# **CURVES WITH MAXIMALLY COMPUTED CLIFFORD INDEX**

#### TAKAO KATO and GERRIET MARTENS

(Received November 17, 2017)

#### **Abstract**

We say that a curve X of genus g has maximally computed Clifford index if the Clifford index c of X is, for c > 2, computed by a linear series of the maximum possible degree d < g; then d = 2c + 3 resp. d = 2c + 4 for odd resp. even c. For odd c such curves have been studied in [6]. In this paper we analyze if/how far analoguous results hold for such curves of even Clifford index c.

#### 1. Introduction

Let X denote a smooth irreducible projective curve defined over the complex numbers, and let  $g \ge 4$  resp.  $c \ge 0$  denote its genus resp. its Clifford index. We say that a (complete and base point free) linear series  $g_d^r$  on X, or a divisor in it, computes c if d < g, r > 0 and d - 2r = c. It is well known ([5, Thm. C]) that in this case we have  $d \le 2c + 4$  if X is neither hyper- nor bi-elliptic (which certainly holds for c > 2). For c > 2 we say that the Clifford index c of X is maximally computed if X has a  $g_d^r$  computing c of the maximal possible degree, i.e. d = 2c + 3 resp. d = 2c + 4 if c is odd resp. even. Such curves exist for every c > 2 ([5, 3.3]) and examples are constructed on K3 surfaces.

Let *X* be such a curve. Then we have g = d + 1 ([5, 3.2.5]).

For odd c we also know: X has gonality c+3 and infinitely many pencils  $g_{c+3}^1$  ([5, 3.2.2 and 2.3]), and by [6], 3.6 and 3.7 the  $g_d^r$  is the only series on X computing c (in particular, it is half-canonical, i.e.  $|2g_d^r|$  is the canonical series of X, and very ample); moreover, the  $g_d^r$  is even normally generated.

For even c our knowledge on X is less complete ([5], [10]) mainly because a basic Diophantine equation ([6, sections 1 and 2]) valid for X in the case of odd c is not available if X has even Clifford index. One knows, for even c:

- X has gonality c + 2,
- for every pencil |D| of degree c+2 on X there is a pencil |D'| of degree c+2 on X such that  $g_d^r = |D+D'|$  ([5, 3.2.3 and 3.2.4]),
  - X has no base point free pencil of degree c + 3 ([5, 3.2.1]),
- X has no series computing c of degree e with 3(c+2)/2 < e < 2(c+2) = d ([13, Cor. 1]); note that this implies that our  $g_d^r$  must be very ample.

In [5, 3.3.2] the following "recognition theorem" is proved: On any k-gonal curve ( $k \ge 3$ ) having only finitely many base point free pencils of degree k and k + 1, a linear series  $g_d^r$ ,

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H45; Secondary 14H51.

The first named author expresses his thanks to Univ. Erlangen-Nürnberg for the hospitality during his stay.

 $r \ge 2$ , computing its Clifford index c computes c maximally and is the only linear series computing c which is not a pencil. (Note that c is even, then, and the  $g_d^r$  is half-canonical.) Moreover, it follows that the  $g_d^r$  is even normally generated: Since there are (by assumption) only finitely many  $g_{c+2}^1$  the curve embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^r$  by the  $g_d^r$  lies on only finitely many quadrics of rank  $\le 4$  which implies (cf. [2, III, ex. D-1 and V, ex. C-7]) that it is quadratically normal, and to see that it is n-normal for all other integers  $n \ge 1$  we can use Green's results on Koszul-cohomology, as is done in [6, proof of Theorem 3.6].

However, there are curves whose (even) Clifford index c is computed maximally which have infinitely many pencils of degree c+2; this will be shown in the next section where we discuss the case c=4 in greater detail. So the recognition theorem does not always answer the

QUESTION. Is, on our X, the  $g_d^r$  the only linear series computing c which is not a pencil?

In this paper we deal with this Question. For  $c \equiv 0 \mod 4$  we prove in Section 3 that every effective divisor of X computing c is contained in a divisor of the  $g_d^r$ ; in particular, the  $g_d^r$  is then the only linear series on X computing c maximally. And for c = 4, c = 6 and c = 8 we answer our Question in the affirmative. Finally, for X lying, via the  $g_d^r$ , on a K3 surface of degree 2r - 2 we check if the divisor theory of the surface may be helpful to provide a negative answer.

Notation. The basic reference is [2]. For any curve X, Div(X) denotes its group of divisors and the symbol  $\sim$  means the linear equivalence of divisors. For D,  $E \in \text{Div}(X)$  we write  $D \leq E$  (and say that D is contained in E) if E - D is effective, i.e.  $E - D \geq 0$ , and for linear series  $g_d^r$ ,  $g_e^s$  on X the notation  $g_d^r \subset g_e^s$  means that every divisor in  $g_d^r$  is contained in a divisor of  $g_e^s$  (equivalently,  $|g_e^s - g_d^r| \neq \emptyset$ ). We sometimes identify a complete  $g_d^r$  on X with the point in the variety  $W_d^r = W_d^r(X)$  corresponding to it via the Abel-Jacobi map. (Specifically, for a canonical divisor  $K_X$  of X the canonical series  $|K_X|$  likewise is the only point in  $W_{2g-2}^{g-1}$ , for g > 0.)

# **2.** Clifford index c = 4

For c = 4 we construct a curve whose Clifford index c is maximally computed and satisfies  $\dim(W_6^1) > 0$ .

EXAMPLE. Let E denote a smooth elliptic curve and  $S \to E$  be a ruled surface with invariant  $e \ge 0$ . Using the notations of [9, V, 2] we can find a smooth elliptic curve H in the numerical equivalence class of  $C_0 + e \cdot f$  ( $C_0^2 = -e, f$  a fibre); we have  $h^0(H) = e + 1$ , and  $-C_0 - H$  is a canonical divisor of S ([8, 3.3]). Observe that  $H^2 = e$  and  $C_0 \cdot H = 0$ . For e > 0 we consider the divisor D := 3H of S; then |D| is base point free and so a general member X in |D| is a smooth curve, by Bertini's theorem. Writing  $X = X_1 + X_2$  with effective divisors  $X_1, X_2$  of S, we thus must have  $X_1 \cdot X_2 = 0$ . If  $X_1 = \alpha C_0 + \beta f$  (here = denotes numerical equivalence) we have  $X_2 = (3 - \alpha)C_0 + (3e - \beta)f$  with integers  $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ ,  $\alpha \le 3$ ,  $\beta \le 3e$  ([8, 3.1]), and  $X_1 \cdot X_2 = 0$  implies the relation  $(2\beta - e\alpha)(2\alpha - 3) = 3e\alpha$  leading to  $X_1 = 0$  for  $\alpha = 0$  resp.  $X_2 = 0$  for  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = -e < 0$  for  $\alpha = 1$  resp.  $\beta = 4e > 3e$  for  $\alpha = 2$ . Thus it

follows that X is irreducible, and its genus is, by adjunction, g = 3e + 1.

