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#### Abstract

Following Wada's construction [4] on the twisted Alexander polynomial, we introduce a new twisted invariant for finitely presentable groups.
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1. Introduction. Let $\Gamma$ be a finitely presentable group with a homomorphism $\alpha$ to a finite cyclic group $\mathbf{Z} / m$. We assume that the class number $h_{m}$ of the $m$-th cyclotomic field $K=\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ is equal to one, where $\zeta_{m}$ is a primitive $m$-th root of unity. To each linear representation

$$
\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G L(n, \mathbf{Z})
$$

of the group $\Gamma$ we will assign an algebraic number $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho} \in K$ called a twisted invariant of $\Gamma$ associated to $\rho$. This is well-defined up to a factor of a unit of the ring $O_{K}=\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]$ of algebraic integers and is in fact an invariant of the group $\Gamma$, the associated homomorphism $\alpha$ and the representation $\rho$. Namely, although we need a presentation of $\Gamma$ to define an algebraic number $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}$, yet it can be shown that

Theorem 1. The twisted invariant $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho} \in$ $\mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{m}\right)$ is independent of the choice of the presentation.

The construction of $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}$ and the proof of its invariance are based on the idea of Wada's paper [4], which introduces the twisted Alexander polynomial for finitely presentable groups with a homomorphism to a free abelian group. A merit of our framework here is that we can deal with finitely presentable groups such as the modular group and the orbifold fundamental group.
2. Construction. For a given homomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / m=\left\langle q \mid q^{m}\right\rangle$, we denote the induced homomorphism of the integral group ring by

$$
\tilde{\alpha}: \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z} / m] .
$$

Since the group ring $\mathbf{Z}[\mathbf{Z} / m] \cong \mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(q^{m}-1\right)$ is not an integral domain (namely, has a zero divisor), we

[^0]consider the composite of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and the projection
$$
\pi: \mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(q^{m}-1\right) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(\Phi_{m}(q)\right),
$$
where $\Phi_{m}(q)$ denotes the $m$-th cyclotomic polynomial. Here it should be noted that $\pi$ is well-defined as a ring homomorphism, because $\Phi_{m}(q)$ divides $q^{m}-1$ in $\mathbf{Z}[q]$. Then the assumption $h_{m}=1$ implies that the commutative ring $\mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(\Phi_{m}(q)\right) \cong \mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{m}\right]$ is a unique factorization domain (see [2]). By abuse of notation, we denote the composite $\pi \circ \tilde{\alpha}$ via $\tilde{\alpha}$ (it just corresponds to the homomorphism $\tilde{\alpha}: \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{Z}\left[t_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, t_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ in Wada's paper [4]).

Next we extend the representation $\rho$ to the integral group ring and denote it by $\tilde{\rho}$. Then $\tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}$ defines a ring homomorphism

$$
\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma] \rightarrow M\left(n, O_{K}\right)
$$

where $M\left(n, O_{K}\right)$ is the matrix algebra of degree $n$ over $O_{K}$. We suppose that the group $\Gamma$ has the presentation

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{u} \mid r_{1}, \ldots, r_{v}\right\rangle .
$$

Let $F_{u}$ be the free group on generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{u}$ and define a ring homomorphism $\Psi: \mathbf{Z}\left[F_{u}\right] \rightarrow M\left(n, O_{K}\right)$ to be the composite of the surjection $\mathbf{Z}\left[F_{u}\right] \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ induced by the presentation and $\tilde{\rho} \otimes \tilde{\alpha}$.

Now let us consider the $v \times u$ matrix $M$ whose $(i, j)$ component is the $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\Psi\left(\frac{\partial r_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) \in M\left(n, O_{K}\right)
$$

where $\partial / \partial x$ denotes the free differential calculus (see [1]). For $1 \leq j \leq u$, let us denote by $M_{j}$ the $v \times$ $(u-1)$ matrix obtained from $M$ by removing the $j$ th column. We now regard $M_{j}$ as a $v n \times(u-1) n$ matrix with coefficients in $O_{K}$. For a $(u-1) n$-tuple of indices
$I=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{(u-1) n}\right) \quad\left(1 \leq i_{1} \leq \cdots \leq i_{(u-1) n} \leq v n\right)$,
we denote by $M_{j}^{I}$ the $(u-1) n \times(u-1) n$ square matrix consisting of the $i_{k}$ th rows of the matrix $M_{j}$, where $k=1, \ldots,(u-1) n$.

Lemma 2. In $O_{K}, \operatorname{det} M_{j}^{I} \operatorname{det} \Psi\left(1-x_{k}\right)=$ $\pm \operatorname{det} M_{k}^{I} \operatorname{det} \Psi\left(1-x_{j}\right)$ holds for $1 \leq j<k \leq u$ and for any choice of the indices $I$.

Proof. We can apply the proof of [4] Lemma 3 for our ring homomorphism $\Psi$.

Hereafter we assume the following condition (C):
(C) There exists an index $j$ so that $\operatorname{det} \Psi\left(1-x_{j}\right) \neq 0$ in $O_{K}$.
We denote by $Q_{j} \in O_{K}$ the greatest common divisor of det $M_{j}^{I}$ for all the choices of the indices $I$. The algebraic integer $Q_{j}$ is well-defined up to a factor of $\varepsilon \in O_{K}^{\times}$. We also define $Q_{j}$ to be zero if $v<u-1$ and one if $\Gamma$ is a cyclic group (i.e. $u=1$ ).

