

## 11. Higher Specht Polynomials for the Symmetric Group

By Tomohide TERASOMA and Hirofumi YAMADA

Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University  
(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., Feb. 12, 1993)

**§0. Introduction.** We are concerning with constructing a basis of the  $S_n$ -module  $H = \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , where  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  denotes the ideal generated by elementary symmetric polynomials  $e_j = e_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $P = \mathbf{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be the algebra of polynomials of  $n$  variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  with rational coefficients, on which the symmetric group  $S_n$  acts by the permutation of the variables:

$$(\sigma f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) \quad (\sigma \in S_n).$$

Let us denote by  $\Lambda$  the subalgebra of  $P$  consisting of the symmetric polynomials. Let  $e_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_j}$  be the elementary symmetric polynomial of degree  $j$  and put  $J_+ = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$ , an ideal generated by  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . The quotient algebra  $H = P/J_+$  has a structure of an  $S_n$ -module. It is well known that the  $S_n$ -module  $H$  is isomorphic to the regular representation. In other words, every irreducible representation of  $S_n$  occurs in  $H$  with multiplicity equal to its dimension. We will give a combinatorial procedure to obtain a basis of each irreducible component of  $H$ .

For a Young diagram  $\lambda$  of  $n$  cells, one can construct an  $S_n$ -module  $V(\lambda)$  as follows (cf. [5]). For a tableau  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  put

$$\Delta_T = \prod_{\beta \geq 1} \Delta_T(\beta) \in P,$$

where  $\Delta_T(\beta)$  is the product of differences  $x_i - x_j$  for the pair  $\{(i, j); i < j\}$  appearing in the  $\beta$ -th column in  $T$ . The polynomial  $\Delta_T$  is called the Specht polynomial of  $T$ . The space  $V(\lambda)$  spanned by all the Specht polynomials  $\Delta_T$  for tableaux  $T$  of shape  $\lambda$  is naturally equipped with a structure of an  $S_n$ -module. It is well known that  $V(\lambda)$  is irreducible for any Young diagram  $\lambda$  and has a basis  $\{\Delta_T; T \text{ is a standard tableau of shape } \lambda\}$ .

Our basis of  $H$  is parametrized by the pair of standard tableaux  $(S, T)$  of the same shape and turns out to be a natural generalization of these standard Specht polynomials. One finds a related topic in [1].

**§1. Standard tableaux and their indices.** Fix a Young diagram  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  ( $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n \geq 0$ ) consisting of  $n$  cells. We often say that  $\lambda$  is a partition of  $n$  and write  $\lambda \vdash n$ . The set of tableaux (resp. standard tableaux) of shape  $\lambda$  is denoted by  $Tab(\lambda)$  (resp.  $STab(\lambda)$ ) (cf. [5]). For a standard tableau  $S$  of shape  $\lambda$ , one can associate the index tableau  $i(S)$  of the same shape in the following manner (cf. [2]). Define the word  $w(S)$  by reading  $S$  from the bottom to the top in consecutive columns, starting from the left. The number 1 in the word  $w(S)$  has index 0. If the number  $k$  in the word has index  $p$ , then  $k + 1$  has index  $p$  or  $p + 1$  according as it lies to

the right or the left of  $k$ . The charge  $c(S)$  of  $S$  is defined to be the sum of the indices. For example, if  $S = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & \end{smallmatrix}$ , then  $w(S) = 3_1 1_0 5_2 2_0 4_1$  where the indices are attached as the subscript. Filling the index in corresponding cell of the given tableau  $S$ , we obtain the index tableau  $i(S)$  of  $S$ . Note that one can recover  $S$  by knowing  $i(S)$ . Let  $S$  be a standard tableau and  $T$  a tableau of the same shape  $\lambda$ , and let  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  be the number in the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -cell of  $T$ . Put  $x_T^{i(S)} = x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ . Here  $i_k$  is the index in the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -cell of  $i(S)$  where  $k = c(\alpha, \beta)$ . For example take  $S = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5 & \end{smallmatrix}$  and  $T = \begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 & \end{smallmatrix}$ , so that  $i(S) = \begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \end{smallmatrix}$ . Then  $x_T^{i(S)} = x_1^0 x_2^1 x_3^0 x_4^2 x_5^1$ . In the next section, we will define higher Specht polynomials by using monomials  $x_T^{i(S)}$ .

