50. Initial-boundary Value Problem for Parabolic Equation in L¹

By Dong Gun PARK

Department of Mathematics, Osaka University

(Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, M. J. A., May 12, 1986)

Let Ω be a not necessarily bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n locally regular of class C^{2m} and uniformly regular of class C^m in the sense of F. E. Browder [4]. We consider the following parabolic initial-boundary value problem

(1) $\partial u/\partial t + A(x, t, D)u = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad 0 < t \le T,$

(2) $B_j(x, t, D)u=0, j=1, \cdots, m/2, x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < t \leq T,$

 $(3) u(x, 0) = u_0(x), x \in \Omega,$

in $L^1(\Omega)$. Here for each $t \in [0, T]$

$$A(x, t, D)u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x, t)D^{\alpha}$$

is a strongly elliptic linear differential operator of order m and

$$B_j(x, t, D) = \sum_{|\beta| \le m_j} b_{j\beta}(x, t) D^{\beta}, \qquad j = 1, \cdots, m/2,$$

is a normal set of linear differential operators on $\partial\Omega$ of order less than m. Similar problem was discussed in [3], [9], [10] for equations with coefficients independent of t. In [3] with the aid of the theory of dual semigroups H. Amann showed that the associated elliptic operator generates an analytic semigroup in $L^1(\Omega)$ in case m=2.

Concerning the coefficients of A(x, t, D) and $B_j(x, t, D)$ we assume the following regularity conditions:

(i) $a_{\alpha}(x, t), |\alpha| = m$, and their derivatives $\partial a_{\alpha}(x, t)/\partial t$ with respect to t are bounded and uniformly continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$.

(ii) $a_{\alpha}(x, t), |\alpha| < m$, and their derivatives with respect to t are bounded and measurable, and continuous in t uniformly in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$.

(iii) The coefficients of $B_j(x, t, D)$ are extended to $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$ so that $(\partial/\partial x)^r b_{j\beta}(x, t), \ (\partial/\partial t)(\partial/\partial x)^r b_{j\beta}(x, t), \ |\beta| \leq m_j, \ |\gamma| \leq m - m_j, \ j = 1, \dots, m/2$, are bounded and uniformly continuous in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, T]$.

(iv) The formally constructed adjoint boundary value problem $(A'(x, t, D), \{B'_{j}(x, t, D)\}_{j=1}^{m/2}, \Omega)$ satisfies (i), (ii), (iii).

For the well-posedness of the problem (1)–(3) we assume that for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$ and $\theta \in [\pi/2, 3\pi/2]$

 $(-1)^{m/2}e^{i\theta}(\partial/\partial \tau)^m + A(x, t, D), \qquad \{B_j(x, t, D)\}_{j=1}^{m/2}$

satisfies the complementing condition in the cylindrical domain $\Omega \times (-\infty, \infty)$ ([1], [2]).

The operator A(t) is defined as follows :

The domain D(A(t)) is the totality of functions u satisfying (i) $u \in W^{m-1,q}(\Omega)$ for each $q \in [1, n/(n-1))$,

(ii) $A(x, t, D)u \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ in the distribution sense,

(iii) for any p such that $0 \le (n/m)(1-1/p) \le 1$ and for any $v \in D(A'_p(t))$ (A(x, t, D)u, v) = (u, A'(x, t, D)v),

where $A'_{p}(t)$ is the realization of A'(x, t, D) in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ under the boundary conditions $B'_i(x, t, D)u|_{ag}=0, j=1, \dots, m/2;$

and for $u \in D(A(t)) A(t)u = A(x, t, D)u$.

If $m_i < m-1$, the trace of $B_i(x, t, D)u$ on $\partial \Omega$ is defined and vanishes for $u \in D(A(t))$. It is known that -A(t) generates an analytic semigroup in $L^{1}(\Omega)$ ([9], [10]).

Let $A_{p}(t)$, 1 , be the realization of <math>A(x, t, D) in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ under the boundary conditions $B_{i}(x, t, D)u|_{ag}=0, j=1, \dots, m/2$. Assuming in addition that Ω is bounded but without the assumption on the adjoint boundary value problem A. Yagi [11] showed the existence of the evolution operator to the equation

(4)
$$du(t)/dt + A_p(t)u(t) = f(t).$$

In this paper we show that for some $\rho \in (0, 1] A(t)^{\circ} \cdot dA(t)^{-1}/dt$ is uniformly bounded :

(5) $\|A(t)^{\rho} \cdot dA(t)^{-1}/dt\| \leq C,$

and hence we can apply the result of [7] to construct the evolution operator to the equation in $L^{1}(\Omega)$:

(6) du(t)/dt + A(t)u(t) = f(t).

