

## THE LEVI PROBLEM FOR RIEMANN DOMAINS OVER THE BLOW-UP OF $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ AT THE ORIGIN

NATALIA GAȘITOI

(Received February 27, 2012, revised November 22, 2012)

### Abstract

We investigate unbranched Riemann domains  $p: X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  over the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  at the origin in the case when  $p$  is a Stein morphism. We prove that such a domain is Stein if and only if it does not contain an open set  $G \subset X$  such that  $p|_G$  is injective and  $p(G)$  contains a subset of the form  $W \setminus A$ , where  $A$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  and  $W$  is an open neighborhood of  $A$ .

### 1. Introduction

In 1953 K. Oka [11] gave the solution to the Levi problem for unbranched Riemann domains over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  from which follows that an unbranched domain  $p: X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is Stein if and only if  $p$  is a Stein morphism. As it was shown by Fornaess [6] this result does not remain valid for branched Riemann domains.

Oka's results served as an impulse for a series of research in this area. Through the last few years, various fundamental results concerning the Levi problem were established. In 1960 F. Docquier and H. Grauert [5] proved that if  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  is an unbranched Riemann domain over a Stein manifold  $X$  and  $p$  is a Stein morphism, then  $Y$  is itself Stein. R. Fujita [8] and A. Takeuchi [12] showed that for complex projective spaces there is a similar result as in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . T. Ueda [13] investigated the case of Riemann domains over Grassmann manifolds, M. Colțoiu and K. Diederich [1] studied the case of Riemann domains over Stein spaces with isolated singularities. The Levi problem in the blow-up was investigated by M. Colțoiu and C. Joița in [2].

In this paper we consider unbranched Riemann domains over the blow-up. We remark that the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  in the origin can be regarded as a particular case of a 1-convex manifold. Some important results concerning covering spaces of 1-convex surfaces were established in the recent works [3], [4].

Let us denote the blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  in the origin by  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  and by  $A$  the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ ,  $A = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $p: X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  be an unbranched Riemann domain over  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ .

We shall say that an unbranched Riemann domain  $p: X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  satisfies the condition (P) if there exist an open set  $G \subset X$  and an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $A$  such

that  $p|_G$  is injective, and  $p(G) \supset W \setminus A$ .

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 1.** *An unbranched Riemann domain  $p: X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ , with  $p$  Stein morphism, is Stein if and only if it does not satisfy the condition (P).*

## 2. Preliminaries

An unbranched Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is a pair  $(Y, p)$  consisting of a connected Hausdorff space  $Y$  together with a locally homeomorphic map  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  (that is, for each point  $y \in Y$  and its base point  $x := p(y) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  there exist open neighborhoods  $U = U(y) \subset Y$  and  $V = V(x) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $p|_U: U \rightarrow V$  is a homeomorphism). In the following we shall denote the Riemann domain  $(Y, p)$  simply by  $Y$ . The Riemann domain  $Y$  has a unique complex structure such that  $p$  is locally biholomorphic.

If we replace in this definition the space  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by a complex manifold  $X$ , then we get the notion of a Riemann domain over  $X$ .

For later use we require the concept of accessible boundary points of a Riemann domain, which was first introduced by H. Grauert and R. Remmert in [9] using the filter theory (Definition 4). We recall here an equivalent definition which was given and studied in [7].

Let us consider the family of all sequences  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of points of  $Y$  which have the following properties:

- i) The sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  has no cluster point in  $Y$ .
- ii) The sequence of the images  $\{p(y_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  has a limit  $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ .
- iii) For every connected open neighborhood  $V = V(x_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $k, l \geq k_0$  the points  $y_k$  and  $y_l$  can be joined by a continuous path  $\gamma_{k,l}: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ , such that  $p \circ \gamma_{k,l}([0, 1]) \subset V$ ,  $\gamma_{k,l}(0) = y_k$ ,  $\gamma_{k,l}(1) = y_l$ .

Two such sequences  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  and  $\{y'_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  are called equivalent if:

- 1)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y'_k) = x_0$ .
- 2) For every connected open neighborhood  $V = V(x_0)$  there exists a  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $k, l \geq k_0$  the points  $y_k$  and  $y'_l$  can be joined by a continuous path  $\gamma_{k,l}: [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$ , such that  $p \circ \gamma_{k,l}([0, 1]) \subset V$ ,  $\gamma_{k,l}(0) = y_k$ ,  $\gamma_{k,l}(1) = y'_l$ .

