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1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the boundedness and the attractivity properties of the forced second order nonlinear nonautonomous differential equation
(1) $\left(\mathrm{a}(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+h\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+q(t) f(x) g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=e\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$.

In [2], J. R. Graef and P. W. Spikes discussed the same problems as above, under some conditions. The condition described in [2] on the perturbed term $e\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ implies $e\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right) \equiv 0$ if $q(t)$ is independent of $t$. On the other hand, in [1], T. A. Burton considered the same problems as above for the equation
(2) $x^{\prime \prime}+f(x) h\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+g(x)=e(t)$ under some conditions.

For the equation (1) our results are strict extensions of those obtained in [2].

The attractivity result of Theorem 2 that obtained in [1] is a special case of our result.
2. Theorems. First, we consider the boundedness of solutions of the equation
(1) $\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+h\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+q(t) f(x) g\left(x^{\prime}\right)=e\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$
or an equivalent system of equations
(3) $x^{\prime}=y, y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{a(t)}\left\{-a^{\prime}(t) y-h(t, x, y)-q(t) f(x) g(y)+e(t, x, y)\right\}$.

Assumption $\mathbf{A}_{1}$. ( I ) $a(t)$ and $q(t)$ are continuously differentiable, positive functions in $I=[0,+\infty)$,
(II) $f(x)$ is a continuous function in $R^{1}$ which satisfies

$$
\int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} f(x) d x=+\infty
$$

(III) $g(y)$ is a continuous, positive function in $R^{1}$,
(IV) $h(t, x, y)$ and $e(t, x, y)$ are continuous functions in $I \times R^{2}$ and $h(t, x, y)$ satisfies the inequality $y h(t, x, y) \geqq 0$ in $I \times R^{2}$.

We shall define $\alpha^{\prime}(t)_{+}=\max \left\{a^{\prime}(t), 0\right\}$ and $a^{\prime}(t)_{-}=\max \left\{-a^{\prime}(t), 0\right\}$ so that $a^{\prime}(t)=a^{\prime}(t)_{+}-a^{\prime}(t)_{\text {. }}$. We also define the functions $F(x)$ and $G(y)$ by $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(u) d u$ and $G(y)=\int_{0}^{y}(v / g(v)) d v$.

[^0]Theorem 1. Suppose that Assumption $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ and the following conditions hold.
(4) $\quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a^{\prime}(t)\right|}{a(t)} d t<\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)} d t<\infty$.
(5) $\quad y^{2} / g(y) \leqq M G(y)$ in $|y| \geqq k$ for some constants $M>0$ and $k \geqq 0$.
(6) There exists a continuous, nonnegative function $r(t)$ satisfying

$$
|e(t, x, y)| \leqq \frac{a(t)\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M q(t)}+r(t) \text { and } \int_{0}^{\infty} r(t) d t<\infty
$$

Then all solutions of (1) are bounded.
If, in addition, the functions $G(y)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy the condition
(7) $G(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ and $q(t) \leqq q_{2}$ for some constant $q_{2}$, then all solutions of (3) are bounded.

Remark 1. From (4), there exist positive constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $q_{1}$ such that $a_{1} \leqq \alpha(t) \leqq \alpha_{2}$ and $q_{1} \leqq q(t)$ in $I$, because

