

87. On Ono's Problem on Quadratic Fields

By Kakuzi YOSHIDOME and You ASAEDA
Department of Mathematics, Gakushuin University

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Dec. 12, 1991)

1. Prof. T. Ono [1] posed the following problem on quadratic fields. Let m be a square-free integer, $k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, Δ_k the discriminant, χ_k the Kronecker character and M_k the Minkowski constant.

$$M_k = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\Delta_k}}{2} & \text{if } k \text{ is real,} \\ \frac{2\sqrt{-\Delta_k}}{\pi} & \text{if } k \text{ is imaginary.} \end{cases}$$

The problem is to determine the set K^+ of all k 's such that $\chi_k(p) = +1$ for all rational primes $p \leq M_k$. Obviously $K^+ \supset E_8 = \{k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m}) \mid m = -1, \pm 2, \pm 3, 5, -7, 13\}$ as there is no primes $\leq M_k$ for $k \in E_8$. We put $K^+ \setminus E_8 = S$. Our problem is to determine S .

In [1] it is conjectured that

(*) $S = \{k = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{m}) \mid m = 17, 33, 73, 97, -15, -23, -47, -71, -119\}$.

Our aim is to prove this conjecture. (*) is easily deduced from the following theorem, where $(n \mid m)$ is the Jacobi symbol.

Theorem. Let $m = p$ or pq , where p, q are distinct prime numbers.

(1) If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $7 < \sqrt{m} / 2 < p, q$, then there exists an odd prime $p' < \sqrt{m} / 2$ such that $(p' \mid m) = -1$.

(2) If $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $20 < \sqrt{m}, 2\sqrt{m} / \pi < p, q$, then there exists an odd prime $p' < 2\sqrt{m} / \pi$ such that $(p' \mid m) = -1$.

2. We shall prove this theorem in each of the two cases (1) and (2). To prove the case (1) we need the following Proposition.

Proposition. Let $m = p$ or pq , where p, q are distinct prime numbers such that $\sqrt{m} / 2 < p, q$. If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then there exists an odd prime $p' < \sqrt{m}$ such that $(p' \mid m) = -1$.

Proof. Let $m = p$. Assume $(p_i \mid m) = +1$ for every prime $p_i < \sqrt{p}$. Denote p_0 the minimal prime satisfying both conditions $\sqrt{p} < p_0 < p$ and $(p_0 \mid m) = -1$. There exists a positive integer $n < \sqrt{p}$ such that $0 < p - np_0 < p_0$. Then $1 = ((p - np_0) \mid p) = (-1 \mid p)(n \mid p)(p_0 \mid p) = -1$, which is a contradiction.

A similar proof is valid for the case $m = pq$.

3. *Proof of the case (1).* Assume $(p_i \mid m) = +1$ for every prime $p_i < \sqrt{m} / 2$. Then we have $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ by the assumptions $7 < \sqrt{m} / 2$ and $(3 \mid m) = +1$. Let p_0 be the minimal prime such that $\sqrt{m} / 2 < p_0 < \sqrt{m}$ and $(p_0 \mid m) = -1$. Such p_0 exists because of the above proposition.

Put

$$G = \{x \in N \mid \text{all prime components of } x < \sqrt{m}/2\}$$

$$G_{p_0} = \{x \in N \mid \text{all prime components of } x < p_0\}.$$

There exists a positive integer n such that $0 < m - np_0 < p_0$, where

$$n = \begin{cases} l & l \in G, \\ 2p_1 & \sqrt{m}/2 < p_1 < \sqrt{m}, \quad p_1 \text{ is prime,} \\ 3p_2 & \sqrt{m}/2 < p_2 < 2\sqrt{m}/3, \quad p_2 \text{ is prime,} \\ p_3 & \sqrt{m} - 1 < p_3 < 2\sqrt{m}, \quad p_3 \text{ is prime.} \end{cases}$$

If $n = l \in G$, then $(m - lp_0, m) = 1$ and $((m - lp_0)/m) = 1$ because $m - lp_0 < p_0$, but $((m - lp_0)/m) = (-1/m)(l/m)(p_0/m) = -1$, a contradiction.

Let $n = 2p_1$ or $3p_2$ or p_3 . Put $m - np_0 = r$. If we can choose a suitable integer a such that $|n - a| \in G$ and $|ap_0 + r| \in G_{p_0}$, then $(n - a, m) = (ap_0 + r, m) = 1$ and $((n - a)/m) = ((ap_0 + r)/m) = +1$, hence $((m - (n - a)p_0)/m) = ((ap_0 + r)/m) = 1$. On the other hand $((m - (n - a)p_0)/m) = (-1/m)((n - a)/m)(p_0/m) = -1$, which is a contradiction.

So we have only to find a such that $|a| \leq 7$, $a \equiv n \pmod{5}$ and that $ap_0 + r$ has a factor $> |a|$ and $\in G$. The following Table A shows how we can find it. This table is made as follows.

