(n)} P^{(n)} Q^{(n)}$ are also holomorphic outside $\bigcap_{j=-\infty}^{+\infty} S_{j}$.
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