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Introduction. This is a continuation of our papers [2], [3] which will be referred to as (I), (II), respectively, in this paper. ${ }^{1)}$ Let $K$ be a field of characteristic not 2 and $X$ be a composition algebra over $K$. By definition, $X$ is an algebra (not necessarily associative) with 1 over $K$ together with a nonsingular quadratic form $q_{x}$ such that $q_{X}(x y)$ $=q_{x}(x) q_{x}(y), x, y \in X$. Thanks to a theorem due to Hurwitz (cf. [1], Theorem 3.25, p. 73), such algebras are completely determined. Namely, an algebra ( $X, q_{X}$ ) is one of the following: (I) $X=K$; (II) $X$ $=K \oplus K$; (III) $X=a$ quadratic extension of $K$; (IV) $X=a$ quaternion algebra over $K$; (V) $X=a$ Cayley algebra over $K$. Furthermore, if $X=K$, then $q_{X}(x)=x^{2}$; otherwise $q_{X}$ is the norm form on $X$. Therefore, we shall put $n(x)=q_{x}(x)$.

From now on, assume that $K=F_{q}$, the finite field with $q$ (odd) elements. Then the composition algebras $(X, n(x)=\bar{x} x)$ can be described more precisely as follows:
( I ) $X=K, \bar{x}=x, n(x)=x^{2}$,
(II) $X=K \oplus K, \bar{x}=\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right)$ if $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$, and $n(x)=x_{1} x_{2}$,
(III) $X=F_{q^{2}}=$ the unique quadratic extension of $K, \bar{x}=$ the conjugate of $x, n(x)=\bar{x} x$,
(IV) $X=K_{2}=$ the algeba of matrices of order $2, \bar{x}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}x_{4} & -x_{2} \\ -x_{3} & x_{1}\end{array}\right)$ if $x=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x_{1} & x_{2} \\ x_{3} & x_{4}\end{array}\right)$, and $n(x)=\bar{x} x=\operatorname{det} x$,
(V) $X=K_{2} \times K_{2}$ with the multiplication $z w=(x u+\bar{v} y, v x+y \bar{u})$ for $z=(x, y), w=(u, v) \in X$ where $\bar{x}=$ the adjoint of $x \in K_{2}$ defined above, and $\bar{z}=(\bar{x},-y), n(z)=n(x)-n(y)=\operatorname{det} x-\operatorname{det} y$.

The Hopf map $F$ associated to a composition algebra $(X, n)$ is the map
(0.1) $F: Z=X \times X \rightarrow W=K \times X$ defined by

$$
F(z)=(n(x)-n(y), 2 x y) .
$$

Our purpose is to determine the invariants $\sigma_{F}, \rho_{F}$ introduced in the paper (I) for the map $F$ with respect to the quadratic character $\chi$ of $K$.

