## Remark on the Range Inclusions of Toeplitz and Hankel Operators<sup>\*)</sup>

By Yuka SONE and Takashi YOSHINO

Mathematical Institute, Tôhoku University (Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., Sept. 12, 1995)

Abstract: In this paper, we study the equivalent relations between range inclusions and symbols of Toeplitz and Hankel operators, and give some applications.

Let  $\mu$  be the normalized Lebesgue measure on the Borel sets of the unit circle in the complex plane C. If  $e_n(z) = z^n$  for |z| = 1 and  $n = 0, \pm$ 1,  $\pm 2, \cdots$ , then the bounded measurable functions  $e_n$  constitute an orthonormal basis for  $L^2 =$  $L^{2}(\mu)$ . And the functions  $e_{n}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ constitute the orthonormal basis for  $H^2$ .

For  $\varphi$  in  $L^{\infty}$ , the Laurent operator  $L_{\varphi}$  is the multiplication operator on  $L^2$  given by  $L_{\varphi}f = \varphi f$ for  $f \in L^2$ . And the Toeplitz operator  $T_{\varphi}$  is the operator on  $H^2$  given by  $T_{\omega}f = PL_{\omega}f$  for  $f \in$  $H^2$ , where P is the orthogonal projection from  $L^2$ onto  $H^2$ . The Hankel operator  $H_{\varphi}$  is the operator on  $H^2$  given by  $H_{\varphi}f = J(I-P)L_{\varphi}f$  for  $f \in H^2$ , where J is the unitary operator on  $L^2$  given by  $J(z^{-n}) = z^{n-1}, n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$ 

The following results are well known, but, for convenience's sake we state here them without proof.

**Proposition 1.**  $T_{\varphi}$  has the following properties.

(1)  $T_z^* T_{\varphi} T_z = T_{\varphi}$ , where  $T_z^*$  denotes the adjoint operator of  $T_z$ .

(2)  $T_{\varphi}^{*} = T_{\overline{\varphi}}$ , where the bar denotes the complex conjugate.

- (3)  $T_{\alpha\varphi+\beta\varphi} = \alpha T_{\varphi} + \beta T_{\varphi}, \ \alpha, \ \beta \in C.$ (4)  $T_{\varphi} = O$  if and only if  $\varphi = o$ .
- (5)  $\|T_{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ .

We denote the set of all bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathscr{H}$  by  $\mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ .

**Proposition 2** ([1]).  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$  is a Toeplitz operator if and only if  $T_z^*AT_z = A$ . And, in particular,  $A \in \mathscr{B}(H^2)$  is analytic Toeplitz operator (i.e.,  $A = T_{\varphi}$  for some  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}$ ) if and only if  $T_z A = A T_z$ 

**Proposition 3.**  $H_{\omega}$  has the following properties.

- (1)  $T_z^* H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi} T_z$ . (1)  $H_{z} H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi} I_{z}$ . (Hence  $\mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}} = \{x \in H^{2} ; H_{\varphi}x = o\}$  is invariant under  $T_{z}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}} = \{o\}$  or  $\mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}} = T_{q}H^{2}$ , where q is inner). (2)  $H_{\varphi}^{*} = H_{\varphi^{*}}$ , where  $\varphi^{*}(z) = \overline{\varphi(\overline{z})}$ .
- (3)  $H_{\alpha\varphi+\beta\psi} = \alpha H_{\varphi} + \beta H_{\psi}, \ \alpha, \ \beta \in C.$
- (4)  $H_{\varphi} = O$  if and only if  $(I P)\varphi = o$ (i.e.,  $\varphi \in H^{\infty}$ ).
- (5)  $||H_{\varphi}|| = \inf\{||\varphi + \psi||_{\infty}; \psi \in H^{\infty}\}.$

**Proposition 4.**  $A \in \mathcal{B}(H^2)$  is a Hankel operator if and only if  $T_z^*A = AT_z$ . Moreover we can choose the symbol  $\varphi \in L^{\infty}$  of  $A = H_{\varphi}$  such as  $\|A\| = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ 

The following relations between Toeplitz and Hankel operators are known.

**Proposition 5** ([5]).  $H_{\phi}^{*}H_{\varphi} = T_{\overline{\psi} \ \varphi} - T_{\overline{\psi}} T_{\varphi}$ . And, for any  $\psi \in H^{\infty}$ ,  $H_{\varphi}T_{\phi} = H_{\varphi\phi}$  and  $T_{\phi}^{*}H_{\varphi}$  $= H_{\omega}T_{\omega}*.$ 

Concerning the range inclusions of Toeplitz and Hankel operators, the following results are known.

