

30. A Determinant Formula for Period Integrals

By Takeshi SAITO^{*)} and Tomohide TERASOMA^{**)}

(Communicated by Shokichi IYANAGA, M. J. A., May 12, 1993)

We prove a formula for the determinant of the period integrals. It is expressed as the product of the pairing with the relative canonical cycle and special values of Γ -function. It generalizes a previous result for \mathbf{P}^1 [3]. It can be regarded as a Hodge analogue of the formula for l -adic cohomology [2]. By combining with this, it proves a part of a conjecture of Deligne: A motive of rank 1 over a number field is defined by an algebraic Hecke character ([1] Conjecture 8.1 (iii)), in a certain special case.

1. Definition of the period. Let k, F be subfields of the complex number field \mathbf{C} and U be a smooth separated scheme over k of dimension n . We consider the category $M_k(U, F)$ consisting of triples $\mathcal{M} = ((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), V, \rho)$ as follows

- (1) A locally free \mathcal{O}_U -module \mathcal{E} of finite rank with an integrable connection $\nabla: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1$ which is regular singular along the boundary.
- (2) A local system V of F -vector spaces on the complex manifold U^{an} .
- (3) An isomorphism $\rho: V \otimes_F \mathbf{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker} \nabla^{an}$ of local systems of \mathbf{C} -vector spaces on U^{an} .

We explain the terminology. Let X be a proper smooth scheme over k containing U as a dense open subscheme such that the complement $D = X - U$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings. A divisor is said to have simple normal crossings if its irreducible components D_i are smooth and their $m \times m$ intersections are transversal. An integrable connection $\nabla: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1$ is said to be regular singular along the boundary if there exists a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E}_X and a logarithmic integrable connection $\nabla_X: \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$ extending (\mathcal{E}, ∇) . It is independent of the choice of compactification X . The complex manifold of the \mathbf{C} -valued points of U is denoted by U^{an} and the algebraic connection ∇ induces an analytic connection ∇^{an} on U^{an} .

We define the determinant of the period

$$\text{per}(\mathcal{M}) \in k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times$$

for an object $\mathcal{M} \in M_k(U, F)$. Let $MPic_k(U, F)$ be the group of isomorphism class of the objects of $M_k(U, F)$ of rank 1 with respect to the tensor product. For $U = \text{Spec } k$, we identify $MPic_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$ with $k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times$ by $[\mathcal{M}] \rightarrow \rho(v) / e$ for $\mathcal{M} \in M_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$ of rank 1 with basis $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $v \in V$. For $\mathcal{M} \in M_k(U, L)$, we define $\text{per}(\mathcal{M}) \in k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times$ as $[\det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M})] \in MPic_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$ defined below. Let $DR(\mathcal{E})$ be the de Rham complex

$$[\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^1 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^2 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \cdots \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_U^n].$$

*) Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo.

***) Department of Mathematics, Tokyo Metropolitan University.

Since $H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes_k \mathbf{C} \simeq H^q(U^{an}, DR(\mathcal{E})^{an})$ by GAGA, the isomorphism ρ induces $H^q(\rho) : H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})) \otimes_k \mathbf{C} \simeq H^q(U^{an}, V) \otimes_F \mathbf{C}$. In other words, the triple

$$H^q(U, \mathcal{M}) = (H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E})), H^q(U^{an}, V), H^q(\rho))$$

is an object of $M_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$. Taking the alternating tensor product of the determinant, we obtain

$$\det R\Gamma(U, \mathcal{M}) = (\otimes_q \det H^q(U, DR(\mathcal{E}))^{\otimes (-1)^q}, \otimes_q \det H^q(U^{an}, V)^{\otimes (-1)^q}, \otimes_q \det H^q(\rho)^{\otimes (-1)^q}).$$

The period $per(\mathcal{M}) \in k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / L^\times$ is thus defined.

