On the Group of Units of an Abelian Extension 86. of an Algebraic Number Field

By Yoshitaka ODAI

Gunma College of Technology

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Oct. 12, 1988)

Let K be a finite extension of the rational number field Q and L a finite abelian extension of K. For a subextension M of L/K, we denote by E_M (resp. W_M) the group of units of M (resp. the group of roots of unity in M) and define $E_{M/K} = \{ \varepsilon \in E_M | N_{M/F} \varepsilon \in W_F \text{ for all subextensions } F \neq M \text{ of } M/K \}$, where $N_{M/F}$ is the norm map from M to F. The elements of $E_{M/K}$ are called relative units of M over K. We put $\mathcal{E}_{M} = E_{M/K} W_{L} / W_{L} \simeq E_{M/K} / W_{M}$. In this note we shall prove

Theorem. Let \mathcal{M} denote the set of cyclic subextensions of L/K.

(i) $(E_L/W_L)^{[L:K]} \subseteq \prod_{M \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{E}_M$ and the product \prod is direct.

(ii) Let r_1, r_2 be the numbers of real and complex places of K, respectively, and Z the ring of rational integers. For $M \in \mathcal{M}$, let r_1^M denote the number of real places of K which are unramified in M and let \mathfrak{Q}_{M} denote the ring of integers of the [M:K]-th cyclotomic field. Then \mathcal{C}_{M} is an \mathfrak{O}_{M} -

the ring of the r

This theorem has been proved in [3] and [2] if K=Q, in [5] and [4] if K is an imaginary quadratic field.

The author wishes to thank Dr. K. Nakamula for his kind advice.

§ 1. Preliminaries. Let G be an abelian group of finite order n. Let Q[G] (resp. Z[G]) denote the group ring of G over Q (resp. Z). Let Λ denote $\lambda(\sigma) = \lambda(1)$, $n_{\lambda} = [G: G_{\lambda}]$ and $\Lambda_{\lambda} = \{\mu \in \Lambda \mid G_{\lambda} \subseteq G_{\mu}\}$. We define

$$e_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \lambda(\sigma^{-1}) \sigma \in \frac{1}{n} Z[G] \subseteq Q[G] \text{ and } s_{\lambda} = \sum_{\sigma \in G_{\lambda}} \sigma \in Z[G].$$

It is easy to see that $e_{\lambda}^2 = e_{\lambda}$, $e_{\lambda}e_{\mu} = 0$ ($\lambda \neq \mu$), $\sum_{\lambda \in A} e_{\lambda} = 1$ and

(1)
$$s_{\lambda} = \frac{n}{n_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in A_{\lambda}} e_{\mu}.$$

Let A be a G-module. Let $\overline{A} = A/TA$, where TA is the Z-torsion part of A, and let $l: A \rightarrow \overline{A}$ denote the canonical surjective G-homomorphism. We note that A can be embedded into the Q[G]-module $A_q = A \otimes_z Q$ and that $A_q = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} e_{\lambda} A_q$. For $\lambda \in A$, we denote $A^{\lambda} = \{a \in A \mid \sigma a = a \text{ for all } \sigma \in G_{\lambda}\}$; then for $a \in A^{\lambda}$ we have

(2) $l(a) \in \{x \in A_q \mid \sigma x = x \text{ for all } \sigma \in G_\lambda\} = s_\lambda A_q = \bigoplus_{\mu \in A_\lambda} e_\mu A_q.$

Further we define

 $\begin{array}{l} A_0^{\lambda} = \{a \in A^{\lambda} | l(a) \in e_{\lambda}A_{q}\}.\\ \text{Proposition.} (i) \quad n\overline{A} \subseteq \sum_{\lambda \in A} l(A_0^{\lambda}) \text{ and the sum } \sum is \text{ direct.}\\ (ii) \quad A_0^{\lambda} = \{a \in A^{\lambda} | l(s_{\alpha}a) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in A_{\lambda} \setminus \{\lambda\}\}. \end{array}$

(iii) Let \mathfrak{O}_{λ} denote the ring of integers of the n_{λ} -th cyclotomic field; then $l(A_{\lambda}^{\lambda})$ is an \mathfrak{O}_{λ} -module.

Proof. (i) For $a \in A$, we have $na = \sum_{i \in A} t_i a$ where $t_i = ne_i \in \mathbb{Z}[G]$. Since (1) implies $t_i = n_i e_i s_i$, we have $t_i \sigma = t_i$ for all $\sigma \in G_i$. Therefore $t_i a \in A_0^i$ and $n\overline{A} \subseteq \sum_{i \in A} l(A_0^i)$. As $l(A_0^i) \subseteq e_i A_0$, the sum \sum is direct.

