# 66. The Uniqueness of Periodic Solutions of Liénard Equations in some Domains Including the Origin 

By Masaki Hirano and Minoru Yamamoto
Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University (Communicated by Kôsaku Yosida, m. J. A., Sept. 12, 1988)

1. Introduction. The existence and the uniqueness of the periodic solutions of Liénard equation :

$$
\ddot{x}+f(x) \dot{x}+g(x)=0
$$

or equivalently

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=y-F(x) \quad \text { where } F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(u) d u, \\
\dot{y}=-g(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

has been widely discussed and numerous criteria have been developed.
Lins, de Melo and Pugh [1] showed that there exists at most one periodic orbit when $F$ is a polynomial of degree 3 and $g(x) \equiv x$. Rychkov [3] proved that if $F$ is an odd polynomial of degree 5 and $g(x) \equiv x$ then there exist at most 2 periodic orbits.

Lloyd [2] investigated the number of periodic solutions when $F$ is polynomial-like and showed that under certain conditions there are at least $n$ periodic solutions if $F$ behaves like a polynomial of degree $2 n+1$ or $2 n+2$. But the maximal number of periodic solutions has remained unsolved.

In this paper, we give certain conditions for the uniqueness of the periodic solution in some bounded domains including the origin.
2. Lloyd's results. Lloyd assumed in his paper [1]:
(1) $F$ and $g$ are continuously differentiable,
(2) $x g(x)>0 \quad(x \neq 0)$,
(3) there exist $k_{i}(i=1 \sim 4)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}<k_{2}<0<k_{3}<k_{4}, \\
& F\left(k_{1}\right), F\left(k_{3}\right)>0 \text { and } F\left(k_{2}\right), F\left(k_{4}\right)<0, \\
& f(x)<0 \quad \text { on }\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right] \cup\left[k_{3}, k_{4}\right], f(x)>0 \text { on }\left[R_{2}, R_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2), there is no critical point except for the origin.
For the convenience we write

$$
a_{i}=F\left(k_{i}\right),
$$

$b_{i}=G\left(k_{i}\right) \quad$ where $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(u) d u \quad$ and,
$\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ are the zeros of $F(x)$ in $\left[k_{1}, k_{2}\right],\left[k_{3}, k_{4}\right]$ respectively.
Under the following conditions:
[C1]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2}+b_{1}-G\left(\xi_{1}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{3}+\sqrt{2 b_{4}}\right)^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{2} a_{4}^{2}+b_{4}-G\left(\xi_{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(a_{3}-\sqrt{2 b_{4}}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lloyd proved that the closed curve $\gamma_{1}$ through the points ( $k_{1}, c_{1}$ ) and ( $k_{4}, c_{4}$ ) can be constructed, and that the interior domain $D_{1}$ surrounded by $\gamma_{1}$ is negatively invariant. And this result with the asymptotic stability of the origin implies that there exists at least one periodic orbit in $D_{1}$. But in his paper [2], Lloyd did not prove the uniqueness of the periodic orbit (not critical) in the domain $D_{1}$.
3. Main theorem. We will report that under certain conditions, there exists a unique periodic orbit in $D_{1}$ and give the sketch of the proof.

Lemma. We assume that [H] holds. Moreover under the following conditions:
[C2]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{2} a_{1}^{2}+b_{1} \geq 2 G\left(\xi_{1}\right), & \frac{1}{2} a_{4}^{2}+b_{4} \geq 2 G\left(\xi_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \\
\frac{1}{2} a_{2}^{2}+b_{2} \geq G\left(\xi_{2}\right), & \frac{1}{2} a_{3}^{2}+b_{3} \geq G\left(\xi_{1}\right),
\end{array}
$$

the closed curve $\gamma_{2}$ through the points $\left(\xi_{1}, 0\right),\left(k_{3}, a_{3}\right),\left(\xi_{2}, 0\right),\left(k_{2}, a_{2}\right)$ can be constructed in $D_{1}$ and the interior domain $D_{2}$ surrounded by $\gamma_{2}$ is positively invariant.

Sketch of proof. Let $\gamma_{2}^{+}$be the subarc of $\gamma_{2}$ contained in the halfplane $x \geq 0$. $\quad \gamma_{2}^{+}$has two sections.

We consider the curve defined by

$$
\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+G(x)=G\left(\xi_{1}\right) .
$$

This curve goes through $\left(\xi_{1}, 0\right)$. By $(1 / 2) a_{1}^{2}+b_{1} \geq 2 G\left(\xi_{1}\right)$, this curve lies in $\gamma_{1}$, and by ( $1 / 2$ ) $a_{2}^{2}+b_{2} \geq G\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, this curve must intersects the curve $y=F(x)$ at $x=\xi^{+} \in\left(0, \xi_{2}\right)$. We construct one section of $\gamma_{2}^{+}$by curve above where $x \in\left[\xi_{1}, \xi^{+}\right]$.

The other section of $\gamma_{2}^{+}$is constructed by $y=F(x)$ where $x \in\left(\xi^{+}, \xi_{2}\right]$. Similary, $\gamma_{2}^{-}$, the subarc of $\gamma_{2}$ contained in the halfplane $x \leq 0$, is constructed by

$$
\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+G(x)=G\left(\xi_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad y=F(x)
$$

Considering the directions of vector field and evaluating the differentiation along the solutions, the positive invariance of $D_{2}$ can be easily proved.
Q.E.D.

Theorem. We assume that $[\mathrm{H}]$ holds. Under the conditions [C1] and [C2], there exists a unique periodic orbit (except for the critical point) in $D_{1}$.