2. The relative Chow group. In this section, we define the relative Chow group $CH^n(X \text{ mod } D)$ of dimension 0 and the relative canonical cycle $c_{X \text{ mod } D} \in CH^n(X \text{ mod } D)$. They are slight modifications of those in [2]. Let X be a smooth scheme over a field k of dimension n and $D = \cup_{i \in I} D_i$ be a divisor with simple normal crossings. Let $\mathcal{K}_n(X)$ denote the sheaf of Quillen's K -group on X_{zar} . Namely the Zariski sheafification of the presheaf $U \rightarrow K_n(U)$. Let $\mathcal{K}_n(X \text{ mod } D)$ be the complex $[\mathcal{K}_n(X) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{K}_n(D_i)]$. Here $\mathcal{K}_n(X)$ is put on degree 0 and $\mathcal{K}_n(D_i)$ denotes their direct image on X . It is the truncation at degree 1 of the complex $\mathcal{K}_{n,X,D}$ studied in [2] and there is a natural map $\mathcal{K}_{n,X,D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_n(X \text{ mod } D)$. We call the hypercohomology $H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \text{ mod } D))$ the relative Chow group of dimension 0 and write

$$CH^n(X \text{ mod } D) = H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \text{ mod } D)).$$

We recall the definition of the relative canonical class

$$c_{X \text{ mod } D} = (-1)^n c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), res) \in CH^n(X \text{ mod } D).$$

Let V be the covariant vector bundle associated to the locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module $\Omega_X^1(\log D)$ of rank n . For each irreducible component D_i , let $\Delta_i = r_i^{-1}(1)$, where $r_i : V|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1_{D_i}$ is induced by the Poincaré residue $res_i : \Omega_X^1(\log D)|_{D_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$, and $1 \subset \mathbf{A}^1$ is the 1-section. Let $\mathcal{K}_n(V \text{ mod } \Delta)$ be the complex $[\mathcal{K}_n(V) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathcal{K}_n(\Delta_i)]$ defined similarly as above and $\{0\} \subset V$ be the zero section. Then we have

$$H^n_{\{0\}}(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \text{ mod } \Delta)) \simeq H^n_{\{0\}}(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V)) \simeq H^0(X, \mathbf{Z})$$

↓

$$H^n(V, \mathcal{K}_n(V \text{ mod } \Delta)) \simeq H^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \text{ mod } D)) = CH^n(X \text{ mod } D)$$

by the purity and homotopy property of K -cohomology. The relative top chern class $c_n(\Omega_X^1(\log D), res) \in CH^n(X \text{ mod } D)$ is defined as the image of $1 \in H^0(X, \mathbf{Z})$.

In the rest of this section, we give an adelic presentation

$$CH^n(X \text{ mod } D) \simeq \text{Coker}(\partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} H_y^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H_x^n).$$

Here X_i denotes the set of the points of X of dimension i and the groups H_y^{n-1} and H_x^n and the homomorphism ∂ are defined as follows.

(1) The group H_x^n for $x \in X_0$. It is an extension of \mathbf{Z} by $\bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times$ with the index set $I_x = \{i; x \in D_i\}$. For $i \in I_x$, let $N_i(x)$ be the one-dimensional $\kappa(x)$ -vector space $\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \otimes \kappa(x)$. The $\kappa(x)$ -algebra $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} N_i(x)^{\otimes m}$ is non-canonically isomorphic to the Laurent polynomial ring $\kappa(x)[T, T^{-1}]$. We put $H_{x,i}^n = (\bigotimes_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} N_i(x)^{\otimes m})^\times$. It is an extension of \mathbf{Z} by

$\kappa(x)^\times$. By pulling-back $\bigoplus_{i \in I_x} H_{x,i}^n$ by the diagonal $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain H_x^n by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & H_x^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{diagonal} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} H_{x,i}^n & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

(2) The group H_y^{n-1} for $y \in X_1$. It is an extension of $\kappa(y)^\times$ by $\bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(\kappa(y))$ with the index set $I_y = \{i; y \in D_i\}$. In the same way as above, we define an extension H'_y (resp. $H'_{y,i}$) of \mathbf{Z} by $\bigoplus_{i \in I_y} \kappa(y)^\times$ (resp. by $\kappa(y)^\times$ for $i \in I_y$). The tensor product $H'_y \otimes \kappa(y)^\times$ is an extension of $\kappa(y)^\times$ by $\bigotimes_{i \in I_y} (\kappa(y)^\times \otimes \kappa(y)^\times)$. By pushing it by the symbol map $\kappa(y)^\times \otimes \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow K_2(\kappa(y))$ we obtain H_y^{n-1} by