An accessible boundary point of a Riemann domain  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is an equivalence class  $\sigma_{x_0} = [y_k]$  of such sequences.

Let us denote by  $\check{\partial}Y$  the set of all accessible boundary points of the domain  $Y$  and by  $\check{Y} := Y \cup \check{\partial}Y$ .

If  $y_0 = \sigma_{x_0}$  is an accessible boundary point, then a neighborhood of  $y_0$  in  $\check{Y}$  is defined as follows:

Take a connected open set  $U \subset Y$  such that:

- a)  $U$  contains almost all points of any sequence  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  from the equivalence class  $\sigma_{x_0}$ .
- b) There exists a connected open neighborhood  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  of  $x_0$  such that  $U$  is a connected component of  $p^{-1}(V)$ .

Then add to  $U$  all accessible boundary points  $z = \sigma_x$  such that almost all points of any sequence from  $\sigma_x$  are contained in  $U$  and  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  is a cluster point of  $p(U)$ .

We shall denote this neighborhood of  $y_0 \in \check{\partial}Y$  by  $\check{U}$ .

With this neighborhood definition the extended domain  $\check{Y}$  becomes a topological space, and  $\check{p}: \check{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  with

$$\check{p}(y) := \begin{cases} p(y), & \text{if } y \in Y, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_k), & \text{if } y = [y_k] \in \check{\partial}Y, \end{cases}$$

is a continuous mapping.

- Proposition 1.** a)  $\check{Y}$  is a regular topological space.  
 b) For every point  $y \in \check{\partial}Y$  there exists a continuous function  $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \check{Y}$  such that  $\alpha(1) = y$  and  $\alpha(t) \in Y$  for  $t \in [0, 1)$ .

REMARK 1. Every sequence of points  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  of  $Y$  which satisfies the conditions (ii) and (iii) has a cluster point in  $\check{Y}$ .

Indeed, if  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has a cluster point in  $Y$  this statement is trivial. If  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has no cluster point in  $Y$ , then it defines an equivalence class of such sequences, i.e. an accessible boundary point  $y = [y_k] \in \check{\partial}Y$ .

The following proposition is Satz 4 in [4].

**Proposition 2.** Let  $T$  be a locally connected topological space and  $S \subset T$  be a nowhere dense subset of  $T$  nowhere disconnecting  $T$ . Let  $p: Y \rightarrow X$  be a Riemann domain over a complex manifold  $X$  and let  $\tau: T \setminus S \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous mapping such that  $p \circ \tau$  extends to a continuous mapping on  $T$ . Then  $\tau$  uniquely extends to a continuous mapping  $\check{\tau}: T \rightarrow \check{Y}$ .

DEFINITION 1. A Riemann domain  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called pseudoconvex at a boundary point  $y \in \check{\partial}Y$ , if there exists a neighborhood  $\check{U}$  of  $y$  such that  $\check{U} \cap Y$  is a Stein manifold.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an analytic set of positive codimension. A boundary point  $y$  of the Riemann domain  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is called removable along  $S$ , if there exists a neighborhood  $\check{U}$  of  $y$  such that  $\check{p}|_{\check{U}}: \check{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  is injective and  $\check{U} \cap \check{\partial}Y$  is contained in  $\check{p}^{-1}(S)$ .

The next Lemma was proved in [13].

**Lemma 1.** Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an analytic set of positive codimension and let  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be an unbranched Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Assume that  $Y$  is pseudoconvex at

every boundary point  $y \in \check{Y}$  with  $\check{p}(y) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ . If there exists no boundary point which is removable along  $S$  then  $Y$  is Stein.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be an analytic set that has at least codimension 2, and let  $p: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be an unbranched Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ . Assume that  $Y$  is pseudoconvex at every boundary point  $y$  lying over  $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ . Then  $Y$  is not Stein if and only if there exist a connected open subset  $U \subset Y$  and a connected open subset  $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $V \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $p|_U: U \rightarrow V \setminus S$  is biholomorphic.*

