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#### Abstract

(Co)associative submanifolds in a $G_{2}$-manifold with a free $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$ action are characterized by submanifolds in the quotient space. Using our method, we construct various examples of (co)associative submanifolds and fibrations on $G_{2}$-manifolds with the $T^{2}$-symmetry such as the cone of the Iwasawa manifold.


## 1. Introduction

In 1996, Strominger, Yau and Zaslow [23] presented a conjecture explaining mirror symmetry of compact Calabi-Yau 3-folds in terms of dual fibrations by special Lagrangian 3-tori, including singular fibers. In M-theory, fibrations of coassociative 4-folds in compact manifolds with $G_{2}$ holonomy are expected to play the same role as special Lagrangian fibrations in Calabi-Yau manifolds [1], [2] and [15].

In this paper, we focus on (co)associative submanifolds in a $G_{2}$-manifold $Y$ with a free $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$-action. Since many known examples of $G_{2}$-manifolds such as those constructed by Bryant and Salamon [10] admit $T^{2}$-actions which are free on the open dense subsets, it is natural to consider the case when $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$ acts on $Y$. Then we consider (co)associative submanifolds which are invariant under the $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$-action or perpendicular to $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$-orbits and characterize them by submanifolds in the quotient space $Y / S^{1}$ and $Y / T^{2}$. These are described in Theorems 3.7 and 4.13, which are our main theorems. Then using our characterization, we construct several examples of (co)associative submanifolds and fibrations in many cases.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the fundamental facts of calibrated geometry and $G_{2}$ geometry.

In Section 3, we study the case when a $G_{2}$-manifold $Y$ admits a free $S^{1}$-action. It is known that for any Calabi-Yau 3-fold $M^{3}, M^{3} \times S^{1}$ admits a torsion-free $G_{2}$ structure and its (co)associative submanifolds can be constructed from holomorphic or special Lagrangian submanifolds in $M^{3}$ (Example 2.10). On the other hand, it is known ([4]) that for a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold $Y$ with a free $S^{1}$-action the quotient space $Y / S^{1}$ admits an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure (a generalized notion of a Calabi-Yau structure). Note that the torsion-free property of $Y$ is not needed to define an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$. The
$G_{2}$-structure on $Y$ is recovered in terms of tensors on $Y / S^{1}$ (Remark 3.6) and similar to that in Example 2.10. As a generalization of Example 2.10, we can characterize (co)associative submanifolds in $Y$ by submanifolds in $Y / S^{1}$ (Theorem 3.7). We apply the proof to the case when $Y$ is a (sine) cone and obtain similar results. Bryant [7] characterized associative cones ( $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-invariant associative 3 -folds) in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ using pseudoholomorphic curves in $S^{6}$ and studied them in detail via the theory of integrable systems. Theorem 3.7 is an analogue of this by considering the $S^{1}$-action instead of the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-action.

Section 4 is the main section in this paper. We study the case when an almost $G_{2}$-manifold $Y$ admits a free $T^{2}$-action. As in Section 3, it is known that a torsionfree $G_{2}$-manifold and (co)associative submanifolds can be constructed from a CalabiYau 2 -fold $M^{2}$ and its submanifolds (Example 2.11). Using the notion of multi-moment maps [20], we see the following: there exists a smooth map $\underline{v}: Y / T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose fibers are almost hyperkähler 2-folds. In other words, $Y / T^{2}$ admits three almost CRstructures satisfying the quaternionic relation. A $G_{2}$-structure is recovered in terms of tensors on $Y / T^{2}$ (Remark 4.12) and similar to that in Example 2.11. As a generalization of Example 2.11, we can characterize (co)associative submanifolds in $Y$ by submanifolds in $Y / T^{2}$.

In Section 5, we give examples of (co)associative submanifolds and fibrations in $G_{2}$-manifolds by using our method.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Calibrated geometry. The notion of the calibration was introduced by Harvey and Lawson [16]. This is a generalization of the Wirtinger inequality to the effect that any compact complex submanifold in a Kähler manifold minimizes its volume in its homology class.

DEfinition 2.1. Let $(M, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold and $\varphi$ be a closed $k$-form on $M(1 \leq k \leq m)$. Then $\varphi$ is called a calibration on $M$ if for every oriented $k$-dimensional subspace $V \subset T_{p} M, p \in M$, we have $\left.\varphi\right|_{V} \leq \operatorname{vol}_{V}$.

Let $N \subset M$ be a $k$-dimensional oriented submanifold of $M$. Then $N$ is called a calibrated submanifold ( $\varphi$-submanifold) of $M$ if we have $\left.\varphi\right|_{N}=\operatorname{vol}_{N}$.

By definition, a calibrated submanifold has the homologically minimizing volume. Calibrations are meaningful when they have many calibrated submanifolds. Assuming that $\varphi$ is invariant under the holonomy group $\operatorname{Hol}(g)$, we can produce various calibrations that have many calibrated submanifolds. For instance, we have the following calibrations and corresponding calibrated submanifolds.

| $\operatorname{Hol}(g)(\subset)$ | $\mathrm{U}(m)$ | $\mathrm{SU}(m)$ | $G_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(M, g)$ | $\mathrm{Kähler}$ | $\mathrm{Calabi-Yau}$ | $G_{2}$ |
| $\varphi$ | $\omega^{k} / k!$ |  |  |
| $(\omega:$ Kähler form $)$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{\sqrt{-l} \theta} \Omega\right)$ <br> $(\Omega:$ hol. volume form) | $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}$ <br> $\left(* \varphi \in \Omega^{4}\right)$ <br> $\left(\varphi: G_{2}\right.$-structure $)$ |  |
| $\varphi$-submanifolds | k-dim. complex <br> submanifolds | special Lagrangian <br> submanifolds | (co)associative <br> submanifolds |

### 2.2. The holonomy group $\boldsymbol{G}_{2}$.

Definition 2.2. Define a 3 -form $\varphi_{0}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ by

$$
\varphi_{0}=e_{123}+e_{1}\left(e_{45}+e_{67}\right)+e_{2}\left(e_{46}-e_{57}\right)-e_{3}\left(e_{47}+e_{56}\right),
$$

where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{7}\right)$ is the standard dual basis on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and wedge signs are omitted. The stabilizer of $\varphi_{0}$ is the exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$ :

$$
G_{2}=\left\{g \in G L(7, \mathbb{R}) \mid g^{*} \varphi_{0}=\varphi_{0}\right\}
$$

This is a 14-dimensional compact simply-connected semisimple Lie group.
The Lie group $G_{2}$ also fixes the standard metric $g_{0}=\sum_{i=1}^{7} e_{i}^{2}$, the orientation on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$, and the 4-form

$$
* \varphi_{0}=e_{4567}+e_{23}\left(e_{67}+e_{45}\right)+e_{13}\left(e_{57}-e_{46}\right)-e_{12}\left(e_{56}+e_{47}\right) .
$$

Note that $\varphi_{0}$ and $* \varphi_{0}$ are related by the Hodge $*$-operator. These tensors are uniquely determined by $\varphi_{0}$ via the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 g_{0}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \operatorname{vol}_{g_{0}}=i\left(v_{1}\right) \varphi_{0} \wedge i\left(v_{2}\right) \varphi_{0} \wedge \varphi_{0} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{vol}_{g_{0}}$ is a volume form of $g_{0}, i(\cdot)$ is an interior product, and $v_{i} \in T\left(\mathbb{R}^{7}\right)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $Y$ be a 7-dimensional oriented manifold and $\varphi$ a 3-form on $Y$. We call a 3-form $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}(Y)$ a $G_{2}$-structure on $Y$ if for each point $y \in Y$, there exists an oriented isomorphism between $T_{y} Y$ and $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ identifying $\varphi_{y}$ with $\varphi_{0}$. From (2.1), a $G_{2^{-}}$ structure $\varphi$ induces the Riemannian metric $g$ on $Y$, volume form on $Y$ and $* \varphi \in \Omega^{4}(Y)$.

A triple $(Y, \varphi, g)$ is called a $G_{2}$-manifold if $Y$ is a 7 -dimensional oriented manifold, $\varphi \in \Omega^{3}(Y)$ is a $G_{2}$-structure on $Y$ and $g$ is an associated metric. A $G_{2}$-manifold $(Y, \varphi, g)$ is called an almost $G_{2}$-manifold if $\varphi$ is closed: $d \varphi=0$. A $G_{2}$-manifold $(Y, \varphi, g)$ is called a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold if $\varphi$ is closed and coclosed: $d \varphi=0, d * \varphi=0$.

Lemma 2.4 ([14]). Let $(Y, \varphi, g)$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold. Then $\operatorname{Hol}(g) \subset G_{2}$ if and only if $d \varphi=d * \varphi=0$.

Lemma 2.5 ([16]). Let $(Y, \varphi, g)$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold. Then for each point $p \in Y$ and every oriented $k$-dimensional subspace $V^{k} \subset T_{p} Y(k=3,4)$, we have $\left.\varphi\right|_{V^{3}} \leq \operatorname{vol}_{V^{3}}$, $\left.* \varphi\right|_{V^{4}} \leq \operatorname{vol}_{V^{4}}$. If $(Y, \varphi, g)$ is torsion-free, the $G_{2}$-structure $\varphi$ and its Hodge dual $* \varphi$ define calibrations on $Y$.

DEFINITION 2.6 ([16]). Let $(Y, \varphi, g)$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold. An oriented 3-dimensional submanifold $L^{3}$ is called an associative submanifold of $Y$ if $\left.\varphi\right|_{L^{3}}=\operatorname{vol}_{L^{3}}$. An oriented 4dimensional submanifold $L^{4}$ is called a coassociative submanifold of $Y$ if $\left.* \varphi\right|_{L^{4}}=\operatorname{vol}_{L^{4}}$.

REMARK 2.7. If $d \varphi \neq 0$ (resp. $d * \varphi \neq 0$ ), associative (resp. coassociative) submanifolds need not have the homologically minimizing volume.

Lemma 2.8 ([16]). Let $(Y, \varphi, g)$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold. A 4-dimensional submanifold $L^{4}$ is coassociative if and only if $\left.\varphi\right|_{T L^{4}}=0$.
2.3. Relations to Calabi-Yau manifolds. The only connected Lie subgroups of $G_{2}$ which can be the holonomy group of a Riemannian metric on a 7-dimensional manifold are $\{1\}, \mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(3)$ and $G_{2}$. The inclusions $\mathrm{SU}(2), \mathrm{SU}(3) \subset G_{2}$ imply that we can make a $G_{2}$-manifold from a Calabi-Yau 2- or 3-fold with holonomy $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. Showing how to do this, we learn how to construct (co)associative submanifolds in each case.