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} (\kappa(y)^\times \otimes \kappa(y)^\times) & \longrightarrow & H'_y \otimes \kappa(y)^\times & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times & \rightarrow 0 \\ \text{symbol} \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(\kappa(y)) & \longrightarrow & H_y^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times & \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

(3) The homomorphism ∂ . It is the direct sum of the (x, y) -component $\partial_{x,y} : H_y^{n-1} \rightarrow H_x^n$ for $x \in X_0$ and $y \in X_1$. This fits in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} K_2(\kappa(y)^\times) & \longrightarrow & H_y^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \kappa(y)^\times \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \bigoplus_{i \in I_y} (\cdot)_x \downarrow & & \downarrow \partial_{x,y} & & \downarrow \text{ord}_x \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I_x} \kappa(x)^\times & \longrightarrow & H_x^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

and is 0 unless x is not in the closure Y of $\{y\}$. Here $\text{ord}_x : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the usual order and $(\cdot)_x : K_2(\kappa(y)) \rightarrow \kappa(x)^\times$ is the tame symbol. If $\{\tilde{x}_j\}_j$ denote the inverse image of x in the normalization of Y , they are defined by $\text{ord}_x(f) = \sum_j [\kappa(\tilde{x}_j) : \kappa(x)] \cdot \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}(f)$ and $(f, g)_x = \prod_j N_{\kappa(\tilde{x}_j)/\kappa(x)}(f, g)_{\tilde{x}_j}$ for $f, g \in \kappa(y)^\times$. Here $\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}$ is the valuation, $(f, g)_{\tilde{x}_j} = ((-1)^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}(f) \text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}(g)} f^{\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}(g)} g^{-\text{ord}_{\tilde{x}_j}(f)})_{\tilde{x}_j}$ is the usual tame symbol and N denotes the norm.

To give the definition of $\partial_{x,y}$, we introduce the tame symbol for invertible sheaves. For an invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -module \mathcal{L} and $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$, let $(\mathcal{L}, f)_x$ be the one-dimensional $\kappa(x)$ -vector space generated by the symbol $(l, f)_x$ for a non-zero rational section l of \mathcal{L} . We put $(gl, f)_x = (g, f)_x(l, f)_x$ for other section gl of \mathcal{L} and $g \in \kappa(y)^\times$. We have a canonical isomorphism $(\mathcal{L}, f)_x \simeq \mathcal{L}(x)^{\otimes \text{ord}_x(f)}$ where $\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \kappa(x)$. In fact, we may assume $\mathcal{O}_{Y,x}$ is normal by considering the norm and then $(l, f)_x \mapsto ((-1)^{\text{ord}_x(l) \text{ord}_x(f)} l^{\otimes \text{ord}_x(f)} f^{-\text{ord}_x(l)})(x)$ gives the isomorphism.

Let x be a closed point in the closure Y of $\{y\}$ and D_i be an irreducible component of D containing x . We define $\partial_{x,y,i} : H'_{y,i} \otimes \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_{x,i}^n$ when $y \in D_i$ and $\partial_{x,y,i} : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_{x,i}^n$ otherwise. They induce $\partial_{x,y}$. Let N_i be the invertible \mathcal{O}_Y -module $\mathcal{O}_Y(-D_i)$. Note that $H'_{y,i}$ is identified with $\prod_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} (N_i(y)^{\otimes m} - \{0\})$ as a set for $N_i(y) = N_i \otimes \kappa(y)$ and similarly $H_{x,i}^n = \prod_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} (N_i(x)^{\otimes m} - \{0\})$. First assume $y \in D_i$. For $\nu \in N_i(y)^{\otimes m}$, $(\nu \neq 0)$ and $f \in \kappa(y)^\times$, let $(\nu, f)_x \in N_i(x)^{\otimes m \times \text{ord}_x(f)}$, $\neq 0$ be the tame symbol for

$N_i^{\otimes m}$ defined above. Then the map $H'_{y,i} \times \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_{x,i}^n : (\nu, f) \mapsto (\nu, f)_x$ induces the map $\partial_{x,y,i} : H'_{y,i} \otimes \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_{x,i}^n$. Next we assume $y \notin D_i$. Let $N_i(y)$ be as above and consider $1 \in N_i(y)$. Then $\partial_{x,y,i} : \kappa(y)^\times \rightarrow H_{x,i}^n$ is defined by $f \mapsto (1, f)_x$.