**§2. Higher Specht polynomials.** For  $T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)$ , let  $R(T)$  and  $C(T)$  denote the row stabilizer and the column stabilizer of  $T$  respectively and consider the Young symmetrizer

$$\varepsilon_T = \sum_{\sigma \in R(T)} \sum_{\tau \in C(T)} (\text{sgn} \tau) \tau \sigma,$$

which is an element of the group algebra  $\mathbf{Q}S_n$ . We now define the polynomial  $F_T^S$  by

$$F_T^S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S)}),$$

for  $S \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$  and  $T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)$ . For the canonical standard tableau  $S_0$  of shape  $\lambda$ , where the cells are numbered from the left to the right in consecutive rows, starting from the top,  $F_{T_0}^{S_0}$  is proportional to the Specht polynomial of  $T$ . We will call  $F_T^S$  the higher Specht polynomial associated with  $(S, T)$ . For a standard tableau  $T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$  the higher Specht polynomial  $F_T^S$  is said to be standard. The Robinson-Schensted correspondence assures that

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} |\text{STab}(\lambda)|^2 = n!.$$

Hence we have the set of  $n!^{-\lambda \vdash n}$  standard higher Specht polynomials  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_T^S; S, T \in \text{STab}(\lambda), \lambda \vdash n\}$ . The following theorem is a fundamental property of the standard higher Specht polynomials.

**Theorem 1.** (1) *The set  $\mathcal{F}$  gives a free basis of  $\Lambda$ -module  $P$ .*

(2) *The set  $\mathcal{F}$  gives a free basis of  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $H$ .*

Here we only give an outline of the proof. Consider a  $\Lambda$ -valued symmetric  $\Lambda$ -bilinear form on  $P$ :

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \sigma(fg) / \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j), \quad f, g \in P.$$

This bilinear form is nothing but the divided difference  $\partial_{\sigma_0}(fg)$  corresponding to the longest element  $\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ n & n-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in  $S_n$  (cf. [3]). To

prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -basis of  $P$ , it is sufficient to see that the Gramian with respect to the bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a non-zero constant. First of all it is not difficult to check that for all  $f, g \in P$ ,

$$\langle \varepsilon_{T_1}(f), \varepsilon_{T_2}(g) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \varepsilon_{T_2}(f), \varepsilon_{T_1}(g) \rangle = 0,$$

unless  $T_2 = T_1'$ , where  $T'$  denotes the transposed tableau of  $T$ . To show that,  $\langle \varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S)}), \varepsilon_{T'}(x_{T'}^{i(S')}) \rangle$  is a non-zero constant for  $S, T \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$ , it suf-

fices to check the following

**Lemma.** *A pair  $(\sigma, \tau) \in R(T) \times C(T)$  satisfies*  

$$\langle \sigma(x_T^{i(S)}), \tau(x_{T'}^{i(S')}) \rangle \neq 0,$$

*if and only if  $\sigma$  fixes  $i(S)$  and  $\tau$  fixes  $i(S')$ .*

If the set of indices of  $S_1$  does not coincide with that of  $S_2$ , then we see that

$$\langle \varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S_1)}), \varepsilon_{T'}(x_{T'}^{i(S_2)}) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S_2)}), \varepsilon_{T'}(x_{T'}^{i(S_1)}) \rangle = 0,$$

for any  $T$ . It happens that the sets of indices of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  coincide for the

distinct  $S_1, S_2 \in STab(\lambda)$ . For example, both  $S_1 = \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 4 & \\ 5 & & \end{matrix}$  and  $S_2 = \begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6 & \\ 5 & & \end{matrix}$

have the indices  $\{0,0,1,1,2,2\}$ . In this case we can prove the existence of a total ordering “ $<$ ” in the subset of  $STab(\lambda)$  consisting of such tableaux, for which, if  $S_1 < S_2$ , then

$$\langle \sigma(x_T^{i(S_1)}), \tau(x_{T'}^{i(S_2)}) \rangle = 0,$$

for all  $T \in STab(\lambda)$  and for all  $\sigma \in R(T), \tau \in C(T)$ . All these arguments imply that the Gramian of  $\mathcal{F}$  with respect to  $\langle, \rangle$  is a non-zero constant. The statement (2) is an easy consequence of (1).

