

On the Problems of Conformal Maps with Quasiconformal Extension

By HUANG Xinzhong^{*)} and Shigeyoshi OWA^{**)}

(Communicated by Kiyosi ITÔ, M. J. A., May 13, 1996)

1. Introduction. Let $f(z)$ be meromorphic and locally univalent in the unit disk $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Then the Schwarzian derivative of $f(z)$ is defined as

$$S_f(z) = \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)^2.$$

It is well-known that if $f(z)$ is locally univalent in D and satisfies

$$|S_f(z)| \leq \frac{2}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \quad (z \in D),$$

then $f(z)$ is univalent in D . Furthermore, if

$$(1) \quad |S_f(z)| \leq \frac{2t}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} \quad (z \in D)$$

for some $t(0 \leq t < 1)$, then $f(z)$ has a quasiconformal extension to the plane.

Chuaqui and Osgood [2] have proved that

Theorem A. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in D with $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1,$ and $f''(0) = 0.$ If $f(z)$ satisfies (1) then

$$A(|z|, -t) \leq |f(z)| \leq A(|z|, t)$$

and

$$A'(|z|, -t) \leq |f'(z)| \leq A'(|z|, t)$$

for $z \in D,$ where A' means the differentiation of A with respect to $z,$ and $A(z, t)$ is defined as

$$(2) \quad A(z, t) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}}\right) \frac{(1+z)^{\sqrt{1-t}} - (1-z)^{\sqrt{1-t}}}{(1+z)^{\sqrt{1-t}} + (1-z)^{\sqrt{1-t}}}.$$

Using Theorem A, they also proved that

Theorem B. If $f(z)$ which is normalized as in Theorem A is analytic in $D,$ and satisfies (1), then $f(z)$ has a Hölder continuous extension to $|z| \leq 1$ with

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}},$$

for all z_1 and z_2 in $D.$ The exponent $\sqrt{1-t}$ is sharp.

In Theorem B, although the exponent $\sqrt{1-t}$ is sharp, the Hölder constant $4\pi/\sqrt{1-t}$ is not sharp.

2. Hölder continuous extension. Our first result on Hölder continuous extension is contained in

Theorem 1. Let $f(z)$ be analytic in D with $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1,$ and $f''(0) = 0.$ If $f(z)$ satisfies (1), then $f(z)$ has a Hölder continuous extension to $|z| \leq 1$ with

$$|f(z_1) - f(z_2)| \leq \left(\frac{4}{1 - \sqrt{1-t}}\right)^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-t} + 2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \sqrt{1-t}}{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}}$$

for all z_1 and z_2 in $|z| \leq 1.$ The exponent $\sqrt{1-t}$ is sharp.

Proof. According to Chuaqui and Osgood [2], we have

$$(3) \quad |f'(z)| \leq 4 \frac{(1 + |z|)^{2\nu-1} (1 - |z|)^{2\nu-1}}{((1 + |z|)^{2\nu} + (1 - |z|)^{2\nu})^2} \quad (2\nu = \sqrt{1-t}),$$

and

$$(4) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{4^{1-2\nu}}{(1 - |z|)^{1-2\nu}}$$

for $z \in D.$ Let z_1 and $z_2(z_1 \neq z_2)$ be arbitrary points in D and choose $\rho = 1 - (1 - 2\nu) |z_1 - z_2|/2.$ Then, from (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| &\leq \left| \int_{z_1}^{\rho z_1} f'(z) dz \right| + \left| \int_{\rho z_1}^{\rho z_2} f'(z) dz \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\rho z_2}^{z_2} f'(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\rho}^1 \frac{4^{1-2\nu}}{(1-r)^{1-2\nu}} dr + \frac{4^{1-2\nu}}{(1-\rho)^{1-2\nu}} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &= \frac{4^{1-\sqrt{1-t}}}{\sqrt{1-t}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-t}}{2}\right)^{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} 2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}}}{(1 - \sqrt{1-t})^{1-\sqrt{1-t}}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}} \left(\frac{8}{1 - \sqrt{1-t}}\right)^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}} \\ &< \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives a better result than Theorem B.

^{*)} Department of Mathematics, Huaqiao University, China.

^{**)} Department of Mathematics, Kinki University.

