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## 1. Introduction

R.H. Bing had shown that a closed 3-manifold $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{3}$ if and only if every knot in $M$ can be ambient isotoped to lie inside a 3-ball [1]. In [5], J. Hass and A. Thompson generalize this to show that $M$ has a genus one Heegaard splitting if and only if there exists a genus one handlebody $V$ embedded in $M$ such that every knot in $M$ can be ambient isotoped to lie inside $V$. Moreover, they conjectures that this can be naturally generalized for genus $g(>1)$. The purpose of this paper is to show that this is actually true. Namely we prove:

Main Theorem. Let $M$ be a closed 3-manifold. There exists a genus $g$ handlebody $V$ such that every knot in $M$ can be ambient isotoped to lie inside $V$ if and only if $M$ has genus $g$ Heegaard splitting.

The proof of this goes as follows. First we generalize Myers' construction of hyperbolic knots in 3-manifolds [14] to show that, for each integer $g(\geq 1)$, every closed 3-manifold has a knot whose exterior contains no essential closed surfaces of genus less than or equal to $g$ (Theorem 4.1). Knots with this property will be called $g$-characteristic knots. Then we show that, for each integer $h(\geq 1)$, there exists a knot $K$ in $M$ such that $K$ cannot be ambient isotoped to a 'simple position' in any gensu $h$ handlebody which gives a Heegaard splitting of of $M$. This is carried out by using good pencil argument of K. Johannson [9] (, and we note that this also can be proved by using inverse operation of type $A$ isotopy argument of M. Ochiai [15]). By using this very complicated knot in $M$, we can show that if $M$ contains a genus $g$ handlebody as in Main Theorem, then $M$ admits a Heegaard splitting of genus $g$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we slightly generalize

[^0]results of Johannson in [8], which will be used in Sections 3 and 5. In Section 3, we generalize the concept of prime tangles [13] to 'height $g$ ' tangles, and show that there are many height $g$ tangles. In Section 4, we show that, by using these tangles, there are infinitely many $g$-characteristic knots in $M$. In Section 5 , we show that there are non-simple position knots by using these $g$ characterisisc knots. In Section 6, we prove Main Theorem.

The second author would like to express her thanks to Prof. Mitsuyoshi Kato for his constant encouragement.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we work in the piecewise linear category. All submanifolds are in general position unless otherwise specified. For a subcomplex $H$ of a complex $K, N(H, K)$ denotes a regular neighborhood of $H$ in $K$. When $K$ is well understood, we often abbreviate $N(H, K)$ to $N(H)$. Let $N$ be a manifold embedded in a manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} N=\operatorname{dim} M$. Then $\mathrm{Fr}_{M} N$ denotes the frontier of $N$ in $M$. For the definitions of standard terms in 3dimensional topology, we refer to [6], and [7].

An arc $a$ properly embedded in a 2 -manifold $S$ is inessential if there exists an arc $b$ in $\partial S$ such that $a \cup b$ bounds a disk in $S$. We say that $a$ is essential if it is not inessential. A surface is a connected 2 -manifold. Let $E$ be a 2 sided surface properly embedded in a 3 -manifold $M$. We say that $E$ is essential if $E$ is incompressible and not parallel to a subsurface of $\partial M$. We say that $E$ is $\partial$-compressible if there is a disk $\Delta$ in $M$ such that $\Delta \cap E=\partial \Delta \cap E=\alpha$ is an essential arc in $E$, and $\Delta \cap \partial M=\partial \Delta \cap \partial M=\beta$ is an arc such that $\alpha \cup \beta=\partial \Delta$. We say that $E$ is $\partial$-incompressible if it is not $\partial$-compressilee.

Let $F$ be a closed surface of genus $g$. A genus $g$ compression body $W$ is a 3manifold obtained from $F \times[0,1]$ by attaching 2 -handles along mutually disjoint simple closed curves in $F \times\{1\}$ and attaching some 3-handles so that $\partial_{-} W=$ $\partial W-\partial_{+} W$ has no 2 -sphere components, where $\partial_{+} W$ is a component of $\partial W$ which corresponds to $F \times\{0\}$. It is known that $W$ is irreducible ( $[2$, Lemma 2.3]). We note that $W$ is a handlebody if $\partial_{-} W=\emptyset$.

