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1. Introduction. In generalizing the Aitken $\delta^{2}$-process in one dimension to the case of $n$-dimensions, Henrici [1, p. 116] has considered a formula, which is called the Aitken-Steffensen formula. In [2], we have studied the above Aitken-Steffensen formula for systems of nonlinear equations and shown [2, Theorem 2]. Moreover, in [3], we have considered a method of iteration for the above systems, which is often called the Steffensen iteration method, and shown [3, Theorem 1]. [3, Theorem 1] improves the result of [2, Theorem 2].

We have given the proof of [3, Theorem 1], in which the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula [3, Lemma 4] is used only to determine $\left(\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}$, but in this paper we show that the proof can be simplified without using the formula. And we also present a numerical example in order to show the efficiency of the Steffensen iteration method.
2. Statement of results. Let $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ be a vector in $R^{n}$ and $D$ a region contained in $R^{n}$. Let $f_{i}(x)(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ be real-valued nonlinear functions defined on $D$ and $f(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \cdots, f_{n}(x)\right)$ an $n$-dimensional vector-valued function. Then we shall consider a system of nonlinear equations
(2.1)

$$
x=f(x)
$$

whose solution is $\bar{x}$. Let $\|x\|$ and $\|A\|$ be denoted by

$$
\|x\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n}\left|x_{i}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\|A\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|
$$

where $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. Define $f^{(i)}(x) \in R^{n}(i=0,1,2, \ldots)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{(0)}(x)=x \\
& f^{(i)}(x)=f\left(f^{(i-1)}(x)\right) \quad(i=1,2, \cdots) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{(0, k)}=x^{(k)}-\bar{x}, \\
& d^{(i, k)}=f^{(i)}\left(x^{(k)}\right)-\bar{x} \quad \text { for } i=1,2, \cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

and then define an $n \times n$ matrix $D\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ by

$$
D\left(x^{(k)}\right)=\left(d^{(0, k)}, d^{(1, k)}, \cdots, d^{(n-1, k)}\right)
$$

Throughout this paper, we shall assume the following five conditions (A.1)-(A.5) which are the same as those of [3].
(A.1) $f_{i}(x)(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ are two times continuously differentiable on $D$.
(A.2) There exists a point $\bar{x} \in D$ satisfying (2.1).
(A.3) $\|J(\bar{x})\|<1$, where $J(x)=\left(\partial f_{i}(x) / \partial x_{j}\right)(1 \leqq i, j \leqq n)$.
(A.4) The vectors $d^{(0, k)}, d^{(1, k)}, \cdots, d^{(n-1, k)}, k=0,1,2, \cdots$, are linearly independent.
(A.5) $\quad \inf \left\{\left|\operatorname{det} D\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right| /\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{n}\right\}>0$.

Now, we consider Steffensen's iteration method

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}-\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1} \Delta x\left(x^{(k)}\right), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where an $n$-dimensional vector $\Delta x(x)$, and $n \times n$ matrices $\Delta X(x)$ and $\Delta^{2} X(x)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x(x)=f^{(1)}(x)-x, \\
& \Delta X(x)=\left(f^{(1)}(x)-x, \cdots, f^{(n)}(x)-f^{(n-1)}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\Delta^{2} X(x)=\left(f^{(2)}(x)-2 f^{(1)}(x)+x, \cdots, f^{(n+1)}(x)-2 f^{(n)}(x)+f^{(n-1)}(x)\right) .
$$

In this paper, we also show the following
Theorem 1. Under conditions (A.1)-(A.5), there exists a constant M such that an estimate of the form

$$
\left\|x^{(k+1)}-\bar{x}\right\| \leqq M\left\|x^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2}
$$

holds, provided that the $x^{(k)}$ generated by (2.2) are sufficiently close to the solution $\bar{x}$ of (2.1).
3. Preliminaries. For the proof of Theorem 1, we need the following three lemmas given in [3]:

Lemma 1 ([3, Lemma 1]). Let $A$ and $C$ be $n \times n$ matrices and assume that $A$ is invertible, with $\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leqq K_{1}$. If $\|A-C\| \leqq K_{2}$ and $K_{1} K_{2}<1$, then $C$ is also invertible, and $\left\|C^{-1}\right\| \leqq K_{1} /\left(1-K_{1} K_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 2 ([3, Lemma 2]). Under conditions (A.1)-(A.5), there exists a constant $L_{1}$ such that the inequality

$$
\left\|\left(D\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq L_{1}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{-1}
$$