Moreover, noting that

$$F(r) = \frac{4(1+r)^{2\nu-1}(1-r)^{2\nu-1}}{((1+r)^{2\nu} + (1-r)^{2\nu})^2}$$

is increasing for $0 < r < 1$, we estimate $|f(z_1) - f(z_2)|$ more precisely. Let $t = (1+r)/(1-r)$, $1 - 2\nu = k = 1 - \sqrt{1-t}$, and $\rho = 1 - k|z_1 - z_2|/2$. Then, by (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_1) - f(z_2)| &\leq \left| \int_{z_1}^{\rho z_1} f'(z) dz \right| + \left| \int_{\rho z_1}^{\rho z_2} f'(z) dz \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\rho z_2}^{z_2} f'(z) dz \right| \\ &\leq 4 \int_{(1+\rho)/(1-\rho)}^{\infty} \frac{t^{k-2}}{(1+t^{k-1})^2} dt \\ &\quad + \frac{4((1+\rho)/(1-\rho))^k}{(1+\rho)^{2-k}(1+((1+\rho)/(1-\rho))^{k-1})^2} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &= \left(\frac{4}{1-k} \right) \frac{(1-\rho)^{1-k}}{(1+\rho)^{1-k} + (1-\rho)^{1-k}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4(1-\rho)^{-k}(1+\rho)^{-k} |z_1 - z_2|}{((1+\rho)^{1-k} + (1-\rho)^{1-k})^2} \\ &= \frac{4(2/k)^k |z_1 - z_2|^{1-k}}{(2-k|z_1 - z_2|/2)^{1-k} + (k|z_1 - z_2|/2)^{1-k}} \left(\frac{k}{2(1-k)} + \frac{1}{1} \right) \\ &\quad \left(\frac{4}{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \right)^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \right)^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-t} + 2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \sqrt{1-t}}{\sqrt{1-t}} |z_1 - z_2|^{\sqrt{1-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

The following example gives a lower bound for the best Hölder constant M_0 .

Example 1. Let $A(z, t)$ be the function defined in (2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\substack{|z|<1 \\ z \rightarrow 1}} \frac{|A(z, t) - A(1, t)|}{|z - 1|^{\sqrt{1-t}}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}} \lim_{\substack{|z|<1 \\ z \rightarrow 1}} \left| \frac{2}{(1+z)^{\sqrt{1-t}} + (1-z)^{\sqrt{1-t}}} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t}} 2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the best Hölder constant M_0 must satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}}}{\sqrt{1-t}} \leq M_0 \leq \left(\frac{4}{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \right)^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \\ \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-t} + 2^{1-\sqrt{1-t}} \sqrt{1-t}}{\sqrt{1-t}}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Quasiconformal extension. Next we con-

sider conformal mappings that can be extended to quasiconformal mappings. Let \mathbf{A} be the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic in \mathbf{D} . It is an interesting problem to determine whether a function $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} or not, and if it is, whether $f(z)$ has a quasiconformal extension on the whole plane \mathbf{C} . There are many works on this topic. For example, there are Nehari criteria [4], Becker criteria [1], and so on.

Let $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$, and let $g(z)$ be define by

$$\begin{aligned} g(z) &= \frac{f'(x)(1-|x|^2)}{f((z+x)/(1+\bar{x}z)) - f(x)} \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + h(z, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f(z)$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} if and only if $g(z)$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} .

Ozaki and Nunokawa [6] showed that

Theorem C. In order that the function $w = f(z)$ to be univalent in \mathbf{D} , it is sufficient that

$$|h'(z, x)| \leq 1 \quad (z \in \mathbf{D})$$

for some $x \in \mathbf{D}$.

Nunokawa, Obradović and Owa [5] used the corollary of Theorem C to show that

Theorem D. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$, $f(z)/z \neq 0$ for $0 < |z| < 1$, and $|(z/f(z))''| \leq 1$ ($z \in \mathbf{D}$). Then $f(z)$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} .

Huang [3] further proved that

Theorem E. Let $f(z) = z/(1 - a_2z + \phi(z)) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots \in \mathbf{A}$, where $\phi(z)$ is analytic in \mathbf{D} , $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$, and $|\phi(z_1)/z_1 - \phi(z_2)/z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ ($z_1 \in \mathbf{D}$, $z_2 \in \mathbf{D}$). Then $f(z)$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} .

As a corollary of Theorem E, Huang [3] also proved that

Corollary. Suppose that $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$, $f(z)/z \neq 0$ for $0 < |z| < 1$. If $|(z/f(z))''| \leq 2$ ($z \in \mathbf{D}$), then $f(z)$ is univalent in \mathbf{D} .

The following example shows that the condition in Theorem E,

$|\phi(z_1)/z_1 - \phi(z_2)/z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_2|$ ($z_1 \in \mathbf{D}$, $z_2 \in \mathbf{D}$), is best for $f(z)$ to be univalent.