**Proposition 6** ([6]). If  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are in  $H^{\infty}$ , then  $T_{\omega}H^2 \subseteq T_{\omega}H^2$  if and only if there exists a g  $\in H^{\infty}$  uniquely such that  $T_{\varphi} = T_{\psi}T_{g} = T_{\psi g}$ . And then  $\varphi = \psi g$ . Particularly, if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are inner, then g is also inner.

**Proposition 7** ([5]). The following assertions are equivalent.

- (1)  $H_{\varphi_1} H^2 \subseteq H_{\varphi_2} H^2$ . (2)  $H_{\varphi_1} H_{\varphi_1}^* \leq \lambda^2 H_{\varphi_2} H_{\varphi_2}^*$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ . (3) There exists a function  $h \in H^\infty$  such that  $\|h\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$  and that  $H_{\varphi_1} = H_{\varphi_2} T_h = H_{\varphi_2 h}.$
- (4) There exists a function  $h \in H^{\infty}$  such that  $\|h\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$  and that  $\varphi_1-\varphi_2h\in H^{\infty}.$

**Proposition 8** ([3]).  $T_{\varphi}^*H^2 \subseteq H_{\varphi}^*H^2$  if and

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B35

<sup>\*)</sup> Dedicated to Professor Satoru Igari on his 60th birthday.

only if  $\varphi = o$ .

No. 7]

**Proposition 9** ([3]). The following assertions are equivalent.

- (1)  $H_{\varphi}^{*}H^{2} \subseteq T_{\varphi}^{*}H^{2}$ .
- (2) P is bounded below on  $[L_{\varphi}H^2]^{-L^2} \neq \{o\}$ , where  $[L_{\varphi}H^2]^{-L^2}$  denotes the closure of  $L_{\varphi}H^2$  in  $L^2$ .

In Proposition 7,  $H_{\varphi_1} = H_{\varphi_2}T_h$  for  $h \in H^{\infty}$ implies that  $H_{\varphi_1}^* = T_h^*H_{\varphi_2}^*$  and  $H_{\varphi_1}^*H^2 \subseteq T_h^*H^2$ . And concerning this and Proposition 9, we have the following.

**Theorem 1.** For  $\psi \in H^{\infty}$ ,  $H_{\varphi}H^2 \subseteq T_{\varphi}^*H^2$  if and only if there exists a function  $u \in L^{\infty}$  such that  $H_{\varphi} = T_{\varphi}^*H_u$ .

To prove this theorem, we need the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1** ([2]). For  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the following assertions are equivalent.

- (1)  $A\mathcal{H} \subseteq B\mathcal{H}$ .
- (2)  $AA^* \leq \lambda^2 BB^*$  for some  $\lambda \geq 0$ .
- (3) There exists a  $C \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$  such that A = BC.

In particular, there exists a  $C \in \mathscr{B}(\mathscr{H})$ uniquely such that

(a)  $|| C ||^2 = \inf \{ \mu : AA^* \le \mu BB^* \}$ 

(b)  $\mathcal{N}_A = \mathcal{N}_C$  and (c)  $C\mathcal{H} \subseteq [B^*\mathcal{H}]^{\sim}$ .

**Lemma 2.** For any non-zero  $f \in H^2$ , there exist an inner function  $\varphi$  and an outer function h uniquely such that  $f = \varphi h$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.  $H_{\varphi}H^2 = T_{\phi}^*H_uH^2 \subseteq T_{\phi}^*H^2$ .

Conversely if  $H_{\varphi}H^2 \subseteq T_{\phi}^*H^2$ , then we may assume  $\psi \neq o$  because, in the case where  $\psi = o$ , we have  $H_{\varphi} = O$  and the assertion is clear. And then, by Lemma 2,  $\psi = gh$  where g is inner and h is outer. Since