1) For example, we mean by (II.3.4) the item (3.4) in (II).
§ 1. Statement of the results. Let $K=F_{q}$ ( $q$ : odd) and let $F$ be a quadratic mapping $X \rightarrow Y$ of vector spaces over $K, n=\operatorname{dim} X, m=\operatorname{dim}$ $Y$. For each $\lambda \in Y^{*}$ (the dual of $Y$ ) put $F_{\lambda}=\lambda_{0} F$, a quadratic form on $X$. Denote by $r_{\lambda}$ the rank of $F_{\lambda}$. Put
(1.1) $S_{F_{\lambda}}=\sum_{x \in X} \chi\left(F_{\lambda}(x)\right)$,
where $\chi$ means the quadratic character of the multiplicative group $K^{\times}$ (extended by $\chi(0)=0$ ). It is known that (cf. (II.1.3), (II.1.4))
(1.2) $\quad S_{F_{\lambda}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}0, \quad \text { if } r_{\lambda} \text { is even, } \\ q^{n-\left(\left(r_{\lambda}+1\right) / 2\right)}(q-1) \chi\left((-1)^{\left(r_{\lambda}-1\right) / 2} d_{\lambda}\right), \quad \text { if } r_{\lambda} \text { is odd, }\end{array}\right.$ where $d_{\lambda}=\operatorname{det} F_{\lambda}$. From (1.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{F} \stackrel{\text { dof }}{=} \sum_{\lambda \in Y^{*}}\left|S_{F_{\lambda}}\right|^{2}=(q-1)^{2} \sum_{r_{\lambda} \text { odd }} q^{2 n-r_{\lambda}-1} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (I.1.11), (1.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{F} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{(x, y) \in P} \chi(F(x): F(y))^{2)}=q^{n-m}(q-1) \sum_{r_{\lambda} \text { odd }} q^{n-r_{\lambda}} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(1.5) Theorem. Let $X$ be a composition algebra over the field $K=F_{q}(q$ : odd), and $F: X \times X \rightarrow K \times X$ be the associated Hopf map. Then, we have $S_{F_{\lambda}}=0$ for all $\lambda \in(K \times X)^{*}$ (and hence $\sigma_{F}=\rho_{F}=0$ ) except for the case (I) $X=K, q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and in the latter case $\sigma_{F}=2 q^{2}(q-1)^{3}$, $\rho_{F}=2 q(q-1)^{2}$.

Our proof of the theorem splits into five parts according to the classification.
§ 2. Type (I). In this case, we have $X=K, n(x)=x^{2}, Z=X^{2}=K^{2}$, $W=K \times X=K^{2}$ and $F(z)=\left(x^{2}-y^{2}, 2 x y\right)$. Hence, $F_{\lambda}(z)=\lambda_{1}\left(x^{2}-y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{2}(2 x y)$ $=\lambda_{1} x^{2}+2 \lambda_{2} x y-\lambda_{1} y^{2}$ and the corresponding matrix is

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2}  \tag{2.1}\\
\lambda_{2} & -\lambda_{1}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

When $\lambda \neq 0$, we have $r_{\lambda}=\operatorname{rank} \Phi_{\lambda}=1$ or 2 according as $\lambda_{2}^{1}+\lambda_{2}^{2}=0$ or $\neq 0$. Therefore $r_{\lambda}=2$ always when $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. On the other hand, when $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, since the number of $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \neq 0$ with $\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}=0$ is $2(q-1)$, there are $2(q-1) \lambda$ 's for which $r_{\lambda}=1$. For each such $\lambda$ we have, by (1.2), $\left|S_{F_{2}}\right|=q(q-1)$ and so $\sigma_{F}=2 q^{2}(q-1)^{3}, \rho_{F}=2 q(q-1)^{2}$ by (1.3), (1.4).
§3. Type (II). In this case, we have $X=K \oplus K, n(x)=x_{1} x_{2}$ for $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in X, Z=X \times X, W=K \times X$ and $F(z)=(n(x)-n(y), 2 x y)$ where $x y=\left(x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}\right)$. Hence, if we put $\lambda_{1}=\gamma \in K, \lambda^{\prime}=(\alpha, \beta) \in X^{*}$, we have $F_{\lambda}(z)=\lambda_{1}(n(x)-n(y))+2 \lambda^{\prime}(x y)=\gamma\left(x_{1} x_{2}-y_{1} y_{2}\right)+2 \alpha x_{1} y_{1}+2 \beta x_{2} y_{2}$ and the corresponding matrix is

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\frac{\gamma}{2} J &  \tag{3.1}\\
A & -\frac{\gamma}{2} J
\end{array}\right)
$$

2) As for the meaning of unexplained notations, see (I. § 1).
with

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{3.2}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha & 0 \\
0 & \beta
\end{array}\right)
$$

Observe that $r_{\lambda}=4-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$. Therefore, if $\gamma=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$ $=2 \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$, hence $r_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \neq 0$, since we have
(3.3) $\quad \Phi_{\lambda}\binom{x}{y}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\gamma}{2} J x+A y=0, \\ \frac{\gamma}{2} J y-A x=0,\end{array}\right.$
on eliminating $y$, we have
(3.4) $\left(\gamma^{2} J+4 A J A\right) x=0$.

