

88. On a Certain Invariant of a Finite Unitary Reflection Group

By Hiroaki TERA0

Department of Mathematics, International Christian University

(Communicated by Kunihiko KODAIRA, M. J. A., Nov. 13, 1989)

1. Observations. Let V be a complex vector space of dimension l . An element $s \in \text{GL}(V)$ is called a *reflection* if it has finite order and its fixed point set $\text{Fix}(s)$ is a hyperplane, i.e., one-codimensional subspace. A *unitary reflection group* is a finite subgroup of $\text{GL}(V)$ generated by reflections. It is known that one may choose a G -invariant Hermitian form on V . Let G be a unitary reflection group. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of reflecting hyperplanes $H = \text{Fix}(s)$ where $s \in G$ is a reflection. We call \mathcal{A} the *arrangement defined by G* . Denote the cardinality of \mathcal{A} by n .

Definition 1.1.

$$t = t(G) = \frac{2n}{l}.$$

The irreducible unitary reflection groups were completely classified by G.C. Shephard and J.A. Todd [4]. From their table we have the following

Observation 1.2. *For an irreducible unitary reflection group G , the number t is a positive integer.*

Let \mathcal{A} be the arrangement defined by G . $\text{Fix } H \in \mathcal{A}$. Define the *restriction of \mathcal{A} to H* by

$$\mathcal{A}'' = \{H \cap K \mid K \in \mathcal{A}, K \neq H\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}'' is a finite collection of hyperplanes in H . Let n'' denote the cardinality of \mathcal{A}'' . The restrictions \mathcal{A}'' was studied by P. Orlik and L. Solomon [2]. From the study we observe

Observation 1.3. *For an irreducible unitary reflection group G , we have*

$$t = n - n'' + 1.$$

This observation is, of course, stronger than the previous one 1.2. In this note we will give a proof of Observation 1.3 without using classification.

When G is an irreducible unitary reflection group defined over the real number field, G is a Coxeter group. In this case, the number t is equal to the Coxeter number and Observation 1.3 was proved in [3, Theorem 3.7] without using classification.

2. The main results. Let G be a unitary reflection group acting on a complex vector space V of dimension l . Choose a G -invariant Hermitian form $(\ , \)$ on V .

Let \mathcal{A} be the arrangement defined by G . Let $K \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote the

orthogonal complement of K by K^\perp . Then K^\perp is of dimension one. Choose $\alpha_K \in K^\perp$ such that $|\alpha_K|^2 = (\alpha_K, \alpha_K) = 1$.

In the rest of this note we assume that G is an irreducible unitary reflection group.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $t=2n/l$. For any $v \in V$, we have*

$$2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} (v, \alpha_K) \alpha_K = tv.$$

Proof. Define a map $f: V \rightarrow V$ by

$$f(v) = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} (v, \alpha_K) \alpha_K.$$

Then f is \mathbf{C} -linear. Note that the definition does not depend upon choice of α_K ($K \in \mathcal{A}$) as long as $(\alpha_K, \alpha_K) = 1$. Let $g \in G$, $v \in V$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(v)) &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} (g(v), \alpha_K) \alpha_K = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} (v, g^{-1}(\alpha_K)) \alpha_K \\ &= g \left[\sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} (v, g^{-1}(\alpha_K)) g^{-1}(\alpha_K) \right] = g(f(v)). \end{aligned}$$

By Schur's lemma, there exists a constant number C such that $f(v) = Cv$ for all $v \in V$. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l be an orthonormal basis of V . To determine C we compute

$$Cl = \sum_{i=1}^l (f(e_i), e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} |(e_i, \alpha_K)|^2 = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} |\alpha_K|^2 = n.$$

Thus $C = n/l = t/2$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$t = 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have

$$t|v|^2 = (tv, v) = 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} |(v, \alpha_K)|^2.$$

Put $v = \alpha_H$.

Remark. When G is defined over the real number field, Proposition 2.2 is the known formula [1, Ch. 5, §6.2, Th. 1, Cor.].

