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Let $T$ be a torus. By the slope of an essential simple closed curve on $T$ we mean its isotopy class. The distance $\Delta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ between two slopes $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ is defined to be $\left|\gamma_{1} \cdot \gamma_{2}\right|$, where $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ are curves with slopes $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ and $\cdot$ denotes homological intersection number. (Note that this is independent of all orientations. Note also that $\Delta$ is not a metric on the set of slopes ; the triangle inequality does not hold.)

Now let $M$ be an irreducible, orientable 3-manifold and $T$ a torus component of $\partial M$. Let $(F, \partial F) \subset(M, T)$ be an incompressible, boundary incompressible, orientable, genus $g$ surface. Then the components of $\partial F$ all have the same slope on $T$, and we call this the boundary slope of $F$. Let $S(M)_{g}$ denote the set of boundary slopes of such genus $g$ surfaces. When $M$ is an exterior $E(K)$ of a knot $K$, we write $S(E(K))_{g}$ as $S(K)_{g}$.

Gordon and Luecke gave estimations of $\partial$-slopes in $S(M)_{0}$ and $S(M)_{1}$, and showed that their estimations are the best possible (see [1], [3], [4]). So far, however, there is no estimation of $\partial$-slopes in $S(M)_{g}$ for $g \geq 2$.

In this paper, we give some estimation of $\partial$-slopes in $S(M)_{g}$ for arbitrary $g$ when $M$ has a certain geometric restriction, and we give an example which estimates the strength of the theorem.

Our main results are then the following.
Theorem 1. If $M$ has no essential annulus, then for any $g_{1}, g_{2} \geq 1, r_{1} \in$ $S(M)_{g_{1}}, r_{2} \in S(M)_{g_{2}}$, we have $\Delta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)<36\left(2 g_{1}-1\right)\left(2 g_{2}-1\right)$.

Theorem 2. Suppose a knot $K$ has an $m$-string $\partial$-irreducible tangle decomposition.
(i) Let $a / b(\neq 0 / 1)$ be an element of $S(K)_{g}$, where $a$ and $b$ are coprime integers. Then $|b| \leq g / m$.
(ii) $g(K) \geq(m+1) / 2$, where $g(K)$ is the genus of $K$.

Theorem 3. For any $n$ non-trivial knots $K_{1}, \cdots, K_{n}$ and $a / b \in S\left(K_{1} \# \cdots \#\right.$
$\left.K_{n}\right)_{g}$, we have $|b| \leq g /(n-1)$.

The organization is as follows. In sections 1 and 2 we prove above theorems. In section 3 we give an example which concerns Theorem 2 and construct $\partial$ irreducible tangles systematically.

## 1. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $N(\cdot \cdot)$ denote a tublar neighbourhood. Let $G$ be a finite graph in a closed surface $S$. We take edges and faces of $G$ to be open edges and faces, i.e., components of $G-\{$ vertices $\}$ and $S-G$, respectively. Then an edge $e$ belongs to a face $f$ if $e \subset c l(f)$, where $c l(f)$ denotes the closure of $f$ in $S$. A face is 1 -sided if it has only one edge (and one vertex).

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemma ([2, Lemma 6.2]).
Lemma 1.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a finite graph in a closed surface $S$, with $V$ vertices and no 1-sided faces which are open discs. Suppose that, for some integer $n \geq$ 2 , every vertex of $\Gamma$ has order greater than $(\max \{[6(1-\chi(S) / V)], 1\})(n-1)$. Then $\Gamma$ has $n$ mutually parallel edges.

