## 12. Equilibrium Measures on Recurrent Markov Processes

By Kumiko KITAMURA

Department of Mathematics, Ochanomizu University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1985)

1. Introduction. We consider the potential theory for recurrent Markov processes introduced by T. Ueno [4]. He studied a pair of measures  $\mu_L^{\kappa}$  and  $\mu_K^{L}$  satisfying  $\mu_L^{\kappa}(\cdot) = \mu_K^{L}h_{\kappa}(\cdot)$ ,  $\mu_K^{L}(\cdot) = \mu_L^{\kappa}h_L(\cdot)$ , where  $h_{\kappa}(x, \cdot)$  is the hitting measure to the set K. In this paper we prove that in the symmetric case the measure  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  multiplied  $\mu_L^{\kappa}$  by the Ueno capacity is the equilibrium measure on  $K \subset L^c$ . Further we show that the equilibrium potential induced by  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  is the hitting probability for K before attaining to L. We anticipate that such a pair of measures  $\mu_L^{\kappa}$  and  $\mu_K^{L}$  is a new probabilistic characterization of the equilibrium measure.

2. Preliminaries. We refer the reader to [2] for all terminology and notation not explicitly defined here. Let R be a separable Hausdorff locally compact space containing at least two points and satisfying

- (R.1) For each point  $x \in R$ , we can take a countable base of neighbor
  - hoods of x consisting of arcwise connected open sets,
- (R.2) R is connected.

We denote by **B** the topological Borel field of subsets of **R**. For a set  $A \in B$  and a path function X(t) from  $[0, \infty)$  to R,  $\sigma_A$  is defined by

$$\sigma_A = \inf \{t \ge 0 \, | \, X(t) \in A\},$$
 if such t exists,  
=  $\infty$ , otherwise.

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}$ , the smallest Borel field of subsets of the sample space W containing  $\{w | X(t, w) \in A\}$  for all  $A \in B$  and  $t \ge 0$ . Let  $\{P_x(\cdot), x \in R\}$  be a system of probability measures on satisfying

- (P.1)  $P_x(E)$  is a **B**-measurable function of x for each  $E \in \mathcal{B}$ ,
- (P.2)  $P_x(\{w \mid X(0, w) = x\}) = 1$  for each  $x \in R$ ,
- (P.3) quasi-left continuity,
- (P.4) Markov property.

In order to study a broad class of recurrent Markov process Ueno [4] introduced the following assumptions  $(X.1) \sim (X.5)$  which we follow.

(X.1) Recurrence:  $P_x(X(t) \in A \text{ for some } 0 \leq t < \infty) = 1 \text{ for any } x \in A, A \in B.$ 

We define the hitting measure  $h_A(x, \cdot)$  for the set  $A \in B$  by

$$h_A(x, E) = P_x(X(\sigma_A) \in E, \sigma_A < \infty), \quad x \in R, \quad E \in B.$$

(X.2) For any continuous function f on A,

$$h_A f(x) = \int h_A(x, dy) f(y)$$

is continuous in  $A^{\circ}$ , where A is a closed set in R containing an inner point.

K. KITAMURA

- (X.3) For non-negative continuous function f in A,  $h_A f(x)$  is either strictly positive or 0 for all points x of any one component of  $A^c$ , where A is a closed set in R containing an inner point.
- (X.4) For any continuous function f on R, the resolvent operator is continuous on R.
- (X.5) There is no point of positive holding time.

Now, we introduce the Green measure

$$G_L(x, A) = E_x\left(\int_0^{\sigma_L} \chi_A(X(t)) dt\right), \quad x \in R, \quad A \in \mathcal{B},$$

for any closed set L containing an inner point, where  $\chi_A$  takes 1 on A, 0 on  $A^{\circ}$  respectively. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all  $\{K, L\}$ , where K and L are mutually disjoint closed sets in R and in particular K is compact. Ueno [4] proves that for each  $\{K, L\} \in \mathcal{F}$  there is a unique pair of measures  $\mu_L^K$  and  $\mu_K^L$  with total mass 1 on K and L respectively, satisfying

$$\mu_L^{\kappa}(\cdot) = \mu_K^{L} h_{\kappa}(\cdot) = \int_L \mu_K^{L}(dx) h_{\kappa}(x, \cdot),$$
  
$$\mu_K^{L}(\cdot) = \mu_L^{\kappa} h_{L}(\cdot) = \int_K \mu_L^{\kappa}(dx) h_{L}(x, \cdot).$$