DEFINITION 2.9. A quintuple $(M, h, J, \omega, \Omega)$ is called a Calabi-Yau m-fold if

- A quadruple $(M, h, J, \omega)$ is an $m$-dimensional Kähler manifold with a Kähler metric $h$, a complex structure $J$, and an associated Kähler form $\omega$.
- $\Omega$ is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(m, 0)$-form on $M$.
- $\omega^{m} / m!=(-1)^{m(m-1) / 2}(\sqrt{-1} / 2)^{m} \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$.

Then for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \Omega\right)$ defines a calibration on $M$. A real oriented $m$ dimensional submanifold of $M$ is called a special Lagrangian submanifold of $M$ with phase $e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$ if it is a $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \Omega\right)$-submanifold.

By definition, the following examples appear immediately.
Example 2.10. Let $(M, h, J, \omega, \Omega)$ be a Calabi-Yau 3-fold, $\mathcal{I}$ be a circle $S^{1}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and $x$ be a coordinate on $\mathcal{I}$. Then $(Y, \varphi, g):=\left(\mathcal{I} \times M, d x \wedge \omega+\operatorname{Re} \Omega, d x^{2}+h\right)$ is a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold with $* \varphi=\omega^{2} / 2-d x \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Omega$. Suppose that

- $\quad \Sigma$ is a holomorphic curve in $M$ (i.e. $\Sigma$ is a $\omega$-submanifold),
- $L_{e^{-1} \theta}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold of $M$ with phase $e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$,
- $\quad S$ is a holomorphic surface in $M$ (i.e. $S$ is a $\omega^{2} / 2$-submanifold).

Then with an appropriate orientation,

1. $\mathcal{I} \times \Sigma$ is an associative 3 -fold in $Y$,
2. $\mathcal{I} \times L_{ \pm \sqrt{-1}}$ is a coassociative 4 -fold in $Y$,
3. $\{x\} \times L_{1}$ is an associative 3-fold in $Y(x \in \mathcal{I})$,
4. $\{x\} \times S$ is a coassociative 4-fold in $Y(x \in \mathcal{I})$.

Signs of the phases of special Lagrangian submanifolds depend on the orientation.
Example 2.11. Let $(M, h, J, \omega, \Omega)$ be a Calabi-Yau 2 -fold and $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ be a coordinate on $\mathcal{I}^{3}$. Then $Y=\mathcal{I}^{3} \times M$ is a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold with a 3 -form $\varphi$ and a metric $g$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}+d x_{1} \wedge \omega+d x_{2} \wedge \operatorname{Re} \Omega-d x_{3} \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Omega \\
& g=d x_{1}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2}+d x_{3}^{2}+h \\
& * \varphi=\frac{\omega^{2}}{2}+d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3} \wedge \omega-d x_{1} \wedge d x_{3} \wedge \operatorname{Re} \Omega-d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge \operatorname{Im} \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\operatorname{SU}(2)=\operatorname{Sp}(1)$, a Calabi-Yau 2-fold $M$ is hyperkähler. So we have complex structures $J_{0}, J_{1}, J_{2}$ on $M$ satisfying $J_{0} J_{1} J_{2}=-i d_{T M}$ associated with $h$ and $\operatorname{Im} \Omega$, $\omega$, $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$, respectively. For $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in \mathcal{I}^{3}, m \in M$, if

- $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{I}$ is an open interval and $U_{M} \subset M$ is an open set (i.e. $U_{M}$ is a $\omega^{2} / 2$ submanifold),
- $\Sigma_{i}$ is a $J_{i}$-holomorphic curve (i.e. $\Sigma_{0}$ is an $\operatorname{Im} \Omega$-submanifold, $\Sigma_{1}$ is a $\omega$-submanifold and $\Sigma_{2}$ is a $\operatorname{Re} \Omega$-submanifold),
then with an appropriate orientation,

1. $\mathcal{I}^{2} \times(\mathcal{O} \times\{m\})$ is an associative 3 -fold in $Y$.
2. $\mathcal{I}^{2} \times\left(\left\{x_{3}\right\} \times \Sigma_{0}\right)$ is a coassociative 4 -fold in $Y$.
3. $\mathcal{I} \times\left\{x_{2}\right\} \times\left(\left\{x_{3}\right\} \times \Sigma_{1}\right)$ is an associative 3-fold in $Y$.
4. $\mathcal{I} \times\left\{x_{2}\right\} \times\left(\mathcal{O} \times \Sigma_{2}\right)$ is a coassociative 4-fold in $Y$.
5. $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \times\left(\mathcal{O} \times \Sigma_{0}\right)$ is an associative 3-fold in $Y$.
6. $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\} \times\left(\left\{x_{3}\right\} \times U_{M}\right)$ is a coassociative 4-fold in $Y$.

In next sections we generalize Examples $2.10,2.11$ to $G_{2}$-manifolds on which $S^{1}$ or $T^{2}$ acts freely.

## 3. $S^{1}$ reduction of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$-manifolds

3.1. Calibrated submanifolds in the $\boldsymbol{S}^{\mathbf{1}}$ quotient spaces. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be a $G_{2^{-}}$ manifold and suppose that $S^{1}$ acts freely on $Y$ preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. In this section, we discuss calibrated submanifolds in $Y$ invariant under the $S^{1}$-action in terms of submanifolds in $Y / S^{1}$.

From [4], we know that the quotient space $Y / S^{1}$ admits an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure, a reduction of the total coframe bundle to an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-bundle. It is a generalization of the

Calabi-Yau structure (that is, the torsion-free $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure). We define an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ structure in terms of tensors here.

Definition 3.1 ([11], [12]). A quintuple ( $g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}$) on a real 6-dimensional manifold $N$ is called an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure if

- A quadruple $(N, g, J, \sigma)$ is an almost Hermitian manifold with a Hermitian metric $g$, an almost complex structure $J$ and an associated Kähler form $\sigma$.
- $\psi^{ \pm} \in \Omega^{3}(N)$ are 3 -forms on $N$ with norms $\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|=2$ satisfying $\psi^{-}=$ $\psi^{+}(J \cdot, J \cdot, J \cdot)$ and $\Psi:=\psi^{+}+\sqrt{-1} \psi^{-}$is a (3, 0)-form w.r.t. $J$.

Remark 3.2. The forms $\psi^{+}$and $\psi^{-}$are $(3,0)$ - and $(0,3)$-forms with respect to $J$ so that $\psi^{ \pm}(J \cdot, J \cdot, \cdot)=-\psi^{ \pm}$. These forms are subject to the following compatibility relations:

$$
\sigma \wedge \psi^{ \pm}=0, \quad \psi^{+} \wedge \psi^{-}=\frac{2}{3} \sigma^{3} .
$$

The former is equivalent to saying that $\sigma$ is a $(1,1)$-form with respect to $J$. The latter is equivalent to $\sigma^{3} / 3!=(-1)^{3(3-1) / 2}(\sqrt{-1} / 2)^{3} \Psi \wedge \bar{\Psi}$. Therefore if $\sigma$ is closed, $J$ is integrable, and $\Psi$ is a holomorphic ( 3,0 )-form, then the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure is a CalabiYau structure.

Remark 3.3. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}, p \in N$ and oriented 3-dimensional subspace $V \subset$ $T_{p} N$, we have $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \Psi\right)\right|_{V} \leq \operatorname{vol}_{V}$. As in the Calabi-Yau case, an oriented 3-dimensional submanifold $L \subset N$ is called a special Lagrangian submanifold of $N$ with phase $e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta}$ if $\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} \Psi\right)\right|_{L}=\operatorname{vol}_{L}$.

Proposition $3.4\left([4]^{1}\right)$. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold and suppose that $S^{1}$ acts freely on $Y$ preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Then $Y / S^{1}$ admits an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J_{1}, \tau_{1}, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$.

Remark 3.5. The torsion-free property of $Y$ assumed in [4] is not needed to define an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$. If $Y$ is torsion-free, $Y / S^{1}$ admits symplectic structure. For a Kähler manifold $N$ of real dimension 6, the conditions are given in [4] to be $N=Y / S^{1}$ for some torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold $Y$ with a free $S^{1}$-action.

The tensors defining an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$ can be described as follows: Let $X_{1}^{*} \in \mathfrak{X}(Y)$ be a vector field generated by the $S^{1}$-action, and let $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S^{1}$ be the

[^0]projection. Define a function $\tilde{t}_{1}=\tilde{g}\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{1}^{*}\right)^{-1 / 2} \in C^{\infty}(Y)$, a 1 -form $\tilde{\eta}_{1}=\tilde{g}\left(\cdot, \tilde{t}_{1}^{2} X_{1}^{*}\right) \in$ $\Omega^{1}(Y)$, a 2 -form $\tilde{\sigma}_{1}=i\left(X_{1}^{*}\right) \tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^{2}(Y)$ and a 3 -form $\tilde{\Psi}^{-}=-i\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)(* \tilde{\varphi}) \in \Omega^{3}(Y)$.

The 1 -form $\tilde{\eta}_{1}$ is a connection 1-form of $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S^{1}$ since $\tilde{\eta}_{1}$ is $S^{1}$-invariant and satisfies $\tilde{\eta}_{1}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)=1$. The tensors $\tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{\sigma}_{1}, \tilde{\Psi}^{-}$induce a function $t_{1} \in C^{\infty}\left(Y / S^{1}\right)$, a 2-form $\sigma_{1} \in \Omega^{2}\left(Y / S^{1}\right)$ and a 3 -form $\Psi^{-} \in \Omega^{3}\left(Y / S^{1}\right)$. Then

- $g=\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*} \tilde{g}$, the pushforward of $\tilde{g}$,
- $\tau_{1}=t_{1} \sigma_{1}$,
- $J_{1}$ : an almost complex structure satisfying $g\left(J_{1} \cdot, \cdot\right)=\tau_{1}$,
- $\psi^{-}=t_{1} \Psi^{-}$,
- $\psi^{+}=-\psi^{-}\left(J_{1} \cdot, J_{1} \cdot, J_{1} \cdot\right)=\psi^{-}\left(J_{1} \cdot, \cdot, \cdot\right)$.