Now, let e = 4 and view the base curve E as an elliptic normal curve in  $\mathbb{P}^{e-1} = \mathbb{P}^3$  (of degree e = 4); let  $S_0$  denote the cone over E in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . Blowing up the vertex of the elliptic cone  $S_0$  we obtain a ruled surface  $S \rightarrow E$  of invariant e = 4 as above ([9, V, 2.11.4]), and the blow down  $S \to S_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$  is defined by |H|. Our curve  $X \subset S$  from above blows down to a curve  $X' \subset S_0$  of degree  $X \cdot H = 3H^2 = 3e = 12 = g - 1$  and X' is smooth since it misses the vertex of  $S_0$ . Since  $h^0(S, H - X) = h^0(S, -2H) = 0$  the linear series |H| of S cuts out on X a (maybe, incomplete) linear series of degree 12 and dimension  $h^0(H) - 1 = e = 4$ . Hence X has Clifford index  $c \le 12 - 2 \cdot 4 = 4$ . To see that c = 4 we recall that on a curve of genus 13 its Clifford index can be computed by pencils; so we have to show that the gonality k of X is 6. Since the natural map  $\pi: X \subset S \to E$  has degree  $X \cdot f = 3$  our curve X is a triple covering of an elliptic curve; in particular, X has infinitely many  $g_6^1$ . If k < 6 we obtain, according to Castelnuovo's genus formula for curves with independent morphisms ([2, VIII, ex. C-1]), that  $g \le (k-1)(3-1) + 3g(E) = 2k+1 \le 11$ , a contradiction. So k = 6, c = 4, and the series  $|H|_X|$  is a complete (and very ample)  $g_{12}^4$  on X thus computing c=4 maximally. Since  $W_6^1(X)$  contains (at least) the one-dimensional irreducible component  $\pi^*W_2^1(E)$  we clearly have  $\dim(W_6^1(X)) > 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** Let X be a curve whose Clifford index c=4 is computed maximally. Assume that  $dim(W_6^1) > 0$ . Then X admits a triple covering  $\pi: X \to E$  over an elliptic curve E,  $\pi^*(W_2^1(E))$  is the only infinite irreducible component of  $W_6^1$ , and this component is singular with finitely many singularities. Furthermore, X has only one series  $g_{12}^4$  (computing c maximally), and the variety  $W_{12}^4$  is not reduced.

Proof. By de Franchis' theorem, on any k-gonal curve X with an infinite set S of  $g_k^1$  either infinitely many  $g_k^1$  in S are compounded of the same irrational involution or there are only finitely many compounded  $g_k^1$  in S. For k=6, in the latter case such a curve is a smooth plane septic (g=15) or we have  $g \le 11$  ([4]), and in the first case infinitely many  $g_6^1$  in S are induced by a covering  $\rho: X \to Y$  over a non-hyperelliptic curve Y of genus 3 or by a triple covering  $\pi: X \to E$  over an elliptic curve E. Now, let X be a curve whose Clifford index c=4 is computed maximally and admitting infinitely many  $g_6^1$ . Since g=13 we then are in the first case from above.

Assume that  $\rho: X \to Y$  is a double covering of X over a curve Y of genus 3. Then Y is a smooth plane quartic, and every  $g_6^1$  on X is induced by  $\rho$  since otherwise we would have  $g \le (6-1)(2-1)+2g(Y)=11$ , by Castelnuovo's genus formula for curves with independent morphisms. Hence we have  $W_6^1(X)=\rho^*(W_3^1(Y))=\rho^*K_Y-\rho^*(W_1(Y))$ . Since we know that there are pencils  $g_6^1$ ,  $h_6^1$  on X such that  $g_{12}^4=|g_6^1+h_6^1|$  we thus have pencils  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  of degree 3 on Y such that  $g_{12}^4=|\rho^*(L_1)+\rho^*(L_2)|$ . But (cf. [12, p. 1797])

$$h^{0}(X, \rho^{*}(L_{1} + L_{2})) = h^{0}(Y, L_{1} + L_{2}) + h^{0}(Y, (L_{1} + L_{2}) - D) = 4 + h^{0}(Y, L_{1} + L_{2} - D)$$

for a divisor D of Y such that 2D is linearly equivalent to the branch divisor B of  $\rho$  (i.e. B is made up by the points of Y over which  $\rho$  ramifies). So  $2\deg(D) = \deg(B) = 2g - 2 - 2(2g(Y) - 2) = 16$ , i.e.  $\deg(D) = 8 > 6 = \deg(L_1 + L_2)$  which implies that  $h^0(Y, L_1 + L_2 - D) = 0$ . Thus we obtain  $h^0(X, \rho^*(L_1 + L_2)) = 4$  which contradicts  $|\rho^*(L_1 + L_2)| = g_{12}^4$ .

So X admits a triple covering  $\pi: X \to E$  over an elliptic curve E. Our very ample  $g_{12}^4$ 

embeds X as a curve of degree 12 in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ . Assume that there is another series on X computing c maximally, i.e. a  $h_{12}^4 \neq g_{12}^4$ . Then  $|h_{12}^4 - g_{12}^4| = \emptyset$ , and, according to a refinement of the base point free pencil trick ([2, III, ex. B-6]) we have:  $\dim(|h_{12}^4 + g_{12}^4|) \geq 2 \cdot 4 - \dim(|h_{12}^4 - g_{12}^4|) + 4 - 1 = 12 = g - 1$  whence  $h_{12}^4 = |K_X - g_{12}^4|$  and so  $|2g_{12}^4| \neq |K_X|$ . Thus it follows that  $\dim(|2g_{12}^4|) = g - 2 = 11 = 3 \cdot 4 - 1$ , and so a result of Castelnuovo ([2, p. 120]) implies that X lies on a non-degenerate surface S of minimal degree in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , i.e. on a cubic rational normal scroll. But this is impossible: By Segre's formula for curves on a rational normal scroll whose ruling consists of n-secant lines for the curve, we obtain  $13 = g = (n-1)(\deg(X)-1-(n/2)\deg(S)) = (n-1)(12-1-(n/2)\cdot3)$  which cannot hold. Consequently, we see that a  $h_{12}^4 \neq g_{12}^4$  cannot exist on X, i.e.  $W_{12}^4$  is a point, and this point is not a smooth point of  $W_{12}^4$  since the tangent space to  $W_{12}^4$  at it has positive dimension ([2, IV, ex. A-2]; observe that the unique  $g_{12}^4$  on X is half-canonical).

 $W_6^1(X)$  has the irreducible component  $\pi^*(W_2^1(E))$ . The argument in the beginning of this proof shows that a further infinite irreducible component of  $W_6^1(X)$  gives rise to a second triple covering  $\pi'^*: X \to E'$  over an elliptic curve E'; but applying Castelnuovo's genus bound for curves admitting independent morphisms to the pair  $(\pi, \pi')$  of coverings we get the contradiction  $g \le (3-1)(3-1) + 3g(E) + 3g(E') = 10$ .