*Proof.* Let us consider that  $Y$  is not Stein and then, by Lemma 1, there exists a boundary point  $y^* \in \check{Y}$  which is removable along  $S$ . Let  $\check{p}$  be the extension of  $p$  to  $\check{Y} = Y \cup \check{Y}$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $\check{U}_1$  of  $y^*$ ,  $\check{U}_1 \subset \check{Y}$ , such that  $\check{p}|_{\check{U}_1}$  is injective and  $\check{p}(\check{U}_1 \cap \check{\partial}Y)$  is contained in  $S$ . Let  $\check{U}$  be another open neighborhood of  $y^*$  such that  $\overline{\check{U}} \subset \check{U}_1$ . There exists such an  $\check{U}$  because  $\check{Y}$  is regular (see Proposition 1).

Denote by  $U = \check{U} \setminus \check{\partial}Y$ , and by  $x^* = \check{p}(y^*)$ ,  $x^* \in S$ . To prove the ‘‘only if’’ statement it suffices to show that there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x^*$  such that  $V \setminus S \subset p(U)$ . Suppose that this is not true. Then for any open neighborhood  $V$  of  $x^*$  we have that  $p(U) \not\supset V \setminus S$ . We can choose a sequence of points  $\{\xi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ ,  $\xi_k \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus (S \cup \check{p}(\check{U}))$ , such that it converges to  $x^*$ ,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \xi_k = x^*$ .

Let  $\alpha: [0, 1] \rightarrow \check{U}$  be a continuous path such that  $\alpha(1) = y^*$  and  $\alpha([0, 1)) \subset U$  (see Proposition 1) and let  $\{s_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers,  $0 < s_k < 1$ , convergent to 1. Denote by  $\zeta_k^{(0)} = p(\alpha(s_k))$  and let  $\alpha_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  be a continuous path such that  $\alpha_k(0) = \zeta_k^{(0)}$ ,  $\alpha_k(1) = \xi_k$ , and  $\alpha_k((0, 1)) \subset \mathbb{C}^n \setminus S$ . Moreover we may assume that the sequence  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  converges uniformly to  $x^*$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

We denote by  $t_k = \inf\{t \mid t \in [0, 1], \alpha_k(t) \in \partial p(U)\}$ , and by  $x_k = \alpha_k(t_k)$ .

Clearly the sequence  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  also converges to  $x^*$ ,  $x_k \notin S$ , and  $\alpha_k([0, t_k)) \subset p(U)$ , for all  $k$ . By Proposition 2 the continuous function  $(p|_U)^{-1} \circ \alpha_k: [0, t_k] \rightarrow Y$  extends to a continuous function  $\beta_k: [0, t_k] \rightarrow \check{Y}$ . Let  $y_k = \beta_k(t_k)$ . Then  $p(y_k) = x_k$  and, at the same time, using the path  $\alpha$  and the uniform convergence of  $\{\alpha_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  to  $x^*$  it is easy to see that  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  satisfies properties ii) and iii). By Remark 1  $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  has a cluster point  $\check{y} \in \check{Y}$ . Note that  $y_k \in \overline{U} \setminus U$  and, therefore,  $\check{y} \in \overline{\check{U}} \setminus \check{U}$ . In particular  $\check{y} \neq y^*$ . At the same time  $\check{p}(\check{y}) = x^* = \check{p}(y^*)$  which contradicts the injectivity of  $\check{p}$  on  $\check{U}_1 \supset \overline{\check{U}}$ .

The ‘‘if’’ statement follows easily from Riemann extension theorem. □

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* Let  $z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n$  be the coordinate functions in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ , and let denote by  $[\xi_0 : \xi_1 : \dots : \xi_n]$  the homogeneous coordinates in the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . The blow-up of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  at the origin is the manifold

$$\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} := \{(z, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^n : z_i \xi_j = z_j \xi_i, i, j = \overline{0, n}\}.$$

We shall cover  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with the sets  $U_i = \{\xi \in \mathbb{P}^n : \xi_i \neq 0\}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Let us denote by  $\pi$  the projection on the second factor

$$\pi := \text{pr}_2 |_{\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}} : \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n.$$

Then  $\pi^{-1}(\xi) = l(\xi)$  is the complex line determined by  $\xi$ . So the blow-up looks like a line bundle over the projective space.

