# MODULARLY IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS AND NORMAL SUBGROUPS

GABRIEL NAVARRO

(Received September 18, 2009, revised November 18, 2009)

### **Abstract**

Let G be a finite p-solvable group, where p is an odd prime. Suppose that  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  lifts an irreducible p-Brauer character. If G/N is a p-group, then we prove that the irreducible constituents of  $\chi_N$  lift irreducible Brauer characters of N. This result was proven for |G| odd by J.P. Cossey.

### 1. Introduction

Let G be a finite group and let p be a prime. Let Irr(G) be the set of the irreducible complex characters of G, and let IBr(G) be a set of irreducible Brauer characters of G. If  $\chi \in Irr(G)$ , then the restriction  $\chi^0$  of  $\chi$  to the p-regular elements of G,

$$\chi^0 = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(G)} d_{\chi \varphi} \varphi,$$

decomposes as a sum of irreducible Brauer characters. Sometimes we have that  $\chi^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(G)$ . When this occurs, J.P. Cossey has investigated when the same happens for the normal irreducible constituents of  $\chi$  ([1]). Aside of the case where  $\chi$  has defect zero (i.e.,  $\chi(1)_p = |G|_p$ ), it seems difficult to control the behavior of the normal constituents of  $\chi$ . Somehow surprisingly, it is proven in [1] that normal irreducible constituents also lift modular characters, whenever G/N is a p-group and |G| is odd. The proof of that result relies on non-trivial facts from [10] (among others).

Our aim in this note is to give an essentially self-contained proof of a slightly more general result.

**Theorem A.** Let p be an odd prime and let G be a p-solvable group. Let  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  with  $\chi^0 = \varphi \in IBr(G)$ . Suppose that G/N is a p-group. If  $\theta \in Irr(N)$  is under  $\chi$ , then  $\theta^0 \in IBr(N)$ .

Theorem A is not true for p=2, even for solvable groups. A counterexample is provided by G=GL(2,3) and N=SL(2,3), where here  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  is non-rational of

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 20C15, 20C20.

This research is partially supported by MTM2007-61161 and MTM2010-15296 of the Spanish Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia.

330 G. NAVARRO

degree 2. On the other hand, it has not been easy at all to find a counterexample of Theorem A for non-p-solvable groups. Finally, T. Okuyama (and independently P.H. Tiep) found that if p=3,  $N=PSU_3(8)$  and  $G=PGU_3(8)$ , then G has irreducible characters  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  of degree  $\chi(1)=399$ , which are modularly irreducible, and such that  $\chi_N=\theta \in Irr(N)$  does not lift an irreducible Brauer character of N.

Part of this work was done while I was visiting the University of Chiba in Japan. I would like to give my thanks to Shigeo Koshitani for the hospitality. Also, my thanks to N. Kunugi and T. Okuyama for helpful discussions on the subject.

## 2. Proofs

Our notation for characters follows [6] and [9]. For instance, if  $\chi$  is a character, then  $o(\chi)$  is the order of the linear character  $\det(\mathcal{X})$ , where  $\mathcal{X}$  is any representation affording  $\chi$ . We shall use Gajendragadkar  $\pi$ -special characters. These are the irreducible characters  $\chi$  of  $\pi$ -separable groups such that for every subnormal irreducible constituent  $\theta$  of  $\chi$  we have that  $o(\theta)\theta(1)$  are  $\pi$ -numbers ([3]). Every primitive character of a  $\pi$ -separable group factors as a product of a  $\pi$ -special and a  $\pi'$ -special characters (Corollary (4.7) of [4]). The reader is invited to read [3] and [4]. In the proof of the key lemma below we use a much deeper result: if  $2 \notin \pi$ ,  $\chi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  is  $\pi$ -special and  $\chi_H \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$  for some subgroup H of G, then  $\chi_H$  is  $\pi$ -special. (This is Theorem A of [5].)

The key result to prove Theorem A is the following.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let G be p-solvable with p > 2. Let  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  be p-special. If  $\chi(1) > 1$ , then  $\chi^0$  is not in IBr(G).