Since a simple computation shows that
(3.5) $A J A=\alpha \beta J$,
(3.4) is equivalent to the trivial equation
(3.6) $\quad\left(\gamma^{2}+4 \alpha \beta\right) u=0$,
which implies that $r_{2}=\operatorname{rank} \Phi_{2}=4-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{2}\right)=4-(0$ or 2$) \equiv 0(\bmod$ 2), again.
§4. Type (III). In this case, we have $X=\boldsymbol{F}_{q^{2}}=K(\theta), \theta^{2}=m \in K$, $n(x)=x_{1}^{2}-m x_{2}^{2}$ if $x=x_{1}+\theta x_{2}, Z=X \times X, W=K \times X$ and $F(z)=(n(x)$ $-n(y), 2 x y)$ where $x y=\left(x_{1} y_{1}+m x_{2} y_{2}\right)+\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right) \theta$. Hence, if we put $\lambda_{1}=\gamma \in K$, $\lambda^{\prime}=(\alpha, \beta) \in X^{*}$, we have $F_{\lambda}(z)=\lambda_{1}(n(x)-n(y))+2 \lambda^{\prime}(x y)$ $=\gamma\left(x_{1}^{2}-m x_{2}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}+m y_{2}^{2}\right)+2 \alpha\left(x_{1} y_{1}+m x_{2} y_{2}\right)+2 \beta\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right)$ and the corresponding matrix is

$$
\Phi_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\gamma J & A  \tag{4.1}\\
A & -\gamma J
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0  \tag{4.2}\\
0 & -m
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\alpha & \beta \\
\beta & m \alpha
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Observe that $r_{\lambda}=4-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{2}\right)$. Therefore, if $\gamma=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$ $=2 \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)$ and so $r_{\lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \neq 0$, since we have

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}\binom{x}{y}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma J x+A y=0  \tag{4.3}\\
\gamma J y-A x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have
(4.4) $\left(\gamma^{2} J+A J^{-1} A\right) x=0$.

Since a simple computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A J^{-1} A=\left(\alpha^{2}-\frac{\beta^{2}}{m}\right) J \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.4) is equivalent to the trivial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\gamma^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-\frac{\beta^{2}}{m}\right)\right) u=0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $r_{\lambda}=\operatorname{rank} \Phi_{\lambda}=4-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)=4-(0$ or 2$) \equiv 0(\bmod$ 2), again.
§ 5. Type (IV). In this case, we have $X=K_{2}, n(x)=\operatorname{det} x, Z=X$ $\times X, W=K \times X$ and $F(z)=(n(x)-n(y), 2 x y)$ where $x y$ is the matrix multiplication. Hence, if we put $\lambda_{1}=\gamma \in K, \lambda^{\prime}=\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4}\end{array}\right) \in X^{*}$ with $\alpha x=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i} x_{i}$, we have $F_{\lambda}(z)=\lambda_{1}(n(x)-n(y))+2 \lambda^{\prime}(x y)=\gamma\left(x_{1} x_{4}-x_{2} x_{3}-y_{1} y_{4}\right.$ $\left.+y_{2} y_{3}\right)+2 \alpha_{1}\left(x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{3}\right)+2 \alpha_{2}\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{4}\right)+2 \alpha_{3}\left(x_{3} y_{1}+x_{4} y_{3}\right)+2 \alpha_{4}\left(x_{3} y_{2}+x_{4} y_{4}\right)$ and the corresponding matrix is

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\gamma}{2} J & A  \tag{5.1}\\
t_{A} & -\frac{\gamma}{2} J
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & & 1  \tag{5.2}\\
& & -1 & \\
& -1 & &
\end{array}\right), \quad A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Observe that $r_{\lambda}=8-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$. Therefore, if $\gamma=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$ $=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}{ }^{t} A\right)=2 \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)$ and so $r_{\lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \neq 0$, since we have

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}\binom{x}{y}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\gamma}{2} J x+A y=0  \tag{5.3}\\
\frac{\gamma}{2} J y-{ }^{t} A x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have
(5.4) $\quad\left(\gamma^{2} J+4 A J^{t} A\right) x=0$.