Example 2. Let $f_0(z) = z/(1 - tz^3/2)$, $1 < t < 2$. Since $1 < t < 2$, $f_0(z) \in \mathbf{A}$. As in Theorem E, we have

$$\phi_0(z) = \frac{t}{2} z^3 \text{ and } \sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \left| \left(\frac{\phi_0(z)}{z} \right)' \right| = t.$$

This shows that $\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} |(\phi_0(z)/z)'|$ approaches to

1, as t does. However, $f_0(z)$ is not univalent in D . Since

$$f_0(z_1) - f_0(z_2) = \frac{(z_1 - z_2)(1 - tz_1z_2(z_1 + z_2)/2)}{(1 + tz_1^3/2)(1 + tz_2^3/2)},$$

if we set $G(r_1, r_2) = tr_1r_2(r_1 + r_2)/2$, and let $r_2 = r_1^*$, we obtain

$$G(r_1, r_2) = F(r_1) = \frac{(1 + r_1)r_1^4t}{2}.$$

We see that $F(0) = 0, F(1) = t > 1$, by the continuity of $F(r_1)$, there exists a $r_1^*(0 < r_1^* < 1)$ such that $F(r_1^*) = 1$. Thus $f_0(z)$ is not univalent in D .

Now, we show that Theorem C is equivalent to Theorem E. If $g(z) = (1 + zh(z, x))/z$, then $1/g(z) = z/(1 + zh(z, x))$. In this case, $\phi(z) = z(h(z, x) - h(0, x))$ and $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$. If $|h'(z, x)| \leq 1$, then we have $|(\phi(z)/z)'| \leq 1$. On the other hand, if $f(z) = z/(1 + a_2z + \phi(z))$ and satisfies the conditions in Theorem E, then $h(z, 0) = a_2 + \phi(z)/z$ and $|h'(z, 0)| \leq 1$. So Theorem C is equivalent to Theorem E. This result shows that the condition in Theorem C is also best for $f(z)$ to be univalent in D .

Considering the quasiconformal extension problem for $f(z) = z/(1 - a_2z + \phi(z))$, we obtain the following explicit result.

Theorem 2. Let $f(z) = z/(1 - a_2z + \phi(z)) = z + a_2z^2 + \dots \in A$, where $\phi(z)$ is analytic in D , $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$, and

$$\left| \frac{\phi(z_1)}{z_1} - \frac{\phi(z_2)}{z_2} \right| \leq k|z_1 - z_2| \quad (z_1 \in D, z_2 \in D)$$

for some $k < 1$. Then the mapping $F(z)$ defined by the formula

$$F(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{z}{1 - a_2z + \phi(z)} & \text{for } |z| \leq 1 \\ \frac{z}{1 - a_2z + z\bar{z}\phi(1/\bar{z})} & \text{for } |z| \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

is a quasiconformal extension of $f(z)$ onto \hat{C} and $|\mu F(z)| = |F_{\bar{z}}/F_z| \leq k$.

Proof. Note that $\phi(z)$ is analytic in \hat{D} by the condition for $\phi(z)$. It is easy to show that $F(z)$ is sense-preserving local homeomorphism in \hat{C} , and because

$$F_z = \frac{1}{(1 - a_2z + |z|^2\phi(1/\bar{z}))^2}$$

and

$$F_{\bar{z}} = \left(\frac{z}{\bar{z}}\right)^2 \frac{\bar{z}^2\phi(1/\bar{z}) - \bar{z}\phi'(1/\bar{z})}{(1 - a_2z + |z|^2\phi(1/\bar{z}))^2}$$

for $|z| \geq 1$, the complex dilatation of $F(z)$ satisfies

$|\mu F(z)| = |F_{\bar{z}}/F_z| = |\bar{z}\phi'(1/\bar{z}) - \bar{z}^2\phi(1/\bar{z})| \leq k$ in $C-\hat{D}$. Thus $F(z)$ is a quasiconformal in \hat{C} . The proof of Theorem 2 is finished.

Acknowledgments. The present investigation was supported, in part, by the National Foundation of Fujian, China, and by the Grant-in-Aid for General Scientific Research of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

References

- [1] J. Becker: Löwnersche differentialgleichung und quasikonform fortsetzbare schlichte Funktionen. J. Reine Angew. Math., **255**, 23–43 (1972).
- [2] M. Chuaqui and B. Osgood: Sharp distortion theorems associated with the Schwarzian derivative. J. London Math. Soc., **48**, 289–298 (1993).
- [3] X. Huang: A generalization of a criterion for univalence. J. Huaqiao Univ., Natural Science, **14**, 292–294 (1993).
- [4] Z. Nehari: The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **55**, 545–551 (1949).
- [5] M. Nunokawa, M. Obradović and S. Owa: One criterion for univalence. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **106**, 1035–1037 (1989).
- [6] S. Ozaki and M. Nunokawa: The Schwarzian derivative and univalent functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **33**, 392–394 (1972).