# 115. Casson's Invariant for Homology 3-Spheres and the Mapping Class Group 

By Shigeyuki Morita<br>Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology<br>(Communicated by Kunihiko Kodaira, m. J. a., Dec. 12, 1986)

1. Introduction. Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a closed orientable surface of genus $g \geqq 2$ and let $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ be its mapping class group. Let $\mathcal{I}_{g}$ be the subgroup of $\mathscr{M}_{g}$ consisting of all mapping classes which act on the homology of $\Sigma_{g}$ trivially. It is called the Torelli group of genus $g$ and we have a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{g} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g} \rightarrow S p(2 g, Z) \rightarrow 1$ where $S p(2 g, Z)$ is the Siegel modular group. Recently Johnson has obtained several fundamental results concerning the structure of the Torelli group. Among other things he enumerated the Birman-Craggs homomorphisms $\mathcal{J}_{g} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z} / 2$, which are defined by using the Rohlin invariant of homology 3 -spheres (see [1]), and investigated the relationship between them and another abelian quotient of $\mathcal{J}_{g}$ which he constructed by making use of the action of $\mathcal{I}_{g}$ on a certain nilpotent quotient of $\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ (see [3] [4]).

Now the purpose of the present note is to announce our recent related results. Roughly speaking, we have first "lifted" Johnson's result mentioned above in terms of Casson's invariant for homology 3-spheres ([2]) rather than the Rohlin invariant, and then put the computations forward by one step. As a result we can prove the existence of a new method of defining Casson's invariant (see Theorem 9).
2. Johnson's method. Here we briefly recall Johnson's method of investigating the mapping class groups (see [5] for details). For simplicity here we only consider the mapping class group $\mathscr{M}_{g, 1}$ of $\Sigma_{g}$ relative to an embedded disc $D^{2} \subset \Sigma_{g}$. Write $\Gamma_{1}$ for $\pi_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}^{0}\right)\left(\Sigma_{g}^{0}=\Sigma_{g} \backslash D^{2}\right)$ and inductively define $\Gamma_{k+1}=\left[\Gamma_{k}, \Gamma_{1}\right](k=1,2, \cdots)$. We may call $N_{k}=\Gamma_{1} / \Gamma_{k}$ the $k$-th nilpotent quotient of $\Gamma_{1}$. We simply write $H$ for $N_{2}=H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}, \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$ and choose a symplectic basis $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{g}, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{g}$ of it. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the free graded Lie algebra on $x_{i}, y_{j}$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ be the submodule of $\mathcal{L}$ consisting of all homogeneous elements of degree $k$. It is a classical result that there exists a natural isomorphism $\Gamma_{k} / \Gamma_{k+1} \cong \mathcal{L}_{k}$ (see [7]) and we have a central extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k} \rightarrow N_{k+1} \rightarrow N_{k} \rightarrow 1$. We have also natural isomorphisms $\mathcal{L}_{2} \cong \bigwedge^{2} H$ and $\mathcal{L}_{3} \cong \wedge^{2} H \otimes H / \wedge^{3} H$. Now $\mathscr{M}=\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ naturally acts on $N_{k}$ and set $\mathscr{M}(k)$ to be the subgroup of $\mathscr{M}$ consisting of all elements which act on $N_{k}$ trivially. $\mathscr{M}(2)$ is nothing but the Torelli group $\mathcal{J}_{g, 1}$ and according to [6], $\mathscr{M}(3)$ is the subgroup of $\mathscr{M}$ generated by all Dehn twists on bounding simple closed curves on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$. Hereafter we write $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ for $\mathscr{M}(3)$. Johnson constructed a homomorphism $\tau_{k}: \mathscr{H}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{k} \otimes H$ such that $\operatorname{Ker} \tau_{k}=\mathscr{M}(k+1)$ and proved
that $\operatorname{Im} \tau_{2}=\wedge^{3} H \subset \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes H$ (see [4] [5]). We have determined $\operatorname{Im} \tau_{3}$ (see Theorem 1 below).
3. Casson's invariant. Recently Casson [2] defined a new integral invariant $\lambda$ for oriented homology 3 -spheres whose $Z / 2$ reduction is the Rohlin invariant. It can be characterized by the following property. Namely for any knot $K$ in an oriented homology 3 -sphere $W$, set $\lambda^{\prime}(K)$ $=(1 / 2) \Delta_{K}^{\prime \prime}(1)$ where $\Delta_{K}(t)=a_{0}+a_{1}\left(t+t^{-1}\right)+\cdots$ is the Alexander polynomial of $K$ with $\Delta_{K}(1)=1$. Then $\lambda\left(W^{\prime}\right)=\lambda(W)+\lambda^{\prime}(K)$, where $W^{\prime}$ is the homology sphere obtained from $W$ by performing $1 / 1$ Dehn surgery on $K$.
4. Statement of the main results. Consider the basis $x_{i} \wedge y_{j}(i, j=1$, $\cdots, g), x_{i} \wedge x_{j}(i<j), y_{i} \wedge y_{j}(i<j)$ of $\wedge^{2} H$ and write $t_{i}\left(i=1, \cdots,\binom{2 g}{2}\right)$ for these elements (in any order). Let $T$ be the submodule of $\bigwedge^{2} H \otimes \wedge^{2} H \subset$ $\wedge^{2} H \otimes H^{2}$ generated by $t_{i} \otimes t_{i}$ and $t_{i} \otimes t_{j}+t_{j} \otimes t_{i}(i \neq j)$. Hereafter we simply write $t_{i} \leftrightarrow t_{j}$ for $t_{i} \otimes t_{j}+t_{j} \otimes t_{i}$. Let $\bar{T}$ be the image in $\mathcal{L}_{3} \otimes H$ of $T$ under the projection $\bigwedge^{2} H \otimes H^{2} \rightarrow\left(\bigwedge^{2} H \otimes H / \bigwedge^{3} H\right) \otimes H=\mathcal{L}_{3} \otimes H$. We can define a certain submodule $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ of $\bar{T}$ of index a power of 2 (the precise definition of $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ is omitted here).