holds for $x^{(k)}$ sufficiently close to $\bar{x}$.
Lemma 3 ([3, Lemma 3]). Under conditions (A.1)-(A.5), $n \times n$ matrices $\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ and $\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ are invertible, and there exist,constants $L_{4}$ and $L_{7}$ such that the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left(\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq L_{4}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{-1},  \tag{3.1}\\
& \left\|\left(\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}\right\| \leqq L_{7}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{-1} \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold for $x^{(k)}$ sufficiently close to $\bar{x}$.
Lemmas 1 and 2 are used in proving Lemma 3. By the definition, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)=(J(\bar{x})-I) D\left(x^{(k)}\right)+Y_{1}\left(x^{(k)}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
& \Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)=(J(\bar{x})-I) \Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)+Y_{2}\left(x^{(k)}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Y_{1}(x)$ and $Y_{2}(x)$ are $n \times n$ matrices. By (A.1)-(A.3), we may choose constants $L_{2}$ and $L_{5}$ such that, for $x^{(k)}$ sufficiently close to $\bar{x}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|Y_{1}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq L_{2}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{2},  \tag{3.5}\\
& \left\|Y_{2}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq L_{5}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we note that the inequality (3.1) holds with $L_{4}=L_{1} / L_{3}$ by choosing a constant $L_{3}$ so as to satisfy

$$
1-\|J(\bar{x})\|-L_{1} L_{2}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\| \geqq L_{3}>0 .
$$

Similarly we obtain the inequality (3.2) with $L_{7}=L_{4} / L_{6}$ by choosing a
constant $L_{6}$ satisfying

$$
1-\|J(\bar{x})\|-L_{4} L_{5}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\| \geqq L_{6}>0 .
$$

4. Proof of Theorem 1. We shall prove Theorem 1. By the definition and (A.1)-(A.3), we also have, as in § 3,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x\left(x^{(k)}\right)=(J(\bar{x})-I) d^{(0, k)}+\xi\left(x^{(k)}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$ where $\xi(x)$ is an $n$-dimensional vector and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\xi\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq L_{8}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|^{2}, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

a constant $L_{8}$ being suitably chosen.
We observe that, by Lemma 3, $\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)$ is invertible for $x^{(k)}$ sufficiently close to $\bar{x}$. Then, by (3.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(\bar{x})-I=\left(\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)-Y_{2}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)\left(\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (4.1) into (2.2) and using (4.3), it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{(k+1)}-\bar{x}= & \Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\left(\Delta^{2} X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1}\left[Y_{2}\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right.  \tag{4.4}\\
& \left.\cdot\left(\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right)^{-1} d^{(0, k)}-\xi\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\|D\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left\|d^{(i, k)}\right\|$, we have

$$
\left\|D\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} M_{1}^{i}\right)\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\|,
$$

and so, from (3.3), by (A.3) and (3.5),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Delta X\left(x^{(k)}\right)\right\| \leqq L_{9}\left\|d^{(0, k)}\right\| \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $L_{9}$ chosen suitably. In the above, we have used, under conditions (A.1)-(A.3), the fact that

$$
\left\|d^{(i+1, k)}\right\| \leqq M_{1}\left\|d^{(i, k)}\right\| \quad\left(0<M_{1}<1\right)
$$

for $i=0,1,2, \cdots$. Hence, we obtain an estimate
(4.6)

$$
\left\|x^{(k+1)}-\bar{x}\right\| \leqq L_{9} L_{7}\left(L_{5} L_{4}+L_{8}\right)\left\|x^{(k)}-\bar{x}\right\|^{2},
$$

from (4.4), by (4.5), (3.2), (3.6), (3.1) and (4.2). Therefore, (4.6) shows that Theorem 1 holds with $M=L_{7} L_{9}\left(L_{4} L_{5}+L_{8}\right)$. In this way, we have proved Theorem 1, as desired.
5. Numerical example. In order to show the efficiency of the Steffensen iteration method (2.2), we consider a system of nonlinear equations, Example 5.1, which is a modification of [4, (A.82)]. The solution of Example 5.1 using the Steffensen iteration method (2.2) is presented in Table 5.1 below, together with the solutions by the iteration method [2, (1.2)] and the Aitken-Steffensen formula [2, (1.5)].

Example 5.1. $\left\{\begin{array}{l}x_{1}=f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{60}\left(3 x_{1}^{3}-3 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+6 x_{1} x_{2}^{2}+61.488\right), \\ x_{2}=f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{50}\left(-x_{1}^{3}+6 x_{1}^{2} x_{2}+3 x_{2}^{3}-32.496\right) .\end{array}\right.$
The solution is $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}_{1}, \bar{x}_{2}\right)=(1.4,-1.0)$.
Table 5.1. Computation results for Example 5.1

| Methods | Solutions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Iteration method [2, (1.2)] | $x^{(82)}=(1.3999000,-0.9999053)$ |
| Aitken-Steffensen formula [2, (1.5)] | $y^{(32)}=(1.3999820,-0.9999861)$ |
| Steffensen iteration method (2.2) | $x^{(4)}=(1.3999920,-0.9999936)$ |

$$
x^{(0)}=(0.0,0.0)
$$
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