As was remarked in [12] the condition (5) is essentially equivalent to the condition of Yagi [11]:

 $\|A(t)(\lambda - A(t))^{-1} \cdot dA(t)^{-1}/dt\| \leq N/|\lambda|^{\rho}.$

Theorem 1. Under the hypothesis stated above the evolution operator U(t, s) to the equation (6):

> $(\partial/\partial t)U(t, s) + A(t)U(t, s) = 0,$ U(s, s) = I,

 $(\partial/\partial s)U(t, s) - U(t, s)A(s) = 0$ on D(A(s))

exists, and satisfies

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)U(t,s)\| = \|A(t)U(t,s)\| \leq C/(t-s),$$

 $A(t)U(t, s)A(s)^{-1}$ is strongly continuous in $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

Outline of proof. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\theta,q}$ the real interpolation space. In view of P. Grisvard [6] for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$W^{\theta,1}(\Omega) = (L^1(\Omega), W^{m,1}_0(\Omega))_{\theta/m,1}$$

where $W_0^{m,1}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{m,1}(\Omega)$. It is easy to show that $(L^1(\Omega), D(A(t)))_{\theta/m,1} \subset D(A(t)^{\rho})$

if $0 < \rho < \theta/m$. Hence it follows that $W^{\theta,1}(\Omega) \subset D(A(t)^{\rho})$ since $W^{m,1}_0(\Omega) \subset U(A(t)^{\rho})$ D(A(t)). Thus in order to establish (5) it suffices to show that (7) $(d/dt)A(t)^{-1}f \in W^{m-1,1}(\Omega)$

for any $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ since clearly $W^{m-1,1}(\Omega) \subset W^{\theta,1}(\Omega)$. The relation (7) is established following the method of estimating the kernels of $\exp(-\tau A)$ and $(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ in [8], [10] where A = A(t) for some fixed t.

Theorem 2. The operator U(t, s) has a kernel G(x, y, t, s) satisfying

No. 5]

D. G. PARK

(8)
$$|G(x, y, t, s)| \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{n/m}} \exp\left(-c \frac{|x-y|^{m/(m-1)}}{(t-s)^{1/(m-1)}}\right).$$

Outline of proof. Following [8], [10] one can show that

$$R_1(t, s) = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right) \exp\left(-(t-s)A(t)\right)$$

has a kernel which satisfies the same type of estimate as (8). Hence, the result follows by the same argument as that of S. D. Eidel'man [5: pp. 73-75].

The author wishes to express the deepest appreciation to Prof. H. Tanabe, the author's advisor, for his valuable suggestions and encouragement.

References

- S. Agmon: On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary value problems. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 15, 119-147 (1964).
- [2] S. Agmon, A. Douglis, and L. Nirenberg: Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions. I. ibid., 12, 633-727 (1959).
- [3] H. Amann: Dual semigroups and second order linear elliptic boundary value problems. Israel J. Math., 45, 225-254 (1983).
- [4] F. E. Browder: On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators. I. Math. Ann., 142, 22-130 (1961).
- [5] S. D. Eidel'man: Parabolic Systems. North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-London, Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen (1969) (English translation).
- [6] P. Grisvard: Equations differentielles abstraites. Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup., 2, 311-395 (1969).
- [7] H. Tanabe: Note on singular perturbation for abstract differential equations. Osaka J. Math., 1, 239-252 (1964).
- [8] ——: On Green's functions of elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. Proc. Japan Acad., 48, 709-711 (1972).
- [9] —: On semilinear equations of elliptic and parabolic type. Functional Analysis and Numerical Analysis. Japan-France Seminar, Tokyo and Kyoto, 1976 (ed. H. Fujita), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, 455-463 (1978).
- [10] ——: Functional Analysis. II. Jikkyo Shuppan Publishing Company, Tokyo (1981) (in Japanese).
- [11] A. Yagi: On the abstract linear evolution equations in Banach spaces. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28, 290-303 (1976).
- [12] ——: On the abstract evolution equation of parabolic type. Osaka J. Math., 14, 557-568 (1977).