24. On the Boundedness and the Attractivity Properties of Nonlinear Second Order Differential Equations

By Sadahisa SAKATA*) and Minoru YAMAMOTO**)

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1981)

1. Introduction. In this paper we consider the boundedness and the attractivity properties of the forced second order nonlinear nonautonomous differential equation

(1) (a(t)x')' + h(t, x, x') + q(t)f(x)g(x') = e(t, x, x').

In [2], J. R. Graef and P. W. Spikes discussed the same problems as above, under some conditions. The condition described in [2] on the perturbed term e(t, x, x') implies $e(t, x, x') \equiv 0$ if q(t) is independent of t. On the other hand, in [1], T. A. Burton considered the same problems as above for the equation

(2) x'' + f(x)h(x')x' + g(x) = e(t)under some conditions.

For the equation (1) our results are strict extensions of those obtained in [2].

The attractivity result of Theorem 2 that obtained in [1] is a special case of our result.

2. Theorems. First, we consider the boundedness of solutions of the equation

(1) (a(t)x')' + h(t, x, x') + q(t)f(x)g(x') = e(t, x, x')or an equivalent system of equations

(3)
$$x' = y, y' = \frac{1}{a(t)} \{ -a'(t)y - h(t, x, y) - q(t)f(x)g(y) + e(t, x, y) \}.$$

Assumption A₁. (I) a(t) and q(t) are continuously differentiable, positive functions in $I=[0, +\infty)$,

(II) f(x) is a continuous function in \mathbb{R}^1 which satisfies

$$\int_0^{\pm\infty} f(x) dx = +\infty,$$

(III) g(y) is a continuous, positive function in \mathbb{R}^1 ,

(IV) h(t, x, y) and e(t, x, y) are continuous functions in $I \times R^2$ and h(t, x, y) satisfies the inequality $yh(t, x, y) \ge 0$ in $I \times R^2$.

We shall define $a'(t)_{+} = \max\{a'(t), 0\}$ and $a'(t)_{-} = \max\{-a'(t), 0\}$ so that $a'(t) = a'(t)_{+} - a'(t)_{-}$. We also define the functions F(x) and G(y) by $F(x) = \int_{0}^{x} f(u) du$ and $G(y) = \int_{0}^{y} (v/g(v)) dv$.

^{*)} Osaka University.

^{**)} Nara Medical University.

Theorem 1. Suppose that Assumption A_1 and the following conditions hold.

$$(4) \quad \int_0^\infty \frac{|a'(t)|}{a(t)} dt < \infty, \qquad \int_0^\infty \frac{q'(t)}{q(t)} dt < \infty.$$

(5) $y^2/g(y) \leq MG(y)$ in $|y| \geq k$ for some constants M > 0 and $k \geq 0$.

(6) There exists a continuous, nonnegative function r(t) satisfying

$$|e(t, x, y)| \leq rac{a(t)|q'(t)|}{Mq(t)} + r(t) ext{ and } \int_0^\infty r(t)dt < \infty.$$

Then all solutions of (1) are bounded.

If, in addition, the functions G(y) and q(t) satisfy the condition

(7) $G(y) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$ and $q(t) \leq q_2$ for some constant q_2 , then all solutions of (3) are bounded.

Remark 1. From (4), there exist positive constants a_1, a_2 and q_1 such that $a_1 \leq a(t) \leq a_2$ and $q_1 \leq q(t)$ in *I*, because

$$a(t) = a(0) \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} ds\right\} \ge a(0) \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} ds\right\} = a_{1},$$

$$a(t) \le a(0) \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a'(s)_{+}}{a(s)} ds\right\} = a_{2}$$

and $q(t) \ge q(0) \exp\left\{-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} ds\right\} = q_{1}.$

Condition (III) in Assumption A_1 implies that condition (5) is equivalent to the following condition:

(5)' There exists a constant M' > 0 such that $y^2/g(y) \le M'G(y)$ in R^1 . Moreover it follows from condition (5) that $|y|/g(y) \le m + MG(y)$ and $y^2/g(y) \le m' + MG(y)$ in R^1 for some positive constants m and m'.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since condition (II) implies that $F(x) \to \infty$ as $|x| \to \infty$, there exists a real number F_0 satisfying the inequality $F(x) + F_0 \ge 0$ for arbitrary x in R^1 . Let

$$V(t, x, y) = \left[\frac{q(t)}{a(t)} \cdot (F(x) + F_0) + G(y) + \frac{m}{M}\right] \\ \cdot \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} ds + 2\int_0^t \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} ds\right\}$$

and differentiate $V(t) \equiv V(t, x(t), y(t))$ with respect to t for any solution (x(t), y(t)) of (3), then we have for any $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{split} V'(t) &\leq \Big[\Big(-\frac{a'(t)_{+}}{a(t)} + \frac{|q'(t)|}{q(t)} \Big) \cdot \frac{q(t)}{a(t)} \cdot (F(x) + F_{0}) + \Big(-\frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} + 2\frac{q'(t)_{-}}{q(t)} \Big) \\ &\quad \cdot \Big(G(y) + \frac{m}{M} \Big) - \frac{a'(t)y^{2}}{a(t)g(y)} - \frac{yh(t, x, y)}{a(t)g(y)} + \frac{ye(t, x, y)}{a(t)g(y)} \Big] \\ &\quad \cdot \exp \Big\{ - \int_{0}^{t} \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} ds + 2 \int_{0}^{t} \frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} ds \Big\} \\ &\leq \Big\{ \frac{|q'(t)|}{q(t)} + M \frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} + 2\frac{q'(t)_{-}}{q(t)} + \frac{M}{a_{1}} r(t) \Big\} V(t) \end{split}$$

$$+\left\{m'\frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)}+\frac{2mq'(t)_{-}}{Mq(t)}+\frac{m}{a_{1}}r(t)\right\}\exp\left\{2\int_{0}^{t}\frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)}ds\right\}$$

This gives the following inequality:

$$egin{aligned} V(t) &\leq V(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t \left\{ m' \, rac{a'(s)_-}{a(s)} + rac{2mq'(s)_-}{Mq(s)} + rac{m}{a_1} \, r(s)
ight\} \ & \cdot \exp \Big\{ 2 \int_0^s rac{q'(au)_-}{q(au)} \, d au \Big\} ds + \int_{t_0}^t \Big\{ rac{|q'(s)|}{q(s)} + M rac{a'(s)_-}{a(s)} \ & + 2 rac{q'(s)_-}{q(s)} + rac{M}{a_1} \, r(s) \Big\} V(s) ds \quad ext{ for } t \geq t_0 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (4), (6) and Gronwall's lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{split} V(t) &\leq \left[V(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left\{ m' \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} + \frac{2mq'(s)_{-}}{Mq(s)} + \frac{m}{a_1} r(s) \right\} ds \\ &\quad \cdot \exp\left\{ 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} ds \right\} \right] \cdot \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^{t} \left\{ \frac{|q'(s)|}{q(s)} + M \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} + \frac{2q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} + \frac{M}{a_1} r(s) \right\} ds \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{2q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} + \frac{M}{a_1} r(s) \right\} ds \right] \\ &= c_1 \cdot \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^{t} \left\{ \frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} + M \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} + 4 \frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} + \frac{M}{a_1} r(s) \right\} ds \right] \\ &\leq c_1 \cdot \exp\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ M \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} + \frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)} + \frac{M}{a_1} r(s) \right\} ds \right] \cdot \frac{q(t)}{q(t_0)} \\ &\leq c_2 q(t) \qquad \text{for } t \geq t_0. \end{split}$$

Therefore it follows that

$$F(x(t)) \leq V(t) \frac{a(t)}{q(t)} \exp\left[\int_{0}^{t} \left\{\frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} - 2\frac{q'(s)_{-}}{q(s)}\right\} ds\right]$$
$$\leq c_{2}a_{2} \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{a'(s)_{-}}{a(s)} ds\right\}$$

and

$$G(y(t)) \leq c_2 \cdot \exp\left\{\int_0^\infty \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)} ds
ight\} \cdot q(t) \qquad ext{for } t \geq t_0.$$

The conclusions of Theorem 1 follow from (II) and (7). Q.E.D.

Corollary 1. Suppose that Assumption A_1 , condition (6) and the following conditions hold.

(8)
$$a'(t) \ge 0$$
, $\int_0^\infty \frac{q'(t)}{q(t)} dt < \infty$ and $a(t) \le a_2$ for some $a_2 > 0$.