$$
\begin{gathered}
a(t)=a(0) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{t} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)}{a(s)} d s\right\} \geqq a(0) \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)} d s\right\}=a_{1} \\
a(t) \leqq \alpha(0) \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{+}}{a(s)} d s\right\}=a_{2} \\
\text { and } q(t) \geqq q(0) \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)} d s\right\}=q_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Condition (III) in Assumption $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ implies that condition (5) is equivalent to the following condition :
(5) There exists a constant $M^{\prime}>0$ such that $y^{2} / g(y) \leqq M^{\prime} G(y)$ in $R^{1}$. Moreover it follows from condition (5) that $|y| / g(y) \leqq m+M G(y)$ and $y^{2} / g(y) \leqq m^{\prime}+M G(y)$ in $R^{1}$ for some positive constants $m$ and $m^{\prime}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since condition (II) implies that $F(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a real number $F_{0}$ satisfying the inequality $F(x)+F_{0} \geqq 0$ for arbitrary $x$ in $R^{1}$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(t, x, y)= & {\left[\frac{q(t)}{a(t)} \cdot\left(F(x)+F_{0}\right)+G(y)+\frac{m}{M}\right] } \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)} d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)} d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and differentiate $V(t) \equiv V(t, x(t), y(t))$ with respect to $t$ for any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (3), then we have for any $t \geqq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(t) \leqq & {\left[\left(-\frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{+}}{a(t)}+\frac{\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{q(t)}\right) \cdot \frac{q(t)}{a(t)} \cdot\left(F(x)+F_{0}\right)+\left(-\frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+2 \frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.\cdot\left(G(y)+\frac{m}{M}\right)-\frac{a^{\prime}(t) y^{2}}{a(t) g(y)}-\frac{y h(t, x, y)}{a(t) g(y)}+\frac{y e(t, x, y)}{a(t) g(y)}\right] \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{t} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)} d s+2 \int_{0}^{t} \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)} d s\right\} \\
\leqq & \left\{\frac{\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{q(t)}+M \frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+2 \frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)}+\frac{M}{a_{1}} r(t)\right\} V(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\left\{m^{\prime} \frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+\frac{2 m q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{M q(t)}+\frac{m}{a_{1}} r(t)\right\} \exp \left\{2 \int_{0}^{t} \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)} d s\right\}
$$

This gives the following inequality :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(t) \leqq & V\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{m^{\prime} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}+\frac{2 m q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{M q(s)}+\frac{m}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} \\
& \cdot \exp \left\{2 \int_{0}^{s} \frac{q^{\prime}(\tau)_{-}}{q(\tau)} d \tau\right\} d s+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\frac{\left|q^{\prime}(s)\right|}{q(s)}+M \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{M}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} V(s) d s \quad \text { for } t \geqq t_{0} \geqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (4), (6) and Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V(t) \leqq & {\left[V\left(t_{0}\right)+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left\{m^{\prime} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}+\frac{2 m q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{M q(s)}+\frac{m}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} d s\right.} \\
& \left.\cdot \exp \left\{2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)} d s\right\}\right] \cdot \exp \left[\int _ { t _ { 0 } } ^ { t } \left\{\frac{\left|q^{\prime}(s)\right|}{q(s)}+M \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\frac{2 q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{M}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} d s\right] \\
= & c_{1} \cdot \exp \left[\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\{\frac{q^{\prime}(s)}{q(s)}+M \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}+4 \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{M}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} d s\right] \\
\leqq & c_{1} \cdot \exp \left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{M \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}+\frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{M}{a_{1}} r(s)\right\} d s\right] \cdot \frac{q(t)}{q\left(t_{0}\right)} \\
\leqq & c_{2} q(t) \quad \text { for } t \geqq t_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x(t)) & \leqq V(t) \frac{a(t)}{q(t)} \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}-2 \frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}\right\} d s\right] \\
& \leqq c_{2} a_{2} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)} d s\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
G(y(t)) \leqq c_{2} \cdot \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)} d s\right\} \cdot q(t) \quad \text { for } t \geqq t_{0}
$$

The conclusions of Theorem 1 follow from (II) and (7). Q.E.D.
Corollary 1. Suppose that Assumption $\mathrm{A}_{1}$, condition (6) and the following conditions hold.
(8) $\quad a^{\prime}(t) \geqq 0, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)} d t<\infty$ and $a(t) \leqq a_{2}$ for some $a_{2}>0$.
(9) $|y| / g(y) \leqq m+M G(y)$ in $R^{1}$ for some positive constants $m$ and $M$.
Then all solutions of (1) are bounded.
If, in addition, the functions $G(y)$ and $q(t)$ satisfy condition (7), then all solutions of (3) are bounded.

