114. A Note on the Approximate Functional Equation for $\zeta^2(s)$

By Yoichi MOTOHASHI Department of Mathematics, College of Science

and Technology, Nihon University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1983)

1. Let
$$0 \le \sigma \le 1$$
, $t \ge 2$, $XY = t^2/4\pi^2$, $xy = t/2\pi$, and put
(1) $\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \le x} n^{-s} + \chi(s) \sum_{n \le y} n^{s-1} + E(s, x),$
 $\zeta^2(s) = \sum_{n \le x} d(n)n^{-s} + \chi^2(s) \sum_{n \le Y} d(n)n^{s-1} + D(s, X)$

where d is the divisor function and $\chi(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin(s\pi/2) \Gamma(1-s)$. Hardy and Littlewood [1] proved that

(2) $E(s, x) \ll x^{-\sigma} + t^{1/2-\sigma} y^{\sigma-1}$

as well as

$$D(s, X) \ll X^{1/2-\sigma} \Big(rac{X+Y}{t}\Big)^{1/4} \log t.$$

Later Titchmarsh [5] replaced the latter by

(3) $D(s, X) \ll (X+Y)^{1/2-\sigma} \log t.$

Also we should note that Jutila [4] remarked recently that (3) is a consequence of Voronoi's summation formula.

The arguments of these authors are rather elaborated, mainly because they treated $\zeta^2(s)$ directly, i.e. without recoursing to the known approximations for $\zeta(s)$. Here we shall show that if we make use of Dirichlet's device:

(4)
$$\sum_{n \le N} d(n) a_n = 2 \sum_{n \le \sqrt{N}} \sum_{m \le N/n} a_{nm} - \sum_{n \le \sqrt{N}} \sum_{m \le \sqrt{N}} a_{nm}$$

then, as far as the most interesting case $X = Y = t/2\pi$ is concerned, (3) is a quite simple consequence of (2).

For this end let
$$U = t/2\pi$$
, $u = \sqrt{U}$. Then by (4) we have

$$\sum_{n \le U} d(n)n^{-s} + \chi^2(s) \sum_{n \le U} d(n)n^{s-1}$$

$$= 2 \sum_{m \le u} m^{-s} \sum_{n \le U/m} n^{-s} + 2\chi^2(s) \sum_{m \le u} m^{s-1} \sum_{n \le U/m} n^{s-1}$$

$$- (\sum_{m \le u} m^{-s})^2 - \chi^2(s) (\sum_{m \le u} m^{s-1})^2.$$

And by (1) this is equal to

$$2\sum_{m\leq u} m^{-s} \{ \zeta(s) - \chi(s) \sum_{n\leq m} n^{s-1} - E(s, U/m) \} \\ + 2\chi^{2}(s) \sum_{m\leq u} m^{s-1} \{ \zeta(1-s) - \chi(1-s) \sum_{n\leq m} n^{-s} - E(1-s, U/m) \} \\ + 2\chi(s) \sum_{m\leq u} m^{-s} \sum_{n\leq u} n^{s-1} - (\zeta(s) - E(s, u))^{2}.$$

Then, after some rearrangement, we get

Y. Motohashi

[Vol. 59(A),

(5)
$$\zeta^{2}(s) = \sum_{n \leq U} d(n)n^{-s} + \chi^{2}(s) \sum_{n \leq U} d(n)n^{s-1} + 2\chi(s) \sum_{n \leq u} \frac{1}{n} + 2 \sum_{m \leq u} m^{-s} E(s, U/m) + 2\chi^{2}(s) \sum_{m \leq u} m^{s-1} E(1-s, U/m) + E^{2}(s, u).$$

Inserting (2) into this we readily obtain (3) for the case $X = Y = t/2\pi$.

2. Next, let us see what the above argument will yield if we replace (2) by a more precise estimate obtainable by the method of Riemann and Siegel (see e.g. [6, Chap. 4]). This gives, for $1 \le x \le t/2\pi$,

$$E(s, x) = (2\pi)^{s-2} e^{-\pi i s/2} \Gamma(1-s) y^{s-1} \int_{L} \exp\left(\frac{xi}{4\pi y} (w-2\pi i \{y\})^{2} + x(w-2\pi i \{y\}) - [x]w\right) (e^{w}-1) dw + O((t^{1/2-\sigma}y^{\sigma-1}+x^{-\sigma})t^{-1/6}),$$

where $\{y\}=y-[y]$, and L is a straight line in the direction arg $w=\pi/4$ passing between 0 and $2\pi i$. Thus we have, in (5),

$$\sum_{m \le u} m^{-s} E(s, U/m) = (2\pi)^{s-2} e^{-\pi t s/2} \Gamma(1-s) \sum_{m \le u} \frac{1}{m} \int_{L} \exp\left(\frac{ti}{8\pi^2 m^2} w^2 + \left\{\frac{t}{2\pi m}\right\} w\right) \times (e^w - 1)^{-1} dw + O(t^{1/3-\sigma} \log t).$$

Then we deform L to the curve composed of two parts: $w = \{\lambda e^{\pi i/4}, \lambda \ge \delta \text{ and } \lambda \le -\delta\}$ and $\{w = \delta e^{i(\theta + \pi/4)}, 0 \le \theta \le \pi\}$ where $\delta > 0$, and let δ tend to 0. This gives

$$\int_{L} = -\pi i + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(t/8\pi^{2}m^{2})\lambda^{2}} \frac{\sin\left((\{t/2\pi m\} - 1/2)\lambda/\varepsilon\right)}{\sin\lambda/2\varepsilon} d\lambda,$$

where $\varepsilon = e^{\pi i/4}$.