Sketch of proof. [C1] guarantees the existence of periodic orbits in $D_{1}$. We will prove the uniqueness.

By the invariance principle (cf., for example [4]), we can show that the solution starting from a point in $D_{2}$ is attracted to the origin and that there is no periodic orbit in $D_{2}$. This result implies that all the periodic orbits in $D_{1}$ must have points in common with both the lines

$$
x=\xi_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad x=\xi_{2} .
$$

Let $C$ be the innermost periodic orbit. We consider the orbit $\tilde{C}$ starting from a point in $D_{1}$ which is on the line $x=\xi_{1}, y>0$, outside of $C$. If this orbit does not cross the line $x=\xi_{1}$ in $D_{1}$ again then this orbit must not be periodic. So we assume that $\tilde{C}$ crosses the line $x=\xi_{1}$ again. Moreover, if $\tilde{C}$ goes outside of $\gamma_{1}$ then the negative invariance of $D_{1}$ implies that $\tilde{C}$ will remain outside of $\gamma_{1}$ in the future and that $\tilde{C}$ cannot be a periodic orbit. Therefore we can assume that $\tilde{C}$ will cross the line $x=\xi_{1}$ in $D_{1}$ outside of $C$.

Now, we define $I, \tilde{I}$ by

$$
I=\int_{C} d u, \quad \tilde{I}=\int_{\tilde{C}} d u \quad \text { where } \quad u=\frac{1}{2} y^{2}+G(x)
$$

By the fact that $I=0$, if we can show that $I<\tilde{I}$ then it will be proved that $\tilde{C}$ is not periodic and that there is no periodic orbit outside of $C$ in $D_{1}$. Dividing $C, \tilde{C}$ into four subarcs as follows:
$C_{1}, \widetilde{C}_{1}$ : the subarcs of $C, \tilde{C}$ corresponding to $x \leq \xi_{1}$,
$C_{2}, \tilde{C}_{2}$ : the subarcs of $C, \tilde{C}$ corresponding to $\xi_{1} \leq x \leq \xi_{2}$ and $y \geq 0$,
$C_{3}, \tilde{C}_{3}$ : the subarcs of $C, \tilde{C}$ corresponding to $x \geq \xi_{2}$,
$C_{4}, \tilde{C}_{4}$ : the subarcs of $C, \tilde{C}$ corresponding to $\xi_{1} \leq x \leq \xi_{2}$ and $y \leq 0$, respectively. We compare $I_{i}$ and $\tilde{I}_{i}(i=1 \sim 4)$ where

$$
I_{i}=\int_{C_{i}} d u, \quad \tilde{I}_{i}=\int_{\tilde{c}_{i}} d u \quad(i=1 \sim 4)
$$

For comparing $I_{2}$ and $\tilde{I}_{2}$, we use the expression for $d u$ :

$$
d u=\frac{-g(x) F(x)}{y-F(x)} d x
$$

The curves $C_{2}$ and $\tilde{C}_{2}$ can be regarded as the graphs of $y=y(x)$ and $y=\tilde{y}(x)$ respectively.

$$
I_{2}=\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} \frac{-g(x) F(x)}{y(x)-F(x)} d x<\int_{\xi_{1}}^{\xi_{2}} \frac{-g(x) F(x)}{\tilde{y}(x)-F(x)} d x \leq \tilde{I}_{2} .
$$

Similarly we can prove that $I_{4}<\tilde{I}_{4}$.
For comparing $I_{1}$ and $\tilde{I}_{1}$, we use the expression for $d u$ :

$$
d u=F(x) d y
$$

The curves $C_{1}$ and $\tilde{C}_{1}$ can be regarded as the graphs of $x=x(y)$ and $x=\tilde{x}(y)$ respectively.

$$
I_{1}=\int_{y_{1}}^{y_{2}} F(x(y)) d y \leq \int_{\tilde{y}_{1}}^{\tilde{y}_{2}} F(\tilde{x}(y)) d y=\tilde{I}_{1} .
$$

Similarly we can prove that $I_{3} \leq \tilde{I}_{3}$.
These inequalities show that $I<\tilde{I}$ and the proof is completed. Q.E.D.
Remark 1. Lloyd also considered the case that

$$
F\left(k_{1}\right), F\left(k_{3}\right)<0 \quad \text { and } \quad F\left(k_{2}\right), F\left(k_{4}\right)>0
$$

and obtained a similar existence result given in Section 2. On the uniqueness, our methods can be applied in the above case and a similar theorem as above can be proved.

Remark 2. If it is assumed that there exists a bounded solution outside of $\gamma_{1}$ then we have at least one periodic orbit outside of $\gamma_{1}$. But the number of periodic solutions outside of $\gamma_{1}$ has not been decided.

## References

[1] A. Lins, W. de Melo, and C. C. Pugh: On Liénard's equation. Geometry and Topology, Rio de Janeiro (1976) ; Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 597, SpringerVerlag, pp. 335-357 (1977).
[2] N. G. Lloyd: Liénard systems with several limit cycles. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 102, 565-572 (1987).
[3] G. S. Rychkov: The maximum number of limit cycles of the systems $\dot{y}=x$, $\dot{x}=y-\sum_{i=0}^{2} a_{i} x^{2 i+1}$ is two. Differentsial'nye Uravneniya, 11, 390-391 (1973).
[4] T. Yoshizawa: Stability Theory by Liapunov's Second Method. The Mathematical Society of Japan (1965).