Fix $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Let n'' denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{A}'' = \{H \cap K \mid K \in \mathcal{A}, K \neq H\}$.

Theorem 2.3.

$$t = n - n'' + 1.$$

In particular the cardinality of \mathcal{A}'' does not depend on $H \in \mathcal{A}$.

Corollary 2.4. *For an irreducible unitary reflection group G , t is an integer.*

The rest is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2.3. Fix $H \in \mathcal{A}$. Define

$$\mathcal{A}'' = \{H \cap K \mid K \in \mathcal{A}, K \neq H\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}'' is a finite collection of $(l-2)$ -dimensional vector subspaces of H . Let $X \in \mathcal{A}''$. Denote by X^\perp the orthogonal complement of X in V . Then X^\perp is of dimension two. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_X = \{H \in \mathcal{A} \mid X \subset H\}, \quad \mathcal{A}_X^\perp = \{X^\perp \cap K \mid K \in \mathcal{A}_X\}.$$

Then \mathcal{A}_X^\perp is a finite collection of one-dimensional vector subspaces of X^\perp . Let

$$G_X = \{g \in G \mid X \subset \text{Fix}(g)\}.$$

Then G_X is a unitary reflection group by [5, 1.5]. Since X^\perp is G_X -stable,

there is a natural injection $G_X \rightarrow \text{GL}(X^\perp)$. Denote the image of this injection by G_X^\perp . Then G_X^\perp is a unitary reflection group, and the arrangement defined by G_X^\perp is \mathcal{A}_X^\perp .

Lemma 2.5.

$$\#\mathcal{A}_X = 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}_X} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2.$$

Proof. Note that $\alpha_K \in X^\perp$ for $K \in \mathcal{A}_X$.

Case 1. If G_X^\perp is irreducible, we can apply Proposition 2.2 to get the desired result.

Case 2. If G_X^\perp is reducible, then

$$\#\mathcal{A}_X = \#\mathcal{A}_X^\perp = 2.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{H, K\}$. Then $(\alpha_H, \alpha_K) = 0$. So, in this case,

$$\#\mathcal{A}_X = 2 = 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}_X} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2.$$

Define

$$\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A} \setminus \{H\}, \quad \mathcal{A}'_X = \mathcal{A}_X \setminus \{H\} \quad (X \in \mathcal{A}'').$$

Then the following lemma is obvious:

Lemma 2.6.

$$\mathcal{A}' = \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} \mathcal{A}'_X \quad (\text{disjoint}).$$

We give a proof of Theorem 2.3 by applying Proposition 2.2, Lemma 2.6, and Lemma 2.5:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2 = 2 + 2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}'} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2 \\ &= 2 + 2 \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}'_X} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2 \\ &= 2 + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} (2 \sum_{K \in \mathcal{A}'_X} |(\alpha_H, \alpha_K)|^2 - 2) \\ &= 2 + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} (\#\mathcal{A}'_X - 2) = 2 + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} (\#\mathcal{A}_X - 1) - \#\mathcal{A}'' \\ &= 2 + \sum_{X \in \mathcal{A}''} \#\mathcal{A}'_X - n'' = 2 + \#\mathcal{A}' - n'' = 1 + n - n''. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algebres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6, Masson, Paris (1981).
- [2] P. Orlik and L. Solomon: Arrangements defined by unitary reflection groups. Math. Ann., **261**, 339–357 (1982).
- [3] P. Orlik, L. Solomon, and H. Terao: On Coxeter arrangement and the Coxeter number. Complex Analytic Singularities. Advanced Studies in Pure Math., **3**, Kinokuniya and North-Holland, Tokyo-Amsterdam, 461–477 (1986).
- [4] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd: Finite unitary reflection groups. Can. J. Math., **6**, 274–304 (1954).
- [5] R. Steinberg: Differential equations invariant under finite reflection groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **112**, 392–400 (1964).