Hence we have

$$\sum_{m \le u} m^{-s} E(s, U/m) = -\frac{\chi(s)}{2} \sum_{m \le u} \frac{1}{m}$$
(6) $+ \varepsilon (2\pi)^{s-2} e^{-\pi i s/2} \Gamma(1-s) \int_0^\infty \sum_{m \le u} \frac{e^{-(t/8\pi^2 m^2)\lambda^2}}{m} \frac{\sin((\{t/2\pi m\} - 1/2)\lambda/\varepsilon)}{\sin \lambda/2\varepsilon} d\lambda$
 $+ O(t^{1/3-\sigma} \log t).$

To estimate this integrand, we introduce the Fourier expansion: For 0 < v < 1

$$\frac{\sin\left((v-1/2)\lambda/\varepsilon\right)}{\sin\lambda/2\varepsilon} = -8\pi\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j}{4\pi^2j^2+\lambda^2i}\sin\left(2\pi jv\right),$$

which is boundedly convergent uniformly for all real λ . Then, invoking an idea of Hooley [3, p. 104], we have, for any $J \ge 1$,

(7)
$$\frac{\frac{\sin\left(\left(\left\{t/2\pi m\right\}-1/2\right)\lambda/\varepsilon\right)}{\sin\lambda/2\varepsilon}=-8\pi\sum_{j=1}^{J}\frac{j}{4\pi^{2}j^{2}+\lambda^{2}i}\sin\left(\frac{jt}{m}\right)}{+O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}A_{j}(J)\cos\left(\frac{jt}{m}\right)\right)+O\left(I\left(\frac{t}{2\pi m}\right)\right)},$$

where

394

$$A_j(J) = O\left(\min\left(\frac{\log J}{J}, \frac{J}{j^2}\right)\right)$$

and I is the characteristic function of the set of integers; here the O-constants are all independent of λ .

Now, we divide the integrand in question into two parts according to $m \le t^{1/3}$ and $t^{1/3} < m \le u$. Since the sin-factor is O(1) we see readily that the first part contributes to (6) the amount of $O(t^{1/3-\sigma})$. As for the second part we consider, instead, the estimation of the sum

(8)
$$\sum_{M < m \leq 2M} \frac{\sin((\{t/2\pi m\} - 1/2)\lambda/\varepsilon)}{\sin \lambda/2\varepsilon}, \quad (t^{1/3} \ll M \ll t^{1/2}).$$

But we have (7), so the problem is reduced to that of

$$\sum_{{<}m\leq 2M}e^{jti/m}$$

Appealing to van der Corput's method (see e.g. [6, p. 90]), this is estimated to be $O(M^{-1/2}t^{1/2}j^{1/2})$ if $j \neq 0$. Hence setting $J = t^{-1/3}M(\log t)^{1/2}$ in (7) we find that (8) is $O(t^{1/3}(\log t)^{1/2})$ uniformly for all real λ . Then, summing partially over *m* and integrating with respect to λ we conclude that the second part in question of the integrand of (6) contributes to it the amount of $O(t^{1/3-\sigma}(\log t)^{3/2})$.

Hence we have found

$$\sum_{m \leq u} m^{-s} E(s, U/m) = -\frac{\chi(s)}{2} \sum_{m \leq u} \frac{1}{m} + O(t^{1/3 - \sigma} (\log t)^{3/2}).$$

Inserting this into (5) we obtain the following improvement on (3):

Theorem. (9) D(s, t)

)
$$D(s, t/2\pi) = O(t^{1/3-\sigma}(\log t)^{3/2}).$$

Remark. By elaborating our argument one may probably replace (9) by an asymptotic expansion, i.e. an analogue for $\zeta^2(s)$ of the Riemann-Siegel formula for $\zeta(s)$. Also one may treat the non-symmetric case (i.e. $X \neq Y$) as well. Further we should remark that our theorem may be incorporated into the asymptotic evaluation of the fourth power moment of $\zeta(s)$ (cf. [2]). To these and further improvements we shall return elsewhere.

Added in proof. (i) A refinement of the above argument yields

(10)
$$D\left(s,\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) = -2\left(\frac{\pi}{t}\right)^{1/2} \Delta\left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right) \chi(s) + O(t^{1/4-\sigma}),$$

in which $\Delta(x)$ is defined as (12.1.2) of [6]. Obviously this is better than (9), and gives an Ω -result for $D(s, t/2\pi)$.

(ii) Professor Jutila kindly sent us a preprint in which he proved a result similar to (but weaker than) (9). Also, in a letter to us, he indicated that his argument might yield a result like (10).

No. 8]

Y. MOTOHASHI

References

- G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood: The approximate functional equation for ζ(s) and ζ²(s). Proc. London Math. Soc., (2) 29, 81-97 (1929).
- [2] D. R. Heath-Brown: The fourth power moment of the Riemann zeta-function. ibid., (3) 38, 385-422 (1979).
- [3] C. Hooley: On the number of divisors of quadratic polynomials. Acta Math., 110, 97-114 (1963).
- [4] M. Jutila: Transformation formulae for Dirichlet polynomials (to appear).
- [5] E. C. Titchmarsh: The approximate functional equation for $\zeta^2(s)$. Quart. J. Math. Oxford, 9, 109-114 (1938).
- [6] ——: The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function. Oxford (1951).