(ii) For $a \in A^{\lambda}$, we have from (1) and (2) that

 $l(a) \in e_{\lambda}A_{Q} \iff e_{\mu}l(a) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \Lambda_{\lambda} \setminus \{\lambda\}$

$$\iff l(s_{\mu}a) = s_{\mu}l(a) = 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \Lambda_{\lambda} \setminus \{\lambda\}.$$

(iii) By definition $l(A_0^{\lambda})$ is an $e_{\lambda}Z[G]$ -module and we know that $e_{\lambda}Z[G] \simeq \mathfrak{O}_{\lambda}$ (cf. [2], § I, 2).

§2. Proof of Theorem. We take $G = \operatorname{Gal}(L/K)$ and $A = E_L$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we denote by L_{λ} the fixed field of G_{λ} ; then $A^{\lambda} = E_{L_{\lambda}}$. Hence (ii) of Proposition implies that $A_0^{\lambda} = \{\varepsilon \in E_{L_{\lambda}} | N_{L_{\lambda}/L_{\mu}}\varepsilon \in W_{L_{\mu}} \text{ for all } \mu \in \Lambda_{\lambda} \setminus \{\lambda\}\}$. Since $\{L_{\mu} | \mu \in \Lambda_{\lambda} \setminus \{\lambda\}\} = \{F | K \subseteq F \subseteq L_{\lambda}\}$, we have $A_0^{\lambda} = E_{L_{\lambda}/K}$ and $l(A_0^{\lambda}) = \mathcal{E}_{L_{\lambda}}$. As $\{L_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ $= \mathcal{M}$, (i) of Proposition proves (i) of Theorem, and (iii) of Proposition says that \mathcal{E}_M is an \mathfrak{O}_M -module for $M \in \mathcal{M}$. Dirichlet's unit theorem says \mathcal{E}_K $\simeq Z^{r_1+r_2-1}$. Hereafter we assume $M \neq K$ and put k = [M:K]. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_M$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{O}_M$ such that $\varepsilon^{\omega} = 1$; then $\varepsilon^{N\omega} = 1$ where $N\omega$ is the absolute norm of ω . As \mathcal{E}_M is Z-torsion free, we have $\varepsilon = 1$. It implies that \mathcal{E}_M is \mathfrak{O}_M -torsion free. We denote by $r_{M/K}$ (resp. r_M) the Z-rank of \mathcal{E}_M (resp. E_M/W_M). By (i) of Theorem we have

$$r_{M} = \sum_{K \subseteq F \subseteq M} r_{F/K} = \sum_{d \mid k} r_{Md/K},$$

where M_d is a unique subextension of M/K of degree d. The number of real places of K which ramify in M_d is $r_1 - r_1^M$ or 0 according as k/d is odd or even. We denote by μ the Möbius's function and by φ the Euler's function; then

$$\begin{split} r_{M/K} &= \sum_{d \mid k} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) r_{Md} = \sum_{d \mid k \atop k/d: \text{ odd}} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \left\{ \left(\frac{r_1 - r_1^M}{2} + r_1^M + r_2\right) d - 1 \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{k/d: \text{ even}} \mu\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) \left\{ (r_1 - r_1^M + r_1^M + r_2) d - 1 \right\} \\ &= (r_1 - r_1^M) \left(\frac{1}{2}S_1 + S_2\right) + (r_1^M + r_2) \varphi(k), \end{split}$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{\substack{d \mid k \ k/d: \, \mathrm{odd}}} \mu\Big(rac{k}{d}\Big) d \quad \mathrm{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{\substack{d \mid k \ k/d: \, \mathrm{even}}} \mu\Big(rac{k}{d}\Big) d.$$

If k is odd then $r_1^{M} = r_1$, if k is even then

$$S_2 = \sum_{\substack{d \mid k \ 2 \parallel k/d}} \mu\left(rac{k}{d}
ight) d = \sum_{\substack{d \mid k \ k/d: \, \mathrm{odd}}} \mu\left(rac{2k}{d}
ight) rac{d}{2} = -rac{1}{2}S_1.$$

No. 8]

Consequently

$$r_{M/K} = (r_1^M + r_2)\varphi(k).$$

On the other hand the Z-ranks of \mathfrak{O}_M and \mathfrak{A}_M are $\varphi(k)$. Therefore Proposition 24 of [1] proves (ii) of Theorem.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: Eléments de Mathématique Algèbre Commutative. Chapitre 7, Paris (1961).
- [2] G. Gras and M.-N. Gras: Calcul du nombre de classes et des unités des extensions abéliennes réelles de Q. Bull. Sci. Math., 2° série, 101, 97-129 (1977).
- [3] H. W. Leopoldt: Über Einheitengruppe und Klassenzahl reeller abelscher Zahlkörper. Abh. Bayer Akad. Wiss. Math.-Nat. Kl., nr. 2, Berlin (1954).
- [4] K. Nakamula: Calculation of the class numbers and fundamental units of abelian extensions over imaginary quadratic fields from approximate values of elliptic units. J. Math. Soc. Japan, 37, 245-273 (1985).
- [5] R. Schertz: Die Klassenzhal der Teilkörpern abelscher Erweiterungen imaginärquadratischer Zahlkörper. I. J. Reine Angew. Math., 295, 151-168 (1977).