Since a simple computation shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A J^{t} A=(\operatorname{det} \alpha) J \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5.4) is equivalent to the trivial equation
(5.6) $\quad\left(\gamma^{2}+4 \operatorname{det} \alpha\right) u=0$,
which implies that $r_{\lambda}=\operatorname{rank} \Phi_{\lambda}=8-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)=8-(0$ or 4$) \equiv 0(\bmod$ 2), again.
§6. Type (V). In this case, we have $X=K_{2} \times K_{2}, n(z)=\operatorname{det} x$ $-\operatorname{det} y$ if $z=(x, y)=X, Z=X \times X, W=K \times X$ and $F(z, w)=(n(z)-n(w)$, $2 z w)$ where $z=(x, y), w=(u, v) \in Z=X \times X$ and $z w=(x u+\bar{v} y, v x+y \bar{u})$. Hence, if we put $\lambda_{1}=\gamma \in K, \lambda^{\prime}=(\alpha, \beta) \in X^{*}$ with $\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4}\end{array}\right), \beta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\beta_{1} & \beta_{2} \\ \beta_{3} & \beta_{4}\end{array}\right)$, we have $F_{\lambda}(z, w)=\lambda_{1}(n(z)-n(w))+2 \lambda^{\prime}(z w)=\gamma(\operatorname{det} x-\operatorname{det} y-\operatorname{det} u+\operatorname{det} v)$ $+2 \alpha(x u+\bar{v} y)+2 \beta(v x+y \bar{u})^{3}$ and the corresponding matrix is

[^0](6.1)
\[

\Phi_{2}=\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\gamma}{2} J & A \\
{ }^{t} A & -\frac{\gamma}{2} J
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc}
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 & \beta_{3} & 0  \tag{6.2}\\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{4} & 0 \\
\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 & \beta_{3} \\
0 & 0 & \alpha_{3} & \alpha_{4} & 0 & \beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{4} \\
\hline 0 & -\beta_{2} & 0 & \beta_{1} & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{3} & \alpha_{1} \\
\beta_{2} & 0 & -\beta_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{2} \\
0 & -\beta_{4} & 0 & \beta_{3} & \alpha_{3} & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\beta_{4} & 0 & -\beta_{3} & 0 & \alpha_{4} & -\alpha_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Observe that $r_{\lambda}=16-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{2}\right)$. Therefore, if $\gamma=0$, then $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)$ $=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}^{t} A\right)=2 \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)$ and so $r_{\lambda} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. On the other hand, if $\gamma \neq 0$, since we have
(6.3) $\Phi_{\lambda}\binom{x}{y}=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\gamma}{2} J x+A y=0, \\ \frac{\gamma}{2} J y-{ }^{t} A x=0,\end{array}\right.$
we have
(6.4) $\left(\gamma^{2} J+4 A J^{t} A\right) x=0$.

Since a simple (but lengthy) computation shows that
(6.5) $A J^{t} A=(\operatorname{det} \alpha-\operatorname{det} \beta) J$,
(6.4) is equivalent to the trivial equation
(6.6) $\left(\gamma^{2}+4(\operatorname{det} \alpha-\operatorname{det} \beta)\right) u=0$,
which implies that $r_{\lambda}=\operatorname{rank} \Phi_{\lambda}=16-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker} \Phi_{\lambda}\right)=16-(0$ or 8$) \equiv 0(\bmod$ 2), again.
Q.E.D.
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[^0]:    3) We here omit the expression in terms of coordinates because it is too long.