A complete disk system $D$ for a compression body $W$ is a disjoint union of disks $(D, \partial D) \subset\left(W, \partial_{+} W\right)$ such that $W$ cut along $D$ is homeomorphic to

$$
\begin{cases}\partial_{-} W \times[0,1], & \text { if } \partial_{-} W \neq \emptyset, \\ B^{3}, & \text { if } \partial_{-} W=\emptyset .\end{cases}
$$

Note that for any handle decomposition of $W$ as above, the union of the cores of the 2 -handles extended vertically to $F \times[0,1]$ contains a complete disk system for $W$.

Let $M$ be a compact 3 -maniifold such that $\partial M$ has no 2 -sphere compon-
ents. A genus $g$ Heegaard splitting of $M$ is a pair $(V, W)$ where $V, W$ are genus $g$ compression bodies such that $V \cup W=M, V \cap W=\partial_{+} V=\partial_{+} W$. Then the purpose of this section is to give a generalization of some results of Johannson [8] to the above Heegaard splittings.

The next lemma can be proved by using the above complete eisk system, and the proof is left to the reader (cf. [2, Lemma 2.3]).

Lemma 2.1. Let $S$ be an incompressible and $\partial$-incompressible surface properly embedded in a compression body $W$. Then $S$ is etiher a closed surface parallel to a component of $\partial_{-} W$, disk $D$ with $\partial D \subset \partial_{+} W$, or an annulus $A$, where one component of $\partial A$ lies in $\partial_{+} W$ and the other in $\partial_{-} W$.

The annulus $A$ as in Lemma 2.1 is called vertical.
Let $S$ be an essential surface in a 3 -manifold $M$, and $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ a Heegaard splitting of $M$. We say that $S$ is normal with respect to $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ if:
(1) each component of $S \cap W_{1}$ is an essential disk or a vertical annulus, and
(2) $S \cap W_{2}$ is an essential surface in $W_{2}$.

By using the incompressibility of $S$ and Lemma 2.1, we see that if $M$ is irreducible then $S$ is ambient isotopic to a normal surface. Suppose that $S$ is normal. Let $S_{2}=S \cap W_{2}$, and $b$ an arc properly embedded in $S_{2}$. We say that $b$ is a compression arc (for $S_{2}$ ), if $b$ is essential in $S_{2}$, and there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $W_{2}$ such that $\partial \Delta=b \cup b^{\prime}$, where $b^{\prime}=\Delta \cap \partial_{+} W_{2}$ (and, possibly, Int $\Delta \cap S_{2} \neq \emptyset$ ). Let $M,\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$, and $S$ be as above. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be a complete disk system for $W_{2}$. We say that $S$ is strictly normal (with respect to $\mathscr{D}$ ), if:
(1) $S$ is normal with respect to ( $W_{1}, W_{2}$ ), and
(2) for each component $D_{i}$ of $\mathscr{D}$, we have; (i) each component of $S_{2} \cap D_{i}$ (if exists) is an essential arc in $S_{2}$ and (ii) if $b$ is an arc of $S_{2} \cap D_{i}$ such that $\partial b$ is contained in mutually different components $C_{1}, C_{2}$ of $\partial S_{2}$, and that $C_{1}$ or $C_{2}$ is a boundary of a disk component $E$ of $S \cap W_{1}$, then for each (open arc) component $\partial D_{i}-\partial b$, say $a_{1}, a_{2}$, we have have $a_{i} \cap \partial E \neq \emptyset$.

Then the next proposition is a generalization of [8, 2.3].
Proposition 2.2. Let $M,\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ be as above. Let $S$ be an essential surface in $M$ which is normal with respect to $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$. Then we have either :
(1) $S$ is strictly normal, or
(2) $S$ is ambient isotopic to a surface $S^{\prime}$ in $M$ such that; (i) $S^{\prime}$ is normal with respect to $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$, and (ii) \#\{ $\left.S^{\prime} \cap W_{1}\right\}<\#\left\{S \cap W_{1}\right\}$.

The proof of this is essentially contained in [8, Sect. 2]. However, for the convenience of the reader, we give the proof here.