Table A

(a) $n = 2p_1$

	$p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ $p_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ $p_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$
a	2	1 -3 3 -1	1 -3 3 -1	1 -3 -2 -1	1 7 -2 -1

(b) $n = 3p_2$

	$p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ $p_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ $p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
a	-4 2 -2 -1	-1	1

(c) $n = p_3$

	$p_3 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$p_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$				
		$p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$	$p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ $p_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$p_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{12}$ $p_3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$	$p_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ $p_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$	$p_0 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ $p_3 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
a	-1	-4 2 -2 -1	-4 -3 -2 -1	6 -3 -2 -1	6 -3 -2 -1	-4 -3 -2 -1

Three cases are considered, (a) $n=2p_1$, (b) $n=3p_2$, (c) $n=p_3$, and each case is further divided according to the nature of p_0 and p_i ($i=1, 2, 3$). If, for example, $p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $p_1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ in case (a), we can take $a=2$. In this case, the condition $a \equiv n \pmod{5}$ is unnecessary, because a satisfies $a \equiv n \pmod{4}$. If $p_0 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $p_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$, then we can take $a=1, -3, 3, -1$ according as $n \equiv 1, 2, 3, 4 \pmod{5}$. If $p_3 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ in case (c), we can take $a=-1$ which satisfies $a \equiv n \pmod{6}$. Likewise in other cases.

4. *Proof of the case (2).* Assume $(p_i/m) = +1$ for every prime $p_i < 2\sqrt{m}/\pi$. Put

$$M = \{x \in N \mid \text{all prime components of } x < 2\sqrt{m}/\pi\}.$$

(I) If there exists an integer $a \in M$ such that $m-a \in M$, then $(m, a) = 1$ and $1 = ((m-a)/m) = (-1/m)(a/m) = -1$, which is a contradiction.

(II) If there exists an integer b such that $m-b^2 \in M$, then $(m-b^2, m) = 1$ and $1 = ((m-b^2)/m) = (-1/m)(b/m)^2 = -1$, which is a contradiction.

Thus the proof will be done if we can show that there exists either (I) a with $a \in M$ and $m-a \in M$ or (II) b with $m-b^2 \in M$.

Put now $a_0 = [\sqrt{m}]$. If $m \equiv a_0^2 \pmod{5}$, we have only to put $b = a_0$ to get b satisfying (II).

By the assumptions that $(p_i/m) = +1$ for every $p_i < 2\sqrt{m}/\pi$ and $20 <$

Table B

(a) $m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$

t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3	
0	1	0	(-2, 2)	0	2	0	(-2, 2)	1	0	0	(-3, 3)	1	1	0	(-3, 3)	1	2	0	(-8, -2)
0	1	2	(-6, 4)	0	2	2	(-4, 0)	1	0	2	(II, 0, 1)	1	1	2	(II, -2, 3)	1	2	2	(-9, 5)
0	1	3	(-1, 0)	0	2	3	(*)	1	0	3	(-1, 0)	1	1	3	(*)	1	2	3	(II, -2, 3)

(*)

t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	$m \equiv 3(7)$	$m \equiv 5(7)$	$m \equiv 6(7)$	t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	$m \equiv 3(7)$	$m \equiv 5(7)$	$m \equiv 6(7)$
0	2	3	0	(-2, 2)	(-2, 1)	(-13, 13)	1	1	3	0	(-4, 1)	(-3, 3)	(-1, 1)
0	2	3	1	(-3, 1)	(-2, 1)	(-4, 4)	1	1	3	1	(-3, 1)	(-4, 2)	(-3, 3)
0	2	3	2	(-3, 2)	(-6, 2)	(-6, 5)	1	1	3	2	(-1, 1)	(-8, 3)	(-4, 2)
0	2	3	3	(-4, 1)	(-2, 2)	(-6, 2)	1	1	3	3	(-4, 1)	(-8, 3)	(-9, -4)
0	2	3	4	(-2, 1)	(-2, 2)	(-3, 2)	1	1	3	4	(-9, 1)	(-5, 5)	(-3, 2)
0	2	3	5	(-10, 9)	(-3, 1)	(-4, 1)	1	1	3	5	(-1, 1)	(-3, 1)	(-4, 1)
0	2	3	6	(-3, 2)	(-4, 0)	(-4, 4)	1	1	3	6	(-3, 2)	(-7, 3)	(-3, 3)

(b) $m \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$

t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3		t_1	t_2	t_3	
0	1	0	(-4, 4)	0	2	0	(-4, 4)	1	0	0	(-1, 1)	1	1	0	(-1, 1)	1	2	0	(-1, 1)
0	1	1	(-2, 0)	0	2	1	(-1, 1)	1	0	1	(**)	1	1	1	(-5, 3)	1	2	1	(**)
0	1	4	(-1, 1)	0	2	4	(**)	1	0	4	(**)	1	1	4	(**)	1	2	4	(II, -2, 3)

\sqrt{m} , we have $(m/3)=(m/7)=-1$, $(m/5)=1$, which means $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$, $m \equiv 3, 5, 6 \pmod{7}$.