REMARK 3.6. We can recover the metric $\tilde{g}$ and the $G_{2}$-structure $\tilde{\varphi}$ on $Y$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=\pi_{1}^{*} g+\tilde{t}_{1}^{-2} \tilde{\eta}_{1} \otimes \tilde{\eta}_{1} \\
& \tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{t}_{1}^{-1} \tilde{\eta}_{1} \wedge \pi_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}+\pi_{1}^{*} \psi^{+} \\
& * \tilde{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \pi_{1}^{*} \tau_{1}^{2}-\tilde{t}_{1}^{-1} \tilde{\eta}_{1} \wedge \pi_{1}^{*} \psi^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

These descriptions generalize Example 2.10. In fact, a similar statement holds for (co)associative submanifolds in $Y$ invariant under the $S^{1}$-action.

Theorem 3.7. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be a $G_{2}$-manifold with a free $S^{1}$-action preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Let $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S^{1}$ be the natural projection. By Proposition 3.4, Y/S ${ }^{1}$ admits an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J_{1}, \tau_{1}, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$.

If $L_{S^{1}}^{k} \subset Y$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold invariant under the $S^{1}$-action, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold:

1. $L_{S^{1}}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3 -fold if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{3}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve (i.e. $T\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{3}\right)\right.$ ) is $J_{1}$-invariant).
2. $L_{S^{1}}^{4} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4 -fold if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{4}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pm \sqrt{-1}$.

If $L_{p}^{k} \subset Y$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold perpendicular to the $S^{1}$-orbits $(k=3,4)$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold: 3. $L_{p}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3 -fold if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{3}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase 1 .
4. $L_{p}^{4} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4 -fold if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic surface.

Corollary 3.8. There is a one to one correspondence between $S^{1}$-invariant associative 3-folds (resp. $S^{1}$-invariant coassociative 4 -folds) in $Y$ and $J_{1}$-holomorphic curves (resp. special Lagrangian submanifolds with phase $\pm \sqrt{-1}$ ) in $Y / S^{1}$.

REMARK 3.9. It is known that there is one to one correspondence between associative cones ( $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-invariant associative 3-folds) in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and pseudoholomorphic curves in $S^{6}$ (cf. [7]). The standard $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-action on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ preserves the $G_{2}$-structure on $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ up to constant. Considering the $S^{1}$-action instead of the $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$-action, we can regard Corollary 3.8 as an analogue of this fact.

It is known that 4-dimensional almost complex manifolds are fibrated locally by pseudoholomorphic discs (cf. [3]). From 1 of Theorem 3.7, we see the following.

Corollary 3.10. A $G_{2}$-manifold with a free $S^{1}$-action which preserves the $G_{2^{-}}$ structure is locally fibrated by $S^{1}$-invariant associative 3-folds.

REMARK 3.11 (Relations to evolution equations). Consider the case 1 of Theorem 3.7. Let $\gamma: \Sigma \rightarrow Y / S^{1}$ be a smooth immersion of a surface $\Sigma$. Let $(U,(s, t))$ be a local conformal coordinate of $\Sigma$. Then from the local triviality of $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S^{1}$, there exists a local lift $\tilde{\gamma}: U \rightarrow Y$ of $\gamma$ on a small open set $U \subset \Sigma$ which is transverse to $S^{1}$-orbits.

The differential equation of $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve is $\partial \gamma / \partial s+J_{1} \partial \gamma / \partial t=0$. By the definition of $J_{1}$, this equation can be described as

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial s}\right)^{d}=-\tilde{\varphi}_{a b c}\left(\frac{X_{1}^{*}}{\left\|X_{1}^{*}\right\|}\right)^{a}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t}\right)^{b} \tilde{g}^{c d}
$$

So the differential equation of $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve is considered as the special case of the evolution equations in [17], [18]. Lotay [17], [18] constructed examples of (co)associative submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ by evolution equations.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. The proof is implied from Example 2.10. Fix one of the orientations of submanifolds. The same proof is valid for another orientation.

Proof of 1. Take any $x \in L_{S^{1}}^{3}$ and choose an arbitrary oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{t}_{1}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{x}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right\}$ of $T_{x} L_{S^{1}}^{3}$. Then $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}=\left\{\pi_{1 *} \tilde{v}_{1}, \pi_{1 *} \tilde{v}_{2}\right\}$ is an oriented orthonormal basis of $T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{3}\right)\right)$. Thus $L_{S^{1}}^{3}$ is associative if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}\left(\tilde{t}_{1}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{x}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right)=1$. By Remark 3.6, this condition is equivalent to $g\left(J_{1} v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=1$, and hence $v_{2}=J_{1} v_{1}$ follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. So $T\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{3}\right)\right)$ is $J_{1}$-invariant and $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{3}\right)$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve.

Proof of 2. Take any $x \in L_{S^{1}}^{4}$ and choose an arbitrary oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{t}_{1}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{x}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right\}$ of $T_{x} L_{S^{1}}^{4}$ and $v_{i}=\pi_{1 *} \tilde{v}_{i} \in T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{4}\right)\right)$. Then $L_{S^{1}}^{4}$ is coassociative if and only if $* \tilde{\varphi}\left(\tilde{t}_{1}\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{x}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)=1$. This is equivalent to $-\psi^{-}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=1$. Namely, $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{4}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $-\sqrt{-1}$. Note that for another orientation of $L_{S^{1}}^{4}$, we see that $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S^{1}}^{4}\right) \subset Y / S^{1}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\sqrt{-1}$.

Proof of 3. Take any $x \in L_{p}^{3}$ and choose an arbitrary oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right\}$ of $T_{x} L_{p}^{3}$ and $v_{i}=\pi_{1 *} \tilde{v}_{i} \in T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{3}\right)\right)$. By definition, we have $\tilde{\eta}_{1}\left(\tilde{v}_{i}\right)=0$. Then $L_{p}^{3}$ is associative if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}\left(\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right)=1$. This is equivalent to $\psi^{+}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=1$.

Proof of 4. We follow the proof of the Wirtinger inequality in [5]. Similarly to the former proof, we see $L_{p}^{4}$ is coassociative if and only if $\tau_{1}^{2} /\left.2\right|_{T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}=$ $\operatorname{vol}_{T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}$ for any $x \in L_{p}^{4}$. By the spectral decomposition of the skew-symmetric 2-form $\left.\tau_{1}\right|_{T_{1_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}$, we know that there exists an oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right\} \subset T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)$ and its dual basis $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right\} \subset T_{\pi_{1}(x)}^{*}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)$ satisfying

$$
\tau_{1} \mid T_{\pi_{1}(x)\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}=\lambda_{1} \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2}+\lambda_{2} \alpha_{3} \wedge \alpha_{4}
$$

for some $\lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\tau_{1}^{2} /\left.2\right|_{T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \alpha_{1} \wedge \alpha_{2} \wedge \alpha_{3} \wedge \alpha_{4}=\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \operatorname{vol}_{T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}$ follows. On the other hand, $\lambda_{i}=\tau_{1}\left(w_{2 i-1}, w_{2 i}\right)=g\left(J_{1} w_{2 i-1}, w_{2 i}\right) \leq 1$ holds by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, where the equality holds if and only if $w_{2 i}=J_{1} w_{2 i-1}$.

Since $\tau_{1}^{2} /\left.2\right|_{T_{T_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}=\operatorname{vol}_{T_{\pi_{1}(x)}\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)}$, we have $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=1$. This implies that $T\left(\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)\right)$ is $J_{1}$-invariant and hence $\pi_{1}\left(L_{p}^{4}\right)$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic surface.
3.2. Application to cones and sine cones. The similar statement holds when a $G_{2}$-manifold is a (sine) cone. First, we introduce the notion of nearly Kähler manifolds.

Definition 3.12 ([11], [12], [24]). Let ( $g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}$) be an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on a 6 -dimensional manifold $N$. An $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure satisfying $d \sigma=3 \psi^{+}$and $d \psi^{-}=-2 \sigma^{2}$ is called nearly Kähler.

Remark 3.13 ([24] ${ }^{1}$ ). Let $(N, g, J)$ be a 6 -dimensional almost Hermitian manifold. Then the following are equivalent:

- $\quad N$ admits a nearly Kähler structure,
- $\left(\nabla_{X} J\right) X=0$ for every vector field $X$ on $N$ and $\nabla_{X} J \neq 0$ for every $0 \neq X \in T N$, where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$.

Lemma 3.14 ([12], [24]). Let $\left(N, g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$be a nearly Kähler manifold. Then $C(N)=N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ admits a torsion-free $G_{2}$-structure defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=d r^{2}+r^{2} g, \quad \tilde{\varphi}=r^{2} d r \wedge \sigma+r^{3} \psi^{+}=\frac{1}{3} d\left(r^{3} \sigma\right), \\
& * \tilde{\varphi}=r^{3} \psi^{-} \wedge d r+\frac{1}{2} r^{4} \sigma^{2}=-\frac{1}{4} d\left(r^{4} \psi^{-}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^1]The metric is just the cone metric on $C(N)$. Thus nearly Kähler manifolds are analogue of Sasakian manifolds whose cones are Kähler manifolds.

Lemma 3.15 ([6]). Let $\left(N, g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$be a nearly Kähler manifold. Then $C_{s}(N)=N \times(0, \pi)$ (a sine cone of $N$ ) admits a nearly parallel $G_{2}$-structure ( $\left.\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g}\right)$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=d t^{2}+\sin ^{2} t g \\
& \tilde{\varphi}=\sin ^{2} t d t \wedge \sigma+\cos t \sin ^{3} t \psi^{+}-\sin ^{4} t \psi^{-} \\
& * \tilde{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \sin ^{4} t \sigma^{2}+\sin ^{3} t \cos t \psi^{-} \wedge d t-\sin ^{4} t d t \wedge \psi^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, a $G_{2}$-manifold $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ is said to be nearly parallel if $d \tilde{\varphi}=4 * \tilde{\varphi}, d * \tilde{\varphi}=0$.
REmARK 3.16. Since $C(N)$ is a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold, $C\left(C_{s}(N)\right) \cong \mathbb{R} \times C(N)$ admits a torsion-free $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure. The nearly parallel $G_{2}$-structure on $C_{s}(N)$ is induced from the torsion-free $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure on $C\left(C_{s}(N)\right)$.

Remark 3.17 ([19]). There are no coassociative submanifolds of a nearly parallel $G_{2}$-manifold.

Proof. If $L$ is a coassociative submanifold of a nearly parallel $G_{2}$-manifold $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$, then $\left.\tilde{\varphi}\right|_{L}=0$, which implies that $4 * \tilde{\varphi}=\left.d \tilde{\varphi}\right|_{L}=0$. This contradicts the assumption that $L$ is coassociative.