Our first proof of this lemma contained a mistake that was pointed out by the careful reading of the referee, and for what we thank him/her. But also, we are also very grateful to I.M. Isaacs for providing us with a more general result whose corollary gave a correct proof of our lemma. After reading Isaacs proof, we found the following one.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Let  $M = \ker(\chi)$ . Then  $\chi$  considered as an irreducible character of G/M is both p-special and p-Brauer irreducible. So arguing by induction on |G|, we may assume that  $\chi$  is faithful. In particular,  $\mathbf{O}_{p'}(G) = 1$  by Corollary (4.2) of [3]. Now, let  $N = \mathbf{O}_p(G)$ ,  $K/N = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(G/N)$ , and let L be a p-complement of K. By the Frattini argument, we have that  $G = N\mathbf{N}_G(L)$ . Write  $H = \mathbf{N}_G(L)$ .

We claim now that  $\chi_H \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)$ . Write  $\varphi = \chi^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(G)$ . Since  $N \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$  and NH = G, then we see that  $\varphi_H$  is irreducible. (This follows from the fact that if  $\mathcal X$  is any representation affording  $\varphi$ , then  $\mathcal X(nh) = \mathcal X(h)$  for  $n \in N$  and  $h \in H$ . Hence  $\mathcal X(G) = \mathcal X(H)$  and  $\mathcal X_H$  affords an irreducible representation.) Since  $(\chi_H)^0 = \varphi_H$  is irreducible, we easily see that  $\chi_H$  is irreducible too.

But now, by Theorem A of [5], we have that  $\chi_H$  is *p*-special. Therefore,  $L \subseteq \ker \chi_H \subseteq \ker(\chi) = 1$ . We conclude that N = G. In this case, the principal Brauer character of G is the only Brauer irreducible Brauer character of G, and the proof of the lemma is complete.

In the proof of Theorem A we shall use vertices of Brauer characters and a result of A. Watanabe ([11]). This result of Watanabe is proven in a different form in [8]. Even more recently, another proof is presented in [2], which uses techniques closer to the ones used in this paper.

In p-solvable groups, vertices of Brauer characters are particularly easy to understand: If  $\varphi \in \operatorname{IBr}(G)$ , then  $\varphi$  is induced from some  $\mu \in \operatorname{IBr}(U)$  of p'-degree (see Huppert's Theorem (10.11) of [9]), and the Sylow p-subgroups of U are uniquely determined by  $\varphi$  up to G-conjugacy (see [7]). These are the *vertices* of  $\varphi$ . If  $\varphi$  has vertex Q, then we have that  $\varphi(1)_p = |G|_p/|Q|$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Watanabe). Suppose that G is p-solvable, and  $\varphi \in \mathrm{IBr}(G)$ . Let  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $\theta \in \mathrm{IBr}(N)$  be under  $\varphi$ . Then there exists a vertex Q of  $\varphi$  such that  $Q \cap N$  is a vertex of  $\theta$ .

Now we prove Theorem A. The final assertion on the stabilizers was also noticed in [1].

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that G is p-solvable, where p is odd. Let  $\chi \in Irr(G)$  with  $\chi^0 = \varphi \in IBr(G)$ . Suppose that G/N is a p-group. If  $\theta \in Irr(N)$  is under  $\chi$ , then  $\theta^0 \in IBr(N)$ . Furthermore the stabilizers  $I_G(\theta) = I_G(\theta^0)$  coincide.

Proof. We argue by induction on |G:N| that  $\theta^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(N)$ . Suppose that  $N < M \triangleleft G$ , with |G:M| = p, and let  $\psi \in \operatorname{Irr}(M)$  be between  $\chi$  and  $\theta$ . By induction we have that  $\psi^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(M)$  and also, by induction, we have that  $\theta^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(N)$ . Hence we may assume that |G:N| = p.

First suppose that  $\theta^G = \chi$ . Then  $(\theta^0)^G = \varphi$  is irreducible and necessarily  $\theta^0 = \eta \in IBr(N)$  is irreducible too. Hence, we may assume that  $\chi_N = \theta$ .