Suppose, for a contradiction, $\Delta=\Delta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right) \geq 36\left(2 g_{1}-1\right)\left(2 g_{2}-1\right)$. Let $F_{i}$ be an incompressible, $\partial$-incompressible, orientable, connected, genus $g_{i}$ surface with $\partial$-slope $r_{i}(i=1,2)$. After an isotopy of $F_{i}$ we may assume that $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ intersect transversely, and each component of $\partial F_{1}$ [resp. $\partial F_{2}$ ] intersects that of $\partial F_{2}$ [resp. $\partial F_{2}$ ] exactly $\Delta\left(r_{1}, r_{2}\right)$ times. Then $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=A \amalg S$, where $A$ is a disjoint union of properly embedded arcs and $S$ is a disjoint union of simple closed curves. By a standard disc swapping argument, using the incompressibility of $F_{1}$ [resp. $F_{2}$ ], we may assume that no component of $S$ bounds a disc on $F_{2}$ [resp. $F_{1}$ ]. As in [2], we form graphs $G_{F_{1}}, G_{F_{2}}$ as follows. Let $\widehat{F}_{i}$ be the closed surface obtained by capping off the boundary components of $F_{i}$ by disc ( $i=1,2$ ). We obtain a graph $G_{F_{1}}$ in $\widehat{F}_{1}$ by taking as the "fat" vertices of $G_{F_{1}}$ the discs attached as above, and as the edges of $G_{F_{1}}$, the arcs in $A$. Similarly we obtain the graph $G_{F_{2}}$ in $\widehat{F}_{2}$. Since $F_{1}$ [resp. $F_{2}$ ] is $\partial$-incompressible, we may assume (again by a standard disc swapping argument) that $G_{F_{2}}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.G_{F_{1}}\right]$ has no 1 -sided faces. Let $n_{i}$ denote the number of boundary components of $G_{F_{i}}(i=1,2)$. Then $G_{F_{i}}$ has $n_{i}$ vertices, each of order $\Delta n_{j}(i \neq j)$. By a homological argument, we may assume $n_{2} \geq 2$. (If $n_{1}=$ 1 , then $\partial F_{i}$ is null-homologus in $H_{1}(E(K))$. Hence $\partial$-slope of $F_{i}$ is $0 / 1$.) Then by the assumption, $\Delta n_{2} \geq 36\left(2 g_{1}-1\right)\left(2 g_{2}-1\right) n_{2}>6\left(2 g_{1}-1\right)\left\{6\left(2 g_{2}-1\right) n_{2}-1\right\} \geq[6(1-(2$ $\left.\left.\left.-2 g_{1}\right) / n_{1}\right)\right]\left\{6\left(2 g_{2}-1\right) n_{2}-1\right\}$. Hence by Lemma 1.1, $G_{F_{1}}$ has $6\left(2 g_{2}-1\right) n_{2}$ mutually parallel edges. Let $\Gamma$ be the subgraph of $G_{F_{2}}$ arising from these edges. Then the order of each vertex of $\Gamma$ is $6\left(2 g_{2}-1\right)$. Since $6\left(2 g_{2}-1\right)>\left[6\left(1-\left(2-2 g_{2}\right) / n_{2}\right)\right]$, by Lemma 1.1 again, $\Gamma$ has parallel edges. Let $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ be edges of $\Gamma$ which are
parallel and adjacent in $F_{2}$, and let $B$ [resp. $E$ ] be the disc in $F_{1}$ [resp. $F_{2}$ ] cut off by $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$. Put $A=B \cup E$, then $A$ is either an annulus or a Möbius band properly embedded in $M$.

Case 1. $A=B \cup E$ is an annulus: Then $\partial A$ is a union of two essential simple loops on $T$.

## Claim. A is not boundary parallel.

Proof. If $A$ is boundary parallel, then $e_{1}$ partially bounds a boundary compression disc of $F_{1}$, a contradiction.

By Claim and the assumption of the theorem, $A$ is compressible, and hence $T$ is compressible. Then it follows that $M$ is a solid torus by the irreducibility of $M$. This is a contradiction, since a solid torus has only one $\partial$-slope.

Case 2. $A=B \cup E$ is a Möbius band : If $\partial A$ is an inessential loop on $T$, then the disc on $T$ bounded by $\partial A$ and $A$ make $P^{2}$ in $M$; therefore $M=M^{\prime} \# P^{3}$, for some 3-manifold $M^{\prime}$, a contradiction. Hence, $\partial A$ is an essential loop on $T$. Since $M$ is orientable, $N(A)$ is a twisted $I$-bundle over $A$. Therefore $\widetilde{A}=F r N(A)$ is an annulus properly embedded in $M$, where $F_{r} N(A)$ is the frontier of $N(A)$ in $M$. By the assumption, $\widetilde{A}$ is compressible or boundary compressible. If $\widetilde{A}$ is compressible, then by the argument in Case 1 , we have a contradiction. Hence $\widetilde{A}$ is boundary compressible. Thus we see $\widetilde{A}$ is boundary parallel by using the irreducibility of $M$ and the fact that $\widetilde{A}=F r N(A)$ is separating. Therefore $M$ is a union of $N(A)$ and $\widetilde{A} \times I$ along $\widetilde{A} \times 0$; so $M \cong N(A) \cong S^{1} \times D^{2}$, a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3