We can prove the results similar to Theorem 3.7 as follows.
Proposition 3.18. Let $\left(N, g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$be a nearly Kähler manifold. From Lemma 3.14, the cone $C(N)=N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ admits a torsion-free $G_{2}$ structure. If $L^{k} \subset N$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold $(k=2,3,4)$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold:

1. $C\left(L^{2}\right)=L^{2} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset C(N)$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if $L^{2}$ is a $J$-holomorphic curve.
2. $C\left(L^{3}\right)=L^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset C(N)$ is a coassociative 4 -fold if and only if $L^{3}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pm \sqrt{-1}$.
3. $L^{3} \times\{r\} \subset Y$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if $L^{3}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase 1 ,
4. $L^{4} \times\{r\} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4 -fold if and only if $L^{4}$ is a $J$-holomorphic surface.

Proposition 3.19. Let $\left(N, g, J, \sigma, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$be a nearly Kähler manifold. From Lemma 3.15, the sine cone $C_{s}(N)=N \times(0, \pi)$ admits a nearly parallel $G_{2}$ structure. If $L^{k} \subset N$ be an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold $(k=2,3)$ and $t \in(0, \pi)$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold:

1. $C_{s}\left(L^{2}\right)=L^{2} \times(0, \pi) \subset C_{s}(N)$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if $L^{2}$ is a J-holomorphic curve,
2. $L^{3} \times\{\pi / 2\} \subset C_{s}(N)$ is an associative 3 -fold if and only if $L^{3}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pm \sqrt{-1}$.

REMARK 3.20. If $L^{3} \times\{t\} \subset C_{s}(N)$ is associative for some $t \in(0, \pi)$, we have $t=\pi / 2$.

Proof. Suppose that $L^{3} \times\{t\} \subset C_{s}(N)$ is associative for some $t \in(0, \pi)$. Then we easily see that $\left.\sigma\right|_{L^{3}}=0,\left.\operatorname{Im}\left(e^{ \pm \sqrt{-1} t}\left(\psi^{+}+\sqrt{-1} \psi^{-}\right)\right)\right|_{L^{3}}=0$, and $\operatorname{Re}\left(e^{ \pm \sqrt{-1} t}\left(\psi^{+}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\sqrt{-1} \psi^{-}\right)\right)\left.\right|_{L^{3}}=\operatorname{vol}_{L^{3}}$, which imply that $\left.\psi^{+}\right|_{L^{3}}=\left.(1 / 3) d \sigma\right|_{L^{3}}=0,\left.\cos t \cdot \psi^{-}\right|_{L^{3}}=0$. Hence if $t \neq \pi / 2$, we have $\left.\left(\psi^{+}+\sqrt{-1} \psi^{-}\right)\right|_{L^{3}}=0$, which is a contradiction.

## 4. $\quad \boldsymbol{T}^{\mathbf{2}}$ reduction of almost $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$-manifolds

Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold on which a 2 -torus $T^{2}$ acts preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. As in the former section, we discuss the geometry of the quotient space " $Y / T^{2}$ ".
4.1. Multi-moment maps and reduced spaces. We use a notion of the multimoment map introduced by Madsen and Swann [20], which is a generalization of the moment map in symplectic geometry.

Definition 4.1. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold on which a 2-torus $T^{2}$ acts preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Fix vector fields $X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*} \in \mathfrak{X}(Y)$ generated by a basis $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{t}^{2}$ of $T^{2}$. A $T^{2}$-invariant function $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a multi-moment map for the $T^{2}$-action if we have

$$
\tilde{\varphi}\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}, \cdot\right)=d \tilde{v} .
$$

The multi-moment map is defined for any Lie group $G$ in [20]. We focus here on the case $G=T^{2}$. There are results on the existence for the multi-moment map, which correspond to those of the moment map in symplectic geometry.

Proposition 4.2 ([20]). The multi-moment map for a $T^{2}$-action exists if either of the following conditions holds:

- $b_{1}(Y)=0$, where $b_{1}(Y)$ is the first Betti number of $Y$.
- $\tilde{\varphi}=d \tilde{\kappa}$ with a 2 -form $\tilde{\kappa} \in \Omega^{2}(Y)$ preserved by the $T^{2}$-action.

Proposition 4.3. For $y \in Y$, the following conditions are equivalent:

- $(d \tilde{v})_{y}=0$.
- $\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{y}$ and $\left(X_{2}^{*}\right)_{y}$ are linearly dependent.
- $\operatorname{dim}\left(T^{2}\right.$-orbit through $\left.y\right)<2$.

Madsen and Swann [20] considered a " $T^{2}$-reduction" of a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold and show that the reduced space admits a "coherently tri-symplectic" structure, which consists of three symplectic structures satisfying some conditions, but not necessarily satisfy the hyperkähler relation as follows: We can show that reduced space admits three 2-forms which are not necessarily closed, but satisfy the hyperkähler relation.

Proposition 4.4. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold on which a 2-torus $T^{2}$ acts preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Suppose that there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$, and that $T^{2}$ acts freely on $\tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)$ for a regular value $\alpha$ of $\tilde{v}$. Then $M_{\alpha}:=\tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha) / T^{2}$ ( a $T^{2}$-reduction of $Y$ at level $\alpha$ ) is a smooth 4-manifold.

On the reduced space $M_{\alpha}$, there exists a metric $\underline{g_{\alpha}}$ induced from $\tilde{g}$. Define three nondegenerate 2 -forms $\underline{\tau_{0, \alpha}}, \underline{\tau_{1, \alpha}}, \underline{\tau_{2, \alpha}} \in \Omega^{2}\left(M_{\alpha}\right)$ as those induced from 2-forms $\tilde{\tau}_{0}=$ $-i\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right) i\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right) * \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\tau}_{1}=i\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\tau}_{2}=i\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right) \tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^{2}(Y)$, respectively. Here $\left\{X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}, X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right\}$ are orthonormal vector fields on $Y$ obtained from $\left\{X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}\right\}$ via the Gram-Schmidt process. If $\underline{J_{i, \alpha}}$ is an almost complex structure associated with $\underline{g_{\alpha}}$ and $\underline{\tau_{i, \alpha}}(0 \leq i \leq 2)$, then we have

- A quintuple $\left(M_{\alpha}, \underline{J_{0, \alpha}}, \underline{J_{1, \alpha}}, \underline{J_{2, \alpha}}, \underline{g_{\alpha}}\right)$ is an almost hyperkähler manifold, (i.e. $J_{0, \alpha} J_{1, \alpha} J_{2, \alpha}=-i d_{T M_{\alpha}}$ and $\underline{g_{\alpha}}$ is Hermitian w.r.t. each $\underline{J_{i, \alpha}}$.)
- $\left.\quad \tau_{i, \alpha}=\underline{g_{\alpha}\left(J_{i, \alpha}\right.} \cdot, \cdot\right)$, for $0 \leq i \leq 2$.

The proof is given by a local argument, which follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.5. Choose any $y \in Y$, where $\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{y}$ and $\left(X_{2}^{*}\right)_{y}$ are linearly independent. Let $\left\{E_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 7}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq 7}$ be its dual.

Since $G_{2}$ acts transitively on the Grassmannian of oriented 2-planes in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ [8] and by the definition of $G_{2}$-structure, there exists an oriented isomorphism between $T_{y} Y$ and $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ identifying $\tilde{\varphi}_{y},\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{y},\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{y}$ and $\varphi_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}$, respectively. Via this identification, we see the following:

- $\quad d \tilde{v}=(1 / h) e_{3}$,
- $T M_{\alpha} \cong \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{E_{4}, E_{5}, E_{6}, E_{7}\right\}$,
- $\quad g_{\alpha}=\sum_{i=4}^{7}\left(e_{i}\right)^{2}$,
- $\quad \tilde{\tau}_{0}=e_{56}+e_{47}, \tilde{\tau}_{1}=e_{23}+e_{45}+e_{67}, \tilde{\tau}_{2}=-e_{13}+e_{46}-e_{57}$,
- $\tau_{0, \alpha}=e_{56}+e_{47}, \underline{\tau_{1, \alpha}}=e_{45}+e_{67}, \underline{\tau_{2, \alpha}}=e_{46}-e_{57}$,
where $1 / h=\left\|X_{1}^{*} \wedge X_{2}^{*}\right\|=\sqrt{\left\|X_{1}^{*}\right\|^{2}\left\|X_{2}^{*}\right\|^{2}-g\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}\right)^{2}}$. With respect to $\left\{E_{4}, E_{5}\right.$,
$\left.E_{6}, E_{7}\right\}$, we can express

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{J_{0, \alpha}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& & & -1 \\
& 1 & -1 & \\
1 & & &
\end{array}\right), \quad \underline{J_{1, \alpha}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & & \\
& & & -1 \\
& & 1 &
\end{array}\right) \\
& \underline{J_{2, \alpha}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& & -1 & \\
& & & 1 \\
1 & & &
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We can denote $X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}=a X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}=b X_{1}^{*}+c X_{2}^{*}$, where $1 / a=\left\|X_{1}^{*}\right\|, b=$ $-a h g\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}\right), c=h / a$. Then we may consider $X_{1}^{*}=(1 / a) E_{1}, X_{2}^{*}=(a / h) E_{2}-$ $(b / h) E_{1}$. Hence $d \tilde{v}=\tilde{\varphi}\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}, \cdot\right)=(1 / h) \varphi_{0}\left(E_{1}, E_{2}, \cdot\right)=(1 / h) e_{3}$. Other formulas follow similarly.
4.2. Coassociative submanifolds in the reduced space. In this subsection, we consider coassociative submanifolds in almost $G_{2}$-manifolds using the multi-moment map and the reduced space.

Lemma 4.6. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold with a $T^{2}$-action on $Y$ preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Suppose that there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the $T^{2}$-action. Then for every connected $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4-fold $L$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
L \subset \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha) .
$$

Proof. Since $L$ is $T^{2}$-invariant, for any $p \in L,\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{p},\left(X_{2}^{*}\right)_{p} \in T_{p} L$. Moreover, $L$ is a coassociative 4 -fold if and only if $\left.\tilde{\varphi}\right|_{L}=0$. Then

$$
\left.d \tilde{\nu}\right|_{T_{p} L}=\left.\tilde{\varphi}\left(\left(X_{1}^{*}\right)_{p},\left(X_{2}^{*}\right)_{p}, \cdot\right)\right|_{T_{p} L}=0 .
$$

So $\left.d \tilde{\nu}\right|_{T L}=0$ and this implies the lemma because $L$ is connected.
Theorem 4.7. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold with a $T^{2}$-action preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Suppose that there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the $T^{2}$ action and that for a regular value $\alpha$ of $\tilde{v}, T^{2}$ acts freely on $\tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)$. Let $\pi_{2, \alpha}: \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha) \rightarrow$ $\tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha) / T^{2}=M_{\alpha}$ be the projection. By Proposition 4.4, $M_{\alpha}$ admits an almost hyperkähler structure $\left(\underline{J_{0, \alpha}}, \underline{J_{1, \alpha}}, \underline{J_{2, \alpha}}, \underline{g_{\alpha}}\right.$ ).