For simplicity we identify our  $g_{12}^4$  on X with the point  $\ell$  of  $W_{12}^4(X)$  corresponding to it. Then the irreducible component  $\ell - \pi^*(W_2^1(E))$  of  $W_6^1(X)$  coincides with  $\pi^*(W_2^1(E))$ . Hence there are four points  $p_1,...,p_4\in E$  such that  $\ell=|\pi^*(p_1+...+p_4)|$ . Since, on E,  $p_1+...+p_4\sim 2q_1+2q_2$  for two points  $q_1,q_2\in E$  there exists a  $g_6^1=|\pi^*(q_1+q_2)|$  on X such that  $|2g_6^1|=\ell$ , and since X has only finitely many 2-torsion points X has only a finite number of such  $g_6^1$ . Recall that the embedding series  $\ell$  is the only  $g_{12}^4$  on X. Hence  $|2g_6^1|=\ell$  is equivalent with  $\dim |2g_6^1|\geq 4$ , and it follows ([2, IV, 4.2]) that the  $g_6^1$  in  $\pi^*(W_2^1(E))$  satisfying  $|2g_6^1|=\ell$  correspond to the singularities of the component  $\pi^*(W_2^1(E))$  of  $W_6^1(X)$ .

Though  $\dim(W_6^1) > 0$  is possible, on every curve X whose Clifford index c = 4 is computed maximally only the unique  $g_{12}^4$  and the pencils of degree 6 compute c. To see this, recall that X has no series computing c of degree d with 3(c+2)/2 < d < 2(c+2), i.e. no  $g_{10}^3$ . A  $g_8^2$  on X (computing c) cannot be simple since we know that  $W_7^1 = W_6^1 + W_1$  which implies that  $|g_8^2 - P|$  has a base point, for every point  $P \in X$ . So a  $g_8^2$  on X is compounded thus inducing a double covering  $\rho: X \to Y$  over a smooth plane quartic, i.e. over a non-hyperelliptic curve of genus 3. But in the proof of the Proposition we observed already that this is impossible.

Finally, we just note that one can show that the curve X of Proposition 2.1 is as in the example. (In fact, viewing X as being embedded by the  $g_{12}^4$  it lies in the intersection of two irreducible quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^4$ , i.e. on a surface of degree 4 which turns out to be an elliptic cone.)

#### 3. The main result

The following general result is elementary but useful, for our purposes.

**Lemma 3.1.** On any curve Y of genus g and Clifford index c let D, E be effective divisors computing c. Then the greatest common divisor (D, E) of D and E has Clifford index  $cliff((D, E)) \le c$ , and if dim |(D, E)| > 0 then (D, E) and one of the divisors D + E - (D, E)

(the "least common multiple" of D and E) resp. its dual  $K_Y - (D + E - (D, E))$  compute c.

Proof. Recall that, for a divisor  $\Delta$  of Y, we have  $\operatorname{cliff}(\Delta) = \deg(\Delta) - 2h^0(\Delta) + 2$ ,  $\operatorname{cliff}(K_X - \Delta) = \operatorname{cliff}(\Delta)$ , and that the Clifford index c of Y is the minimum of all  $\operatorname{cliff}(\Delta)$  such that  $h^0(\Delta) \ge 2$  and  $h^1(\Delta) \ge 2$  holds.

It is easy to prove the inequality (cf. [14, 2.21])

$$\operatorname{cliff}(D) + \operatorname{cliff}(E) \ge \operatorname{cliff}((D, E)) + \operatorname{cliff}(D + E - (D, E)).$$

Since  $\operatorname{cliff}(D) = c = \operatorname{cliff}(E)$  the first claim of the Lemma follows from this inequality provided that  $\operatorname{cliff}(D+E-(D,E)) \geq c$ . So assume that  $\operatorname{cliff}(D+E-(D,E)) < c$ . Since  $h^0(D+E-(D,E)) \geq h^0(D) \geq 2$  we then must have  $h^1(D+E-(D,E)) \leq 1$ , and so we obtain  $c > \operatorname{cliff}(D+E-(D,E)) = \operatorname{cliff}(K_Y-(D+E-(D,E))) = 2g-2-(\deg(D)+\deg(E)-\deg((D,E)))-2h^1(D+E-(D,E))+2 \geq \deg((D,E))$  (recall that  $\deg(D) < g$  and  $\deg(E) < g$ ). But  $\deg((D,E)) < c$  implies that  $h^0((D,E)) = 1$  whence it follows that  $\operatorname{cliff}((D,E)) = \deg((D,E)) < c$ .

Assume that  $h^0((D, E)) \ge 2$ . We then have  $\operatorname{cliff}((D, E)) \ge c$ , and by the (just proved) first claim of the Lemma we see that (D, E) computes c. Hence the inequality at the beginning of this proof shows that  $\operatorname{cliff}(D + E - (D, E)) \le c$ . Since  $h^0(D + E - (D, E)) \ge 2$  it follows that |D + E - (D, E)| or its dual series computes c (depending on which of these two series has degree (D, E)) provided that  $h^1(D + E - (D, E)) \ge 2$ , too. But for  $h^1(D + E - (D, E)) \le 1$  we obtain  $c \ge \operatorname{cliff}(K_Y - (D + E - (D, E))) \ge 2g - 2 - (\operatorname{deg}(D) + \operatorname{deg}(E) - \operatorname{deg}((D, E))) \ge 2$  deg(D, E) whence  $h^0(D, E) \le 1$ , a contradiction.

From now on we use the following notation: X always denotes a curve of genus g whose Clifford index c is even and computed maximally. We set  $d_0 := g - 1 = 2c + 4$ ,  $r_0 := (d_0 - c)/2 = (c + 4)/2$ , and  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  is an arbitrary but fixed series on X (computing c maximally). Finally, I denotes the set of effective divisors D of X computing c such that deg(D) > c + 2. (Clearly,  $I \neq \emptyset$  since it contains the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ .)

**Theorem 3.2.** Assume that there is a divisor  $D \in I$  which is not contained in a divisor of the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . Then  $c \equiv 2 \mod 4$ , D computes c maximally and  $W_{d_0}^{r_0}$  is infinite.

Proof. For a divisor  $D \in I$  let  $d := \deg(D)$ , and  $r := \dim(|D|) = (d - c)/2 \ge 2$ . Using a notation of [5], for any integer  $e \ge r - 1$  the set

$$V_e^{r-2}(|D|) := \{ E \in \text{Div}(X) : E \ge 0, \deg(E) = e \text{ and } \dim |D - E| \ge 1 \}$$

is the variety of e-secant (r-2)-plane divisors of X; if  $V_e^{r-2}(|D|) \neq \emptyset$  every irreducible component Z of it has dimension  $\dim(Z) \geq 2(r-1)-e$ . By [5,1.2] we know that  $V_{2r-3}^{r-2}(|D|) \neq \emptyset$ , and for  $E \in V_{2r-3}^{r-2}(|D|)$  we have  $|D-E| \in W_{c+3}^1 = W_{c+2}^1 + W_1$ . Hence for every  $E \in V_{2r-3}^{r-2}(|D|)$  there is exactly one point  $P_E \in X$  such that  $E + P_E \in V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|)$ . So the assignment  $E \mapsto E + P_E$  defines a surjection  $V_{2r-3}^{r-2}(|D|) \to V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|)$  with finite fibres whence  $\dim V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|) = \dim V_{2r-3}^{r-2}(|D|) \geq 2(r-1) - (2r-3) = 1$ . Let  $i: V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|) \to W_{c+2}^1$  be the natural map defined by  $F \mapsto |D-F|$  for  $F \in V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|)$ .