Let $K$ be a knot in $S^{3}$. The exterior of $K$ is $E(K)=S^{3}-\operatorname{int} N(K)$. A tangle ( $B, t$ ) is a pair that consists of a 3-ball $B$ and a 1-dimensional manifold $t$ properly embedded in $B$. $A$ tangle ( $B, t$ ) is an $m$-string tangle if $t$ consists of $m$ number of arcs. $A$ tangle $(B, t)$ is called $\partial$-irreducible if $\partial(c l(B-N(t)))$ is incompressible in $c l(B-N(t))$. We say that $K$ has an $m$-string $\partial$-irreducible tangle decomposition if it can be expressed as a sum of two $m$-string $\partial$-irreducible tangles, i.e., there is a sphere $S$ meeting $K$ transversely in $2 m$ points, such that each of the balls bounded by $S$ determines, with its intersection with $K$, an $m$-string $\partial$-irreducible tangle.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose $K$ is expressed as the sum of two $m$-string $\partial$-irreducible tangles $\left(B_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{2}, t_{2}\right)$. Let $P$ denote $\partial B_{1} \cap E(K)\left(=\partial B_{2} \cap\right.$ $E(K)$ ), then $P$ is incompressible and $\partial$-incompressible by the definition of a $\partial$-irreducible tangle and an argument in [6, Lemma 1.10]. Let $F$ be an incompressible, $\partial$-incompressible, orientable, connected, genus $g$ surface with $\partial$-slope $a / b$. As
in the proof of Theorem 1, we may assume that each component of $\partial F$ [resp. $\partial P$ ] intersects that of $\partial P$ [resp. $\partial F$ ] exactly $|b|$ times, and we define $\widehat{F}, \widehat{P}, G_{F}$, and $G_{P}$. Again we may assume that $G_{F}$ and $G_{P}$ have no 1-sided face.

Lemma 2.1. There is no disc face in $G_{F}$.
Proof. Suppose there is a disc face $D$ in $G_{F}$, and $D$ is contained in $B_{1}$. Then $c l(D) \cap \partial\left(c l\left(B_{1}-N\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ is a simple loop in $\partial\left(c l\left(B_{1}-N\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)$. By the definition of a $\partial$-irreducible tangle, $c l(D) \cap \partial\left(c l\left(B_{1}-N\left(t_{1}\right)\right)\right)$ bounds a disc $D^{\prime}$ in $\partial\left(c l\left(B_{1}\right.\right.$ $\left.-N\left(t_{1}\right)\right)$ ). Let $\alpha$ be a component of $\partial D^{\prime} \cap \partial P$ which is outermost disc in $D^{\prime}$, and let $d$ be the (outermost) disc in $D^{\prime}$ cut off by $\alpha$. Then $d$ is contained in $P$ and it produces a 1 -sided face in $G_{P}$, a contradiction.

Let $V$ and $E$, respectively, be the numbers of the vertices and the edges of $G_{F}$. Note that $E=m|b| V$.

Lemma 2.2. $g \geq V(m|b|-1) / 2+1$
Proof. Note that $2-2 g=\chi(\widehat{F})=V-E+\sum_{i} \chi\left(F_{i}\right)$, where $F_{i}$ runs over all faces of $G_{F}$. Since $\chi\left(F_{i}\right) \leq 0$ by Lemma 2.1, we have $2-2 g \leq V-E=(1-m|b|) V$.

If $F$ is a Seifert surface, then $a / b=0 / 1$ and $V=1$. Therefore, by Lemma 2. $2, g \geq(m+1) / 2$.

If $F$ is not a Seifert surface, then $V \geq 2$. Therefore, again by Lemma 2.2, $g \geq$ $m|b|$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
Proof of Theorem 3. Let $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n-1}$ denote the annuli in $E(K)$ defining the connected sum as illustrated in Figure 2.1, and put $P=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i}$.


Figure 2.1

We define $\hat{F}, \hat{P}, G_{F}, G_{P}, E$, and $V$ as in the proof of Theorem 2. Then $G_{F}$ does not have a disc face. To show this note that $P$ cuts $E(K)$ into the disjoint union $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} E\left(K_{i}\right)$. Suppose $G_{F}$ has a disc face $D$. Then $D$ is a properly embedded disc in some $E\left(K_{i}\right)$, and we can see that $\partial D$ is essential in $\partial E\left(K_{i}\right)$. This implies that $K_{i}$ is a trivial knot, a contradiction.

Next we remark $E=(n-1)|b| V$. Then as in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we see $2-2 g \leq V-E=V-(n-1)|b| V$. Hence, $g \geq V\{(n-1)|b|-1\} / 2+1$.

If $V \geq 2$, then we obtain $g \geq(n-1)|b|$. If $V=1$, then $F$ is a Seifert surface, and hence $g\left(K_{1} \# \cdots \# K_{n}\right) \geq n>n-1$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

## 3. Constructing $\boldsymbol{\partial}$-irreducible Tangles

In this section, we give a systematic construction of $\partial$-irreducible tangles. And combining the results of [5] with this construction, we present examples of knots which estimate the strength of Theorem 2.