Then for an oriented 2-dimensional submanifold $\Sigma \subset M_{\alpha}$, the following are equivalent:

1. $\pi_{2, \alpha}^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4-fold of $Y$,
2. $\left.\underline{\tau_{1, \alpha}}\right|_{\Sigma}=\left.\underline{\tau_{2, \alpha}}\right|_{\Sigma}=0$,
3. $\Sigma$ is a $\underline{J_{0, \alpha}-\text { holomorphic curve. }}$

Proof. First, we prove the equivalence of 1 and 2. Take any $x \in \pi_{2, \alpha}^{-1}(\Sigma)$ and choose an arbitrary basis $\left\{\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{x},\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{x}, \tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right\}$ of $T_{x}\left(\pi_{2, \alpha}^{-1}(\Sigma)\right)$. Then $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right\}=$ $\left\{\pi_{2 *} \tilde{v}_{1}, \pi_{2 *} \tilde{v}_{2}\right\}$ is a basis of $T_{\pi_{2, \alpha}(x)} \Sigma$. So $\pi_{2, \alpha}^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is coassociative if and only if

$$
d \tilde{v}\left(\tilde{v_{i}}\right)=\tilde{\varphi}\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}, \tilde{v_{i}}\right)=0 \quad(i=1,2), \quad \tilde{\varphi}\left(X_{j}^{*^{\prime}}, \tilde{v_{1}}, \tilde{v_{2}}\right)=0 \quad(j=1,2)
$$

The first condition is always satisfied since $\pi_{2, \alpha}^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)$. The second condition is equivalent to $\underline{\tau_{j, \alpha}}\left(\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)=0$. This implies the equivalence of 1 and 2 .

Next, we prove the equivalence of 2 and 3 .
Take any $p \in \Sigma$ and choose any orthonormal basis $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right\}$ of $T_{p} \Sigma$. We can take $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{J_{0, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}, \underline{J_{1, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}, \underline{J_{2, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}\right\}$ as an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} M_{\alpha}$. Then the statement 3 holds if and only if $\left.\underline{\tau_{k, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)=\underline{g_{\alpha}}\left(\underline{J_{k, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)=0(k=1,2)$, which is equivalent to $\underline{v_{2}}=$ $\pm \underline{J_{0, \alpha}} \underline{v_{1}}$, namely $\Sigma$ is a $J_{0, \alpha}$-holomorphic curve.

Corollary 4.8. As in Corollary 3.10, we see that $\tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)(\subset Y)$ is locally fibrated by $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4 -folds from Theorem 4.7.
4.3. Calibrated submanifolds in the $\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathbf{2}}$ quotient spaces. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold on which $T^{2}$ acts freely preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Consider the quotient space $Y / T^{2}$. As in the $S^{1}$ case (Theorem 3.7), we see the relation between submanifolds of $Y$ and $Y / T^{2}$. First, we introduce the generalized notion of "pseudoholomorphic curves" from [9].

Definition 4.9. An almost $C R$-structure on a smooth manifold $M$ is a subbundle $E \subset T M$ of even rank equipped with a bundle map $J: E \rightarrow E$ of $J^{2}=-i d_{E}$. A (real) submanifold $S \subset M$ is said to be E-holomorphic or $C R J$-holomorphic if $\left.T S \subset E\right|_{S}$ and $T S$ is $J$-invariant. An almost CR structure $(E, J)$ is said to be a $C R$ structure if the Nijenhuis tensor of $J$ vanishes.

Proposition 4.10. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold with a free $T^{2}$-action preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Let $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ be the natural projection. Suppose that there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the $T^{2}$-action. Let $\underline{v}: Y / T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a map induced from $\tilde{v}$ and put $Q=\operatorname{ker}(d \underline{\nu}) \subset T\left(Y / T^{2}\right)$.

Then there exist bundle maps $\underline{J_{i}}: Q \rightarrow Q(i=0,1,2)$ satisfying $\underline{J_{0} J_{1} J_{2}}=-i d_{Q}$ and each $\left(Q, J_{i}\right)$ is an involutive almost $C R$-structure on $Y / T^{2}$.

Proof. Since the $T^{2}$-action is free, $d \tilde{\nu} \neq 0$ holds everywhere and so $Q=\operatorname{ker} d \underline{\nu}$ is a rank 4 involutive subbundle. For an arbitrary point $q \in Y / T^{2}$, we see

$$
Q_{q}=T_{q}\left(\underline{\nu}^{-1}(\underline{\nu}(q))\right)=T_{q}\left(M_{\underline{v}(q)}\right) .
$$

From Proposition 4.4, we can define an almost complex structure $J_{i}$ on $Q$ by $\left(\underline{J_{i}}\right)_{q}=\underline{J_{i, \underline{v}(q)}}$. Thus we see that $\left(Q, \underline{J_{i}}\right)$ is an almost CR-structure on $Y / \overline{T^{2}}$.

Decompose $T^{2}=S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2}^{1}$, and suppose the $S_{i}^{1}$-action generates the vector field $X_{i}^{*}$. Let $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S_{1}^{1}, \pi_{2,1}: Y / S_{1}^{1} \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ and $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ be the projections satisfying $\pi_{2}=\pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_{1}$. If tensors $\tilde{\zeta}$ on $Y$ induces tensors on $Y / S_{1}^{1}$ and $Y / T^{2}$, we denote these by $\zeta$ on $Y / S_{1}^{1}$ and $\underline{\zeta}$ on $Y / T^{2}$, respectively.

Remark 4.11 (Relations between $S^{1}$ and $T^{2}$ reductions). By Propositions 3.4 and 4.10, we see that there exists an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J_{1}, \tau_{1}, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$on $Y / S_{1}^{1}$ and almost CR structures $\left(Q=\operatorname{ker} d \underline{\nu}, \underline{J_{i}}\right)$ on $Y / T^{2}(i=0,1,2)$. Define 1-forms $\tilde{\theta}_{i}^{\prime}=\tilde{g}\left(X_{i}^{*^{\prime}}, \cdot\right) \in$ $\Omega^{2}(Y)(i=1,2)$ and 2-forms $\underline{\tau}_{i}=\underline{g}\left(\underline{J_{i}}, \cdot,\right) \in \Omega^{2}\left(Y / T^{2}\right)(i=0,1,2)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=\pi_{2,1}^{*} \underline{g}+\theta_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \theta_{2}^{\prime} \\
& \tau_{1}=\pi_{2,1}^{*} \underline{\tau_{1}}+h \theta_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2,1}^{*} d \underline{v} \\
& \left(\pi_{2,1}\right)_{*} \circ J_{1}=\underline{J_{1}} \circ\left(\pi_{2,1}\right)_{*}+h \cdot \operatorname{grad}(\underline{v}) \otimes \theta_{2}^{\prime}, \\
& \psi^{+}=\pi_{2,1}^{*}\left(h d \underline{v} \wedge \underline{\tau_{0}}\right) \\
& \psi^{-}=\pi_{2,1}^{*}\left(h d \underline{v} \wedge \underline{\tau_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

REmark 4.12. As in the $S^{1}$ case, we can recover the $G_{2}$-structure on $Y$. In the pointwise coordinate of Lemma 4.5, $\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime}=e_{1}, \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime}=e_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime 2}+\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{2}+\pi_{2}^{*} \underline{g}, \\
& \tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*}(h d \underline{v})+\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau_{1}}+\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau_{2}}-\pi_{2}^{*}\left(h d \underline{v} \wedge \underline{\tau_{0}}\right) \\
& * \tilde{\varphi}=\frac{1}{2} \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau}_{0}^{2}+\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*}(h d \underline{v}) \wedge \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau_{1}}-\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*}(h d \underline{v}) \wedge \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau}_{2}-\tilde{\theta}_{1}^{\prime} \wedge \tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2}^{*} \underline{\tau}_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Remark 4.11. Choose an arbitrary point $y \in Y$ and a pointwise coordinate as in Lemma 4.5. Then $g=\pi_{2,1}^{*} \underline{g}+\theta_{2}^{\prime} \otimes \theta_{2}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=2}^{7} e_{i}^{2}, \tau_{1}=\pi_{2,1}^{*} \underline{\tau_{1}}+h \theta_{2}^{\prime} \wedge \pi_{2,1}^{*} d \underline{\nu}=$ $e_{23}+e_{45}+e_{67}, \psi^{+}=\pi_{2,1}^{*}\left(h d \underline{\nu} \wedge \underline{\sigma_{0}}\right)=e_{3}\left(e_{56}+e_{47}\right), \psi^{-}=\pi_{2,1}^{*}\left(h d \underline{\nu} \wedge \underline{\sigma_{2}}\right)=e_{3}\left(e_{46}-e_{57}\right)$ follow. With respect to $\left\{E_{2}, E_{3}, \bar{E}_{4}, E_{5}, E_{6}, E_{7}\right\}$, we have

$$
J_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll} 
& -1 & & & & \\
1 & & & & & \\
& & & -1 & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & & & -1
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
$$

Comparing with Lemma 4.5, we obtain the equations desired.

Theorem 4.13. Let $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ be an almost $G_{2}$-manifold with a free $T^{2}$-action preserving the $G_{2}$-structure. Let $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ be the projection. Suppose that there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the $T^{2}$-action. Let $\underline{v}: Y / T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map induced from $\tilde{v} B y$ Proposition 4.10, there exist almost $C R$-structures $\left(Q=\operatorname{ker}(d \underline{v}), \underline{J_{i}}\right)$ ( $i=0,1,2$ ) on $Y / T^{2}$ satisfying $\underline{J_{0} J_{1} J_{2}}=-i d_{Q}$.

Decomposing $T^{2}=S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2}^{1}$, let $\pi_{1}: Y \rightarrow Y / S_{1}^{1}, \pi_{2,1}: Y / S_{1}^{1} \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ and $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow$ $Y / T^{2}$ be the projections satisfying $\pi_{2}=\pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_{1}$. Then from Propositions 3.4 and 4.10, we see that there exists an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J_{1}, \tau_{1}, \psi^{ \pm}\right)$on $Y / S_{1}^{1}$ and $C R$ structures $\left(Q=\operatorname{ker} d \underline{\nu}, \underline{J_{i}}\right)$ with induced 2-forms $\underline{\tau_{i}}$ on $Y / T^{2}(i=0,1,2)$.