For any pencil L in the image of i there is a divisor  $F \in V^{r-2}_{2r-2}(|D|)$  resp. a pencil L' of degree c+2 on X such that |D|=|L+F| resp.  $g^{r_0}_{d_0}=|L+L'|$ , and for any point P in the

support of F we can find a divisor  $E' \in L'$  containing P. Hence for any  $E \in L$  the greatest common divisor G := (E + F, E + E') of  $E + F \in |D|$  and  $E + E' \in g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  contains the divisor E + P. So  $\deg(G) > \deg(E) = c + 2$ , and by Lemma 3.1 we know that  $\operatorname{cliff}(G) \leq c$ . Since  $\dim |G| \geq \dim |E| = 1$  we see that G computes c, i.e.  $G \in I$ .

Now assume that D is not contained in a divisor of the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . Then G is properly contained in  $E+F\in |D|$ , and so  $\deg(G)< d$ . Thus the divisor H:=(E+E')+(E+F)-G has degree  $g-1+d-\deg(G)\geq g$ , and, again by Lemma 3.1,  $|K_X-H|$  is a linear series of degree at most g-2=2c+3 computing c which implies that  $\deg(K_X-H)\leq 3(c+2)/2$ , i.e. we have  $2(c+2)-d+\deg(G)=\deg(K_X-H)\leq 3(c+2)/2$ . Hence  $\deg(G)\leq d-(c+2)/2$ , and since  $\deg(G)>c+2$  we obtain d>3(c+2)/2. It follows that d=2c+4=g-1, i.e. |D| is a  $g_{2c+4}^{(c+4)/2}$  on X different from our chosen  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ .

CLAIM. Assume that X has a linear series computing c maximally which is different from our  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . Then  $W_{d_0}^{r_0}$  is infinite, and X has linear series of degree 3(c+2)/2 computing c.

To prove this claim let  $h_{d_0}^{r_0}$  be a  $g_{2c+4}^{(c+4)/2}$  on X different from our  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . For any  $L \in W_{c+2}^1$  there is a unique pair (L', L'') of different pencils L', L'' of degree c+2 on X such that  $g_{d_0}^{r_0} = |L+L'|$  and  $h_{d_0}^{r_0} = |L+L''|$ . Let L = |E|.

Assume that L' and L'' are not compounded of the same involution. Then the General Position Theorem ([1, 4.1]) implies that there is a divisor  $E' \in L'$  having with every divisor  $E'' \in L''$  at most one point in common, and for every point P in the support of E' we can find a divisor  $E'' \in L''$  containing P. With this choice we see, by Lemma 3.1, that G := (E + E', E + E'') = E + (E', E'') = E + P is a divisor computing C which is impossible since  $\deg(G) = C + 3$ .

Hence the two pencils  $L'=|g_{d_0}^{r_0}-L|$ ,  $L''=|h_{d_0}^{r_0}-L|$  are compounded of the same (irrational) involution. Then there is a covering  $\pi:X\to Y$  of maximum possible degree n such that L',L'' are induced from pencils of degree (c+2)/n on the curve Y (in particular, n divides c+2). For this pair (L',L'') specified by L=|E| we can choose, for any point  $P\in X$ , unique divisors  $E_P'\in L'$ ,  $E_P''\in L''$  having the point P in common. Then the greatest common divisor  $(E_P',E_P'')$  of  $E_P'$  and  $E_P''$  is the divisor  $\pi^*(\pi(P))$  of degree n of X. (Clearly,  $\dim|(E_P',E_P'')|=0$ . Choosing  $E_Q'\in L'$ ,  $E_Q''\in L''$  having another point  $Q\in X$  in common we either have  $(E_Q',E_Q'')=(E_P',E_P'')$  - which happens only in the case  $\pi(Q)=\pi(P)$  - or that  $(E_Q',E_Q'')$  and  $(E_P',E_P'')$  have no point in common.) The divisor  $G_P:=(E+E_P',E+E_P'')=E+(E_P',E_P'')$  has degree  $\deg(G_P)=c+2+n=((\lambda+1)/\lambda)(c+2)$  if  $2\le \lambda:=(c+2)/n$ , and according to Lemma 3.1 it computes c. We will show that  $\lambda=2$ , i.e.  $\deg(G_P)=3(c+2)/2$ ; then Y is an elliptic curve.

For  $m \geq 2$  points  $P_1, ..., P_m$  of X such that  $(E'_{P_i}, E''_{P_i})$  and  $(E'_{P_j}, E''_{P_j})$  have disjoint support for  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$  we set  $G_{P_1,...,P_m} := E + (E'_{P_1}, E''_{P_1}) + ... + (E'_{P_m}, E''_{P_m})$ . Then  $(G_{P_1,...,P_{m-1}}, G_{P_m}) = E$  computes c, and we have  $G_{P_1,...,P_m} = G_{P_1,...,P_{m-1}} + G_{P_m} - E = G_{P_1,...,P_{m-1}} + G_{P_m} - (G_{P_1,...,P_{m-1}}, G_{P_m})$ . Inductively applying Lemma 3.1 we see that  $G_{P_1,...,P_m}$  computes c as long as  $\deg(G_{P_1,...,P_m}) = c + 2 + mn = c + 2 + m(c + 2)/\lambda = (1 + (m/\lambda))(c + 2)$  is strictly smaller than g, i.e. for  $m \leq \lambda$ . If  $\lambda \geq 3$  we choose  $m = \lambda - 1$  and obtain that  $G_{P_1,...,P_{\lambda-1}}$  is a divisor computing c of degree strictly between 3(c + 2)/2 and 2(c + 2); this is not possible. Hence we have  $\lambda = 2$ . Then we choose  $m = \lambda$  whence  $\deg(G_{P_1,P_2}) = 2c + 4 = d_0$ . Since, for  $Q \in X$ , we have  $G_{P_1,P_2} \sim G_{P_1,Q}$  iff  $(E'_{P_2}, E''_{P_2}) = (E'_{Q}, E''_{Q})$  (i.e.  $\pi(P_2) = \pi(Q)$ ) we see

that - fixing  $P_1$  but varying  $P_2$  - we obtain this way infinitely many linear series on X which compute c maximally. This proves the claim.

Finally, we observe that  $3(c+2)/2 = \deg(G_P) \equiv c \equiv 0 \mod 2$  implies that  $c \equiv 2 \mod 4$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** In the case  $c \equiv 0 \mod 4$  the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  is the only linear series on X computing cmaximally.