A Montesinos tangle $T\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)\left(r_{i} \in \boldsymbol{Q} \cup\{1 / 0\}\right)$ is a tangle illustrated in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1

First we study which Montesions tangle is $\partial$-irreducible.
Theorem 4. Suppose $n \geq 2, r_{i} \notin \boldsymbol{Z} \cup\{1 / 0\} \quad(1 \leq i \leq n)$, and $r_{1}, r_{n} \notin\{q / 2 \mid q \in$ $\boldsymbol{Z}\}$. Then $T\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)$ is a $\partial$-irreducible tangle.

Remark.
(1) $(B, t)=T(1 / 2, p / q)$ is not a d-irreducible tangle, indeed, $c l(B-N(t))$ is a genus 2 handlebody.
(2) After having done this work, the author learned that Wu [7] had proved that, except for trivial cases, a Montesinos tangle which is not $\partial$-irreducible
is $T(1 / 2, p / q)$.
Proof of Theorem 4. Put $T\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{n}\right)=(B, t), E(t)=c l(B-N(t))$, and let $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n-1}$ be the surfaces in $E(t)$ as illustrated in Figure 3.2.


Figure 3.2
Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i}$ decomposes $E(t)$ into $\prod_{i=1}^{n} E\left(t_{i}\right)$, where $E\left(t_{i}\right)$ is the exterior $\operatorname{cl}\left(B_{i}\right.$ $-N\left(t_{i}\right)$ ) of a rational tangle $\left(B_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ of slope $r_{i}(1 \leq i<n)$.

Suppose $E(t)$ has a compressing disc $D$. Then we may assume $D$ intersects $\cup$ $A_{i}$ transversely. By using the assumption that $r_{i} \neq 1 / 0(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we can isotope $D$ so that $D \cap\left(\cup A_{i}\right)$ consists of only arcs. In the following, we assume that $\mid D \cap$ $\left(\cup A_{i}\right) \mid$ is minimized ; we see this number is not zero by using the same assumption. Let $\alpha$ be a component of $D \cap\left(\cup A_{i}\right)$ which is outermost in $D$, and let $E$ be the disc in $D$ cut off by $\alpha$, such that (int $E) \cap\left(\cup A_{i}\right)=\emptyset$. Then $\alpha$ lies in some $A_{i}$, and $\left(A_{i}, \alpha\right)$ is of one of the six types illustrated in Figure 3.3.


Figure 3.3

Then one of the following three cases occurs.
(1) $E$ is contained in $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ (or $E\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) and $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ (or $E\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) is of $X$-type.
(2) $E$ is contained in $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ (or $E\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) and $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ (or $E\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) is of $Y$-type.
(3) $E$ is contained in $E\left(t_{j}\right)$, where $2 \leq j \leq n-1$.

Here, we say that $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ [resp. $E\left(t_{n}\right)$ ] is of $X$-type if each component of $\operatorname{Fr} N\left(t_{1}\right)\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Fr} N\left(t_{n}\right)\right]$ has one boundary component in $A_{1}\left[\right.$ resp. $\left.A_{n-1}\right], Y$-type otherwise. We show that we can find a contradiction in any case. We consider only Case (2), because the arguments for Cases (1) and (3) are similar to that for Case (2). It should be noted that $\left(A_{1}, \alpha\right)$ is not of type (vi) since $E\left(t_{1}\right)$ is of $Y$-type.

Without loss of generality we assume $E$ is contained in $E\left(t_{1}\right)$. Put $\beta=\operatorname{cl}(\partial E$ $-\alpha$ ), and $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ the components of $F r N\left(t_{1}\right)$; we assume that $\mathrm{T}_{1} \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ in case $\alpha$ is of type (ii), (iii), (iv), or (vi). By elementary but careful arguments, we may assume ( $\left.E\left(t_{1}\right), A_{1}, E\right)$ is as illustrated in Figure 3.4 (i)-(v) according as the type of $\left(A_{1}, \alpha\right)$. Here, in case ( $\left.A_{1}, \alpha\right)$ is of type (v), Figure 3.4 (v) illustrates $\left(E\left(t_{1}\right), A_{1}\right.$, $E)$ only modulo integral twists of $E\left(t_{1}\right)$.


Figure 3.4

These figures imply that (1) if ( $A_{1}, \alpha$ ) is of type (i), (ii), (iii), or (iv), then $r_{1}=1 /$ 0 and (2) if $\left(A_{1}, \alpha\right)$ is of type (v), then $r_{1}=q / 2$ with $q$ an odd integer. This is a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.
From now, a thorough understanding of [5] is assumed, and we investigate the genus of the surface realizing a $\partial$-slope in the following proposition.