We have the following local trivializations  $\psi_i : \pi^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\psi_i(z, \xi) := (\xi, z_i)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . The mapping  $\psi_i$  is biholomorphic and its inverse is

$$\psi_i^{-1}([z], \lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{z_i} \cdot z, [z] \right),$$

where  $[z] = [z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] \in U_i$ . Hence, over  $U_{ij} = U_i \cap U_j$  we have

$$\psi_i \circ \psi_j^{-1}([z], \lambda) = \psi_i \left( \frac{\lambda}{z_j} \cdot z, [z] \right) = \left( [z], \lambda \cdot \frac{z_i}{z_j} \right).$$

Over the blow-up  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  we can construct a local trivial fibration with fiber  $\mathbb{C}^*$ ,  $F : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ .

In [2] was constructed such a fibration  $F$  and namely  $F : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(r)$ , where

$$F(z, \lambda) = \psi_k^{-1} \left( [z], \frac{\lambda}{z_k} \right),$$

$\forall (z, \lambda) \in W_k = \{(z, \lambda) \in (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{C} : z_k \neq 0\}$ .

Since one can identify  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  with  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ , the holomorphic line bundle of degree  $-1$  over  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , we have  $r = -1$  and then for any  $(z, \lambda) \in W_k$  we get

$$F(z, \lambda) = \psi_k^{-1}([z], \lambda z_k) = \left( \frac{\lambda z_k}{z_k} \cdot z, [z] \right) = (\lambda \cdot z, [z]).$$

Hence the mapping  $F$  can be defined globally by  $F(z, \lambda) = (\lambda \cdot z, [z])$ .

Then, for every point  $(z, [z]) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  we have

$$F^{-1}(z, [z]) = \left\{ \left( \frac{z}{\lambda}, \lambda \right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

Let us denote by  $\Delta$  the complex line  $\Delta = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  ( $\{0\} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ ).

We construct the fiber product  $Y$  of the fibration  $F$  and the Riemann domain  $X$ , namely

$$Y = \{(w, x) \in (\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta) \times X \mid F(w) = p(x)\}.$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{\tilde{F}} & X \\
 \downarrow \tilde{p} & & \downarrow p \\
 \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \supset (\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta) & \xrightarrow{F} & \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}.
 \end{array}$$

The mapping  $\tilde{F} = \text{pr}_2|_Y: Y \rightarrow X$ , the canonical projection on the second factor, defines a holomorphic principal fibration of fiber  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

The mapping  $\tilde{p} = \text{pr}_1|_Y: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta$ , the canonical projection on the first factor, defines an unbranched Riemann domain over  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta$ .

Since  $p: X \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$  is a Stein morphism, the mapping  $\tilde{p}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta$  is also a Stein morphism. As  $(\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ , consequently we get a Riemann domain  $\tilde{p}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  over  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ . Observe that  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  is a Stein variety and  $\tilde{p}: Y \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta)$  is a Stein morphism, but it is not known if  $\tilde{p}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  is also a Stein morphism since  $\mathbb{C}^{n+2}$  contains points from  $\Delta$ , that is points of the boundary of  $(\mathbb{C}^{n+2} \setminus \Delta)$ .

By Théorèmes 4 and 5 in [10] of Matsushima and Marimoto,  $Y$  is Stein if and only if  $X$  is Stein.

Let us suppose that the fiber product  $Y$  is not Stein. Then there exists a boundary point  $y \in \check{\partial}Y$  which is removable along  $\Delta$ .

Then, by Lemma 2, there exist an open neighborhood  $\check{U}$  of  $y$  and an open polydisc  $V_\varepsilon$  of polyradius  $\varepsilon > 0$  centered in  $x^* = \tilde{p}(y) = (0, \dots, 0, v) \in \Delta$  such that  $\tilde{p}|_U: U \rightarrow V_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta$  is biholomorphic, where  $U = \check{U} \setminus \check{\partial}Y$ .

Let us denote by  $G = \tilde{F}(U) \setminus p^{-1}(A)$ , where  $A$  is the exceptional divisor of  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1}$ . We claim that  $p|_G$  is injective.

Let us admit the contrary.