Remark. Let  $V_e^n(g_{d_0}^{r_0}) := \{E \in \operatorname{Div}(X) : E \ge 0, \deg(E) = e \text{ and } \dim(|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - E|) \ge r_0 - 1 - n\};$ here  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $n \le e - 1$  and  $n \le r_0 - 1$ . Choose an integer r such that  $1 < r < r_0$  and set d = c + 2r (note that  $d_0 - d = 2(r_0 - r)$ ). The upshot of the Theorem, then, is that  $V_{2(r_0-r)}^{r_0-1-r}(g_{d_0}^{r_0}) \cong W_d^r$  (via  $E \mapsto |g_{d_0}^{r_0} - E|$ ). For r = 1 (i.e. d = c + 2) this bijection is wrong since  $V_{2r_0-2}^{r_0-2}(g_{d_0}^{r_0})$  is the set of all effective divisors of degree  $2r_0-2=c+2$  of X which move in a non-trivial linear series, i.e.  $V_{2r_0-2}^{r_0-2}(g_{d_0}^{r_0})=\{0\leq E\in \mathrm{Div}(X): |E|=g_{c+2}^1\};$  so  $V_{2r_0-2}^{r_0-2}(g_{d_0}^{r_0})$  is a  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $W_{c+2}^1$ .

The Theorem thus relates the question if  $W_d^r \neq \emptyset$  (1 <  $r < r_0$ ) to the existence of a  $2(r_0 - r)$ secant  $(r_0 - 1 - r)$ -plane for the curve X viewed as imbedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{r_0}$  by the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . And for 2(c+2) > d > 3(c+2)/2 (i.e. for  $0 < r_0 - r < (c+2)/4$ ) we know that there is no such plane.

**Corollary 3.4.** Assume that there exists a divisor  $D \in I$  of degree d < g - 1. Then  $W_{c+2}^1$ contains a one-dimensional irreducible component W such that for every pencil  $L \in W$  we have  $\dim |D - L| = 0$ , and the unique divisor in |D - L| is contained in a divisor of the pencil  $|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - L|$  of degree c + 2.

Proof. We use the notation from the proof of the Theorem. Let  $r := \dim(|D|)$  and  $i|_Z$ :  $Z \to W^1_{c+2}$  be the natural map from an irreducible component Z of  $V^{r-2}_{2r-2}(|D|)$  into  $W^1_{c+2}$ ; recall that dim(Z)  $\geq 1$ . Since there is no pencil of degree 2r - 2 = d - c - 2 < c + 2 on X the map i is injective whence we have  $\dim(i(Z)) \ge 1$ . But since  $\dim(W_{c+2}^1) \le 1$  ([2, VII, ex. C-2]) it follows that  $\dim(i(Z)) = 1 = \dim(Z)$ . (In particular,  $V_{2r-2}^{r-2}(|D|)$  is equi-dimensional of dimension 1.)

Let W := i(Z). Then W is an infinite irreducible component of  $W_{c+2}^1$ , and for every  $L \in W$ there is a divisor  $F \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that |D| = |L + F|. Since  $\deg(F) = 2r - 2 = d - (c + 2) < c + 2$  we have  $|D - L| = \{F\}$ , and, by the Theorem, F is contained in a divisor of the pencil  $|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - L|$ .

Recall that  $D \in I$ ,  $\deg(D) < q - 1 = 2c + 4$  implies that  $\deg(D) \le 3(c + 2)/2$ , and for  $c \equiv 0 \mod 4$  we even have d < 3(c+2)/2 since  $d \equiv c \equiv 0 \mod 2$ . We add the following observation.

**Corollary 3.5.** In Corollary 3.4, if d < 3(c + 2)/2 then  $W_{c+2}^1$  contains a one-dimensional irreducible component (namely  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  – W) such that no two different pencils in it are compounded of the same involution.

Proof. In Corollary 3.4 we have  $|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - D| \subset |g_{d_0}^{r_0} - L|$  for any  $L \in W$ . Setting  $d = \deg(D)$  we clearly have  $\deg(|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - D|) = d_0 - d$ , and we know that  $(c+2)/2 = 2(c+2) - 3(c+2)/2 \le d$  $d_0 - d \le (2c + 4) - (c + 4) = c$ . In particular,  $|g_{d_0}^{r_0} - D|$  consists of a single divisor  $E \ge 0$ .

Assume that two pencils  $L' \neq L''$  in  $g_{d_0}^{r_0} - W$  are compounded of the same involution thus giving rise to a covering  $\pi: X \to Y$  of degree  $n \geq 2$  such that L', L'' are induced from pencils of degree (c+2)/n on the curve Y. We can choose divisors  $E' \in L', E'' \in L''$  whose greatest common divisor (E', E'') contains E. We may assume that  $n = \deg((E', E''))$ ; then  $n \geq \deg(E) \geq (c+2)/2$ , and so we obtain  $n = (c+2)/2 = \deg(E)$ . Thus d = 3(c+2)/2; Y is an elliptic curve, then, and  $g_{d_0}^{r_0} - W = \pi^*(W_2^1(Y))$ . However, for d < 3(c+2)/2 this does not occur.

We see that the divisor  $D \in I$  in Corollary 3.5 endows X with a feature of its pencils of minimal degree which - observing that their Brill-Noether number is negative - is apparently only known to be shared by the smooth plane curves (of degree  $\geq$  6). Cf. Remark 3.8 in [6].

**Corollary 3.6.** For integers d, r such that  $c + 2 \le d \le g - 1$  and d - 2r = c we have  $dim(W_d^r) \le 1$ .

Proof. We have  $\dim(W^1_{c+2}) \leq 1$  ([2, VII, ex. C-2]), and since  $W^{r_0}_{d_0} \subset g^1_{c+2} + W^1_{c+2}$  for a fixed pencil  $g^1_{c+2}$  on X it follows that  $\dim(W^{r_0}_{d_0}) \leq 1$ . So we assume that  $c+2 < d < d_0 = g-1$ . Let K be an irreducible component of maximal dimension of  $W^r_d$ . Then  $\bigcup_{g^r_d \in K} i(V^{r-2}_{2r-2}(g^r_d)) \subset W^1_{c+2}$  is a union of one-dimensional irreducible components  $W_1, \ldots, W_n$  of  $W^1_{c+2}$ . If  $K_j := \{g^r_d \in K | i(V^{r-2}_{2r-2}(g^r_d)) \supset W_j \}$   $(j=1,\ldots,n)$  we thus have  $K=K_1 \cup \ldots \cup K_n$ . Fixing  $L_j \in W_j$  we have, by Corollary 3.4, a map  $\gamma_j : K_j \to \mathbb{P}^1$  which assigns to  $g^r_d \in K_j$  that divisor of the pencil  $|g^{r_0}_{d_0} - L_j|$  which contains the (unique) divisor  $E = |g^{r_0}_{d_0} - g^r_d|$ . Since E specifies  $g^r_d$  (and since the divisor  $\gamma_j(g^r_d)$  of degree c+2 contains only a finite number of effective divisors of degree  $d_0 - d \leq c$ ) the fibres of  $\gamma_j$  are finite. Choosing j such that  $\dim(K_j) = \dim(K) = \dim(W^r_d)$  it follows that  $\dim(W^r_d) \leq \dim(\mathbb{P}^1) = 1$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** If the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  on X is not unique then every pencil of degree c+2 on X is induced by a pencil of degree 2 on a smooth elliptic curve (which is covered by X with (c+2)/2 sheets), and I consists of divisors of degree 3(c+2)/2 and  $2(c+2)=d_0$ .

