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In 1914 there appeared two independent articles of importance on Weierstrass' approximation theorem. Kakeya [6] considered approximation of a given continuous function $f(x)$ on [ $a, b$ ] by polynomials with integral coefficients, while Müntz [7] studied the condition on the sequence $\Lambda=\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\} \quad\left(0=\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\cdots<\lambda_{n}\right)$ to approximate $f(x)$ by the "Müntz polynomials"

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k} x^{\lambda_{k}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $a_{k}$ 's are real.
Kakeya proved that on $[0,1] f(x)$ is uniformly approximated by integral polynomials iff $f(0)$ and $f(1)$ are both integers, and showed that if $\alpha \geqq 4, f(x)$ cannot be uniformly approximated on $[0, \alpha]$ by integral polynomials unless it is such a polynomial.

The necessary and sufficient condition found by Müntz was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}}=+\infty, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is now usually called "Müntz condition". Their aspects and results have been both unified and extended recently (cf. Ferguson [2] for basic results). One of the fundamental problems is to find conditions to approximate $f(x)$ on $[0, \alpha]$ by integral Müntz polynomials, i.e. $p(x)$ with integer coefficients $a_{k}$ 's.

If we denote by $C_{0}[0, \alpha]$ the set of all continuous functions $f(x)$ on $[0, \alpha]$ such that $f(m)$ is integer for any integer $m$ in $[0, \alpha]$, then Ferguson and Golitschek [3] proved that when $\Lambda$ is a sequence of positive integers and $\alpha \leqq 1$, (2) is the necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in C_{0}[0, \alpha]$ being uniformly approximated by integral Müntz polynomials ([2], Chap. 8). Later Golitschek [4] has succeeded in proving this true for any $\lambda_{n} \uparrow \infty$. Also Ferguson [1] showed, among other things, that the assertion becomes false if $\alpha>1$.

Now define for the increasing sequence $\Lambda$ of positive numbers,

$$
\underline{D}(\Lambda)=\liminf _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{\lambda_{N}}, \quad \bar{D}(\Lambda)=\limsup _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{\lambda_{N}},
$$

which are called respectively the lower and the upper asymptotic densities of $\Lambda$. If $\underline{D}(\Lambda)=\bar{D}(\Lambda)<\infty$, we denote it by

$$
D(\Lambda)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N}{\lambda_{N}}
$$

and call it the asymptotic density of $\Lambda$.
Then Ferguson's result mentioned above may be stated as follows.
Theorem A. If $\Lambda$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that there exists a positive constant $c$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k+1}-\lambda_{k} \geqq c, \quad(k=1,2, \cdots) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $D(\Lambda)=0$, then $f \in C[0, \alpha]$ with $\alpha>1$ cannot be uniformly approximated by integral Müntz polynomials except when $f$ itself is such a polynomial.

He derived this theorem from
Theorem B. Let $\Lambda$ be a sequence of positive numbers and define

$$
M_{n}[0, \alpha]=\inf _{a_{k}} \sup _{0 \leq x \leq \alpha}\left|a_{0}+\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{k} x^{\lambda_{k}}+x^{\lambda_{n}}\right|
$$

Then if

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n}[0, \alpha]>0,
$$

$f \in C[0, \alpha]$ cannot be uniformly approximated by integral Müntz polynomials $p(x)$ except the trivial case as mentioned above.

We shall show in this paper that his argument in fact yields the following results.

Theorem 1. We may replace in Theorem $A$ the asymptotic density by the lower asymptotic density, i.e. it is sufficient to assume $\underline{D}(\Lambda)=0$ there.

Corollary. If $\Lambda$ is an infinite primitive sequence of increasing natural numbers, then $f \in C[0, \alpha]$ with $\alpha>1$ cannot be uniformly approximated by integral Müntz polynomials except the trivial case.

The primitive sequence $\Lambda$ is such that no element of $\Lambda$ divides any other, and $\underline{D}(\Lambda)=0$ (cf. [5] Chap. V). Ferguson's result ([1] Corollary 3 ) concerns the special case $\Lambda=P$, the set of all prime numbers.

Before proving Theorem 1, we give the following theorem from which Theorem 1 is easily derived.

Theorem 2. Let $\Lambda$ be the same as in Theorem 1. Then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(M_{n}[0,1]\right)^{1 / \lambda_{n}}=1
$$

Proof. First we observe that (3) implies

$$
\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k} \geqq c(n-k), \quad(1 \leqq k \leqq n-1)
$$

Next we may suppose $0<c \leqq 2$ and set for some $d>2 / c(\geqq 1) \mu_{n}$ $=d \lambda_{n}$, so that

$$
\mu_{n+1}-\mu_{n}=d\left(\lambda_{n+1}-\lambda_{n}\right) \geqq c d=c^{\prime}>2
$$