Then there exists an  $x \in G$  such that  $G \cap p^{-1}(p(x))$  has at least two elements. Let  $G \cap p^{-1}(p(x)) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ . Thus

- 1)  $x_i \neq x_j, i \neq j; i, j = 1, 2, \dots,$
- 2)  $p(x_i) = Q \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^{n+1} \setminus A$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Let  $Q = (q, [q]), q = (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n)$ . The preimage of this point is  $F^{-1}(Q) = \{(q/\lambda, \lambda) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$ . Observe that  $F^{-1}(Q)$  does not intersect  $\Delta = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}$ , and the intersection of  $F^{-1}(Q)$  with  $V_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta$  is given by  $\{|q_j/\lambda| < \varepsilon, j = 0, \dots, n, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\} \cap \{|\lambda - v| < \varepsilon, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*\}$  and so is open and connected. Let us denote this set by  $V^*$ .

Let  $D_i = \tilde{F}^{-1}(x_i) \cap (\tilde{p}|_U)^{-1}(V^*), i = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sets  $D_i$  are open in  $\tilde{F}^{-1}(x_i)$ , non-empty, and  $D_i \subset U$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus  $\tilde{p}|_{D_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots$  are homeomorphisms and therefore  $\tilde{p}(D_i)$  are open in  $F^{-1}(Q)$ , non-empty and disjoint and

$$V^* = \bigcup_i \tilde{p}(D_i).$$

But this is not possible since  $V^*$  is connected.

So  $p|_G$  is injective. In addition  $F^{-1}(p(G))$  contains a set of the form  $V_\varepsilon \setminus \Delta$  and then, by the argument in the proof of Theorem 1 from [2],  $p(G)$  contains a set of the form  $W \setminus A$ , where  $A$  is the exceptional set of the blow-up and  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $A$ .  $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author would like to express her sincere gratitude to Professors Mihnea Colţoiu and Cezar Joiţa for many useful discussions, valuable suggestions and comments. She also thanks the Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy for their hospitality.

---

### References

- [1] M. Colţoiu and K. Diederich: *The Levi problem for Riemann domains over Stein spaces with isolated singularities*, Math. Ann. **338** (2007), 283–289.
- [2] M. Colţoiu and C. Joiţa: *The Levi problem in the blow-up*, Osaka J. Math. **47** (2010), 943–947.
- [3] M. Colţoiu and C. Joiţa: *The disk property of coverings of 1-convex surfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140** (2012), 575–580.
- [4] M. Colţoiu and C. Joiţa: *Convexity properties of coverings of 1-convex surfaces*, preprint, arXiv:1110.5791v1.
- [5] F. Docquier and H. Grauert: *Levisches Problem und Rungescher Satz für Teilgebiete Steinscher Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **140** (1960), 94–123.
- [6] J.E. Fornæss: *A counterexample for the Levi problem for branched Riemann domains over  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Math. Ann. **234** (1978), 275–277.
- [7] K. Fritzsche and H. Grauert: *From Holomorphic functions to Complex Manifolds*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **213**, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [8] R. Fujita: *Domaines sans point critique intérieur sur l'espace projectif complexe*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **15** (1963), 443–473.
- [9] H. Grauert and R. Remmert: *Konvexität in der komplexen Analysis. Nicht-holomorph-konvexe Holomorphiegebiete und Anwendungen auf die Abbildungstheorie*, Comment. Math. Helv. **31** (1956), 152–183.
- [10] Y. Matsushima and A. Morimoto: *Sur certains espaces fibrés holomorphes sur une variété de Stein*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **88** (1960), 137–155.
- [11] K. Oka: *Sur les fonctions analytiques de plusieurs variables. IX. Domaines finis sans point critique intérieur*, Jap. J. Math. **23** (1953), 97–155.
- [12] A. Takeuchi: *Domaines pseudoconvexes infinis et la métrique riemannienne dans un espace projectif*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **16** (1964), 159–181.
- [13] T. Ueda: *Pseudoconvex domains over Grassmann manifolds*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980), 391–394.

“Alecru Russo” State University  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Str. Pushkin 38, MD-3121 Balti  
 Republic of Moldova  
 e-mail: natalia\_gasitoi@yahoo.com