Applying these  $\mu_L^{\kappa}$ ,  $\mu_K^{L}$ , Ueno introduces his own Green capacity. For  $\{K, L\}$  and  $\{K', L'\}$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , put

(2.1) 
$$C_{(K,L)}(K',L) = \mu_L^K h_{K',L}(K'), \\ C_{(K',L)}(K,L) = C_{(K,L)}(K',L)^{-1}, \quad \text{when } K' \subset K,$$

where

(2.2) 
$$h_{K,L}(x, E) = P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L, X(\sigma_K) \in E), \quad E \in \mathbf{B}.$$
$$C_{(K,L)}(K', L) = C_{(K,L)}(K \cup K', L) \cdot C_{(K \cup K', L)}(K', L),$$

when  $\{K, L\} \leftrightarrow \{K', L\}$ , where the notation  $\{K, L\} \leftrightarrow \{K', L\}$  denotes  $\{K \cup K', L\}$  $\in \mathcal{F}$ . For a sequence  $\alpha = (\{K_1, L_1\}, \{K_2, L_2\}, \dots, \{K_n, L_n\})$  of satisfying  $\{K, L\}$  $\leftrightarrow \{K_1, L_1\} \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \{K_n, L_n\} \leftrightarrow \{K', L'\}$ 

(2.3)  $C^{\alpha}_{(K,L)}(K',L') = C_{(K,L)}(K_1,L_1) \cdot C_{(K_1,L_1)}(K_2,L_2) \cdot \cdots \cdot C_{(K_n,L_n)}(K',L').$ Lemma 3.2 in [4] shows that such  $C^{\alpha}_{(K,L)}(K',L')$  does not depend on the choice of  $\alpha$ . Now fixing any  $\{K_0, L_0\} \in \mathcal{P}$ , we call  $C(K,L) = C_{(K_0,L_0)}(K,L)$  the Green capacity of K with respect to L. Setting

(2.4) 
$$\nu_L^{\kappa}(\cdot) = C(K, L) \mu_L^{\kappa}(\cdot),$$

we introduce the measure

(2.5) 
$$m(\cdot) = \int_{K} \nu_{L}^{K}(dx) G_{L}(x, \cdot) + \int_{L} \nu_{K}^{L}(dx) G_{K}(x, \cdot).$$

Then every Green measure  $G_L(x, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous relative to m, that is, it has a density function  $g_L(x, y)$  satisfying

(2.6) 
$$G_L(x,A) = \int_A g_L(x,y)m(dy).$$

3. Theorems. In this section we add following assumptions regarding the density function of the Green measure.

(A.1)  $g_L(x, y)$  is lower semi-continuous with respect to x.

(A.2) symmetry:  $g_L(x, y) = g_L(y, x)$ 

holds almost everywhere relative to m.

Theorem 2 has no bearing on above both assumptions. Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 are regardless of the assumption (A.1).

Theorem 1. Assume that (A.2) holds. For  $\{K, L\} \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa} = 1$$
, a.e. (m) on K.

*Proof.* Let E be any compact subset of K. Observe that  $G_{\kappa}(x, E) = 0$  for  $x \in L$ . Applying (2.5) and the symmetry (A.2), we get

$$\int_{E} m(dx) = \int \nu_{L}^{K}(dx)G_{L}(x, E) + \int \nu_{K}^{L}(dx)G_{K}(x, E)$$
$$= \int \nu_{L}^{K}(dx)\left(\int_{E} g_{L}(x, y) m(dy)\right) = \int \nu_{L}^{K}(dx)\left(\int_{E} g_{L}(y, x) m(dy)\right)$$
$$= \int_{E} \left(\int g_{L}(y, x)\nu_{L}^{K}(dx)\right)m(dy) = \int_{E} g_{L}\nu_{L}^{K}(y)m(dy).$$

This implies

$$g_L v_L^K = 1$$
, a.e. (m) on K.

By Theorem 1  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  is the equilibrium measure for the kernel  $g_L(x, y)$ . Moreover in virtue of (2.4)

 $\nu_L^K(R) = C(K, L) \mu_L^K(R) = C(K, L).$ 

That is, the total measure of  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  is equal to the Ueno capacity.