T<sub>g</sub>H<sup>2</sup> = T<sub>g</sub>T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>T<sub>g</sub>H<sup>2</sup>  $\subseteq$  T<sub>g</sub>T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup>, H<sup>2</sup> = T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>T<sub>g</sub>H<sup>2</sup>  $\subseteq$  T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>(T<sub>g</sub>T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup>) = T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup>  $\subseteq$  H<sup>2</sup> and T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup> = H<sup>2</sup> and hence T<sub>\u03c9</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup> = T<sub>h</sub><sup>\*</sup>T<sub>g</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup> = T<sub>h</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup>. Hence, by the assumption, H<sub>\u03c9</sub>H<sup>2</sup>  $\subseteq$ T<sub>h</sub><sup>\*</sup>H<sup>2</sup> and, by Lemma 1, there exists an A  $\in$   $\mathscr{B}(H^2)$  uniquely such that  $H_{\varphi} = T_h^*A$  and that (a)  $||A||^2 = \inf\{\mu: H_{\varphi}H_{\varphi}^* \leq \mu T_h^*T_h\}$ (b)  $\mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}} = \mathcal{N}_A$  and (c)  $AH^2 \subseteq [T_hH^2]^{-L^2}$ . Then,  $T_h^*T_z^*A = T_z^*T_h^*A = T_z^*H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi}T_z =$   $T_h^*AT_z$  by Propositions 2 and 3. Since h is outer,  $H^2 = \bigvee\{z^nh: n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} = [T_hH^2]^{-L^2}$ and  $\mathcal{N}_{T_h^*} = \{o\}$  and hence  $T_z^*A = AT_z$ . Therefore, by Proposition 4, A is a Hankel operator. i.e.,  $A = H_v$  for some  $v \in L^\infty$ . And then, by Proposition 5,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\varphi} &= T_{h}^{*}H_{v} = H_{v}T_{h^{*}} = H_{vh^{*}} = H_{vg^{*}g^{*}h^{*}} \\ &= H_{u\phi^{*}} = H_{u}T_{\phi^{*}} = T_{\phi}^{*}H_{u}, \text{ where } u = v \ \overline{g^{*}} \in \\ L^{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning Proposition 8, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.** If  $[T_{\varphi}H^2]^{\sim L^2} \subseteq [H_{\varphi}H^2]^{\sim L^2} \neq H^2$ , then  $\varphi = o$ . *Proof.* If  $[T_{\varphi}H^2]^{\sim L^2} \subseteq [H_{\varphi}H^2]^{\sim L^2} \neq H^2$ , then

*Troop.* If  $[T_{\varphi}H] \subseteq [H_{\varphi}H] \neq H$ , then  $\{o\} \neq \mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}^*} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{T_{\varphi}^*}$  and, by Proposition 3,  $\mathcal{N}_{H_{\varphi}^*} = T_g H^2$  for some inner function g and hence  $T_{\varphi}^* T_g H^2 = \{o\}$ . i.e.,  $T_{\overline{\varphi}g} = T_{\varphi}^* T_g = O$  and hence  $\overline{\varphi}g = o$  by Proposition 1. Since g is non-zero analytic,  $\varphi = o$  by F. and M. Riesz theorem (i.e., a non-zero analytic function can not vanish on a set of positive measure).

As a special case of Proposition 7, we have the following.

**Theorem 3.**  $H_{\varphi}$  is hyponormal (i.e.,  $H_{\varphi}H_{\varphi}^* \leq H_{\varphi}^*H_{\varphi}$ ) if and only if  $H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi}^*T_h$  (i.e.,  $\varphi - \varphi^*h \in H^{\infty}$ ) for some  $h \in H^{\infty}$  such as  $||h||_{\infty} \leq 1$ . And, in this case,  $H_{\varphi}T_z$  is also hyponormal.

*Proof.* Since  $H_{\varphi}$  is hyponormal if and only if  $H_{\varphi}H_{\varphi}^* \leq H_{\varphi}^*H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi^*}H_{\varphi^*}^*$  by Proposition 3, it is equivalent that there exists a function  $h \in H^{\infty}$  such as  $\|h\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi}^*T_h$  by Proposition 7. And, by Propositions 3 and 5, we have

$$H_{\varphi z} = H_{\varphi}T_{z} = H_{\varphi}^{*}T_{h}T_{z} = H_{\varphi}^{*}T_{z}T_{h} = T_{z}^{*}H_{\varphi}^{*}T_{h} = (H_{\varphi}T_{z})^{*}T_{h} = H_{\varphi z}^{*}T_{h}$$

and hence  $H_{\varphi}T_z$  is also hyponormal.

By [1], it is known that Toeplitz operator  $T_{\varphi}$ is normal if and only if  $T_{\varphi} = \lambda T_{\varphi} + \mu I$  for some  $\lambda, \mu \in C$  and  $\psi$  such as  $\overline{\psi} = \psi$  (i.e.,  $T_{\psi}$  is Hermitian). In the case of Hankel operator, as an application of Theorem 3, we have the following.

**Theorem 4.** The normal Hankel operator is only a scalar multiple of a Hermitian Hankel operator.

*Proof.* Clearly a scalar multiple of a Hermitian Hankel operator is a normal Hankel operator.