The isomorphism $\text{Coker}(\partial : \bigoplus_{y \in X_1} H_y^{n-1} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X_0} H_x^n) \simeq CH^n(X \bmod D)$ is defined as follows. For the cohomology with support, we have isomorphisms $H_x^n \simeq H_x^n(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ for $x \in X_0$ and $H_y^{n-1} \simeq H_y^{n-1}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ for $y \in X_1$. The spectral sequence $E_1^{p,q} = \bigoplus_{x \in X_p} H_x^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D)) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{K}_n(X \bmod D))$ degenerates at E_2 -terms and induces the morphism.

3. The pairing. Let $k, F \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $X \supset U$ over k be as in section 1. Recall that $MPic_k(U, F)$ denotes the class group of the rank 1 objects of $M_k(U, F)$. In this section, we define a pairing:

$$(\ , \) : MPic_k(U, F) \otimes CH^n(X \bmod D) \rightarrow MPic_k(\text{Spec } k, F) \simeq k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times.$$

First we define the local pairing. Let $x \in X_0$ be a closed point of X . Let $\{\bar{x}_j ; j \in J_x\}$ be the set of \mathbf{C} -valued points of X supported on x . For each \bar{x}_j , let $\sigma_j : \kappa(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the corresponding k -morphism. We have an isomorphism $(\sigma_j)_j : \kappa(x) \otimes_k \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J_x} \mathbf{C}$. We define the local pairing

$$(\ , \)_x : MPic_k(U, F) \otimes H_x^n \rightarrow MPic_k(x, F) \simeq (\kappa(x) \otimes \mathbf{1})^\times \setminus (\kappa(x) \otimes_k \mathbf{C})^\times / \prod F^\times$$

for $x \in X_0$. When $x \in U$, the pairing $(\ , \ 1)_x$ with $1 \in \mathbf{Z} = H_x^n$ is simply defined by taking the fiber at x . We consider the general case.

Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla, V, \rho)$ be an object of $M_k(U, F)$ of rank 1. Take an invertible \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E}_X extending \mathcal{E} and an $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ -basis e of $\mathcal{E}_{X,x}$. Let $I_x = \{i : x \in D_i\}$. For each irreducible component $D_i \ni x$ of D , put $\nabla_i(x) = \text{res}_i(\nabla e / e)(x) \in \kappa(x)$. For each $\bar{x}_j, j \in J_x$, let Δ_j be a small polydisc in X^{an} with center \bar{x}_j and $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$ be the universal covering of $\Delta_j^* = \Delta_j \cap U^{an}$. Take a basis v_j of the one-dimensional F -vector space $\Gamma(\tilde{\Delta}_j^*, V)$. This space has a natural action of $\pi_1(\Delta_j^*)$ and the action of the monodromy γ_{ij} around the inverse image of D_i is given by $\exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sigma_j(\nabla_i(x))) \in F^\times$. Let ϕ_j be the analytic function $\rho(v_j) / e$ on $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$.

Let $f \in H_x^n$. The group $H_{x,i}^n$ in the last section is canonically identified with the group $\Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} - D_i, \mathcal{O}^\times) / (1 + m_x)$ for $i \in I_x$. We take $\varphi_i \in \Gamma(\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} - D_i, \mathcal{O}^\times)$ for each $i \in I_x$ representing f by this identification. Let φ_{ij} be the pull-back of φ_i to $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$ and define an analytic function $\varphi_{ij}^{\nabla_i(x)} = \exp(\sigma_j(\nabla_i(x)) \log \varphi_{ij})$ on $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$. It is well-defined modulo F^\times since the change of the branch of the logarithm multiplies an integral power of $\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}\sigma_j(\nabla_i(x))) \in F^\times$. We consider an analytic function on $\tilde{\Delta}_j^*$

$$(\psi, \varphi)_j = (-1)^{\text{ord}_x f \sum_i \sigma_j(\nabla_i(x))} \cdot \phi_j^{\text{ord}_x f} \prod_{i \in I_x} \varphi_{ij}^{\nabla_i(x)}.$$