Proposition 1 ([5, Proposition 2.2]). For each $p / q \in \boldsymbol{Q}$, there exists an incompressible, $\partial$-incompressible, orientable surface in the complement of some Montesinos knot, with $\partial$-slope $p / q$.

Concerning Theorem 2, we look for surfaces whose $\partial$-slopes have denominators $q$. Then, following the first half of the proof of the above proposition, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 5. For a natural number $q$, let $K_{q}$ be the Montesinos knot $M(2 /$ $7,1 /(8 q+13),-1 / 3,5 / 18,1 /(8 q+13),-1 / 3)$ or $M(2 / 7,1 /(8 q+13),-1 / 3,2 / 7$, $1 /(8 q+13),-1 / 3,5 / 18,1 /(8 q+13),-1 / 3)$ according as $q$ is odd or even. Then $E\left(K_{q}\right)$ contains an incompressible, $\partial$-incompressible, orientable surface $S_{q}$, such that the denominator of the $\partial$-slope of $S_{q}$ is $q$, and the genus of $S_{q}$ is at most $c q$ where $c$ is a constant independent of $q$.

Remark. By Theorem 4, $K_{q}$ has a 2-string $\partial$-irreducible tangle decomposition.

We give the proof only for the case where $q$ is odd, because the proof for the case where $q$ is even is similar.

Proof of Theorem 5. We shall use notations of [5]. First we recall the construction of the $K_{q}$ (see [5, pp. 455-456]). Viewing $S^{3}$ as the join of two circles $A$ and $B$, let the circle $B$ be subdivided as a six-sided polygon. Then the join of $A$ with the $i$ th edge of $B$ is a ball $B_{i}$. Put $H_{i}=B_{i} \cap B_{i+1}=\partial B_{i} \cap \partial B_{i+1}$, then $\partial B_{i}$ $=H_{i-1} \cup H_{i}$. The 6 balls $B_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 6)$ cover $S^{3}$. Recall that $\left(S^{3}, K_{q}\right)$ is constructed as the union $\left(B_{1}, t_{1}\right) \cup \cdots \cup\left(B_{6}, t_{6}\right)$, where $\left(B_{1}, t_{1}\right), \cdots,\left(B_{6}, t_{6}\right)$ are rational tangles of slopes $2 / 7, \cdots,-1 / 3$ respectively. In the proof of Proposition 1 , an incompressible, $\partial$-incompressible, orientable, candidate surface $S_{q}$ is constructed as follows. For each rational tangle $\left(B_{i}, t_{i}\right)$, choose an edgepath $\gamma_{i}$ as follows.
$-\gamma_{1}$ goes linearly from $(1,6,2)$ to the point $A=(4 q-3)(1,2,1)+3(1,6,2)$.
$-\gamma_{2}$ and $\gamma_{5}$ go linearly from $(1,8 q+12,1)$ to the point $B=(4 q-1)(1,0,0)+(1$, $8 q+12,1$ ).
$-\gamma_{3}$ and $\gamma_{6}$ are constant, at the point $C=(4 q, 8 q+12,-4-4 q)$.
$-\gamma_{4}$ first goes linearly from $(1,17,5)$ to $(1,6,2)$ and second goes linearly $(1,6,2)$ to the point $A$.

To each $\gamma_{i}$, a surface $S_{i}$ in $\left(B_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ is associated so that $S_{i} \cap H_{i}=S_{i+1} \cap H_{i}$. Then $S_{q}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} S_{i}$. The $\partial$-slope of $S_{q}$ is given by $\tau\left(S_{q}\right)-\tau\left(S_{0}\right)$, where $\tau\left(S_{q}\right)=2 /$ $q-2$, and $\tau\left(S_{0}\right)$ is a certain integer associated with a Seifert surface $S_{0}$. Hence the denominator of the $\partial$-slope of $S_{q}$ is $q$.

Finally we roughly estimate the genus of $S_{q}$.
(1) Through all $S_{i}$ the number of saddles is less than $c_{1} q$, where $c_{1}$ is a
constant independent of $q(1 \leq i \leq 6)$.
(2) The number of arcs in $H_{i} \cap S_{i}$ is less than $c_{2} q$, where $c_{2}$ is a constant independent of $q(1 \leq i \leq 6)$.
Hence we can see that the genus of $S_{q}$ is at most $c q$, where $c$ is a constant independent of $q$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Remark. In Theorem 5 we can take $c=100$.
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