Proof. Let  $L \in W^1_{c+2}$ . There are pencils  $L', L'' \in W^1_{c+2}$  with  $L'' \neq L$  such that  $\dim(|L' + L|) = r_0 = \dim(|L' + L''|)$ , and from the proof of the Claim in the proof of the Theorem we see that L and L'' are compounded of the same elliptic involution of order (c + 2)/2. The remaining assertion follows from Corollary 3.5.

### **Lemma 3.8.** X has no net computing c if c > 8.

Proof. Assume that X has a net  $g_{c+4}^2$ . Then for every point  $P \in X$  the pencil  $g_{c+4}^2(-P)$  of degree c+3 has a base point since  $W_{c+3}^1 = W_{c+2}^1 + W_1$ . Hence the  $g_{c+4}^2$  is not simple. Then it induces a morphism  $X \to Y$  of degree m>1 upon an integral plane curve Y of degree (c+4)/m. If m>2 or if Y has singularities the normalization of Y has a pencil of degree d<(c+2)/m which induces a pencil of degree md<(c+2)/m which cannot exist. Hence m=2 and Y is a smooth plane curve of degree (c+4)/2. Then Y has genus g(Y)=(1/2)((c+4)/2-1)((c+4)/2-2)=c(c+2)/8, and by the Riemann-Hurwitz genus formula for coverings we obtain  $2c+5=g\geq 2g(Y)-1=c(c+2)/4-1$ , i.e.  $(c-3)^2\leq 33$  which implies  $c\leq 8$ .

For c = 6 and c = 8 we don't know yet if X has no net computing c.

## 4. Clifford index c = 6 and c = 8

In this section we turn to the Question posed in the Introduction, for c = 6 and c = 8. In these cases the series computing c, besides those computing c maximally, are at most pencils, nets and webs. First, we reduce to pencils and nets, by the

**Lemma 4.1.** Let c = 6 or c = 8. If X has a web computing c then it also has a net computing c.

Proof. Assume that X has a  $g_{c+6}^3$ . Then this series is base point free and simple thus inducing a birational morphism onto an integral space curve X' of degree c+6.

Let  $D \in g_{c+6}^3$ . The number  $\rho_2$  of conditions imposed on quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^2$  by a general plane section of X' is at most  $h^0(2D) - h^0(D)$ , and from the proof of Corollary 1 in [13] we know that  $h^0(2D) \geq 4 \cdot 3 - 2 = 10$ , i.e.  $|2D| = g_{2c+12}^r$  with  $r \geq 9$ . If  $r \geq 10$  then X has a  $g_{24}^{10} = |K_X - g_8^2|$  for c = 6 which is impossible resp. X has a  $g_{28}^{10} = |K_X - g_{12}^2|$  for c = 8 in which case there is a net computing c = 8 on C. So we may assume that c = 9 whence  $c = 10 - 4 = 6 = 2\dim(|D|)$ . By a lemma of Castelnuovo and Fano's extension of it ([3, 1.10 and 3.1]) this implies that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 cannot lie on a quadric; so c = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 in [13] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 in [14] shows that c = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 - 4 = 10 in [15] shows that c = 10 - 4 =

The projection  $\pi: X' \to \mathbb{P}^2$  with center a smooth point of X' is birational onto its image Y since c+5 is a prime number for c=6 and c=8. Hence Y is a plane curve of degree c+5 which cannot be smooth. Since X has no base point free  $g^1_{c+3}$  all singular points of Y are triple points (points of multiplicity 3). Thus the fibre of  $\pi$  at a singular point of Y consists of 3 points of X'. Consequently, X' has a quadrisecant line through every smooth point. Clearly, then, all these lines must lie on the cubic S; since our  $g^3_{c+6}$  is complete this is only possible if S is an elliptic cone. The ruling of the cone makes X a 4-fold covering of an elliptic curve. In particular, X has infinitely many  $g^1_8$  which is impossible for c=8. For c=6 we use Segre's formula for the arithmetic genus of a curve on an elliptic scroll whose ruling are n-secant lines for the curve,

 $p_a(X') = (n-1)(\deg(X') - 1 - (1/2)n\deg(S)) + n = 3(12 - 1 - (1/2) \cdot 4 \cdot 3) + 4 = 19 > g = 17.$  So X' has at least one singular point; taking the projection  $X' \to \mathbb{P}^2$  with center this point we obtain a net of degree  $m \le \deg(X') - 2 = c + 4 = 10$  on X. Since c = 6 we must have m = 10, and so we are done.

**Theorem 4.2.** For c = 6 and c = 8 the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  is the only non-pencil on X computing c.

Proof. By Corollary 3.7, Lemma 4.1 for c=6 resp. Corollary 3.3 for c=8, the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  on X is unique (and so, in particular, half-canonical). By Lemma 4.1 it remains to show the non-existence of nets on X computing c. So assume there is a  $g_{c+4}^2$  on X. As in the proof of Lemma 3.8 we see that this net induces a double covering  $\pi: X \to Y$  over a smooth plane curve Y of degree (c+4)/2. Let  $\sigma$  ( $\sigma^2 = id$ .) denote the unique automorphism of X/Y.

By Theorem 3.2 there is an effective divisor  $D_c$  of X of degree  $d_0 - (c+4) = c$  such that  $g_{c+4}^2 = |g_{d_0}^{r_0} - D_c|$ . Since the  $g_{c+4}^2$  is base point free the support of a general divisor  $D' \in g_{c+4}^2$  consists of pairwise different points (is "separable") and is disjoint to the support of  $D_c$ . Since all divisors in our  $g_{c+4}^2$  are of the form  $\pi^*(\delta)$  for a divisor  $\delta$  in the unique net  $g_{(c+4)/2}^2$ 

on Y the divisor D' (being separable) contains no ramification point of  $\pi$  and is  $\sigma$ -invariant (i.e.  $\sigma D' = D'$ ).

Let  $D_0 := D' + D_c$ . Then  $D_0 \in g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . Since the  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  on X is unique we have  $\sigma(g_{d_0}^{r_0}) = g_{d_0}^{r_0}$ . In particular,  $D' + D_c = D_0 \sim \sigma D_0 = \sigma D' + \sigma D_c = D' + \sigma D_c$ , i.e.  $\sigma D_c \sim D_c$ . But  $\dim |D_c| = 0$ , and so it follows that  $\sigma D_c = D_c$  and, then,  $\sigma D_0 = D_0$ .