Conversely if  $H_{\varphi}$  is normal, then  $H_{\varphi}H_{\varphi}^* = H_{\varphi}^*H_{\varphi}$  and, by Theorem 3, there exist functions g and h in  $H^{\infty}$  such that  $||g||_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $||h||_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi}*T_g = H_{\varphi*g}$  and  $H_{\varphi*} = H_{\varphi}T_h = H_{\varphi h}$ . And then  $H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi}*T_g = H_{\varphi}T_hT_g = H_{\varphi}T_{hg}$  and  $(T_{gh}^* - I)H_{\varphi}^* = 0$ . Since  $||T_{gh}|| \leq ||g||_{\infty} ||h||_{\infty} \leq 1$ ,  $T_{gh}^*u = u$  if and only if  $T_{gh}u = u$  and since  $\sigma_p(T_{gh}) \cap \overline{\sigma_p(T_{gh}^*)} = \emptyset$  whenever gh is non-constant by [4; Theorem 7], gh = 1 or

 $H_{\varphi}^{*}H^{2} = \{o\}$  (i.e.,  $H_{\varphi}^{*} = O$ ). Clearly  $H_{\varphi}^{*} = O$  is Hermitian. In the case where gh = 1, since 1 =|gh| = |g| |h| and since  $||g||_{\infty}, ||h||_{\infty} \le 1, |g|$ = |h| = 1 a.e. (i.e., g and h are inner) and hence  $T_g$  and  $T_h$  are isometries. Since  $T_g T_h = T_{gh} = I$ ,  $T_g$  and  $T_h$  are invertible and  $T_g$  and  $T_h$  are unitary and hence g and h are constant functions of absolute value 1. Then  $g = \bar{h} = e^{i\theta_0} \mathbf{1}$  for some  $\theta_0$  $\in [0, 2\pi)$  and

 $H_{\varphi} = H_{\varphi^*g} = H_{e^{i\theta_0}\varphi^*} = e^{i\theta_0}H_{\varphi^*} = e^{i\theta_0}H_{\varphi}^*$ by Proposition 3 and hence, for any r > 0.

$$H_{\frac{1}{r}e^{-\frac{i\theta_{0}}{2}}\varphi}^{1} = \frac{1}{r} e^{-\frac{i\theta_{0}}{2}} H_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{r} e^{\frac{i\theta_{0}}{2}} H_{\varphi}^{*}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{r} e^{-\frac{i\theta_{0}}{2}} H_{\varphi}\right)^{*} = H_{\frac{1}{r}e^{-\frac{i\theta_{0}}{2}}}^{1} *.$$

Therefore  $H_{\varphi} = re^{\frac{i\theta_0}{2}} H_{\phi}$ , where  $H_{\phi} = H_{\frac{1}{\pi}e^{-\frac{i\theta_0}{2}}\varphi}$  is Hermitian.

By Proposition 1, Toeplitz operator  $T_{\varphi}$  is Hermitian (i.e.,  $T_{\varphi}^{*} = T_{\varphi}$ ) if and only if  $\bar{\varphi} = \varphi$ . Hermitian Hankel operator is characterized as follows.

**Theorem 5.**  ${H_{\varphi}}^* = H_{\varphi}$  if and only if, for  $\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n,$  $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{R} \text{ for all } n = -1, -2, \cdots.$ 

*Proof.* Since  $H_{\varphi}^* = H_{\varphi}$  if and only if  $\varphi^* - \varphi \in H^{\infty}$  by Proposition 3 and since  $\varphi^*(z) - \varphi(z)$  $=\overline{\varphi(\bar{z})} - \varphi(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_n \bar{z}^n - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda_n z^n$ 

$$=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\overline{\lambda_n}z^n - \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\lambda_nz^n = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(\overline{\lambda_n} - \lambda_n)z^n,$$
  
$$H_{\varphi}^* = H_{\varphi} \text{ if and only if } \overline{\lambda_n} - \lambda_n = 0 \text{ for all } n = -1, -2, \cdots.$$

## References

- [1] Brown, A. and P. R. Halmos: Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators. J. Reine Angew. Math., 213, 89-102 (1964).
- [2] Douglas, R. G.: On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17, 413-415 (1966).
- Lotto, B. A.: Range inclusion of Toeplitz and [3] Hankel operators. J. Operator Theory, 24, 17-22 (1990).
- [4] Yoshino, T.: Note on Toeplitz operators. Tohoku Math. Journ., 26, 535-540 (1974).
- [5] Yoshino, T.: Range inclusion and hyponormality of Hankel operators (preprint).
- [6] Yoshino, T.: A simple proof of Sarason's result for interpolation in  $H^{\infty}$  (preprint).