Next, we consider the attractivity properties of the equation
(10) $\quad\left(a(t) x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) x^{\prime}+q(t) f_{2}(x) g_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right) x=e\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$
or an equivalent system of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=y,  \tag{11}\\
& y^{\prime}=\frac{1}{a(t)}\left\{-a^{\prime}(t) y-p(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}(y) y-q(t) f_{2}(x) g_{2}(y) x+e(t, x, y)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Assumption $\mathbf{A}_{2}$. ( I ) $a(t)$ and $q(t)$ are continuously differentiable, positive functions in $I=[0,+\infty)$,
( V ) $p(t)$ is continuous in I and satisfies $p_{1} \leqq p(t) \leqq p_{2}$ for some positive constants $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$,
( VI ) $f_{1}(x)$ and $f_{2}(x)$ are continuous, positive functions in $R^{1}$ and $f_{2}(x)$ satisfies $\int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} x f_{2}(x) d x=+\infty$,
(VII) $g_{1}(y)$ and $g_{2}(y)$ are continuous, positive functions in $R^{1}$ and $g_{2}(y)$ satisfies $\int_{0}^{ \pm \infty} \frac{y}{g_{2}(y)} d y=+\infty$,
(VIII) $\quad e(t, x, y)$ is a continuous function in $I \times R^{2}$.

We define the function $G_{0}(y)$ by $G_{0}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{v}{g_{2}(v)} d v$.
Theorem 2. Suppose that Assumption $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ and the following conditions hold.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a^{\prime}(t)\right|}{a(t)} d t<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{q(t)} d t<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(13) $\quad y^{2} / g_{2}(y) \leqq M G_{0}(y)$ in $|y| \geqq k$ for some constants $M>0$ and $k \geqq 0$.
(14) There exists a continuous nonnegative function $r(t)$ such that

$$
|e(t, x, y)| \leqq r(t) \text { in } I \times R^{2} \text { and } \int_{0}^{\infty} r(t) d t<\infty
$$

Then every solution of (11) approaches $(0,0)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
We require the following lemma to prove Theorem 2.
Lemma 1. Consider the system of differential equations
(S) $\quad x^{\prime}=f(t, x), \quad f \in C[I \times D]$ where $D=\left\{x \in R^{n} \mid\|x\| \leqq K\right\}$. If there exists a Liapunov function $U(t, x)$ such that
(i) $U \in C^{1}[I \times D]$,
(ii) $a \cdot\|x\|^{2} \leqq U(t, x)$ where $a$ is a positive constant,
(iii) $U_{(s)}^{\prime} \leqq-\lambda U+r(t)$ where $\lambda$ is a positive constant, $r \in C[I]$,

$$
r(t) \geqq 0, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} r(t) d t<\infty \quad \text { and } \quad U_{(s)}^{\prime}=\frac{\partial U}{\partial t}+f \cdot \operatorname{grad} U,
$$

then every solution, defined in the future in $D$, approaches the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (S) which stays in $D$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$ and let $U(t)=U(t, x(t)$ ). Then from (iii) we have that

$$
U(t) \leqq U\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} r(s) d s \quad \text { for } t \geqq t_{0}
$$

This inequality and condition (ii) imply that

$$
\|x(t)\|^{2} \leqq \frac{1}{a}\left\{U\left(t_{0}\right) e^{-\lambda\left(t-t_{0}\right)}+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)} r(s) d s\right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore $x(t)$ approaches the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2. From condition (12) there exist positive constants $a_{1}, a_{2}, q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ satisfying $a_{1} \leqq \alpha(t) \leqq \alpha_{2}$ and $q_{1} \leqq q(t) \leqq q_{2}$ in $I$. Therefore the boundedness of solutions of (11) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Then for each solution $(x(t), y(t))$ defined in $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$ of (11), there exists a positive constant $K$ such that $|x(t)|+|y(t)|$ $\leqq K$ for $t \geqq t_{0}$. Now we define $F_{1}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f_{1}(u) d u, F_{2}(x)=\int_{0}^{x} u f_{2}(u) d u$, $G_{1}(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{1}{g_{1}(v)} d v$ and $G_{2}(y)=L G_{0}(y)-\frac{1}{2}\left\{G_{1}(y)\right\}^{2}$ where $L$ is a positive constant to be determined later. Conditions (VI) and (VII) imply that
(15) $\quad c_{1} \leqq f_{1}(x) \leqq c_{2}, c_{3} \leqq f_{2}(x) \leqq c_{4}, c_{5} \leqq g_{1}(y) \leqq c_{6}$ and $c_{7} \leqq g_{2}(y) \leqq c_{8}$ in $|x|+|y| \leqq K$ for some positive constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{8}$. Let