Let  $R_1, ..., R_n$  be the ramification points of  $\pi$ ; then  $R := R_1 + ... + R_n \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$  is the ramification divisor of  $\pi$ , and we have n = 12 for c = 6, n = 4 for c = 8. For a  $\sigma$ -invariant divisor  $D = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i R_i + \sum_j l_j (P_j + \sigma(P_j)) \in \operatorname{Div}(X)$  with  $P_j \neq R_i$  for all i, j we define a divisor  $\pi_0 D$  of Y by  $\pi_0 D := \sum_{i=1}^n [k_i/2]\pi(R_i) + \sum_j l_j \pi(P_j) \in \operatorname{Div}(Y)$ , and we let  $V_e(D) := \{f \in H^0(D) | f \circ \sigma = f\}$  resp.  $V_o(D) := \{f \in H^0(D) | f \circ \sigma = -f\}$  be the even resp. odd part of  $H^0(D)$ . Then  $\deg(\pi_0 D) \leq (1/2) \deg(D)$ , and we have equality here iff  $\pi^*(\pi_0 D) = D$ . Furthermore,  $V_e(D) \cong H^0(Y, \pi_0 D)$  (since  $f \in V_e(D)$  has a pole of even order at every ramification point  $R_i$  of  $\pi$ ), and  $H^0(D) = V_e(D) \oplus V_o(D)$ .

Let  $V_e := V_e(D_0)$ ,  $V_o := V_o(D_0)$ . Since  $H^0(Y, \pi_0 D') \cong V_e(D') \subset V_e$  we have  $\dim(V_e) \geq h^0(\pi_0 D') = 3$ . Furthermore,  $\dim(V_e) = h^0(\pi_0 D_0)$  with  $\deg(\pi_0 D_0) \leq d_0/2 = c + 2 = 2\deg(Y) - 2$ . Since Y is a smooth plane curve it follows that  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0) \leq 4$ , and if  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0) = 4$  holds then  $\deg(\pi_0 D_0) = c + 2$ . So we see that  $\dim(V_e) \leq 4$ , and if  $\dim(V_e) = 4$  then  $\pi^*(\pi_0 D_0) = D_0$ .

We first consider the case  $\dim(V_e) = 3$ , i.e.  $V_e = V_e(D')$ . Then  $\dim(V_o) = h^0(D_0) - 3 = (((c+4)/2) + 1) - 3 = c/2$ .

Let  $D_c \leq R$  (i.e.  $\pi_0 D_c = 0$ ); this is only possible for c = 6. By adjunction we have  $K_X \sim \pi^*(K_Y) + R \sim \pi^*(2\delta) + R \sim 2D' + R$  for a divisor  $\delta$  in the net  $g_{(c+4)/2}^2$  on Y, and since  $|D_0|$  is half-canonical we have  $K_X \sim 2D_0 = 2D' + 2D_c$ . Hence we have  $2D_c \sim R$ . For a suitable numbering of the ramification points  $R_1, ..., R_{12}$  of  $\pi$  we thus have  $2(R_1 + ... + R_6) \sim R_1 + ... + R_6 + R_7 + ... + R_{12}$ , i.e.  $R_1 + ... + R_6 \sim R_7 + ... + R_{12}$ . But X has no  $g_6^1$ ; hence it follows that  $R_1 + ... + R_6 = R_7 + ... + R_{12}$  which is not true.

So we have  $2R_i \leq D_c$  for some i or  $P + \sigma(P) \leq D_c$  for a non-ramification point  $P \in X$ . Let  $k_i \geq 2$  resp.  $l \geq 1$  be the multiplicity of  $R_i$  resp. P in  $D_c$ ; note that  $k_i$  is odd. Choose a basis  $f_1, ..., f_{c/2}$  of  $V_o$  such that  $R_i$  resp. P is a pole of order  $k_i$  resp. l of these functions. Then there are  $a_1, ..., a_{(c/2)-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the functions  $g_j := f_{c/2} - a_j f_j \in V_o$  (j = 1, ..., (c/2) - 1) have a pole of order  $k_i - 2$  at  $R_i$  resp. l - 1 at P (and  $\sigma(P)$ ). Then the vector space  $V_e \oplus \operatorname{span}(g_1, ..., g_{(c/2)-1})$  of dimension  $\dim(V_e) + ((c/2) - 1) = (c/2) + 2$  gives rise to a linear series on X of dimension (c/2) + 1 and degree  $\deg(D') + 2((c/2) - 1) = 2c + 2$ . Since this series computes c we obtain a contradiction.

So we have  $\dim(V_e) = 4$ , i.e.  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0) = 4$ . Then  $\pi^*(\pi_0 D_0) = D_0$  whence ([12, p. 1797])

$$((c+4)/2) + 1 = h^0(X, D_0) = h^0(X, \pi^*(\pi_0 D_0)) = h^0(Y, \pi_0 D_0) + h^0(Y, \pi_0 D_0 - E)$$

for a divisor E of Y such that 2E is linearly equivalent to the branch divisor  $\pi_*(R)$  of  $\pi$ .

Thus we obtain  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0 - E) = (c + 6)/2 - 4 = (c - 2)/2$ , i.e.  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0 - E) = 2$  for c = 6 and  $h^0(\pi_0 D_0 - E) = 3$  for c = 8. But for c = 6 we have  $\deg(E) = n/2 = 6$  and so  $\deg(\pi_0 D_0 - E) = (1/2) \deg(D_0) - \deg(E) = (c + 2) - 6 = 2$ , i.e.  $|\pi_0 D_0 - E|$  is a  $g_2^1$  on Y which is impossible. Let c = 8. Then we have  $\deg(E) = n/2 = 2$  whence  $\deg(\pi_0 D_0 - E) = 8$ , i.e.  $|\pi_0 D_0 - E|$  is a  $g_8^2$  on Y. Let  $\delta$  be a divisor in the unique net  $g_6^2$  on Y. Then there are points  $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$  of Y such that  $\pi_0 D_0 \sim 2\delta - p_1 - p_2$  and  $\pi_0 D_0 - E \sim \delta + q_1 + q_2$ . (In

fact, it is well known that  $W_8^2(Y) = W_6^2(Y) + W_2(Y) = |\delta| + W_2(Y)$  for a smooth plane sextic Y whence  $W_{10}^3(Y) = |K_Y| - W_8^2(Y) = |3\delta| - (|\delta| + W_2(Y)) = |2\delta| - W_2(Y)$ .) So we obtain  $\delta + q_1 + q_2 \sim \pi_0 D_0 - E \sim 2\delta - p_1 - p_2 - E$ , i.e.  $\delta - E \sim p_1 + p_2 + q_1 + q_2$  which implies that  $h^0(\delta - E) \ge 1$ . But we have  $3 = h^0(X, \pi^*(\delta)) = h^0(Y, \delta) + h^0(Y, \delta - E) = 3 + h^0(Y, \delta - E)$  which shows that  $h^0(Y, \delta - E) = 0$ , and this contradiction proves the Theorem.