In the next place we show the important properties of  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  under the general condition. Such properties are known in the Brownian case. See Port-Stone ([3], p. 191).

Theorem 2. Suppose that  $\{K', L\}$ ,  $\{K, L\} \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $K' \subset K$ . Then we have

(i)  $\nu_L^{K'} = \nu_L^K h_{K',L}$ , where  $h_{K',L}$  is defined by (2.2),

(ii) 
$$C(K', L) = \int \nu_L^K(dx) P_x(\sigma_{K'} < \sigma_L).$$

*Proof.* The first equality follows from Theorem 3.1 of Ueno [4]. By applying (2.1), (2.3) and the definition (2.4) of  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$ , we obtain

$$C(K', L) = C(K, L)C_{(K,L)}(K', L) = C(K, L)\mu_L^K h_{K',L}(K')$$
  
=  $\nu_L^K h_{K',L}(K') = \int \nu_L^K (dx) P_x(\sigma_{K'} < \sigma_L).$ 

Subsequently, we prove that the potential of  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  is the hitting probability of K before reaching L.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $g_L(x, y)$  be symmetric. Assume that  $\mu_L^{\kappa}$  and for  $x \in (L \cup K)^{\circ}$ ,  $h_{\kappa,L}(x, \cdot)$  are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure m. Then we have

$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa} = P \cdot (\sigma_{\kappa} < \sigma_L),$$
 a.e. (m).

*Proof.* By the strong Markov property and (2.6), what is called the fundamental identity

(3.1) 
$$g_{L}(x, y) = g_{L \cup K}(x, y) + \int h_{K, L}(x, dz) g_{L}(z, y)$$

is obtained almost everywhere in y relative to the measure m. According to (3.1) and the absolute continuity of  $\nu_L^{\kappa}$  we get for  $x \in L^c$ 

(3.2) 
$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}(x) = g_{L \cup \kappa} \nu_L^{\kappa}(x) + \int h_{\kappa,L}(x, dx) g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}(x).$$

No. 2]

## K. KITAMURA

Now the first term of the right hand in (3.2) vanishes excepting *m*-measure zero on  $(K \cup L)^c$ . In fact for any compact set *E* contained in  $(K \cup L)^c$ 

$$\int_{E} g_{L\cup K} \nu_{L}^{K}(x) m(dx) = \int G_{L\cup K}(x, E) \nu_{L}^{K}(dx)$$

and note that  $G_{L\cup K}(x, E) = 0$  when  $x \in K$ . Therefore it follows from (3.2) that

$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}(x) = \int h_{\kappa,L}(x, dz) g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}(z), \quad \text{a.e. } (m) \text{ on } (K \cup L)^c.$$

On the strength of Theorem 1 and the absolute continuity of  $h_{K,L}(x, \cdot)$  we see that

(3.3)  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa} = P \cdot (\sigma_{\kappa} < \sigma_L), \quad \text{a.e. } (m) \text{ on } (K \cup L)^c.$ 

Moreover combining Theorem 1 with  $P \cdot (\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = 1$  on K, we find that the equality (3.3) holds almost everywhere on K. Therefore we have

(3.4)  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa} = P \cdot (\sigma_{\kappa} < \sigma_L),$  a.e. (m) on  $L^c$ . Also we can show that

(3.5)  $g_L \nu_L^K = 0$ , a.e. (*m*) on *L*,

by the same method as the first term of the right hand in (3.2). We complete the proof of Theorem 3 by (3.4) and (3.5).

**Proposition 1.** If f and g are excessive and f=g except on a null set, then f=g everywhere (Blumenthal-Getoor [1], p. 80).

Proposition 2. If for a subset A of R,  $\tau_A$  is defined as  $\tau_A = \inf \{t > 0 | X(t) \in A\},$  if such t exists,  $= \infty,$  otherwise,

then

(i)  $\sigma_A \leq \tau_A \text{ and } \sigma_A = \tau_A \text{ if } X(0) \notin A$ , (ii)  $t + \sigma_A \circ \theta_t$  is an increasing function of t and

$$\lim_{t\to a} (t+\sigma_A\circ\theta_t)=\tau_A,$$

where  $\theta_i$  denotes the shift transformation (Blumenthal-Getoor [1], p. 53).

