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§1. Introduction. Let $\Omega \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ be a bounded domain with a smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $f: \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be a $C^{1}$ function. We consider the semilinear elliptic equation
(1)

$$
-\Delta u=f(u), \quad u>0(\text { in } \Omega), \quad u=0(\text { on } \partial \Omega)
$$

Then the linearized operator around the solution $u=u(x) \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is given by $A \equiv A(u)=-\Delta-f^{\prime}(u)$ in $L^{2}(\Omega)$ with $D(A)=H_{0}^{1} \cap H^{2}(\Omega)$. In the previous work [1], we have given some streamlines in $\Omega$ along which the solution decreases, when $\Omega$ is a symmetric domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{2}$. There, we restricted ourselves to the mild solution, that is, the case when the second eigenvalue $\mu_{2}=\mu_{2}(u)$ of $A=A(u)$ is positive. In this article, we shall note that conversely, the decreasing streamlines of the solution contain some information about the eigenvalues of $A(u)$.

Thus, we suppose that the domain is the unit ball: $\Omega=\{|x|<1\} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$. Then from [5], every solution $u=u(x)$ of (1) is radial: $u=u(|x|)$ and $u_{r}<0$ for $0<r=|x|<1$. Therefore, the set of eigenvalues $\sigma(u)$ of $A(u)$ is divided as $\sigma(u)=\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{m}(u)$ according to the principle of separation of variables. Namely, let $\left\{\rho_{m}\right\}_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(0=\rho_{0}<\rho_{1}<\rho_{2}<\cdots\right)$ be the eigenvalues of $-\Lambda$, where $\Lambda$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator on $S^{N-1}=\{|x|=1\}$. In fact we have $\rho_{m}=m(2 \nu+m)$, where $2 \nu=N-2$. Further, multiplicity $\kappa_{m}$ of $\rho_{m}$ (and hence that of $\mu \in \sigma_{m}(u)$ ) is as follows: for $N=2$ we have $\kappa_{m}=1(m=0)$ and $\kappa_{m}=2$ ( $m \geqq 1$ ) ; for $N>2$ we have $\kappa_{m}=(2 m+N-2)(m+N-3)!/(N-2)!m!$ (see, e.g. [9]). Then $\sigma_{m}(u)$ denotes the set of eigenvalues of the ordinary differential operator $A_{m}(u)=-\left(d^{2} / d r^{2}\right)-((N-1) / r)(d / d r)-c(r)+\left(\rho_{m} / r^{2}\right)$ with $\left.(d / d r) \cdot\right|_{r=0}$ $=\left.\cdot\right|_{r=1}=0$, where $c(r)=f^{\prime}(u)$.

Now, for these sets $\sigma_{m}(u)$ ( $m=0,1,2, \cdots$ ), we claim the following, where $\boldsymbol{R}_{+}=(0, \infty)$ :

Theorem. If $f\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}\right) \subset \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$, then $\sigma_{m}(u) \cap(-\infty, 0]=\phi$ for $m \geqq 1$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} A(u)$ is at most 1 for any solution $u$ on the ball $\Omega=\{|x|<1\}$ $\subset \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$, provided that $f\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{+}\right) \subset \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$.
§2. Proof of Theorem. Set $\sigma_{m}(u)=\left\{\mu_{j}^{m} \mid j=0,1,2, \cdots\right\}$ with $-\infty<$ $\mu_{0}^{m}<\mu_{1}^{m}<\cdots$. Since $\rho_{m^{\prime}}>\rho_{m}$ if $m^{\prime}>m$, we have $\mu_{0}^{1}<\mu_{0}^{2}<\cdots$ and hence we have only to prove that $\mu_{0}^{1}>0$.

The eigenfunction $\varphi$ of $A(u)$ corresponding to $\mu_{0}^{1}$ is of the form $\varphi(x)=$
$\Phi(r) \chi(\omega)(x=r \omega)$, where $\Phi=\Phi(r)$ denotes the eigenfunction of $A_{1}(u)$ and $\chi=$ $\chi(\omega)$ denotes the second eigenfunction of $-\Lambda$. Thus $\chi=\chi(\omega)$ has exactly two nodal domains $S_{ \pm}$on $S^{N-1}=\{|x|=1\}$ and $S_{ \pm}$are chemi-spheres. Therefore, $\mu_{0}^{1}>0$ follows from the existence of a $w \in C^{2}\left(\Omega_{+}\right) \cap C^{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{+}\right)$satisfying
(2) $\quad\left(-\Delta-f^{\prime}(u)\right) w<0, \quad w<0$ (in $\Omega_{+}$) and $\quad w=0$ (on $\partial \Omega_{+}$)
by Jacobi's method ([2]), where $\Omega_{+}=\left\{x \in \Omega \mid x_{n}>0\right\}$ denotes a chemi-ball. We shall give such a $w$ for the cases of $N=2$ and $N=3$, for simplicity.

The case $N=2$. Let [ ] : $C \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{2}$ be the canonical mapping. Through some calculations we can derive from $-\Delta u=f(u)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta w=2\left(\operatorname{Re} \nu_{z}\right) f(u)+f^{\prime}(u) w \quad(\text { in } \Omega) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w=\nabla u \cdot[\nu]$ for each holomorphic function $\nu=\nu(z)\left(z=x_{1}+i x_{2}\right)$. Taking $\nu(z)=i\left(1+z^{2}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Re} \nu_{z}=-2 x_{2}<0$ in $\Omega_{+}=\left\{|x|<1, x_{2}>0\right\}$, so that $(-\Delta-$ $\left.f^{\prime}(u)\right) w<0$ in $\Omega_{+}$by $f(u)>0$. Further, each flow $l$ starting from $\bar{\Omega}_{+} \cap$ $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ subject to the vector field $\nu=\nu(z)$ goes outside from the level set $\{|x|=c\}(0<c<1)$ in $\Omega_{+}$. Hence $w<0$ in $\Omega_{+}$, because $u=u(|x|)$ with $u_{r}<0$ $(0<r<1)$. Finally $l$ is orthogonal to $\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$ and goes along $\partial \Omega$ if it starts from the end points of $\bar{\Omega}_{+} \cap\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$. Hence $\left.w\right|_{\partial \Omega}=0$.