**§3. Irreducible representations in  $H$ .** For  $\lambda \vdash n$ , let  $V(\lambda)$  be the Specht module corresponding to  $\lambda$ , which is spanned by  $\{\varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S_0)}) ; T \in Tab(\lambda)\}$ , where  $S_0$  is the canonical standard tableau of shape  $\lambda$ . As is well known,  $V(\lambda)$  is irreducible and has a basis  $\{\varepsilon_T(x_T^{i(S_0)}) ; T \in STab(\lambda)\}$ . In particular, we know

$$\dim V(\lambda) = |STab(\lambda)| = \frac{n!}{\prod_{(\alpha, \beta)} h(\alpha, \beta)},$$

where  $h(\alpha, \beta)$  denotes the hook length of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -cell in the Young diagram  $\lambda$ . Since the  $S_n$ -module  $H$  is isomorphic to the regular representation, each irreducible representation occurs in  $H$  with multiplicity equal to its dimension. According to the graduation  $H = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} H_d$  the multiplicity in  $H_d$  is described by the following Poincaré series :

$$M_\lambda(q) = \frac{q^{n(\lambda)} \prod_{k=1}^n (1 - q^k)}{\prod_{(\alpha, \beta)} (1 - q^{h(\alpha, \beta)})},$$

where  $n(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^n (i - 1)\lambda_i$  for  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \vdash n$ . In other words, the irreducible representation isomorphic to  $V(\lambda)$  occurs  $m_d$  times in  $H_d$ , where  $M_\lambda(q) = \sum_{d \geq 0} m_d q^d$ . It is known that  $M_\lambda(q)$  is the Kostka-Foulkes polynomial of shape  $\lambda$  and weight  $(1^n)$  (cf. [1,2]).

A basis of each irreducible component is given by higher Specht polynomials as follows.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix  $\lambda \vdash n$  and  $S \in STab(\lambda)$ . Then the space  $V^S(\lambda) = \sum_{T \in Tab(\lambda)} \mathbf{Q}F_T^S$  is an irreducible  $S_n$ -module in  $H_{c(S)}$  isomorphic to  $V(\lambda)$  equipped with a basis  $\mathcal{F}^S(\lambda) = \{F_T^S ; T \in STab(\lambda)\}$ .*

To prove this theorem it suffices to check that the higher Specht polynomials  $F_T^S (T \in Tab(\lambda))$  satisfy the following Garnir relations. Take the  $\beta$ -th and the  $\gamma$ -th columns of  $T$  with  $\beta < \gamma$ . Fix a number  $\alpha_0$  so that  $1 \leq \alpha_0 \leq$

$a(\gamma)$ , where  $\alpha(\gamma)$  is the length of the  $\gamma$ -th column. Denote by  $S_{\alpha_0}^{\beta, \gamma}$  the group of permutations of the set  $\{c(\alpha_0, \beta), c(\alpha_0 + 1, \beta), \dots, c(\alpha(\beta), \beta), c(1, \gamma), c(2, \gamma), \dots, c(\alpha_0, \gamma)\}$  and define the Garnir element by

$$G_{\alpha_0}^{\beta, \gamma} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{\alpha_0}^{\beta, \gamma}} (\text{sgn} \sigma) \sigma \in \mathbf{Q}S_n.$$

The Garnir relations for  $\mathbf{F} \in \mathbf{P}$  read

$$G_{\alpha_0}^{\beta, \gamma}(\mathbf{F}) = 0 \quad (1 \leq \alpha_0 \leq \alpha(\gamma), \beta < \gamma).$$

It can be proved according to the line in [4] that  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_T^S$  satisfies the Garnir relations for any  $S \in \text{STab}(\lambda)$  and  $T \in \text{Tab}(\lambda)$ .

Proofs and detailed discussions will be published elsewhere.

### References

- [ 1 ] A. M. Garsia and C. Procesi: On certain graded  $S_n$ -modules and the  $q$ -Kostka polynomials. *Adv. Math.*, **94**, 82–138 (1992).
- [ 2 ] I. G. Macdonald: *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*. Oxford University Press (1979).
- [ 3 ] —: *Notes on Schubert Polynomials*. Université de Québec à Montréal (1991).
- [ 4 ] M. H. Peel: Specht modules and symmetric groups. *J. Alg.*, **36**, 88–97 (1975).
- [ 5 ] B. Sagan: *The Symmetric Groups*. Wadsworth and Brooks (1991).