Theorem 1. The image of Johnson's homomorphism $\tau_{3}: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{3} \otimes H$ is $\bar{T}^{\prime}$ which is a free abelian group of rank $1 / 3 g^{2}\left(4 g^{2}-1\right)$.

Next let $\mathscr{F}$ be the set of all closed oriented surfaces $F$ in $S^{3}$ of genus $\geqq g$ and let $\mathcal{E} m b$ be the set of all orientation preserving embeddings $f: \Sigma_{g}^{0} \rightarrow F$ $\subset S^{3}$ with $F \in \mathscr{F}$. For each element $f \in \mathcal{E} m b$, we can define a map

$$
\lambda(f): \mathscr{J}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow Z
$$

by setting $\lambda(f)(\phi)=\lambda\left(W_{\phi}\right)\left(\phi \in \mathcal{I}_{g, 1}\right)$, where $W_{\phi}$ is the oriented homology 3 sphere obtained by cutting $S^{3}$ along $F$ and then regluing the resulting two pieces by the map $\phi$ (cf. [1] [3]).

Lemma 2. Although the map $\lambda(f)$ is not a homomorphism, we have $\lambda(f)\left(\phi_{1} \phi_{2} \phi_{3}\right)=\lambda(f)\left(\phi_{1}\right)+\lambda(f)\left(\phi_{2}\right)+\lambda(f)\left(\phi_{3}\right)$ if $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{3}$ are contained in $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$. In particular the restriction of $\lambda(f)$ to $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ is a homomorphism.

We define a homomorphism $\Lambda: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow M a p(\mathcal{E} m b, Z)$ by setting $\Lambda(\phi)(f)=$ $\lambda(f)(\phi)\left(\phi \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}, f \in \mathcal{E} m b\right)$.

Now let $K$ be a knot in an oriented homology 3 -sphere $W$ and let $S$ be an oriented Seifert surface of it. Choose a symplectic basis $u_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots, u_{2 n}$ of $H_{1}(S, Z)$ such that $u_{i} \cdot u_{j}=\delta_{i+h}(i<j)$ and let $U=(l(i, j)), l(i, j)=l k\left(u_{i}, u_{j}^{+}\right)$ be the associated Seifert matrix.

Proposition 3. We have the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime}(K)= & \sum_{i=1}^{n}\{l(i, i) l(i+h, i+h)-l(i, i+h) l(i+h, i)\} \\
& +2 \sum_{i<j \leq h}\{l(i, j) l(i+h, j+h)-l(i, j+h) l(j, i+h)\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define a commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with unit 1 as follows. $\mathcal{A}$ has a generator $(\overline{u, v)}$ for any two elements $u, v \in H$ and we require the following relations to hold in $\mathcal{A}$ :
(i) $(\overline{v, u})=(\overline{u, v})+u \cdot v$
(ii) $\left(\overline{n_{1} u_{1}+n_{2} u_{2}, v}\right)=n_{1}\left(\overline{u_{1}}, v\right)+n_{2}\left(\overline{u_{2}, v}\right)\left(n_{1}, n_{2} \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right)$.

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ is nothing but the polynomial algebra over $Z$ generated by the elements $\bar{x}_{i}, \bar{y}_{i},\left(\bar{x}_{i}, x_{j}\right)(i<j),\left(\overline{y_{i}, y_{j}}\right)(i<j)$ and $\left(\overline{x_{i}, y_{j}}\right)$ (we simply write $\bar{u}$ for $(\overline{u, u})$ ). The group $S p(2 g, Z)$ acts naturally on $\mathcal{A}$.

For each bounding simple closed curve $\omega$ on $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$, let $\phi_{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ be the Dehn twist on $\omega$ and choose a symplectic basis $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{2 h}$ of the homology of the subsurface of $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$ which $\omega$ bounds.