The case $N=3$. If $\Omega=\{|x|<1\} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ and $u=u(r)(r=|x|)$, the relation $-\Delta u=f(u)$ gives

$$
-\Delta w=2\left(\omega \cdot \xi_{r}\right) f(u)-u_{r}\left(\Delta-2 \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{N-1}{r^{2}}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi)+f^{\prime}(u) w \quad(\text { in } \Omega),
$$

where $w=\nabla u \cdot \xi$ for each vector field $\xi \in C^{2}\left(\Omega \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{N}\right)$.
For $\Omega_{+}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \boldsymbol{R}^{3} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}<1, y>0\right\}$, we take $\xi=\xi(\rho, y)$ with $\rho=$ $\sqrt{x^{2}+z^{2}}$, of which each section cut by a plane $T$ containing the $y$-axis is similar to [ $\nu$ ]. Obviously $\omega \cdot \xi>0$ and hence $w<0$ in $\Omega_{+}$. Further, $w=0$ on $\partial \Omega_{+}$is verified in a similar way. We shall show that $\omega \cdot \xi_{r}<0$ and

$$
\left(\Delta-2 \frac{N-1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{N-1}{r^{2}}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi)>0 \quad \text { in } \Omega_{+} .
$$

Then the desired relation (2) will follow from $f(u)>0$ and $u_{r}<0$.
By the definition, the vector field $\xi$ lies in each plane $T$ containing the $y$-axis. Without loss of generality, suppose that $T$ contains the $x$-axis, too. Then on this plane $T, \xi$ is nothing but [ $\nu$, where $\nu(z)=i\left(1+z^{2}\right)$ with $z=x+i y$. Therefore, we have if $y>0$ that $\omega \cdot \xi_{r}=\operatorname{Re} \nu_{z}<0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta-2 \frac{N-2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{N-1}{r}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi)=\left(\Delta_{2}-\frac{4}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{2}{r^{2}}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi) \\
& \quad=\left(\Delta_{2}-\frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi)+\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}-2 \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)(\omega \cdot \xi)=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \omega \cdot \xi-\frac{2}{r} \omega \cdot \xi_{r}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

§3. An example. We consider the nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\lambda e^{u}(\text { in } \Omega), \quad u=0(\text { on } \partial \Omega) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\Omega=\{|x|<1\} \subset \boldsymbol{R}^{N}$, where $\lambda$ is a positive parameter. Its bifurcation diagram has been completely known ([4], [6]). In particular, when $2<N<10$, the solution kranch $\mathcal{S}$ in $\lambda-u$ plane starting from $(\lambda, u)=(0,0)$ bends infinitely many times around the line $\lambda=2\{(N-2)\}^{-1}$. With each point $g=(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{S}$,
the linearized operator $A(g)=-\Delta-\lambda e^{u}$ is associated. In the same way, the set of eigenvalues of $A(g)$ is divided as $\sigma(g)=\cup_{m=0}^{\infty} \sigma_{m}(g)$. From Theorem, we have $\sigma_{m}(g) \cap(-\infty, 0]=\phi$ for $m \geqq 1$. Hence, at each bending point $\bar{g}$ in $\mathcal{S}$, the eigenvalue 0 of $A(\bar{g})$ is simple so that the local analysis of [3] works.

Thus, around $\bar{g}=(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{u})$, the branch is parametrized as $g(t)=(\lambda(t), u(t))$ $(|t|:$ small) with $(\lambda(0), u(0))=(\bar{\lambda}, \bar{u}), \dot{\lambda}(0)=0$ and $\dot{u}(0)=\bar{\varphi}$, where $\bar{\varphi} \not \equiv 0$ is an eigenfunction of $A(\bar{g})$ corresponding to the simple eigenvalue 0 . Therefore, we have a smooth relation in $t$ (see [7], e.g.): $A(g(t)) \varphi(t)=\mu(t) \varphi(t)$ for $|t|$ : small, with $\mu(0)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=\bar{\varphi}$. From this relation, we can deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\mu}(0)\|\bar{\varphi}\|^{2}=\ddot{\lambda}(0) \quad\left(e^{\pi}, \bar{\varphi}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ and (, ) are the norm and inner product in $L^{2}(\Omega)$, respectively. Here,

$$
\bar{\lambda}\left(e^{a}, \bar{\varphi}\right)=\int_{\Omega}(-\Delta \bar{\varphi}) d v=-\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial n} d S=-\left.|\partial \Omega| \frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}}{\partial r}\right|_{r=1} \neq 0
$$

so that $\dot{\mu}(0) \neq 0$ by $\ddot{\lambda}(0) \neq 0$.
In this way, we have gotten the conclusion. Along the branch $\mathcal{S}$, through each bending point the number $l=\#\left\{\sigma_{0}(g) \cap(-\infty, 0]\right\}$ increases one by one and hence from 0 to infinite. This fact has been known up to the second bending ([8]).
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