Lemma 2.3. Let $M,\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$, and $S$ be as in Proposition 2.2. Let $b$ be $a$
compression arc for $S \cap W_{2}$, with a disk $\Delta$ in $W_{2}$ such that $\partial \Delta=b \cup b^{\prime}$, where $b^{\prime}=\Delta \cap \partial_{+} W_{2}$ and $\partial b=\partial b^{\prime} . \quad$ Suppose that there is a disk compornet $E$ of $S \cap W_{1}$ such that $b^{\prime} \cap E=\partial b^{\prime} \cap \partial E$ consists of a point. Then $S$ is ambient isotopic to a surface $S^{\prime}$ in $M$ such that;
(1) $S^{\prime}$ is normal with respect to $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$, and
(2) $\#\left\{S^{\prime} \cap W_{1}\right\}=\#\left\{S \cap W_{1}\right\}-1$.

Proof. Note that $b$ joins mutually different components of $S \cap W_{1}$, one of them is $E$ and the other is $D$, say. Let $E_{+}$be one of the components of $\mathrm{Fr}_{W_{1}} N\left(E, W_{1}\right)$ which meets $b^{\prime}$. We note that $\partial E_{+}$meets $b^{\prime}$ in one point. Let $B=N\left(E_{+}, N\left(E, W_{1}\right)\right) \cup N\left(\Delta, W_{2}\right)$. Then $B$ is a 3-ball in $M$ since $\partial E_{+} \cap \partial \Delta$ is a point. Move $W_{1}$ by an ambient isotopy along $B$ so that the image $W_{1}^{\prime}$ has the following form: $W_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{cl}\left(W_{1}-N\left(E_{+}, N\left(E, W_{1}\right)\right)\right) \cup N\left(b, W_{2}\right)$.

Let $W_{2}^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(M-W_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Then clearly $\left(W_{1}^{\prime}, W_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a Heegaard splitting of $M$ which is ambient isotopic to $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$. Note that $S \cap W_{1}^{\prime}$ is a system of essential disks and vertical annuli which has the number of components one less than that of $S \cap W_{1}$, because $E$ is connected with $D$ by the band $S \cap N\left(b, W_{2}\right)$. Moreover, $S \cap W_{2}^{\prime}$ is an essential surface since $b$ is essential in $S_{2}$. It follows that there exists an ambient isotopy of $M$ which push $S$ into $S^{\prime}$ so that $S^{\prime}$ is normal with respects to ( $W_{1}, W_{2}$ ) and $\#\left\{S^{\prime} \cap W_{1}\right\}=\#\left\{S \cap W_{1}\right\}-1$.

Proof of Proposition 2.2. Let $\mathscr{D}=\cup D_{i}$ be a complete disk system for $W_{2}$. Suppose that $S$ is not strictly normal. Since $S_{2}$ is incompressible and $W_{2}$ is irreducible, by standard innermost disk argument, we may assume that $S_{2} \cap D_{i}$ has no circle components. If there exists an inessential arc component $b$ of $S_{2} \cap D_{i}$ in $S_{2}$, then without loss of generality, we may assume that there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $S_{2}$ such that $\Delta \cap \mathscr{D}=b$, and $\Delta \cap \partial_{+} W_{2}$ is an arc $b^{\prime}$ such that $\partial b=\partial b^{\prime}$, and $b \cup b^{\prime}=\partial \Delta$. We note that $\mathrm{Fr}_{W_{2}} N\left(D_{i} \cup \Delta, W_{2}\right)$ consists of three disks $E_{0}, E_{1}, E_{2}$ such that $E_{0}$ is parallel to $D_{i}$. Then it is easy to see that either $\left(\mathscr{D}-D_{i}\right) \cup E_{1}$ or $\left(\mathscr{D}-D_{i}\right) \cup E_{2}$ is a complete disk system for $W_{2}$. Moreover this complete disk system intersects $S_{2}$ in less number of components. Continuing in this way, we can finally get the complete disk system for $W_{2}$ which intersects $S_{2}$ in all essential arcs.