Theorem 4. The correspondence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \ni \phi_{\omega} \longrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\overline{u_{i}} \bar{u}_{i+h}-\left(\overline{u_{i}, u_{i+h}}\right)\left(\overline{u_{i+h}, u_{i}}\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+2 \sum_{i<j \leq h}\left\{\left(\overline{u_{i}, u_{j}}\right)\left(\overline{u_{i+h}, u_{j+h}}\right)-\left(\overline{u_{i}, u_{j+h}}\right)\left(\overline{u_{j}}, \overline{u_{i+h}}\right)\right\} \in \mathcal{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

for each generator $\phi_{\omega} \in \mathcal{K}_{g, 1}$ defines a well-defined homomorphism $\rho: \mathcal{K}_{q, 1}$ $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and the following diagram is commutative

where $\varepsilon$ is the natural evaluation map.
Thus $\operatorname{Im} \rho$, which is contained in $\mathscr{A}_{2}$ (the submodule of $\mathscr{A}$ consisting of all elements of degree $\leqq 2$ ), can be considered as the space of all homomorphisms $\mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \rightarrow Z$ defined by the Casson invariant.

Proposition 5. There exists a uniquely defined $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g, Z)$-equivariant homomorphism $\theta: T \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ such that for any symplectic basis $u_{1}, \cdots, u_{2 n}$ of the homology of any subsurface of $\Sigma_{g}^{0}$, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta\left(\left(u_{1} \wedge\right.\right. & \left.\left.u_{h+1}+\cdots+u_{h} \wedge u_{2 h}\right) \otimes\left(u_{1} \wedge u_{h+1}+\cdots+u_{h} \wedge u_{2 h}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\bar{u}_{i} \bar{u}_{i+h}-\left(\overline{u_{i}}, u_{i+h}\right)\left(\overline{u_{i+h}}, u_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& +2 \sum_{i<j \leq h}\left\{\left(\overline{u_{i}, u_{j}}\right)\left(\overline{u_{i+h}}, u_{j+h}\right)-\left(\overline{u_{i}, u_{j+h}}\right)\left(\overline{u_{j}, u_{i+h}}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersection $T \cap \bigwedge^{3} H \otimes H$ is generated by the $S p(2 g, Z)$-orbits of the following three elements:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}=x_{1} \wedge y_{1} \longleftrightarrow x_{2} \wedge y_{2}+y_{1} \wedge x_{2} \longleftrightarrow x_{1} \wedge y_{2}+x_{2} \wedge x_{1} \longleftrightarrow y_{1} \wedge y_{2} \\
& s_{2}=x_{1} \wedge y_{1} \longleftrightarrow x_{2} \wedge x_{3}+y_{1} \wedge x_{2} \longleftrightarrow x_{1} \wedge x_{3}+x_{2} \wedge y_{1} \longleftrightarrow y_{1} \wedge x_{3} \\
& s_{3}=x_{1} \wedge x_{2} \longleftrightarrow x_{3} \wedge x_{4}+x_{2} \wedge x_{3} \longleftrightarrow x_{1} \wedge x_{4}+x_{3} \wedge x_{1} \longleftrightarrow x_{2} \wedge x_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have $\theta\left(s_{1}\right)=-1, \theta\left(s_{2}\right)=\theta\left(s_{3}\right)=0$. Hence $\theta$ induces a homomorphism $\bar{\theta}: \bar{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2} / \mathcal{A}_{0}$ where $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ is the submodule of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of constants.

Theorem 6. The following diagram is commutative

where $\mathscr{A}_{2} \rightarrow \mathscr{A}_{2} / \mathscr{A}_{0}$ is the projection.
Now the elements $s_{1}, s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ vanish in $\mathcal{L}_{3} \otimes H$ because of the "Jacobi identity"

$$
[[\alpha, \beta], \gamma][[\beta, \gamma], \alpha][[\gamma, \alpha], \beta]=1 \bmod \Gamma_{4}
$$

which holds for any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma_{1}$ (cf. [7]). However the above congruence does not hold $\bmod \Gamma_{5}$ and we can do the following. Define $E=\Gamma_{3} / \Gamma_{5}$ which is an abelian group. We can extend Johnson's method (see § 2) to obtain a homomorphism

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{3}: \mathcal{K}_{g, 1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \operatorname{Com}\left(N_{3}, E\right)
$$

where $\mathscr{H}$ om $\left(N_{3}, E\right)$ denotes the abelian group of all crossed-homomorphisms $N_{3} \rightarrow E$, where $N_{3}$ acts on $E$ naturally.

Theorem 7. There exists a homomorphism $\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\tau}_{3} \rightarrow T$ such that the following diagram is commutative


Corollary 8. The value of the $\operatorname{map} \lambda(f): \mathcal{J}_{g, 1} \rightarrow Z$ at any element $\phi \in$ $\mathcal{J}_{g, 1}$ depends only on the residue class of $\phi$ modulo the normal subgroup $\mathscr{M}(5)$ of $\mathcal{I}_{g, 1}$.

Theorem 9. It is possible to define the Casson invariant of homology 3 -spheres in terms of the action of the pasting maps of Heegaard decompositions on the fifth nilpotent quotient of the fundamental group of Heegaard surfaces.
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