**Theorem 4.** Suppose all the assumptions in the previous theorem. If  $g_L(x, y)$  is lower semi-continuous with respect to x, then we have

$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa} = P \cdot (\sigma_{\kappa} < \sigma_L), \quad \text{on } L^c.$$

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}$  and  $P \cdot (\sigma_{\kappa} < \sigma_L)$  are excessive on  $L^c$ . In order to consider the case of the potential  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}$ , note that there exists a Borel function f such that  $\nu_L^{\kappa}(dx) = f(x)m(dx)$ . Then we have

$$g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}(x) = \int G_L(x, dy) f(y).$$

By Lemma 4.1 of Ueno [4]  $G_L f$  is superharmonic on  $L^c$ , namely for every  $x \in L^c$  and every open ball  $V \subset L^c$  with the center x

$$g_L \mathcal{V}_L^{\kappa}(x) \geq \int_{V^{\mathfrak{o}}} h_{V^{\mathfrak{o}}}(x, dy) g_L \mathcal{V}_L^{\kappa}(y).$$

By combining the assumption (A.1) with Fatou's lemma,  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}$  is lower semi-continuous on  $L^{\circ}$ . Hence  $g_L \nu_L^{\kappa}$  is excessive on  $L^{\circ}$ .

Next we show that  $P \cdot (\sigma_K < \sigma_L)$  is excessive on  $L^c$ . Let  $Q^t(x, E) = P_x(X(t) \in E, \sigma_L > t)$ 

for  $x \in L^c$ ,  $t \ge 0$  and  $E \subset L^c$ . Then it is sufficient to see that (3.6)  $Q^t P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) \le P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L)$ ,

and  $\langle \mathbf{r}_{x}(\mathbf{o}_{K} < \mathbf{o}_{L}) \geq \mathbf{r}_{x}(\mathbf{o}_{K} < \mathbf{o}_{L})$ 

(3.7)  $\lim Q^t P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L).$ 

It follows from the Markov property that for  $x \in L^c$  and  $t \! > \! 0$ 

(3.8) 
$$Q^{t}P_{x}(\sigma_{K} < \sigma_{L}) = \int P_{x}(X(t) \in dy, \sigma_{L} > t)P_{y}(\sigma_{K} < \sigma_{L})$$
$$= E_{x}(P_{X(t)}(\sigma_{K} < \sigma_{L}); \sigma_{L} > t)$$
$$= P_{x}(\sigma_{K} \circ \theta_{t} < \sigma_{L} \circ \theta_{t}, \sigma_{L} > t)$$
$$= P_{x}(t + \sigma_{K} \circ \theta_{t} < \sigma_{L}).$$

By means of Proposition 2,  $t + \sigma_K \circ \theta_t$  is monotonely increasing with respect to t and

$$t+\sigma_{\kappa}\circ\theta_{t}\geq \lim_{t\downarrow0}(t+\sigma_{\kappa}\circ\theta_{t})=\tau_{\kappa}\geq\sigma_{\kappa}.$$

Consequently the inequality (3.6) is shown for t>0 with the help of (3.8). Since  $Q^t P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L)$  for t=0, (3.6) is obvious. To check the relation (3.7) for  $x \in L^c$ , let t approach to 0 in (3.8).

(3.9)  $\lim_{t\downarrow 0} Q^t P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = \lim_{t\downarrow 0} P_x(t + \sigma_K \circ \theta_t < \sigma_L).$ 

By applying (3.9) and Proposition 2, we have for  $x \in (K \cup L)^c$  $\lim_{t \to \infty} O^t P(\sigma \leq \sigma) = P(\sigma \leq \sigma)$ 

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} Q^* P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = P_x(\tau_K < \sigma_L) = P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L).$$

Also for  $x \in K$ ,  $\sigma_K = 0$ . Thus in virtue of (3.9)  $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} Q^t P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L) = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} P_x(t < \sigma_L) = P_x(0 < \sigma_L) = P_x(\sigma_K < \sigma_L).$ 

Hence the equality (3.7) holds on  $L^{\circ}$ .

## References

- R. M. Blumenthal and R. K. Getoor: Markov Processes and Potential Theory. Academic Press, New York-London (1968).
- [2] K. Kitamura: Some theorems on recurrent Markov processes. Nat. Sci. Rep. Ochanomizu Univ., 32, 73-86 (1981).
- [3] S. C. Port and C. J. Stone: Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory. Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [4] T. Ueno: On recurrent Markov processes. Kodai Math. Seminer Report, 12, 109-142 (1960).

No. 2]