Therefore, it $S$ is not striclty normal, we may assume that it does not satisfy (ii) of the definition. Then, there exists an arc component $b$ of $\mathscr{D} \cap S_{2}$ such that $\partial b$ is contained in mutually different components $C_{1}, C_{2}$ of $\partial S$, and one of them, say $C_{2}$, is a boundary of a disk component $E$ of $S \cap W_{1}$, and for one of open arc components $a$ of $\partial D_{i}-\partial b, a \cap \partial E=\emptyset$. Note that $b$ is a compression arc for $S_{2}$, and $b \cap E=\partial b \cap \partial E$ is a point. Hence by Lemma 2.3, $S$ can be ambient isotoped to a 2-manifold $S^{\prime}$ which is normal with respects to ( $W_{2}, W_{1}$ ), and $\#\left\{S^{\prime} \cap W_{1}\right\}<\#\left\{S \cap W_{1}\right\}$.

## 3. Height $\boldsymbol{h}$ tangles

An $n$-string tangle is a pair $(B, t)$, where $B$ is a 3-ball, and $t$ is a union of mutually disjoint $n$ arcs properly embedded in $B$. We note that for each tangle ( $B, t$ ) there is a (unique) 2-fold branched cover of $B$ with branch set $t$. We say that a tangle $(B, t)$ has height $h$ if the 2 -fold branched cover of $B$ over $t$ contains no essential surface $S$ with $-\chi(S) \leq h$. We note that 2-string tangles with height -1 are called prime tangles in [13]. We say that a tangle $(B, t)$ has property $I$ if $X=\operatorname{cl}(B-N(t, B))$ is $\partial$-irreducible, i.e. $\partial X$ is incompressible in $X$. The purpose of this section is to show that a height $h$ tangle actually exists. Namely we prove:

Proposition 3.1. For each even integer $g(\geq 2)$, and for each integer $m(\geq-1)$. there exists a g-string tangle ( $B, t$ ) with height $m$. Moreover if we suppose that $2 g-4>m \geq 0$, then we can take $(B, t)$ to have proprety $I$.


Figure 3.1

For the proof of Proposition 3.1, we recall some definitions and results from [12]. Let $W$ be a compression body and $l\left(\subset \partial_{+} W\right)$ a simple closed curve. Then the height of $l$ for $W$, denoted by $h_{W}(l)$, is defined as follows [12].
$h_{W}(l)=\min \{-\chi(S) \mid S$ is an essential surface in $W$ such that $\partial S \cap l=\emptyset\}$.
Let $W$ be a handlebody of genus $g(\geq 2)$, and $m_{1}, m_{2}, l$ simple closed curves on $\partial W$ as in Figure 3.1. Then for a sufficiently large integer $q$ we let $f$ be an automorphism of $\partial W$ such that $f=T_{m_{1}} \circ T_{m_{2}}^{2 q}$, where $T_{m_{i}}$ denotes a right hand Dehn twist along the simple closed curve $m_{i}$. By sections 2, 3 of [12] we have:

Proposition 3.2. For each $m(\geq-1)$, there exists a constant $N(m)$ such that if $p>N(m)$, then $h_{W}(\bar{l})>m$ for each simple closed curve $\bar{l}$ on $\partial W$ which is disjoint from $f^{p}(l)$ and not contractible in $\partial W$.

Let $N$ be the 3-manifold obtained from $W$ by attaching a 2-handle along the simple closed curve $f^{N(m)+1}(l)$. By Proposition 3.2 and the handle addition lemma (see, for example [3]), we see that $N$ is irreducible. We note that $W$ admits an orientation preserving involution $\phi$ as in Figure 3.1. Then we have:

Lemma 3.3. The involution $\phi$ extends to an involution $\bar{\phi}$ of $N$. Moreover, the quotient space of $N$ under $\bar{\phi}$ is a 3-ball $B$, and the singular set $t$ in $B$ consists of a union of $g$ arcs properly embedded in $B$.

Proof. We note that $m_{1}, m_{2}$, and $l$ are invariant under $\phi$. Hence we may suppose that $f^{N(m)+1}(l)$ is invariant under $\phi$. Hence the involution $\phi$ naturally extends to the 2-handle $D^{2} \times[0,1]$, where the quotient space of $D^{2} \times[0,1]$ is a 3-ball and the singular set in $D^{2} \times[0,1]$ is an arc $\alpha$ properly embedded in $D^{2} \times\{1 / 2\}$. We note that $W / \phi$ is a 3-ball, the singular set consists of $g+1$ arcs $s$, and $N\left(f^{N(m)+1}(l), \partial W\right) / \phi$ is a 2 -disk. Moreover it is easy to see that the components of $\partial \alpha$ are contained in mutually different components of $s$. Hence we see that $B$ is a 3 -ball and $t$ consists of $g$ arcs properly embedded in $B$.