If $L_{T^{2}}^{k} \subset Y$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold invariant under the $T^{2}$-action $(k=3,4)$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold: 1. $L_{T^{2}}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if $\pi_{2}\left(L_{T^{2}}^{3}\right) \subset Y / T^{2}$ is contained in the integral curve of $\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})$,
2. $L_{T^{2}}^{4} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4-fold if and only if $\pi_{2}\left(L_{T^{2}}^{4}\right) \subset Y / T^{2}$ is a $C R \underline{J}_{0}{ }^{-}$ holomorphic curve.

If $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{k} \subset Y$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold invariant under the $S_{1}^{1}$ action with $\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{k}\right)=0\left(\tilde{\theta}_{2}^{\prime}\right.$ is defined in Remark 4.11) $(k=3,4)$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold:
3. $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right) \subset Y / T^{2}$ is a $C R \underline{J}_{1}$ holomorphic curve,
4. $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4-fold if and only if for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap$ $\underline{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha) \subset Y / T^{2}$ is either an empty set or a CR $\underline{J}_{2}$-holomorphic curve and $\left.\left.\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})\right|_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right.}\right)$ is tangent to $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)$.

If $L_{p, p}^{k} \subset Y$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold perpendicular to $T^{2}$-orbits $(k=3,4)$, then with respect to an appropriate orientation the following properties hold: 5. $\quad L_{p, p}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3-fold if and only if for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha) \subset$ $Y / T^{2}$ is either an empty set or a $C R \underline{J_{0} \text {-holomorphic curve with }\left.\operatorname{grad}(\underline{v})\right|_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)} \text { tangent }}$ to $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)$.
6. $L_{p, p}^{4} \subset Y$ is a coassociative 4-fold if and only if $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{4}\right) \subset Y / T^{2}$ is a $C R \underline{J_{0}}{ }^{-}$ holomorphic surface.

REMARK 4.14. This theorem is a generalization of Example 2.11. $T^{2}$-orbits and the " $\underline{v}$-direction" correspond to $\mathcal{I}^{2}$ and $x_{3}$-direction in Example 2.11, respectively.

Corollary 4.15. If $L_{p, p}^{3} \subset Y$ is an associative 3-fold, then for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \phi, \pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha)\right)=\pi_{2}^{-1}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)\right) \cap \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)=$ $T^{2} \cdot L_{p, p}^{3} \cap \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is a $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4-fold of $Y$.

Corollary 4.16. There is one to one correspondence between $T^{2}$-invariant associative 3-folds (resp. $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4-folds) in $Y$ and 1-dimensional
submanifolds of the integral curve of $\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})\left(\right.$ resp. $C R \underline{J_{0}}$-holomorphic curves) in $Y / T^{2}$.
REMARK 4.17 (Relations to evolution equations). Consider the case 2 of Theorem 4.13. Let $\underline{\gamma}: \Sigma \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$ be a smooth immersion of a surface $\Sigma$ and $(U,(s, t))$ be a local conformal coordinate of $\Sigma$. Then from the local triviality of $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow Y / T^{2}$, there exists a local lift $\tilde{\gamma}: U \rightarrow Y$ of $\underline{\gamma}$ on a small open set $U \subset \Sigma$ which is transverse to $T^{2}$-orbits.

The differential equation of $\underline{J}_{0}$-holomorphic curve is $\partial \underline{\gamma} / \partial s+\underline{J_{0}} \partial \underline{\gamma} / \partial t=0$. By the definition of $\underline{J_{0}}$, this equation can be described as

$$
\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial s}\right)^{e}=(* \tilde{\varphi})_{a b c d}\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)^{a}\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right)^{b}\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{\gamma}}{\partial t}\right)^{c} \tilde{g}^{d e}
$$

Thus the differential equation of $J_{0}$-holomorphic curve is considered as the special case of the evolution equations in [17], [18]. Lotay [17], [18] constructed examples of (co)associative submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{7}$ by evolution equations.

Proof of Theorem 4.13. We fix one of the orientations of submanifolds. The same proof is valid for another orientation.

Proof of 1. Take any $p \in L_{T^{2}}^{3}$ and choose an oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{p},\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{p}, \tilde{v}\right\}$ of $T_{p} L_{T^{2}}^{3}$. Then $\underline{v}=\pi_{2 *}(\tilde{v})$ is a basis of $T_{\pi_{2}(p)}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{T^{2}}^{3}\right)\right)$. Now, $L_{T^{2}}^{3}$ is associative if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}\left(\left(X_{1}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{p},\left(X_{2}^{*^{\prime}}\right)_{p}, \tilde{v}\right)=1$. By Remark 4.12, this condition is equivalent to $h d \underline{v}(\underline{v})=1$, which implies that $\pi_{2}\left(L_{T^{2}}^{3}\right)$ is contained in the integral curve of $\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})$.

The claim 2 follows from Theorem 4.7.
Proof of 3. By Theorem 3.7, $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3} \subset Y$ is associative if and only if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve. By Remark 4.11, we see that this is equivalent to saying that $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a CR $\underline{J}_{1}$-holomorphic curve. Actually, if $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve, then it follows that

Thus $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a CR $\underline{J_{1}}$-holomorphic curve. Conversely, if $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a CR $\underline{J}^{-}$ holomorphic curve, we obtain $\left(\pi_{2,1}\right)_{*}\left(J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)\right)=\left(\pi_{2,1}\right)_{*}\left(\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, since $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a CR $\underline{J_{1}}$-holomorphic curve, we see

$$
d \underline{\nu}\left(\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)\right)=0
$$

This is equivalent to $g\left(\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right), \operatorname{grad}(\nu)\right)=0$. Since $g$ is Hermitian, we have $g\left(J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right), J_{1}(\operatorname{grad}(\nu))\right)=0$.

Using a pointwise coordinate of Lemma 4.5, we see $g\left(\cdot, J_{1}(\operatorname{grad}(\nu))\right)=$ $(-1 / h) \theta_{2}^{\prime}$. Hence we have $\theta_{2}^{\prime}\left(\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)\right)=\theta_{2}^{\prime}\left(J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)\right)=0$, which means that $\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ and $J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ are horizontal. Then $J_{1}\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)=$ $\left(\pi_{1}\right)_{*}\left(T L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ and so $\pi_{1}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{3}\right)$ is a $J_{1}$-holomorphic curve.

Proof of 4. Suppose that $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4} \subset Y$ is coassociative and $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap \underline{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha) \neq$ $\phi$. By Theorem 3.7, $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}$ is coassociative if and only if $\left.\pm\left.\psi^{-}\right|_{\pi_{1}\left(L_{s_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right.}\right)=\operatorname{vol}_{\pi_{1}\left(L_{s_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)}$ which is equivalent to $\pm h d \underline{v} \wedge \underline{\tau}_{\pi_{1}\left(L_{s_{1}, p}^{4}\right)}=\operatorname{vol}_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{s_{1}, p}^{4}\right)}$ by Remark 4.11.

Fix an arbitrary point $q \in \pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap \underline{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha)$ and choose an oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right\} \subset T_{q}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha)\right)$. There exists $\underline{v_{3}^{\prime}} \in T_{q}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)\right)$ with $d \underline{\nu}\left(\underline{v_{3}^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$. Via the Gram-Schmidt process, we have an orthonormal basis $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}, \underline{v_{3}}\right\} \subset$ $T_{q}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)\right)$. Then we have

$$
\pm h d \underline{v}\left(\underline{v_{3}}\right) \cdot \underline{\tau_{2}}\left(\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)=1 .
$$

Since $\left|h d \underline{\nu}\left(\underline{v_{3}}\right)\right| \leq 1$ and $\left|\underline{\tau_{2}}\left(\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)\right| \leq 1$ hold, we obtain $\left|h d \underline{\nu}\left(\underline{v_{3}}\right)\right|=1$ and $\left|\underline{\tau_{2}}\left(\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)\right|=$ 1 , respectively. The first equation implies that $\underline{v_{3}}=h \cdot \operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})$, and $\left.\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})\right|_{L_{s_{1}, p}^{4}}$ is tangent to $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}$. In the same way as the proof of 1 in Theorem 3.7, the second equation implies that $\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is a CR $\underline{J_{2}}$-holomorphic curve.

Conversely, fixing $q \in \pi_{1}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)$, take $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}=\underline{J_{2} v_{1}}, \underline{v_{3}}=h \cdot \operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})\right\}$ as an orthonormal basis of $T_{\pi_{2,1}(q)}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right)\right)$, where $\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}} \in T_{\pi_{2,1}(q)}\left(\pi_{2}\left(L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}\right) \cap \underline{\nu}^{-1}\left(\underline{\nu}\left(\pi_{2,1}(q)\right)\right)\right)$. Define $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\} \subset T_{q}\left(Y / S^{1}\right)$ as horizontal lifts of $\left\{\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}, \underline{v_{3}}\right\}$ by $\theta_{2}^{\prime}$.

Then $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $T_{q}\left(\pi_{1}\left(\overline{L_{S_{1}^{4}, p}^{4}} \overline{)}\right)\right.$ satisfying $\psi^{-}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right)=$ $\pm 1$. From Theorem 3.7, we see that $L_{S_{1}^{1}, p}^{4}$ is coassociative.

Proof of 5. Suppose that $L_{p, p}^{3}$ is associative and $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right) \cap \underline{v}^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \phi$. Since $\left.\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right|_{L_{p, p}^{3}}=0(i=1,2)$, we see from Remark 4.12, $-\left.\pi_{2}^{*}\left(h d \underline{\nu} \wedge \underline{\tau}_{0}\right)\right|_{L_{p, p}^{3}}=\operatorname{vol}_{L_{p, p}^{3}}$.

Fix an arbitrary point $y \in L_{p, p}^{3}$ and choose an oriented orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}\right\} \subset$ $T_{y}\left(L_{p, p}^{3} \cap \tilde{v}^{-1}(\alpha)\right)$. There exists $\tilde{v}_{3}^{\prime} \in T_{y}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)$ with $d \tilde{v}\left(\tilde{v}_{3}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$. Via the Gram-Schmidt process, we have an orthonormal basis $\left\{\tilde{v}_{1}, \tilde{v}_{2}, \tilde{v}_{3}\right\} \subset T_{y}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)$. If we define $\underline{v_{i}}=\pi_{2 *}\left(v_{i}\right)$, we have $-h d \underline{\nu}\left(\underline{v_{3}}\right) \cdot \underline{\tau_{0}}\left(\underline{v_{1}}, \underline{v_{2}}\right)=1$.