If a smooth curve in  $\mathbb{P}^5$  on a cone over a 4-gonal canonical curve of genus 5 is cut out there by a quadric hypersurface it has maximally computed Clifford index 6 and infinitely many  $g_8^1$ ; so Theorem 4.2 is, for c = 6, not merely a consequence of the recognition theorem stated in the Introduction.

### 5. X on a K3 surface

Viewing X as being embedded into  $\mathbb{P}^{r_0}$  by our  $g_{d_0}^{r_0}$  it possibly lies on a smooth projective K3 surface S of degree  $2r_0-2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{r_0}$ . (In fact, the examples of curves with maximally computed Clifford index have been constructed in this way, cf. [5, 3.2.6, 3.2.7].) If so, observing that c < [(g-1)/2] = c+2 there exists an effective divisor D of S such that its restriction  $D|_X$  to X computes C ([7]). Hence one may ask if it is possible to find an (unexpected)  $g_{c+2r}^r$  with  $1 < r < r_0$  on  $X \subset S$  with the aid of a suitable divisor of S. As a consequence of an interesting result of Knutsen for curves on a K3 surface ([11, 3.4]) we have the

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that X lies, as a curve of degree  $d_0$ , on a K3 surface S of degree  $2r_0 - 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^{r_0}$ . Then for every complete linear series |D| of S without a base curve such that  $D|_X$  computes c we have  $\deg(D|_X) = 2c + 4$  or  $\deg(D|_X) = c + 2$ .

Proof. Let H be a hyperplane section of S. We have  $H^2 = \deg(S) = 2r_0 - 2 = c + 2$ ,  $X^2 = 2g - 2 = 4c + 8$  and  $H \cdot X = d_0 = 2c + 4$ , i.e.  $(H \cdot X)^2 = 4(c + 2)^2 = H^2X^2$  which implies, by the Hodge index theorem ([9, V, 1.9 and ex. 1.9]), that  $X \sim ((H \cdot X)/H^2)H = 2H$ . Since the canonical series of S is trivial we have  $h^0(H - X) = h^0(-H) = h^2(H) = 0$  and  $h^1(H - X) = h^1(X - H) = h^1(H) = 0$  ([15, 2.2]) whence by a standard exact sequence and by the Riemann-Roch theorem ([9, V, 1.6]) it follows that  $h^0(X, H|_X) = h^0(H) = 2 + (1/2)H^2 = r_0 + 1$ , i.e.  $|H|_X| = g_{do}^{r_0}$ .

Let D be an effective divisor of S such that |D| has no base curve and  $D|_X$  computes c. Then  $D^2 \ge 0$ , and since  $\deg(D) = D \cdot H = (1/2)D \cdot X = (1/2)\deg(D|_X) < g-1 = d_0 = \deg(X)$  we have  $h^0(D-X) = 0$ .

Assume that  $h^1(D) = 0$ . Then a standard exact sequence shows that  $h^0(X, D|_X) = h^0(D) + h^1(D - X)$ . Likewise, if  $X_0$  is an arbitrary smooth irreducible curve in |2H| we have  $h^0(X_0, D|_{X_0}) = h^0(D) + h^1(D - X_0)$ . Clearly,  $D - X_0 \sim D - X$  implies that  $h^1(D - X_0) = h^1(D - X)$  whence  $h^0(X_0, D|_{X_0}) = h^0(X, D|_X)$ . Since, by [7],  $X_0$  has the same Clifford index C as X, we see that  $D|_{X_0}$  computes the Clifford index of  $X_0$ .

Choose  $X_0$  general in |2H|. Then  $X_0$  has only finitely many pencils  $g_{c+2}^1$ , according to a theorem of Knutsen ([11, 3.4]), and since the Clifford index c of  $X_0$  is maximally computed (by  $H|_{X_0}$ ) there are no base point free  $g_{c+3}^1$  on  $X_0$ . Consequently, the recognition theorem (applied to  $X_0$ ) shows that  $D|_{X_0}$  computes c maximally or  $|D|_{X_0}| = g_{c+2}^1$ . Hence, for X, we have  $h^0(X, D|_X) = r_0 + 1$  or (provided that  $D^2 = 0$ )  $h^0(X, D|_X) = 2$ .

Assume that  $h^1(D) \neq 0$ . Then  $D \sim kE_0$  for an irreducible curve  $E_0$  with  $E_0^2 = 0$  and some integer  $k \geq 2$  ([15, 2.6]). We have  $k \deg(E_0|_X) = \deg(D|_X) \leq g - 1 = 2c + 4$ , and since  $h^0(X, E_0|_X) \geq h^0(E_0) \geq 2 + (1/2)E_0^2 = 2$  we have  $\deg(E_0|_X) \geq c + 2$ . Thus we obtain k = 2 and  $\deg(D|_X) = 2c + 4$ .

#### References

- [1] R.D.M. Accola: On Castelnuovo's inequality for algebraic curves. I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 251 (1979), 355–373.
- [2] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths and J. Harris: Geometry of Algebraic Curves. I, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., 267, Springer, New York 1985.
- [3] C. Ciliberto: *Hilbert functions of finite sets of points and the genus of a curve in a projective space*; in Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1266**, Springer, New York, 1987, 24–73.
- [4] M. Coppens: Smooth curves having infinitely many linear systems  $g_d^1$ , Bull. Math. Soc. Belg., Ser. B **40** (1988), 153–176.
- [5] M. Coppens and G. Martens: Secant spaces and Clifford's theorem, Compositio Math. 78 (1991), 193-212.
- [6] D. Eisenbud, H. Lange, G. Martens and F.-O. Schreyer: The Clifford dimension of a projective curve, Compositio Math. 72 (1989), 173–204.
- [7] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld: Special divisors on curves on a K3 surface, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 357–370.
- [8] F.J. Gallego and B.P. Purnaprajna: Normal presentation on elliptic ruled surfaces, J. Algebar 186 (1996), 597–625.
- [9] R. Hartshorne: Algebraic Geometry, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 52, Springer, New York 1977.
- [10] S. Kim: On the algebraic curve of Clifford index c, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 14 (1999), 693–698.
- [11] A.L. Knutsen: On two conjectures for curves on K3 surfaces, Int. J. Math. 20 (2009), 1547–1560.
- [12] C. Keem and G. Martens: Curves without plane models of small degree, Math. Nachr. 281 (2008), 1791–1798.
- [13] C. Keem, S. Kim and G. Martens: On a result of Farkas, J. Reine Angew. Math. 405 (1990), 112–116.
- [14] H.H. Martens: Varieties of special divisors on a curve. II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 233 (1968), 89-100.
- [15] B. Saint-Donat: Projective models of K3 surfaces, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 602-639.

Takao Kato 13–1 Ogori-Ozaki-cho, Yamaguchi, 754–0006 Japan e-mail: kato@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Gerriet Martens Department Mathematik Univ. Erlangen-Nürnberg Cauerstr. 11, D–91058 Erlangen Germany

.....

e-mail: martens@mi.uni-erlangen.de