## 22. Iteration Methods for Common Fixed Points of Nonexpansive Mappings

By Ken-ichi MIYAZAKI

Department of Mathematics, Kyushu Institute of Technology

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., March 12, 1983)

1. Introduction. In [1], DeMarr proved the existence theorem of common fixed points for commuting nonexpansive mappings: Let D be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Banach space. If  $T_i$   $(i \in J, J$  is an index set) are commuting nonexpansive mappings of D into itself, then  $T_i, i \in J$ , have a common fixed point in D. Now we are interested in the constructing process of a sequence which converges to a common fixed point. From this point of view, Ishikawa [3] and Kuhfittig [4] have shown the following theorems respectively.

**Theorem A** (Ishikawa [3]). Let D be a compact convex subset of a Banach space, and let  $\{T_i: i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a finite family of commuting nonexpansive self-mappings of D (i.e.,  $||T_ix - T_iy|| \le ||x-y||$  for all  $x, y \in D$  and  $T_iT_j = T_jT_i$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ).

Then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i) \neq \phi$  and the sequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  converges to a point in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i)$  as  $n_k \to \infty$ , where  $x_{n_k}$  is defined by

 $\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{n_{k-1}=1}^{n_{k}} [S_{k} \prod_{n_{k-2}=1}^{n_{k-1}} [S_{k-1} \cdots [S_{3} \prod_{n_{i}=1}^{n_{2}} [S_{2} \prod_{n_{0}=1}^{n_{1}} S_{1}]] \cdots ]]] x \\ with S_{i} = (1-\alpha_{i})I + \alpha_{i}T_{i}, \ 0 < \alpha_{i} < 1 \ (i=1,2,\cdots,k), \ and \ F(T_{i}) \ stands \ for \\ the \ set \ of \ fixed \ points \ of \ T_{i}.$ 

**Theorem B** (Kuhfittig [4]). Let D be a compact convex subset of a strictly convex Banach space, and let  $T_i: i=1, 2, \dots, k$  be a finite family of nonexpansive self-mappings of D with a nonempty set of common fixed points. Define the mappings  $U_i=(1-\alpha)I+\alpha T_iU_{i-1}$  for  $0<\alpha<1, i=1, 2, \dots, k$  with  $U_0=I$ , the identity mapping. Then for any point  $x \in D$ , the sequence  $\{U_k^n x\}$  converges to a point in  $\bigcap_{i=1}^k F(T_i)$ as  $n\to\infty$ .

Comparing these two theorems, though in Theorem A the assumption of strict convexity of Banach space in B is removed, the condition of commuting mappings is stronger than that of existence of common fixed points ([1]), and further the iteration method in A is more complicated than that in B. The purpose of this paper is to present another simple iteration process for a common fixed point under slightly weaker assumptions than those in B.

We here note that in the case of a single mapping  $T_1$  (i.e. k=1) Ishikawa showed the following iteration methods without any assumption on convexity. We shall later make use of this. K. MIYAZAKI

**Theorem C** (Ishikawa [2]). Let D be a closed subset of a Banach space X and let T be a nonexpansive mapping from D into a compact subset of X. Suppose that a point  $x_1 \in D$  and a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  satisfy the conditions:  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$ ,  $0 \leq t_n \leq b < 1$  and  $x_n \in D$  for all positive integer n, where  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is defined by

(1)  $x_{n+1} = (1-t_n)x_n + t_n T x_n.$ 

Then  $F(T) \neq \phi$  and  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point in F(T) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

2. Iteration methods of nonexpansive mappings. Now we shall show an iteration process for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings. We first prove the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let D be a closed subset of a Banach space X and let  $\{T_i: i=1, 2, ..., k\}$  be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings from D into a compact subset of X. Suppose that a point  $x_1 \in D$  and a sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^k$  satisfy the conditions:  $0 < a_i < 1$  for i=0,1,...,k,  $\sum_{i=0}^k a_i = 1$  and  $x_n \in D$  for all positive integer n, where  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is defined by

(2)  $x_{n+1} = a_0 x_n + \sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i x_n.$ 

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point y such that

(3)  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i T_i y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i y.$ 

*Proof.* Putting  $a'_i = a_i/(1-a_0)$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ , (2) may be expressed as follows

 $x_{n+1} = a_0 x_n + (1 - a_0) \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i T_i x_n$ 

with  $0 < a'_i < 1$ , i=1, 2, ..., k and  $\sum_{i=1}^k a'_i = 1$ . Since the mappings  $T_i$ , i=1, 2, ..., k, are nonexpansive mappings from D into a compact subset of X and  $x_n \in D$  for all positive integer  $n, T := \sum_{i=1}^k a'_i T_i$  maps D into a compact subset of X. Therefore Theorem C may be applicable, thus we have  $F(\sum_{i=1}^k a'_i T_i) \neq \phi$  and the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of (2) converges to a  $y \in F(\sum_{i=1}^k a'_i T_i) \cap D$  which implies  $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i T_i y = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i y$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let X be a strictly convex Banach space and let  $y_i$ , i=1, 2, ..., k, be any elements of X. Suppose that  $y=\sum_{i=1}^{k}a_iy_i$  with  $0 < a_i < 1, i=1, 2, ..., k, \sum_{i=1}^{k}a_i=1$  and there is at least an element  $y_i$  such that  $y_i \neq y$ . Then we have