$$
V(t, x, y)=\frac{1}{2 q(t)}\left\{\boldsymbol{F}_{1}(x)+G_{1}(y)\right\}^{2}+\frac{L}{a(t)} \boldsymbol{F}_{2}(x)+\frac{1}{q(t)} G_{2}(y)
$$

for $t \in I,|x|+|y| \leqq K$, then we have

$$
V(t, x, y) \geqq \frac{L}{a(t)} F_{2}(x)+\frac{1}{q(t)} G_{2}(y) \geqq \frac{c_{3} L}{2 a_{2}} x^{2}+\frac{1}{q_{2}}\left(\frac{L}{2 c_{8}}-\frac{1}{2 c_{5}^{2}}\right) y^{2} \geqq 0
$$

for $L$ large enough. Differentiating $V(t) \equiv V(t, x(t), y(t))$ with respect to $t$ for any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (11), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(t)= & -\frac{q^{\prime}(t)}{2 q(t)^{2}}\left\{F_{1}(x) G_{1}(y)\right\}^{2}+\frac{1}{q(t)} f_{1}(x) y\left\{F_{1}(x)+G_{1}(y)\right\}-\frac{a^{\prime}(t) F_{1}(x) y}{a(t) q(t) g_{1}(y)} \\
& -\frac{p(t)}{a(t) q(t)} F_{1}(x) f_{1}(x) y-\frac{F_{1}(x) f_{2}(x) g_{2}(y) x}{a(t) g_{1}(y)}-\frac{L a^{\prime}(t)}{a(t)^{2}} F_{2}(x) \\
& -\frac{q^{\prime}(t)}{q(t)^{2}} G_{2}(y)-\frac{L a^{\prime}(t) y^{2}}{a(t) q(t) g_{2}(y)}-\frac{L p(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}(y) y^{2}}{a(t) q(t) g_{2}(y)}+\frac{e(t, x, y)}{a(t) q(t)} \\
& \times\left\{\frac{F_{1}(x)}{g_{1}(y)}+\frac{L y}{g_{2}(y)}\right\} \leqq \frac{q^{\prime}(t)-}{q(t)}\left[\frac{1}{2 q(t)}\left\{F_{1}(x)+G_{1}(y)\right\}^{2}+\frac{1}{q(t)}\left|G_{2}(y)\right|\right] \\
& +\frac{a^{\prime}(t)-}{a(t)}\left\{\frac{\left|F_{1}(x) y\right|}{q(t) g_{1}(y)}+\frac{L}{a(t)} F_{2}(x)+\frac{L y^{2}}{q(t) g_{2}(y)}\right\} \\
& +\frac{r(t)}{a(t) q(t)}\left\{\frac{\left|F_{1}(x)\right|}{g_{1}(y)}+\frac{L|y|}{g_{2}(y)}\right\}+\frac{f_{1}(x)}{q(t)}\left\{\left|F_{1}(x) y\right|+y G_{1}(y)\right\} \\
& +\frac{p(t) f_{1}(x)}{a(t) q(t)}\left|F_{1}(x) y\right|-\frac{f_{2}(x) g_{2}(y)}{a(t) g_{1}(y)} x F_{1}(x)-\frac{L p(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}(y)}{a(t) q(t) g_{2}(y)} y^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (15), we obtain $\left|F_{1}(x) y\right| \leqq c_{2}|x y|, y G_{1}(y) \leqq\left(1 / c_{5}\right) y^{2}$ and $x F_{1}(x) \geqq c_{1} x^{2}$ in $|x|+|y| \leqq K$. We can also choose $L$ so large that