Let $B, t$ be as above, and we regard $(B, t)$ as a $g$-string tangle. Then we show that ( $B, t$ ) is a height $m$ tangle (the first half of Proposition 3.1) by using good pencil argument of Johannson used in [9].

Lemma 3.4. ( $B, t$ ) has hight $m$.
Proof. Let $C=N(\partial W, W) \cup($ a 2 -handle $)$. Let $E$ be a disk properly embedded in $C$, which is obtained by extending the core of the 2 -handle vertically to $N(\partial W, W)(\cong \partial W \times[0,1])$. Then $C$ is a genus $g$ compression body, and $E$ is a complete disk system for $C$. We regard $\operatorname{cl}(N-C)$ as $W$. Then we note that $(C, W)$ is a Heegaard splitting of $N$.

Let $C^{\prime}=\mathrm{cl}(C-N(E, C))$, then $C^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $\partial_{-} C \times[0,1]$, where $\partial_{-} C$ corresponds to $\partial_{-} C \times\{0\}$. Let $E^{+}, E^{-}$be the disks in $\partial_{-} C \times\{1\}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Fr}_{C} N(E, C)$.

Claim 1. Let $D$ be an essential disk in $C$ which is non-separating in $C$. Then $D$ is ambient isotopic to $E$ in $C$.

Proof. Since $C$ is irreducible, by standard innermost disk argument, we may suppose that $D \cap E$ has no circle components. Suppose that $D \cap E=\emptyset$. Then $\partial D$ bounds a disk $D^{\prime}$ in $\partial_{-} C \times\{1\}$ such that $D$ is parallel to $D^{\prime}$. Since $\partial D$ is essential in $\partial_{+} C$ and non-separating in $\partial_{+} C$, we see that $D^{\prime}$ contains exactly one of $E^{+}, E^{-}$. Hence $D$ is parallel to $E$ in $C$. Suppose that $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Let $\Delta$ be an outermost disk in $D$, i.e. $\alpha=\Delta \cap E=\partial \Delta \cap E$ an $\operatorname{arc}, \beta=\Delta \cap \partial D$ an arc such that $\alpha \cup \beta=\partial \Delta$ and $\alpha \cap \beta=\partial \alpha=\partial \beta$. Then we see that $\Delta \cap C^{\prime}$ is a properly embedded disk in $C^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right) \cap E^{-}=\emptyset$. Then there is a disk $\Delta^{\prime}$ in $\partial_{-} C \times\{1\}$ such that $\partial \Delta^{\prime}=\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right)$. If $\Delta^{\prime}$ does not contain $E^{-}$, then by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy, we can remove $\alpha$ from $D \cap E$. Suppose that $\Delta^{\prime}$ contains $E^{-}$. Then, by tracing $\operatorname{cl}(\partial D-\beta)$ from one endpoint to the other, we see that there exists a subarc $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\partial D-\beta$ such that $\beta^{\prime} \cap E^{+}=\emptyset, \beta^{\prime} \subset \Delta^{\prime}$, and $\partial \beta^{\prime} \subset \partial E$. Hence, by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy, we can reduce the number of components of $D \cap E$. Then by the induction on $\#\{D \cap E\}$, we have the conclusion.

Claim 2. Let $D$ be an essential disk in $C$ which is separating in $C$. Then $D$ can be ambient isotoped so that $D$ is disjoint from $E$. Moreover, $D$ splits $C$ into a solid tours containing $E$, and a manifold homeomorphic to $\partial_{-} C \times[0,1]$.