(9) $|y|/g(y) \leq m + MG(y)$ in \mathbb{R}^1 for some positive constants m and M.

Then all solutions of (1) are bounded.

If, in addition, the functions G(y) and q(t) satisfy condition (7), then all solutions of (3) are bounded.

Next, we consider the attractivity properties of the equation

(10) $(a(t)x')' + p(t)f_1(x)g_1(x')x' + q(t)f_2(x)g_2(x')x = e(t, x, x')$ or an equivalent system of equations

No. 2]

Q.E.D.

(11) x' = y, $y' = \frac{1}{a(t)} \{ -a'(t)y - p(t)f_1(x)g_1(y)y - q(t)f_2(x)g_2(y)x + e(t, x, y) \}.$

Assumption A_2 . (I) a(t) and q(t) are continuously differentiable, positive functions in $I = [0, +\infty)$,

(V) p(t) is continuous in I and satisfies $p_1 \leq p(t) \leq p_2$ for some positive constants p_1 and p_2 ,

(VI) $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$ are continuous, positive functions in R^1 and $f_2(x)$ satisfies $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} x f_2(x) dx = +\infty$,

(VII) $g_1(y)$ and $g_2(y)$ are continuous, positive functions in R^1 and $g_2(y)$ satisfies $\int_0^{\pm\infty} \frac{y}{g_2(y)} dy = +\infty$,

(VIII) e(t, x, y) is a continuous function in $I \times R^2$.

We define the function $G_0(y)$ by $G_0(y) = \int_0^y \frac{v}{g_2(v)} dv$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that Assumption A_2 and the following conditions hold.

- (12) $\int_0^\infty \frac{|a'(t)|}{a(t)} dt < \infty$ and $\int_0^\infty \frac{|q'(t)|}{q(t)} dt < \infty$.
- (13) $y^2/g_2(y) \leq MG_0(y)$ in $|y| \geq k$ for some constants M > 0 and $k \geq 0$.
- (14) There exists a continuous nonnegative function r(t) such that

$$|e(t, x, y)| \leq r(t) \text{ in } I \times R^2 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty r(t) dt < \infty.$$

Then every solution of (11) approaches (0, 0) as $t \rightarrow \infty$. We require the following lemma to prove Theorem 2.

Lemma 1. Consider the system of differential equations

(S) $x' = f(t, x), \quad f \in C[I \times D] \text{ where } D = \{x \in R^n | ||x|| \leq K\}.$

If there exists a Liapunov function U(t, x) such that

- (i) $U \in C^{1}[I \times D]$,
- (ii) $a \cdot \|x\|^2 \leq U(t, x)$ where a is a positive constant,
- (iii) $U'_{(s)} \leq -\lambda U + r(t)$ where λ is a positive constant, $r \in C[I]$,

$$r(t) \ge 0$$
, $\int_0^\infty r(t) dt < \infty$ and $U'_{(s)} = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + f \cdot \operatorname{grad} U$,

then every solution, defined in the future in D, approaches the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let x(t) be a solution of (S) which stays in D for $t \ge t_0$ and let U(t) = U(t, x(t)). Then from (iii) we have that

$$U(t) \leq U(t_0)e^{-\lambda(t-t_0)} + \int_{t_0}^t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}r(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

This inequality and condition (ii) imply that

$$\|x(t)\|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{a} \left\{ U(t_{0})e^{-\lambda(t-t_{0})} + \int_{t_{0}}^{t} e^{-\lambda(t-s)}r(s)ds \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore x(t) approaches the origin as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

114

Proof of Theorem 2. From condition (12) there exist positive constants a_1, a_2, q_1 and q_2 satisfying $a_1 \leq a(t) \leq a_2$ and $q_1 \leq q(t) \leq q_2$ in *I*. Therefore the boundedness of solutions of (11) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. Then for each solution (x(t), y(t)) defined in $[t_0, \infty)$ of (11), there exists a positive constant *K* such that $|x(t)|+|y(t)| \leq K$ for $t \geq t_0$. Now we define $F_1(x) = \int_0^x f_1(u) du$, $F_2(x) = \int_0^x u f_2(u) du$, $G(x) = \int_0^x u f_2(u) du$, $F_3(x) = \int_0^x u f_3(u) du$.