Here $\text{ord}_x : H_x^n \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ is the canonical map and $(-1)^{\text{ord}_x f \sum_i \sigma_j(\nabla_i(x))} = \exp(\pi\sqrt{-1} \cdot \text{ord}_x f \sum_i \sigma_j(\nabla_i(x)))$. It is a pull-back of an invertible holomorphic function on Δ_j also denoted $(\psi, \varphi)_j$. In fact $\log(\psi, \varphi)_j$ is invariant by mono-

dromy and $d \log(\psi, \varphi)_j$ is holomorphic on Δ_j . Therefore the value $(\psi, \varphi)_j(\bar{x}_j) \in \mathbf{C}^\times$ is well-defined modulo F^\times . The local pairing is defined by

$$([\mathcal{M}], f)_x = ((\psi, \varphi)_j(\bar{x}_j)) \in (\kappa(x) \otimes 1)^\times \setminus \prod_j \mathbf{C}^\times / \prod_j F^\times.$$

The norm $N_{\kappa(x)/k}$ induces $MPic_k(x, F) \rightarrow MPic_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$ and the local pairings define the global pairing $MPic_k(U, F) \times CH^n(X \text{ mod } D) \rightarrow MPic_k(\text{Spec } k, F)$. The required reciprocity law follows from that for the tame symbols on a curve and the fact that the residue ∇_i of the connection is constant on each component D_i . For an object $\mathcal{M} \in M_k(U, F)$, the pairing with the relative canonical class defined in the last section

$$(\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \text{ mod } D}) \in k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times$$

is thus defined.

4. Main theorem. Let $k, F \subset \mathbf{C}$ and $X \supset U$ over k be as in the previous sections. First we review the residues of a logarithmic integrable connection $\nabla: \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \Omega_X^1(\log D)$. For an irreducible component D_i of D , the residue $\nabla_i \in \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{D_i}}(\mathcal{E}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_i})$ is the endomorphism induced by $(id \otimes res_i) \circ \nabla: \mathcal{E}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_X \otimes \mathcal{O}_{D_i}$. Let k_i be the constant field of D_i . Then the eigenpolynomial $\Phi_i(T) = \det(T - \nabla_i)$ is a polynomial with coefficient in k_i of degree $r = \text{rank } \mathcal{E}_X$. Let $\Sigma_i = \{\sigma: k_i \rightarrow \mathbf{C}\}$ be the set of k -morphisms and $s_{\sigma_l} (1 \leq l \leq r)$ be the solutions of $\sigma(\Phi_i(T)) = 0$ in \mathbf{C} counted with multiplicities. By changing the lattice \mathcal{E}_X if necessary, we may assume $s_{\sigma_l} \notin 0, 1, 2, \dots$ for all σ and l . The product

$$\Gamma(-\nabla_i) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma_i} \prod_{l=1}^r \Gamma(-s_{\sigma_l}) \in \mathbf{C}^\times / k^\times$$

is determined by the restriction (\mathcal{E}, ∇) on U and it is independent of the lattice \mathcal{E}_X on X . Let $D_i^* = D_i - \cup_{j \neq i} D_j$ and c_i be the Euler characteristic of $D_i^* \otimes_{k_i} \mathbf{C}$.

Theorem. Let $\mathcal{M} \in M_k(U, F)$ and $1 = ((\mathcal{O}_U, d), F, 1)$ be the identity object of $M_k(U, F)$. Assume the compactification X of U is projective. Then we have

$$per(\mathcal{M}) / per(1)^{\text{rank } \mathcal{M}} = (\det \mathcal{M}, c_{X \text{ mod } D}) \times \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma(-\nabla_i)^{-c_i}$$

in $k^\times \setminus \mathbf{C}^\times / F^\times$.

Proof will be given somewhere else, the rough idea is as follows. Along the same lines as in [2], by taking a Lefschetz pencil and by induction on dimension of X , it is reduced to the case $X = \mathbf{P}^1$, which is proved in [3].

References

- [1] P. Deligne: Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales. Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., **33**, part 2, 313–346 (1979).
- [2] T. Saito: ε -factor of a tamely ramified sheaf on a variety (to appear in Inventiones Math.).
- [3] T. Terasoma: A product formula for period integrals (preprint).