Proof. Since $C$ is irreducible, by standard innermost disk arguement, we may assume that $D \cap E$ has no circle components. Suppose that $D \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Let $\Delta$ be an outrmost disk in $D$ such that $\Delta \cap E=\alpha$ and $\beta=\Delta \cap \partial D$. Then $\Delta \cap C^{\prime}$ is a properly embedded disk in $C^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right) \cap E^{-}=\emptyset$. Then there is a disk $\Delta^{\prime}$ in $\partial_{-} C \times\{1\}$ such that $\partial \Delta^{\prime}=\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right)$. If $\Delta^{\prime}$ does not contain $E^{-}$, then by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy, we can remove $\alpha$ from $D \cap E$. Suppose that $\Delta^{\prime}$ contains $E^{-}$. Then, by tracing $\operatorname{cl}(\partial D-\beta)$ from one endpoint to the other, we see that there exists a subarc $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\partial D-\beta$ such that $\beta^{\prime} \cap E^{+}=\emptyset, \beta^{\prime} \subset \Delta^{\prime}$, and $\partial \beta^{\prime} \subset \partial E^{-}$. Hence, by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy, we can reduce the number of components of $D \cap E$. Then by the induction on $\#\{D \cap E\}$, we have the first conclusion of Claim 2. Hence we may assume that $D \cap E=\emptyset$.

Let $T$ be the closure of the component of $C-D$ which contains $E$, and $T^{\prime}$ the closure of the other componnet. By [2, Corollary B.3], we see that $T, T^{\prime}$ are compression bodies. Since $T$ contains a non-separating disk $E$, and $\partial_{-} C \subset T^{\prime}$, we see that $T$ is a handlebody. Then, by Claim 1 , we see that
$T^{\prime}$ is a solid torus. This shows that $\partial_{-} T^{\prime}\left(=\partial_{-} C\right)$ is homeomorphic to $\partial_{+} T^{\prime \prime}$, so that $T^{\prime}$ is homeomorphic to $\partial_{-} C \times[0,1]$.

By Claim 2, we immediately have:
Claim 3. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be essential disks in $C$ such that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are both separating, and mutually disjoint in $C$. Then $D_{1}$ is parallel to $D_{2}$.

Next, we show:
Claim 4. Let $A$ be a vertical annulus in $C$. Then $A$ can be ambient isotoped so that it is disjoint from $E$.

Proof. Since $C$ is irreducible and $A$ is incompressible in $C$, by standard innermost disk argument, we may suppose that $E \cap A$ has no circle components. Suppose that $A \cap E \neq \emptyset$. Then each component of $E \cap A$ is an arc whose endpoints are contained in $\partial_{+} C$. Let $\Delta$ be an outermost disk in $A$, such that $\Delta \cap E=\alpha$ an arc and $\beta=\Delta \cap \partial A$ an arc in $\partial A \cap \partial_{+} C$. Then, $\Delta \cap C^{\prime}$ is a properly embedded disk in $C^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right) \cap E^{-}=\emptyset$. Then there is a disk $\Delta^{\prime}$ in $\partial_{-} C \times\{1\}$ such that $\partial \Delta^{\prime}=$ $\partial\left(\Delta \cap C^{\prime}\right)$. If $\Delta^{\prime}$ does not contain $E^{-}$, then by moving $A$ by an ambient isotopy, we can remove $\alpha$ from $A \cap E$. Suppose that $\Delta^{\prime}$ contains $E^{-}$. Then, by tracing $\operatorname{cl}\left(\partial A \cap \partial_{+} C-\beta\right)$ from one endpoint to the other, we see that there exists a subarc $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\left(\partial A \cap \partial_{+} C\right)-\beta$ such that $\beta^{\prime} \cap E^{+}=\emptyset, \beta^{\prime} \subset \Delta^{\prime}$, and $\partial \beta^{\prime} \subset \partial E^{-}$. Hence, by moving $A$ by an ambient isotopy, we can reduce the number of components of $A \cap E$. Then by the induction on $\#\{A \cap E\}$, we have the conclusion.

Let $S$ be an essential surface properly embedded in $N$ and chosen to minimize $-\chi(S)$. In the rest of this proof, we show that $-\chi(S)>m$. By moving $S$ by an ambient isotopy, we may assume that $S$ is normal with respect to ( $C, W$ ) (Sect. 2). Then $S \cap C \neq \emptyset$, and each component of $S \cap C$ is an essential disk or a vertical annulus in $C$. Let $p$ be the number of the disk components of $S \cap C$, and suppose that $p$ is minimal among all the essential surfaces $\bar{S}$ such that $-\chi(\bar{S})=-\chi(S)$, and $\bar{S}$ is normal with respect to $(C, W)$. Let $S^{*}=S \cap W$.