We shall put $a_0 \equiv t_1 \pmod{2}$, $\equiv t_2 \pmod{3}$, $\equiv t_3 \pmod{5}$, $\equiv t_4 \pmod{7}$, and consider the different cases according to the values of (t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) , $t_1 \in \{0, 1\}$, $t_2 \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $t_3 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $t_4 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

If $t_1=t_2=0$, i.e. $6|a_0$, then $a=(a_0-3)(a_0+3)$ satisfies obviously (I). If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $t_3=1$ or 4, or if $m \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$ and $t_3=2$ or 3, then $b=a_0$ satisfies (II) as noticed above.

The following Table B will show how we can get a satisfying (I) or b satisfying (II) in all other cases.

This Table B consists of two tables (a) for the case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and (b) for the case $m \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, and to (a) and (b) are attached (*) and (**) respectively.

If, for example, $t_1=0, t_2=1, t_3=0$ in case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, we can take $a=(a_0-2)(a_0+2)$. Thus (s, t) in Table B means that we can take $a=(a_0+s)(a_0+t)$.

If $t_1=0, t_2=2, t_3=3$ in case $m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, the table (a) refers to (*). Then we must consider different values of t_4 and further the different values of $m \pmod{7}$. If, for example, $t_1=0, t_2=2, t_3=3, t_4=0$ and $m \equiv 3 \pmod{7}$, we can take $a=(a_0-2)(a_0+2)$.

(**)

t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	$m \equiv 3(7)$	$m \equiv 5(7)$	$m \equiv 6(7)$	t_1	t_2	t_3	t_4	$m \equiv 3(7)$	$m \equiv 5(7)$	$m \equiv 6(7)$
0	2	4	0	(-2, 2)	(-4, 4)	(-6, 6)	1	1	4	0	(-5, 5)	(-3, 3)	(-1, 1)
0	2	4	1	(-6, 4)	(-2, 1)	(-1, 1)	1	1	4	1	(-3, 1)	(-4, 2)	(-3, 3)
0	2	4	2	(-4, 0)	(-5, 1)	(-10, 6)	1	1	4	2	(-1, 1)	(II, -2, 3)	(-4, 2)
0	2	4	3	(-8, -5)	(-2, 2)	(-6, 2)	1	1	4	3	(II, -5, 6)	(-5, 5)	(II, -4, 5)
0	2	4	4	(-2, 1)	(-2, 2)	(-10, 2)	1	1	4	4	(-9, 1)	(-3, 1)	(-3, 2)
0	2	4	5	(-10, 7)	(-6, 4)	(-8, 0)	1	1	4	5	(-1, 1)	(-3, 1)	(-14, 6)
0	2	4	6	(-10, 2)	(-4, 0)	(-4, 4)	1	1	4	6	(-3, 2)	(II, -5, 6)	(-3, 3)
1	0	1	0	(-12, 5)	(-3, 3)	(-1, 1)	1	2	1	0	(-3, -1)	(-2, 1)	(-1, 1)
1	0	1	1	(-6, 4)	(-5, 3)	(-3, 3)	1	2	1	1	(-13, -3)	(-2, 1)	(-3, 3)
1	0	1	2	(-6, 6)	(-15, 3)	(-6, 0)	1	2	1	2	(-1, 1)	(-15, 3)	(-5, 3)
1	0	1	3	(-7, 5)	(-7, 1)	(-1, 0)	1	2	1	3	(-7, 5)	(-5, 5)	(II, -2, 3)
1	0	1	4	(-9, 1)	(-6, 4)	(-6, 0)	1	2	1	4	(-2, 1)	(-5, 5)	(II, -6, 7)
1	0	1	5	(-1, 1)	(-9, 6)	(-1, 0)	1	2	1	5	(-1, 1)	(-6, 4)	(-8, 7)
1	0	1	6	(-7, 5)	(-5, -1)	(-3, 3)	1	2	1	6	(-7, 5)	(-7, 3)	(-3, 3)
1	0	4	0	(-4, 1)	(-3, 3)	(-1, 1)							
1	0	4	1	(-11, 5)	(-3, 0)	(-3, 3)							
1	0	4	2	(-1, 1)	(-3, 0)	(-6, 0)							
1	0	4	3	(-4, 1)	(-7, 1)	(-1, 0)							
1	0	4	4	(-13, 5)	(-1, 0)	(-6, 0)							
1	0	4	5	(-3, 0)	(-7, 3)	(-1, 0)							
1	0	4	6	(-5, -3)	(-7, 3)	(-3, 3)							

In case $t_1=1, t_2=0, t_3=2, m \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, the table (a) gives (II, 0, 1). In general, (II, s, t) means that we should separate two cases according as, $\varepsilon < 1/2$ or $\varepsilon > 1/2$ where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{m} - a_0$, and $b = a_0$ satisfies (II) if $\varepsilon < 1/2$, while $a = (a_0 + s)(a_0 + t)$ satisfies (I) if $\varepsilon > 1/2$. Thus in the present case, we have $b = a_0$ or $a = a_0(a_0 + 1)$ in each case. Likewise in other cases.

Reference

- [1] T. Ono: A Problem on quadratic fields. Proc. Japan Acad., 64A, 78-79 (1988).