 $G_1(y) = \int_0^y \frac{1}{g_1(v)} dv$ and $G_2(y) = LG_0(y) - \frac{1}{2} \{G_1(y)\}^2$ where L is a positive constant to be determined later. Conditions (VI) and (VII) imply that

(15) $c_1 \leq f_1(x) \leq c_2$, $c_3 \leq f_2(x) \leq c_4$, $c_5 \leq g_1(y) \leq c_6$ and $c_7 \leq g_2(y) \leq c_8$ in $|x|+|y| \leq K$ for some positive constants c_1, c_2, \dots, c_8 . Let

$$V(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2q(t)} \{F_1(x) + G_1(y)\}^2 + \frac{L}{a(t)} F_2(x) + \frac{1}{q(t)} G_2(y)$$

for $t \in I$, $|x| + |y| \leq K$, then we have

No. 2]

$$V(t, x, y) \ge rac{L}{a(t)} F_2(x) + rac{1}{q(t)} G_2(y) \ge rac{c_3 L}{2a_2} x^2 + rac{1}{q_2} \Big(rac{L}{2c_8} - rac{1}{2c_5^2} \Big) y^2 \ge 0$$

for L large enough. Differentiating $V(t) \equiv V(t, x(t), y(t))$ with respect to t for any solution (x(t), y(t)) of (11), we obtain

$$\begin{split} V'(t) &= -\frac{q'(t)}{2q(t)^2} \{F_1(x)G_1(y)\}^2 + \frac{1}{q(t)} f_1(x)y\{F_1(x) + G_1(y)\} - \frac{a'(t)F_1(x)y}{a(t)q(t)g_1(y)} \\ &- \frac{p(t)}{a(t)q(t)} F_1(x)f_1(x)y - \frac{F_1(x)f_2(x)g_2(y)x}{a(t)g_1(y)} - \frac{La'(t)}{a(t)^2} F_2(x) \\ &- \frac{q'(t)}{q(t)^2} G_2(y) - \frac{La'(t)y^2}{a(t)q(t)g_2(y)} - \frac{Lp(t)f_1(x)g_1(y)y^2}{a(t)q(t)g_2(y)} + \frac{e(t, x, y)}{a(t)q(t)g_2(y)} \\ &\times \left\{ \frac{F_1(x)}{g_1(y)} + \frac{Ly}{g_2(y)} \right\} \leq \frac{q'(t)_-}{q(t)} \left[\frac{1}{2q(t)} \{F_1(x) + G_1(y)\}^2 + \frac{1}{q(t)} |G_2(y)| \right] \\ &+ \frac{a'(t)_-}{a(t)} \left\{ \frac{|F_1(x)y|}{q(t)g_1(y)} + \frac{L}{a(t)} F_2(x) + \frac{Ly^2}{q(t)g_2(y)} \right\} \\ &+ \frac{r(t)}{a(t)q(t)} \left\{ \frac{|F_1(x)|}{g_1(y)} + \frac{L|y|}{g_2(y)} \right\} + \frac{f_1(x)}{q(t)} \{|F_1(x)y| + yG_1(y)\} \\ &+ \frac{p(t)f_1(x)}{a(t)q(t)} |F_1(x)y| - \frac{f_2(x)g_2(y)}{a(t)g_1(y)} xF_1(x) - \frac{Lp(t)f_1(x)g_1(y)}{a(t)q(t)g_2(y)} y^2. \end{split}$$

From (15), we obtain $|F_1(x)y| \leq c_2 |xy|$, $yG_1(y) \leq (1/c_5)y^2$ and $xF_1(x) \geq c_1x^2$ in $|x|+|y| \leq K$. We can also choose L so large that

$$G_2(y) \ge \left(rac{L}{c_8} - rac{1}{c_5^2}
ight) y^2 \ge 0, \quad rac{y^2}{g_2(y)} \le G_2(y), \ rac{|F_1(x)|}{g_1(y)} + rac{L|y|}{g_2(y)} \le rac{c_2}{c_5} |x| + rac{L}{c_7} |y| \le \left(rac{c_2}{c_5} + rac{L}{c_7}
ight) K, \ \left\{1 + rac{p(t)}{a(t)}
ight\} rac{f_1(x)}{q(t)} \cdot |F_1(x)y| + rac{f_1(x)}{q(t)} yG_1(y) - rac{f_2(x)g_2(y)}{a(t)g_1(y)} xF_1(x) \ - rac{Lp(t)f_1(x)g_1(y)}{a(t)q(t)g_2(y)} y^2 \le -c_9(x^2 + y^2)$$