Similarly to the proof of 4 , we see that $\left.\operatorname{grad} \underline{\nu}\right|_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)}$ is tangent to $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right)$. Thus $\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{3}\right) \cap \underline{\nu}^{-1}(\alpha)$ is a CR $\underline{J}_{0}$-holomorphic curve. The converse follows similarly to the proof of 4 .

Proof of 6. Suppose that $L_{p, p}^{4}$ is associative. Since $\left.\tilde{\theta}_{i}\right|_{L_{p, p}^{4}}=0(i=1,2)$, we see from Remark 4.12, $\left.(1 / 2) \tau_{0}\right|_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{4}\right)}=\operatorname{vol}_{\pi_{2}\left(L_{p, p}^{4}\right)}$. We can prove 6 as in the proof of 4 in Theorem 3.7.

## 5. Examples

Basic examples of calibrated submanifolds are given in Examples 2.10, 2.11. We provide more examples on (sine) cones and $T^{2}$ bundles by using our method.

### 5.1. Examples of nearly Kähler manifolds.

Example 5.1 ([21]). Let $(N, g, J)$ be a real 6-dimensional Kähler manifold and $(B, h)$ an even dimensional Riemannian manifold. Suppose that there exists a Riemannian submersion with totally geodesic fibers

$$
\varpi:(N, g) \rightarrow(B, h) .
$$

Let $T N=\mathcal{V} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ be the corresponding splitting of $T N$, where $\mathcal{V}$ is a vertical subbundle and $\mathcal{H}$ is a horizontal subbundle such that $J$ preserves $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{H}$.

If we define a Riemannian metric $\hat{g}$ and an almost complex structure $\hat{J}$ as

$$
\left.\hat{g}\right|_{\mathcal{V}}=\left.\frac{1}{2} g\right|_{\mathcal{V}},\left.\quad \hat{g}\right|_{\mathcal{H}}=\left.g\right|_{\mathcal{H}},\left.\quad \hat{J}\right|_{\mathcal{V}}=-\left.J\right|_{\mathcal{V}},\left.\quad \hat{J}\right|_{\mathcal{H}}=\left.J\right|_{\mathcal{H}}
$$

then $(N, \hat{g}, \hat{J})$ is a nearly Kähler manifold.
Each fiber of $\varpi:(N, g) \rightarrow(B, h)$ is $\hat{J}$-holomorphic. Hence if $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} B=4, N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ (or $N \times(0, \pi)) \ni(x, r) \mapsto \varpi(x) \in B$ is an associative fibration. (i.e. each fiber is an associative 3-fold.) If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} B=2, N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \ni(x, r) \mapsto(\varpi(x), r) \in B \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is a coassociative fibration.

Next, we give examples of homogeneous nearly Kähler manifolds which are classified by Butruille [11].

Lemma 5.2 ([11]). Any 6-dimensional compact homogeneous nearly Kähler manifold is isomorphic to a finite quotient of a homogeneous space belonging to the following list:

$$
\mathrm{SU}(3) / T^{2}, \quad \mathbb{C} P^{3}, \quad S^{3} \times S^{3}, \quad S^{6}
$$

The spaces $\operatorname{SU}(3) / T^{2}, \mathbb{C} P^{3}$ are the twistor spaces of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$ and $S^{4}$, respectively. They satisfy the condition of Example 5.1 so those (sine) cones admit associative fibrations. Moreover, in Theorem 1.3 .1 of [24], by using a real structure on $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ it is shown that $\mathbb{R} P^{3} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{3}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase 1 . Hence $\mathbb{R} P^{3} \times\{r\} \subset \mathbb{C} P^{3} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is an associative 3-fold for any $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.

The cone of $S^{6}$ is $\mathbb{R}^{7}-\{0\}$ so this case is well-studied. Pseudoholomorphic curves in $S^{6}$ and $\mathbb{C} P^{3}$ are investigated in [7] and [25], respectively.

In the case of $S^{3} \times S^{3}$, define maps $p r_{1}: S^{3} \ni\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left[z_{1}: \bar{z}_{2}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}, p r_{2}: S^{3} \ni$ $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left[z_{1}-\sqrt{-1} z_{2}: \bar{z}_{1}-\sqrt{-1} \bar{z}_{2}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ and $p r_{3}: S^{3} \ni\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \mapsto\left[z_{1}+z_{2}: \bar{z}_{1}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{z}_{2}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{1}$, where we consider $S^{3} \subset \mathbb{C}^{2}$. The map $p r_{1}$ is a slight modification of the Hopf fibration.

Proposition 5.3. For each $i=1,2,3$, the map $\varpi_{i}=p r_{i} \times p r_{i}: S^{3} \times S^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1} \times$ $\mathbb{C} P^{1}$ is a pseudoholomorphic fibration, and so induce associative fibrations $S^{3} \times S^{3} \times$ $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \rightarrow S^{2} \times S^{2}$ and $S^{3} \times S^{3} \times(0, \pi) \rightarrow S^{2} \times S^{2}$.

Proof. Note that each fiber of $p r_{1}, p r_{2}$ and $p r_{3}$ is of the form $\left\{\left(e^{\sqrt{-1} \theta} z_{1}, e^{-\sqrt{-1} \theta} z_{2}\right) \mid\right.$ $\theta \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset S^{3},\left\{\left(z_{1} \cos \theta+z_{2} \sin \theta,-z_{1} \sin \theta+z_{2} \cos \theta\right) \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset S^{3}$ and $\left\{\left(z_{1} \cos \theta+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\sqrt{-1} z_{2} \sin \theta, \sqrt{-1} z_{1} \sin \theta+z_{2} \cos \theta\right) \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \subset S^{3}$ for some $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in S^{3}$.

By using the notation in [11], each fiber of $\varpi_{i}$ is an integral submanifold of the distribution $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{X_{i}^{*}, Y_{i}^{*}\right\}=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{X_{i}^{*}, J X_{i}^{*}\right\}$ since we can take $X_{1}, Y_{1}=$ $(1 / 2)\left(\begin{array}{cc}\sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1}\end{array}\right), X_{2}, Y_{2}=(1 / 2)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right), X_{3}, Y_{3}=(1 / 2)\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{s u}(2)$ and the almost complex structure $J$ on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ preserves $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{X_{i}^{*}, Y_{i}^{*}\right\}$. Here $X^{*}$ means the vector field on $S^{3} \times S^{3}$ generated by $X \in \mathfrak{s u}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{s u}(2)$. Hence each fiber of $\varpi_{i}$ is pseudoholomorphic.

Remark 5.4. Define the inclusion $\iota: S^{6} \times(0, \pi) \ni(\sigma, t) \mapsto(\cos t, \sigma \sin t) \in S^{7}$, where we consider $S^{6} \subset \mathbb{R}^{7}$ and $S^{7} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{7}$. It is known that $S^{7}$ admits a nearly parallel $G_{2}$-structure induced from a $\operatorname{Spin}(7)$-structure on $\mathbb{R}^{8}$. The inclusion $\iota$ preserves the $G_{2}$-structure from their constructions. Hence, if $L^{k} \subset S^{6}$ is an oriented $k$-dimensional submanifold $(k=2,3)$ and $t \in(0, \pi)$, then

- $\quad l\left(L^{2} \times(0, \pi)\right) \subset S^{7}$ is an associative 3-fold iff $L^{2}$ is a $J$-holomorphic curve,
- $t\left(L^{3} \times\{\pi / 2\}\right) \subset S^{7}$ is an associative 3 -fold iff $L^{3}$ is a special Lagrangian submanifold with phase $\pm \sqrt{-1}$.
This result is known by Lotay [19].
5.2. Cone of the Iwasawa manifold. For $x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}$, denote

$$
A(x, y, z)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & x & z \\
0 & 1 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Define $G=\{A(x, y, z) \mid x, y, z \in \mathbb{C}\}, \Gamma=\{A(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mid \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]\}$. Let $N^{6}=\Gamma \backslash G$ be the space of right cosets, which is called the Iwasawa manifold. It is a principal $T^{2}$-bundle over $T^{4}$ (the generic element is mapped to $(x, y)+\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ ). The Iwasawa manifold is a compact complex manifold which is not Kähler. (It is known that $\left.h^{1,0}(N, \mathbb{C})=3, h^{0,1}(N, \mathbb{C})=2, b^{1}(N)=4\right)$.

First, we show that $Y=N \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ admits a torsion-free $G_{2}$-structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ with $\operatorname{Hol}(\tilde{g})=G_{2}$. Define 1 -forms $\tilde{e}_{i} \in \Omega^{1}(N)(i=1,2,4,5,6,7)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d x=\tilde{e}_{4}-\sqrt{-1} \tilde{e}_{7}, \\
d y=\tilde{e}_{6}+\sqrt{-1} \tilde{e}_{5}, \\
-d z+x d y=\tilde{e}_{1}-\sqrt{-1} \tilde{e}_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Left hand sides are $\Gamma$-invariant forms on $G$ so they induce 1-forms on $N=\Gamma \backslash G$. Hence $N$ is a nilmanifold with a global basis of 1 -forms such that

$$
d \tilde{e}_{i}= \begin{cases}\tilde{e}_{46}-\tilde{e}_{57} & (i=1), \\ -\tilde{e}_{45}-\tilde{e}_{67} & (i=2), \\ 0 & (i=4,5,6,7)\end{cases}
$$