Now, let  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Irr}(W)$  be a primitive character inducing  $\chi$ . Then  $\gamma = \alpha \beta$ , where  $\alpha$  is p'-special and  $\beta$  is p-special. Since  $\gamma^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(W)$ , it follows that  $\beta^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(W)$ . By Lemma 2.1, we deduce that  $\gamma$  has p'-degree. Thus  $\chi(1)_p = |G|_p/|Q|$ , where  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(W)$ . Also, since WN = G by Mackey (Problem (5.7) of [6]), it follows that NQ = G. Notice now that  $\varphi = (\gamma^0)^G$  and Q is a vertex for  $\varphi$ .

Now, let  $\tau \in \operatorname{IBr}(N)$  a Brauer constituent of  $\theta^0$ , which therefore lies under  $\varphi$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists a vertex  $Q_1$  of  $\varphi$  such that  $Q_1 \cap N$  is a vertex for  $\tau$ . Now,  $Q_1 = Q^n$  for some  $n \in N$  (because QN = G), and hence we may assume that  $Q_1 = Q$ . Thus  $Q \cap N$  is a vertex for  $\tau$ . Therefore  $\tau(1)_p = |N|_p/|Q \cap N|$ . But then

$$\varphi(1)_p = \tau(1)_p$$
.

332 G. NAVARRO

Since G/N is a p-group, we also deduce that  $\varphi(1)_{p'} = \tau(1)_{p'}$  (using Theorem (8.30) of [9]), and therefore  $\varphi(1) = \tau(1)$ . Hence  $\varphi_N = \tau$ . Now  $\varphi(1) = \chi(1) \ge \theta(1) \ge \tau(1) = \varphi(1)$ , and hence  $\theta(1) = \tau(1)$ , and  $\theta^0 = \tau$ .

Finally, we prove that  $G_{\theta}$ , the stabilizer of  $\theta$  in G equals  $G_{\theta^0}$ , the stabilizer of  $\theta^0$  in G. Write again  $\tau = \theta^0 \in \operatorname{IBr}(N)$ . Of course, we have that  $G_{\theta} \subseteq G_{\tau}$ . By Green's theorem and the Clifford's correspondence, notice that  $\varphi(1) = |G:G_{\tau}|\tau(1)$ . Now, using  $\varphi(1) = \chi(1) \ge |G:G_{\theta}|\theta(1) \ge |G:G_{\tau}|\tau(1) = \varphi(1)$ , and the proof of the theorem is complete.

We should perhaps mention that Theorem A holds in general if  $\chi$  has p'-degree. Indeed, in this case  $\chi_N = \theta$  has p'-degree and therefore there exists  $\tau \in \mathrm{IBr}(N)$  in the decomposition of  $\theta^0$  which is P-invariant, where  $P \in \mathrm{Syl}_p(G)$ . Now by Green's Theorem (8.11) of [9], we have that there is a unique Brauer character of G over  $\tau$ , which necessarily is  $\varphi$ . In this case,  $\varphi_N = \tau$  and by degrees,  $\theta^0 = \tau$ .

#### References

- [1] J.P. Cossey: Vertex pairs and normal subgroups in groups of odd order, to appear in Rocky Mountain J. Math.
- [2] J.P. Cossey: Vertices and normal subgroups in solvable groups, J. Algebra 321 (2009), 2962–2969.
- [3] D. Gajendragadkar: A characteristic class of characters of finite  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra **59** (1979), 237–259.
- [4] I.M. Isaacs: Characters of  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra 86 (1984), 98–128.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs: Induction and restriction of  $\pi$ -special characters, Canad. J. Math. **38** (1986), 576–604.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs: Character Theory of Finite Groups, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2006.
- [7] I.M. Isaacs and G. Navarro: Weights and vertices for characters of  $\pi$ -separable groups, J. Algebra 177 (1995), 339–366.
- [8] A. Laradji: Vertices of simple modules and normal subgroups of p-solvable groups, Arch. Math. (Basel) 79 (2002), 418–422.
- [9] G. Navarro: Characters and Blocks of Finite Groups, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [10] G. Navarro: Vertices for characters of p-solvable groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354 (2002), 2759–2773.
- [11] A. Watanabe: Normal subgroups and multiplicities of indecomposable modules, Osaka J. Math. 33 (1996), 629–635.

Departament d'Algebra Facultat de Matemàtiques Universitat de València 46100 Burjassot. València Spain

e-mail: gabriel.navarro@uv.es