and $c_{10}(x^2+y^2) \leq V(t, x, y) \leq c_{11}(x^2+y^2)$ in $|x|+|y| \leq K$ for some positive constants $c_{\mathfrak{s}}, c_{10}, c_{11}$. It is easy to show that $|F_1(x)y|/g_1(y) \leq (c_2/2c_5)K^2$ in $|x|+|y| \leq K$. Thus we have the estimates

$$V'(t) \leq \frac{q'(t)_{-}}{q(t)} V(t) + (1+L) \frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} V(t) + \frac{c_{2}K^{2}}{2c_{5}q_{1}} \cdot \frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} \\ + \frac{K}{a_{1}q_{1}} \left(\frac{c_{2}}{c_{5}} + \frac{L}{c_{7}}\right) r(t) - c_{9}(x^{2} + y^{2}) \\ \leq L_{1} \left[\left\{ \frac{q'(t)_{-}}{q(t)} + \frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} \right\} V(t) + \frac{a'(t)_{-}}{a(t)} + r(t) \right] - c_{9}(x^{2} + y^{2})$$

for some constant $L_1 > 0$. Define

$$W(t, x, y) = V(t, x, y) \cdot \exp\left[-L_1 \int_0^t \left\{\frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} + \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)}\right\} ds\right],$$

then we obtain

$$W(t, x, y) \ge c_{10} \cdot \exp\left[-L_1 \int_0^\infty \left\{\frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} + \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)}\right\} ds\right] \cdot (x^2 + y^2)$$

and

$$W'(t) \leq \left\{ L_1\left(\frac{a'(t)}{a(t)} + r(t)\right) - c_{\mathfrak{g}}(x^2 + y^2) \right\} \exp\left[-L_1 \int_0^t \left\{\frac{q'(s)}{q(s)} + \frac{a'(s)}{a(s)}\right\} ds \right],$$

where $W(t, x(t), y(t))$ for any solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (11). We will use
Lemma 1 to complete the proof of Theorem 2. Q.E.D.

Remark 2. If we replace condition (6) by the following condition:

$$(6)' |e(t,x,y)| \leq \frac{a(t)|q'(t)|}{Mq(t)} + r_{i}(t) + r_{2}(t)|y|, \int_{0}^{\infty} r_{i}(t)dt < \infty \ (i=1,2),$$

then the same conclusions as those of Theorem 1 and those of Corollary 1 are valid.

Remark 3. If we replace condition (14) by

$$(14)' |e(t, x, y)| \leq r_1(t) + r_2(t) |y|, \int_0^\infty r_i(t) dt < \infty \ (i=1, 2),$$

then the same conclusion as that of Theorem 2 is valid.

Remark 4. If we replace condition (14) by

 $\begin{array}{ll} (14)'' & |e(t,x,y)| \leq r_1(t) + r_2(t)|x| + r_3(t)|y|, \quad \int_0^\infty r_i(t)dt < \infty \ (i=1,2,3), \\ \text{and we assume that } f_2(x) \geq \varepsilon > 0 \ \text{in } R^1 \ \text{and either that } y^2/g_2(y) \leq MG_0(y), \\ g_2(y) \geq \delta > 0 \ \text{in } R^1 \ \text{or that } |y|/g_2(y) \leq M\sqrt{G_0(y)}, \ g_2(y) \leq \gamma \ \text{in } R^1, \ \text{then the same conclusion as that of Theorem 2 is valid.} \end{array}$

The proofs of these results are analogous to that of J. W. Heidel [3] and will be published later.

References

- [1] T. A. Burton: On the equation x'' + f(x)h(x')x' + g(x) = e(t). Ann. Math. Pura Appl., 85, 277-285 (1970).
- [2] J. R. Graef and P. W. Spikes: Boundedness and convergence to zero of solutions of a forced second-order nonlinear differential equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 62, 295-309 (1978).
- [3] J. W. Heidel: A Liapunov function for a generalized Liénard equation. ibid., 39, 192-197 (1972).