We also define vector fields $\left\{\tilde{E}_{i}\right\} \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ dual to $\left\{\tilde{e}_{i}\right\}$. If we write $x=x_{1}+\sqrt{-1} x_{2}$, $y=y_{1}+\sqrt{-1} y_{2}, z=z_{1}+\sqrt{-1} z_{2}$, we can describe $\left\{\tilde{E}_{i}\right\}$ explicitly as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{E}_{1}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}, \quad \tilde{E}_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \quad \tilde{E}_{4}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \quad \tilde{E}_{7}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \\
& \tilde{E}_{5}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}}, \quad \tilde{E}_{6}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}}+x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Extending $\tilde{e}_{i}$ and $\tilde{E}_{i}$ on $Y$, define 1-forms $\tilde{e}_{i}^{\prime} \in \Omega^{1}(Y)$ by

$$
\left(\tilde{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{2}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{3}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{4}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{5}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{6}^{\prime}, \tilde{e}_{7}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\frac{1}{s} \tilde{e}_{1}, \frac{1}{s} \tilde{e}_{2}, s^{2} d s, s \tilde{e}_{4}, s \tilde{e}_{5}, s \tilde{e}_{6}, s \tilde{e}_{7}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is parametrized by $s$. We write $\tilde{E}_{3}=\partial / \partial s$. Define a metric $\tilde{g}$ on $Y$, a 3-form $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^{3}(Y)$ and its Hodge dual $* \tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^{4}(Y)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(e_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{2}, \\
& \tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{e}_{123}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{45}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{67}^{\prime}\right)+\tilde{e}_{2}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{46}^{\prime}-\tilde{e}_{57}^{\prime}\right)-\tilde{e}_{3}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{47}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{56}^{\prime}\right), \\
& * \tilde{\varphi}=\tilde{e}_{4567}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{23}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{67}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{45}^{\prime}\right)+\tilde{e}_{13}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{57}^{\prime}-\tilde{e}_{46}^{\prime}\right)-\tilde{e}_{12}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{e}_{56}^{\prime}+\tilde{e}_{47}^{\prime}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $(Y, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{g})$ is a torsion-free $G_{2}$-manifold with $\operatorname{Hol}(\tilde{g})=G_{2}$. For more details, see [22] ${ }^{1}$.
$\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathbf{2}}$-action on $\boldsymbol{Y}$. Identifying $T^{2}=\{A(0,0, w) \mid w \in \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]\}, T^{2}$ acts on $Y$ freely by right multiplication. Vector fields $\left\{X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}\right\} \subset \mathfrak{X}(Y)$ generated by the $T^{2}$ action are given by $X_{1}^{*}=\partial / \partial z_{1}=-\tilde{E}_{1}, X_{2}^{*}=\partial / \partial z_{2}=\tilde{E}_{2}$.

[^2]Since $\tilde{\varphi}\left(X_{1}^{*}, X_{2}^{*}, \cdot\right)=-d s$, there exists a multi-moment map $\tilde{v}=-s: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{<0}$ for the $T^{2}$-action.

Geometry of $\boldsymbol{Y} / \boldsymbol{T}^{\mathbf{2}}$. Since $N$ is a $T^{2}$-bundle over $T^{4}$ and since $T^{2}$ acts fiberwise, we have $Y / T^{2}=T^{4} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where we denote the projection by $\pi_{2}: Y \rightarrow T^{4} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Define vector fields by $\underline{E_{i}}=\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{E}_{i}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(Y / T^{2}\right)(i=4,5,6,7)$, namely,

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\right)=\left(\underline{E_{4}},-\underline{E_{7}}, \underline{E_{6}}, \underline{E_{5}}\right) .
$$

Then with respect to $\left\{\partial / \partial x_{1}, \partial / \partial x_{2}, \partial / \partial y_{1}, \partial / \partial y_{2}\right\}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{J_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & -1 & & \\
1 & & & \\
& & & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad \underline{J_{1}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& & & \\
& & & 1 \\
& & -1 & \\
-1 & & &
\end{array}\right), \\
& \underline{J_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll} 
& & -1 & \\
& & & 1 \\
1 & & & \\
& -1 & &
\end{array}\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\underline{J_{0}}, \underline{J_{1}}, \underline{J_{2}}\right)$ is a standard hyperkähler structure on $T^{4}$ induced by the left multiplication of $(\overline{i,}-\bar{k}, j)$ on the quaternion $\mathbb{H}$.

## Calibrated submanifolds in $Y$.

$\boldsymbol{T}^{\mathbf{2}}$-invariant case. Since ( $\underline{J_{0}}, \underline{J_{1}}, \underline{J_{2}}$ ) is a standard hyperkähler structure on $T^{4}$, there are many holomorphic curves. If $C \subset T^{4}$ is a $J_{0}$-holomorphic curve and $s \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, then $\pi_{2}^{-1}(C \times\{s\})$ is a $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4 -fold, which is compact if $C$ is compact. $T^{4}$ is fibrated by $J_{0}$-holomorphic curves so $Y$ is fibrated by $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4-folds.

For the associative case, the integral curve of $\operatorname{grad}(\tilde{v})$ in $Y$ is $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \subset Y$. If $x \in T^{4}$ and $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is an open interval, $\pi_{2}^{-1}(\{x\} \times \mathcal{O})$ is a $T^{2}$-invariant associative 3 -folds.
$S_{1}^{1}$-invariant and perpendicular to $S_{2}^{1}$-orbits case. Decomposing $T^{2}=S_{1}^{1} \times S_{2}^{1}$, let $X_{i}^{*}$ be the vector field generated by the $S_{i}^{1}$-action. A submanifold $L \subset Y$ is perpendicular to $S_{2}^{1}$-orbits if and only if $\left.\tilde{e}_{2}\right|_{L}=0$. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\tilde{e}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\tilde{E}_{1}, \tilde{E}_{3}, \tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{5}, \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}
$$

An $S_{1}^{1}$-invariant submanifold contains $\tilde{E}_{1}$ in its tangent space. If $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ are integral submanifolds of the involutive distributions $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\tilde{E}_{1}, \tilde{E}_{3}, \tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{6}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\tilde{E}_{1}, \tilde{E}_{3}\right.$, $\left.\tilde{E}_{5}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}$, respectively, each $L_{i}$ is a coassociative 4-fold perpendicular to the $S_{2}^{1}$-orbits. If $L_{i}$ is maximal, $L_{i}$ is an $S_{1}^{1}$-invariant coassociative 4-fold perpendicular to the $S_{2}^{1}$-orbits because $S_{1}^{1} \cdot L_{i}$ is an integral submanifold of the same distribution containing $L_{i}$. We
see that $Y$ is foliated by these coassociative 4-folds. They can be described as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1}= & \left\{\left[\left(A\left(x_{1}+\sqrt{-1} x_{2}^{0}, y_{1}+\sqrt{-1} y_{2}^{0}, z_{1}+\sqrt{-1}\left(x_{2}^{0} y_{1}+z_{2}^{0}\right)\right)\right)\right] \mid x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\right\} \\
& \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \\
L_{2}= & \left\{\left[\left(A\left(x_{1}^{0}+\sqrt{-1} x_{2}, y_{1}^{0}+\sqrt{-1} y_{2}, z_{1}+\sqrt{-1}\left(x_{1}^{0} y_{2}+z_{2}^{0}\right)\right)\right)\right] \mid x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}\right\} \\
& \times \mathbb{R}_{>0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $x_{i}^{0}, y_{i}^{0}, z_{i}^{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. These are $S^{1}$-bundle over $T^{2}$. Moreover, we have

$$
\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left\{\tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{6}\right\}=\left\{\underline{E_{4}}, \underline{J_{2} E_{4}}\right\}, \quad\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left\{\tilde{E}_{5}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}=\left\{\underline{E_{5}}, \underline{-J_{2} E_{5}}\right\},
$$

and so $\pi_{2}(L) \cap\{\underline{\nu}=$ const. $\} \subset T^{4}$ is a $\underline{J_{2}}$-holomorphic curve with $\left.\operatorname{grad}(\underline{v})\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)}=$ $-\left.\left(1 / s^{4}\right)(\partial / \partial s)\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)}=-\left.\left(1 / s^{4}\right) \tilde{E}_{3}\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)} \in T\left(\pi_{2}(L)\right)$, which corresponds to 4 of Theorem 4.13.

Perpendicular to $T^{2}$-orbits case. A submanifold $L \subset Y$ is perpendicular to $T^{2}$ orbits if and only if $\left.\tilde{e}_{1}\right|_{L}=\left.\tilde{e}_{2}\right|_{L}=0$. Then we obtain

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(\tilde{e}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\tilde{E}_{3}, \tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{5}, \tilde{E}_{6}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}
$$

If $L$ is an integral submanifold of the involutive distribution $\operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\tilde{E}_{3}, \tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}$, $L$ is an associative 3 -fold perpendicular to the $T^{2}$-orbits. $L$ is described as $L=$ $\left\{\left[\left(A\left(x, y^{0}, z^{0}\right)\right)\right] \mid x \in \mathbb{C}\right\} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, where $y^{0}, z^{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. We see that $Y$ is foliated by these associative 3-folds. Moreover, we have $\left(\pi_{2}\right)_{*}\left\{\tilde{E}_{4}, \tilde{E}_{7}\right\}=\left\{\underline{E_{4}},-\underline{J_{0}} E_{4}\right\}$, and so $\pi_{2}(L) \cap$ $\{\underline{\nu}=$ const. $\} \subset T^{4}$ is a $\underline{J_{0}}$-holomorphic curve with $\left.\operatorname{grad}(\underline{\nu})\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)}=-\left.\left(1 / s^{4}\right)(\partial / \partial s)\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)}=$ $-\left.\left(1 / s^{4}\right) \tilde{E}_{3}\right|_{\pi_{2}(L)} \in T\left(\pi_{2}(L)\right)$, which corresponds to 5 of Theorem 4.13.
5.3. Further examples. In [20], it it shown that for a hyperkähler 2 -fold $M$ whose Kähler forms have integral periods, there exists a $T^{2}$-bundle $\mathcal{X}_{0}$ over $M$ and an open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{0} \times I$ admits a torsion-free $G_{2}$-structure.

Especially if $M$ is a toric hyperkähler 2-fold, it is shown in [13] that $M$ is fibrated by complex Lagrangian submanifolds (pseudoholomorphic curves in dimension 4) whose generic fibers are diffeomorphic to $T^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Using this fibration, we see that a $G_{2}$-manifold $\mathcal{X}_{0} \times I$ is fibrated by $T^{2}$-invariant coassociative 4 -folds.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{An} \mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$ introduced in [4] seems to be different from ours. In fact, for any $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure $\left(g, J, \sigma, \psi^{+}\right)$and any positive smooth function $r: Y / S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0},\left(g^{\prime}, J^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}, \psi^{+^{\prime}}\right):=$ $\left(r^{2} g, J, r^{2} \sigma, r^{3} \psi^{+}\right)$also defines an $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$. So we can define the $\mathrm{SU}(3)$-structure on $Y / S^{1}$ as above.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Our definition of nearly Kähler manifolds corresponds to that of "strictly" nearly Kähler ones in [24].

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that the notation in [22] differs from ours. The basis ( $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}$ ) in [22] corresponds to ( $\tilde{e}_{4}, \tilde{e}_{5}, \tilde{e}_{6},-\tilde{e}_{7}, \tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{e}_{2}, \tilde{e}_{3}$ ) in our notation.