Under the assumption (C), we can define the twisted invariant of $\Gamma$ associated to the representation $\rho$ to be the algebraic number

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}=\frac{Q_{j}}{\operatorname{det} \Psi\left(1-x_{j}\right)} \in K
$$

This is of course well-defined up to a factor of $\varepsilon \in$ $O_{K}^{\times}$.

In order to prove Theorem 1, we need to show the invariance of $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}$ under the Tietze transformations (see [3]). However we can again apply Wada's argument for our situation, so that we omit the routine proof here (see [4] Theorem 1). Further we see that the twisted invariant does not depend on the choice of the basis for the representation space.
3. Examples. A few examples show what the twisted invariant is like. Our first example is a finite cyclic group $\Gamma=\mathbf{Z} / m=\left\langle q \mid q^{m}\right\rangle$ such that $h_{m}=1$. The abelianization is the identity map; $\alpha=\mathrm{id}: \Gamma \rightarrow\left\langle q \mid q^{m}\right\rangle$. Every linear representation

$$
\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow G L(n, \mathbf{Z})
$$

is determined by the image $A=\rho(q) \in G L(n, \mathbf{Z})$ of the generator of $\Gamma$. If the representation $\rho$ satisfies the condition (C), then we obtain

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}=\frac{1}{\operatorname{det}(I-q A)} .
$$

A not so simple example is the following. Consider a group $\Gamma$ given by

$$
\Gamma=\left\langle x, y \mid x y x=y x y,(x y x)^{4}=1\right\rangle
$$

The group $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the modular group
$S L(2, \mathbf{Z})$. It is also known as the mapping class group of the 2-dimensional torus. As the associated homomorphism we take the abelianization $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow$ $\left\langle q \mid q^{12}\right\rangle$. We then see that $h_{12}=1$ (cf. [2]) and the cyclotomic polynomial is $\Phi_{12}(q)=q^{4}-q^{2}+1$. Here we shall make a calculation in $\mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(q^{4}-q^{2}+1\right)$ rather than $\mathbf{Z}\left[\zeta_{12}\right]$.

Let us write
$r_{1}=x y x-y x y$ and $r_{2}=(x y x)^{4}-1=(x y)^{6}-1$.
The free derivatives of relations $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ by the generator $x$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial x}=1-y+x y \quad \text { and } \\
& \frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial x}=1+x y+(x y)^{2}+(x y)^{3}+(x y)^{4}+(x y)^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

First let us consider the trivial 1-dimensional representation $\mathbf{1}$ over $\mathbf{Z}$. Because $\operatorname{det} \Psi(1-y)=$ $1-q$ (namely, the condition (C) is satisfied) and $\Psi\left(\partial r_{2} / \partial x\right)=0$ in $\mathbf{Z}[q] /\left(q^{4}-q^{2}+1\right)$, we can conclude

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma, \mathbf{1}}=\frac{1-q+q^{2}}{1-q} \sim 1-q+q^{2}
$$

where we have used a notation $\sim$ to present a relation between associated elements in the integral domain.

Next we investigate the 2-dimensional representation of $\Gamma$ given by

$$
\rho(x)=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
1 & 0 \\
-1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \rho(y)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Direct computation shows that

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
{ }^{t} M_{2} & =\left({ }^{t} \Psi\left(\frac{\partial r_{1}}{\partial x}\right){ }^{t} \Psi\left(\frac{\partial r_{2}}{\partial x}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1-q+q^{2} & -q^{2} & 2+2 q^{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
-q+q^{2} & 1-q
\end{array}-2+4 q^{2} \quad 4-2 q^{2}\right) . . ~ \$
$$

Thereby we obtain $Q_{2}=1$. Further we easily see $\operatorname{det} \Psi(1-y)=(1-q)^{2} \neq 0$, so that the twisted invariant of $\Gamma$ associated to $\rho$ is

$$
\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}=\frac{1}{(1-q)^{2}} \sim 1
$$

Finally we consider the braid group $B_{3}$ of three strings. Let $\alpha$ be the composite of the abelianization $B_{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ and the obvious homomorphism $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / 12$. Since the group $B_{3}=\langle x, y \mid x y x=y x y\rangle$ has a representation

$$
\rho: B_{3} \rightarrow S L(2, \mathbf{Z})
$$

we can define its twisted invariant $\Theta_{B_{3}, \rho} \in \mathbf{Q}\left(\zeta_{12}\right)$. From the similar computation as above, it follows
that

$$
\Theta_{B_{3}, \rho}=2-3 q+2 q^{2}
$$

On the other hand, Wada's twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{B_{3}, \rho}(t)$ of $B_{3}$ for the representation $\rho$ is given by

$$
\Delta_{B_{3}, \rho}(t)=1+t^{2} .
$$

We can immediately conclude this fact from the example computed in [4] Section 4 (in fact, we have only to substitute $s=-1$ into the reduced Bu rau representation of $B_{3}$ ). Therefore the discussion above implies that

Proposition 3. The twisted invariant $\Theta_{\Gamma, \rho}$ is not a simple reduction of the twisted Alexander polynomial $\Delta_{\Gamma, \rho}(t)$.

Remark 4. If the group $\Gamma$ has a presentation of deficiency 1 and the homomorphism $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / m$ factors through $\mathbf{Z}$, then our twisted invariant for the 1-dimensional trivial representation coincides with
the specialization of the original Alexander polynomial at a primitive $m$-th root of unity.
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