Since $M_{n}[0,1] \leqq 1$ for all $n$, it suffices to prove $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup M_{n}^{1 / \lambda_{n}} \geqq 1$. Then we have (cf. [1] Theorem 2)

$$
\left(M_{n}[0,1]\right)^{1 / \lambda_{n}} \geqq\left(\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n}+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \lambda_{n}+1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{k}+1}\right)^{1 / \lambda_{n}}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left(\frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{n}+d} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{d}}{\sqrt{2} \mu_{n}+d} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu_{n}-\mu_{k}}{\mu_{n}+\mu_{k}+d}\right)^{d / \mu_{n}} \\
& \geqq\left(\frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{n}+d} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \mu_{n}+1}\right)^{d / \mu_{n}} \cdot\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{c^{\prime}(n-k)}{2 \mu_{n}+d}\right)^{d / \mu_{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{\mu_{n}}{\mu_{n}+d} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{ } 2 \mu_{n}+1}\right)^{1 / \mu_{n}}=1
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underset{n \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } M_{n}^{1 / \lambda_{n}} & \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{c^{\prime}(n-k)}{2 \mu_{n}+d}\right)^{d / \mu_{n}} \\
& \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{c^{\prime}}{2 \mu_{n}+d}\right)^{d(n-1) / \mu_{n}} \cdot\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n-1}(n-k)\right\}^{d / \mu_{n}} \\
& \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\mu_{n}^{-n+1}(n-1)!\right\}^{d / \mu_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Stirling's formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n}^{1 / \lambda_{n}} & \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\mu_{n}^{-n+1}(\sqrt{2 \pi}-\varepsilon) n^{n-1 / 2} e^{-n}\right\}^{d / \mu_{n}} \\
& \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n}{\mu_{n}}\right)^{(n-1) / \mu_{n}} \cdot e^{-n / \mu_{n}}\right\}^{d} \\
& \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\frac{n}{e \mu_{n}}\right)^{n / \mu_{n}}\right\}^{d e(n-1) / n} \cdot e^{-d / \mu_{n}}=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\liminf \inf _{x \rightarrow+} x=0$ implies $\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{x}=1$.
Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from the transformation $x \rightarrow x / \alpha$ that

$$
M_{n}[0, \alpha]=\alpha^{\lambda_{n}} M_{n}[0,1] .
$$

By the preceding theorem, there exist infinitely many $n$ such that

$$
\left(M_{n}[0,1]\right)^{1 / \lambda_{n}}>1-\varepsilon .
$$

Thus for infinitely many $n$ we obtain if $\varepsilon<1-1 / \alpha$,

$$
M_{n}[0, \alpha]>\{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)\}^{\lambda_{n}}>1,
$$

which proves Theorem 1 according to Theorem B.
Let $\alpha_{0}=\alpha_{0}(\Lambda)$ be the infimum of $\alpha$ such that no element of $C[0, \alpha]$ can be uniformly approximated by integral Müntz polynomials except the trivial case. For example, if $\Lambda=c N(c>0), \alpha_{0}=4$ (cf. [1] Theorem 3). We shall now prove the following

Theorem 3. If $\Lambda$ is a sequence of positive number satisfying (3) and $\bar{D}(\Lambda)=\delta, 0<\delta<\infty$, then we have

$$
\alpha_{0} \leqq\left(\frac{2 e}{c \delta}\right)^{1 / c} .
$$

It is worth noting that every integer sequence $\Lambda$ with $\bar{D}(\Lambda)>0$ contains arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions [8]. We remark that (3) implies $c \delta \leqq 1$ and hence

$$
\left(\frac{2 e}{c \delta}\right)^{1 / c} \geqq(2 e)^{1 / e}>4^{1 / c}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. As shown in the proof of Theorem 2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{n}[0,1] & \geqq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n}+1} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \lambda_{n}+1}} \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\lambda_{n}-\lambda_{k}}{\lambda_{n}+\lambda_{k}+1} \\
& \geqq \frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n}+1} \cdot\left(2 \lambda_{n}+1\right)^{-n+1 / 2} \cdot c^{n-1} \cdot(n-1)!.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus by Stirling's formula,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} M_{n}^{1 / n} \geqq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c}{e}\left(\frac{n}{2 \lambda_{n}+1}\right)^{1-1 / 2 n}=\frac{c \delta}{2 e} .
$$

Therefore for infinitely many $n$ we have

$$
M_{n}>\left(\frac{c \delta}{2 e}-\varepsilon\right)^{n} .
$$

Hence, on account of the fact $\lambda_{n} \geqq c n+\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$,

$$
M_{n}[0, \alpha]>\alpha^{\alpha_{n}}\left(\frac{c \delta}{2 e}-\varepsilon\right)^{n} \geqq\left\{\alpha^{c}\left(\frac{c \delta}{2 e}-\varepsilon\right)\right\}^{n} \cdot \alpha^{\left(\alpha_{1}-c\right)} .
$$

Accordingly, if $\alpha^{c}>2 e / c \delta$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup M_{n}[0, \alpha]>0,
$$

which proves Theorem 3 by virtue of Theorem B.
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