$$
\begin{gathered}
G_{2}(y) \geqq\left(\frac{L}{c_{8}}-\frac{1}{c_{5}^{2}}\right) y^{2} \geqq 0, \quad \frac{y^{2}}{g_{2}(y)} \leqq G_{2}(y), \\
\frac{\left|F_{1}(x)\right|}{g_{1}(y)}+\frac{L|y|}{g_{2}(y)} \leqq \frac{c_{2}}{c_{5}}|x|+\frac{L}{c_{7}}|y| \leqq\left(\frac{c_{2}}{c_{5}}+\frac{L}{c_{7}}\right) K, \\
\left\{1+\frac{p(t)}{a(t)}\right\} \frac{f_{1}(x)}{q(t)} \cdot\left|F_{1}(x) y\right|+\frac{f_{1}(x)}{q(t)} y G_{1}(y)-\frac{f_{2}(x) g_{2}(y)}{a(t) g_{1}(y)} x F_{1}(x) \\
-\frac{L p(t) f_{1}(x) g_{1}(y)}{a(t) q(t) g_{2}(y)} y^{2} \leqq-c_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and $c_{10}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \leqq V(t, x, y) \leqq c_{11}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)$ in $|x|+|y| \leqq K$ for some positive constants $c_{9}, c_{10}, c_{11}$. It is easy to show that $\left|F_{1}(x) y\right| / g_{1}(y) \leqq\left(c_{2} / 2 c_{5}\right) K^{2}$ in $|x|+|y| \leqq K$. Thus we have the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}(t) \leqq & \frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)} V(t)+(1+L) \frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)} V(t)+\frac{c_{2} K^{2}}{2 c_{5} q_{1}} \cdot \frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)} \\
& +\frac{K}{a_{1} q_{1}}\left(\frac{c_{2}}{c_{5}}+\frac{L}{c_{7}}\right) r(t)-c_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) \\
& \leqq L_{1}\left[\left\{\frac{q^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{q(t)}+\frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}\right\} V(t)+\frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+r(t)\right]-c_{8}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $L_{1}>0$. Define

$$
W(t, x, y)=V(t, x, y) \cdot \exp \left[-L_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}\right\} d s\right]
$$

then we obtain

$$
W(t, x, y) \geqq c_{10} \cdot \exp \left[-L_{1} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}\right\} d s\right] \cdot\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)
$$

and
$W^{\prime}(t) \leqq\left\{L_{1}\left(\frac{a^{\prime}(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+r(t)\right)-c_{9}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left[-L_{1} \int_{0}^{t}\left\{\frac{q^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{q(s)}+\frac{a^{\prime}(s)_{-}}{a(s)}\right\} d s\right]$, where $W(t, x(t), y(t))$ for any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (11). We will use Lemma 1 to complete the proof of Theorem 2.
Q.E.D.

Remark 2. If we replace condition (6) by the following condition:
$(6)^{\prime} \quad|e(t, x, y)| \leqq \frac{\alpha(t)\left|q^{\prime}(t)\right|}{M q(t)}+r_{1}(t)+r_{2}(t)|y|, \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{i}(t) d t<\infty(i=1,2)$, then the same conclusions as those of Theorem 1 and those of Corollary 1 are valid.

Remark 3. If we replace condition (14) by
$(14)^{\prime} \cdot|e(t, x, y)| \leqq r_{1}(t)+r_{2}(t)|y|, \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{i}(t) d t<\infty(i=1,2)$,
then the same conclusion as that of Theorem 2 is valid.
Remark 4. If we replace condition (14) by
$(14)^{\prime \prime}|e(t, x, y)| \leqq r_{1}(t)+r_{2}(t)|x|+r_{3}(t)|y|, \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{i}(t) d t<\infty(i=1,2,3)$, and we assume that $f_{2}(x) \geqq \varepsilon>0$ in $R^{1}$ and either that $y^{2} / g_{2}(y) \leqq M G_{0}(y)$, $g_{2}(y) \geqq \delta>0$ in $R^{1}$ or that $|y| / g_{2}(y) \leqq M \sqrt{G_{0}(y)}, g_{2}(y) \leqq \gamma$ in $R^{1}$, then the same conclusion as that of Theorem 2 is valid.

The proofs of these results are analogous to that of J. W. Heidel [3] and will be published later.
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