(4)  $||y|| < \max\{||y_i||: for all y_i such that y_i \neq y\}.$ 

*Proof.* We shall prove the lemma by induction. When k=2 the assertion is true by the definition of strict convexity. Suppose that the assertion is true for any k-1 elements of X. Since

(5)  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i y_i = a_1 y_1 + (1 - a_1) \sum_{i=2}^{k} a'_i y_i$ 

with  $a'_i = a_i/(1-a_1)$ ,  $i=2, 3, \dots, k$ ,  $\sum_{i=2}^k a'_i = 1$ , if  $y_1 = y$ , then we have  $y = \sum_{i=2}^k a'_i y_i$  with some  $y_i \neq y$ ,  $i=2, 3, \dots, k$ ,  $\sum_{i=2}^k a'_i = 1$ . Hence by the assumption of induction, (4) for  $y_i$ ,  $i=2, 3, \dots, k$ , is true. If  $y_1 \neq y$ , then  $\sum_{i=2}^k a'_i y_i \neq y$ . Otherwise,  $y_1 = y$  by (5). Therefore again by the assumption of induction we have

 $||y|| < \max(||y_1||, ||\sum_{i=2}^k a'_i y_i||)$ 

 $\leq \max(||y_1||, \max\{||y_i||: \text{ for all } y_i \neq y, i=2, 3, \dots, k\}).$ 

This completes the proof.

Making use of these lemmas we shall show the following main theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let D be a closed subset of a strictly convex Banach space X and  $\{T_i: i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings from D into a compact subset of X such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i) \neq \phi$ . Suppose that a point  $x_1 \in D$  and a sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{k}$  satisfy the conditions in Lemma 1. Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (2) converges to an element  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i)$ .

*Proof.* We have proved in Lemma 1 that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to an element  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^k F(T_i)$  satisfying (3). Putting  $a'_i = a_i/(1-a_0)$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ , this implies

(6)  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a'_i T_i y$  with  $0 < a'_i < 1, \sum_{i=1}^{k} a'_i = 1.$ 

On the other hand, from the assumptions of  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i) \neq \phi$  and non-expansiveness of  $T_i$ , there exists an element  $w: T_i w = w$  for  $i=1,2, \dots, k$ , and we have

(7)  $||T_iy-w|| \leq ||y-w||$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ .

Now we wish to show  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i)$ . Suppose not, then there exists at least a  $T_i y$  such that  $T_i y \neq y$ . Since X is strictly convex, Lemma 2 is applicable to  $T_i y - w$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$  and y-w instead of  $y_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$  and y respectively. Thus from (6) and (7) we have

 $\begin{aligned} \|y - w\| &= \|\sum_{i=1}^{k} a'_i(T_i y - w)\| \\ &< \max\{\|T_i y - w\| : T_i y \neq y\} \leq \|y - w\|. \end{aligned}$ 

This contradiction shows  $T_i y = y$  for all  $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ , which completes the proof.

Generalizing Mann's iteration method  $M(x_1, t_n, T)$  ([2], [5]) for a single mapping T to the case of a family of nonexpansive mappings, we may extend Theorem 1 to the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let D be a closed subset of a strictly convex Banach space X and let  $\{T_i: i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be a finite family of nonexpansive mappings from D into a compact subset of X such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} F(T_i) \neq \phi$ . Suppose that a point  $x_1 \in D$  and a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  satisfy the conditions:  $0 \leq t_n \leq b < 1$  for any positive integer  $n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$  and further  $x_n \in D$ for all n. Here  $x_n$  is defined by

(8) 
$$x_{n+1} = (1-t_n)x_n + \frac{t_n}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k T_i x_n$$
 for  $n = 1, 2, \cdots$ .

Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a common fixed point of  $T_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots,k$ .

The proof is based on the following lemma instead of Lemma 1. Lemma 1'. Let D and  $\{T_i: i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$  be the same as in Lemma

No. 3]

K. MIYAZAKI

1. For any sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that  $0 \leq t_n \leq b < 1$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n = \infty$ , we define the sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  by (8). If  $x_n \in D$  for all positive integer n, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $y \in D$  that satisfies

$$(9) y=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^{k}T_{i}y.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 1, putting  $T := (1/k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i$ , then T is nonexpansive. Therefore we can apply Theorem C to this T, which shows  $F((1/k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i) \neq \phi$  and  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a  $y \in F((1/k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} T_i)$ . This implies (9).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** By making use of Lemmas 1' and 2 in the place of Lemmas 1 and 2 in the proof of Theorem 1, we can prove the theorem in the same way of Theorem 1. Therefore we omit it.

## References

- R. DeMarr: Common fixed points for commuting contraction mappings. Pacific J. Math., 13, 1139-1141 (1963).
- [2] S. Ishikawa: Fixed points and iteration of a nonexpansive mapping in a Banach space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 59, 65-71 (1976).
- [3] ——: Common fixed points and iteration of commuting nonexpansive mappings. Pacific J. Math., 80, 493-501 (1979).
- [4] P. Kuhfittig: Common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by iteration. ibid., 97, 137-139 (1981).
- [5] W. R. Mann: Mean value methods in iteration. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 4, 506-510 (1953).