Suppose that $S \cap C$ has no disk components. Let $A$ be any annulus component of $S \cap C$. Then, by Claim 4, we may asume that $A$ is disjoint from $E$. Therefore $\left(S^{*}, \partial S^{*}\right) \subset(W, \partial W-\partial E)=\left(W, \partial W-f^{N(m)+1}(l)\right)$. Since $f^{N(m)+1}(l)$ has height $m$, we have $-\chi(S)=-\chi\left(S^{*}\right)>m$.

Now suppose that $S \cap C$ has a disk component. By the argument of the proof of Proposition 2.2, there exists a complete disk syst $\epsilon$ m $\mathscr{D}$ of $W$ such that each component of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$ is an essential arc in $S^{*}$. Let $\alpha$ be an outermost arc component of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$, i.e. there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $\mathscr{D}$ such that $\Delta \cap S^{*}=\partial \Delta \cap S^{*}$
$=\alpha$ an essential arc in $S^{*}$, and $\Delta \cap \partial W=\partial \Delta \cap \partial W=\beta$ an arc such that $\alpha \cup \beta=$ $\partial \Delta$.

Assume that $\partial \beta$ is contained in mutually different components of $\partial S^{*}$, and one of which is a boundary of a disk component $E^{*}$ of $S \cap C$. Then $S$ is not strictly normal since $\operatorname{Int} \beta \cap \partial E^{*}=\emptyset$. Hence, by Proposition $2.2, S$ is ambient isotopic to a normal surface $S^{\prime}$ with respect to $(C, W)$, and $S^{\prime}$ intersects $W$ in less number of disk components than that of $S$, contradicting the minimality of $p$.

Therefore we have the following four cases.
Case 1. Both endopoints of $\beta$ are contained in the boundaries of annulus components of $S \cap C$.

By Claims 1, and 2, we may suppose that $\beta \cap \partial E=\emptyset . \quad$ Let $\Delta_{1}=\beta \times[0,1] \subset$ $C^{\prime}\left(\cong \partial_{-} C \times[0,1]\right)$ be a disk in $C$ such that $\beta \times\{1\}$ corresponds to $\beta$, and $\partial \beta \times$ $[0,1]=\Delta_{1} \cap(S \cap C)$. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}=\Delta \cup \Delta_{1}$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be the 2 -manifold obtained by $\partial$ compressing $S$ along $\tilde{\Delta}$. If $\tilde{S}$ is disconnected, choose one essential component of $\tilde{S}$ and we denote it by $\tilde{S}$ again. Then $\tilde{S}$ is an essential surface in $N$ and $-\chi(\tilde{S}) \leq-\chi(S)-1<-\chi(S)$. This contradicts the minimality of $-\chi(S)$.

Case 2. Both endopints of $\beta$ are contained in the boundary of one nonseparating disk component $D$ of $S \cap C$.

Let $S^{\prime}$ be an essential surface obtained by moving $S$ by an ambient isotopy along $\Delta$. Then $S^{\prime} \cap C$ has an annulus component $A^{\prime}$, which is obtained from $D$ by attaching a band produced 'along $\beta$. Let $\partial A^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$. By Claim 1, we may suppose that $\partial D \cap \partial E=\emptyset$, hence, that $\alpha_{1} \cap E=\emptyset(i=1,2)$. Let $A_{i}=\alpha_{i}$ $\times[0,1] \subset \partial_{-} C \times[0,1]$ be a vertical annulus in $C$. Let $\tilde{S}=\left(S^{\prime}-A^{\prime}\right) \cup A_{1} \cup A_{2}$. If $\tilde{S}$ is disconnected, choose one essential component, and denote it by $\tilde{S}$ again. Then $\tilde{S}$ is an essential surface in $N$, and $-\chi(\tilde{S}) \leq-\chi(S)$. Moreover $\tilde{S}$ is normal with respect to $(C, W)$, and the number of the disk components of $\tilde{S} \cap C$ is less than $p$. This contradicts the minimality of $p$.

Case 3. Both endpoints of $\beta$ are contained in the boundary of one separating disk component $D$ of $S \cap C$, and $\beta$ does not lie in the solid torus $T_{0}$ splitted by $D$ from $C$.

Let $S^{\prime}$ be as in Case 2. Then there exists an annulus $A^{\prime}$ in $S^{\prime} \cap C$ such as in Case 2. Let $\partial A^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right\}$. Then, by Claim 2, we may assume that $D$ is disjoint from $E$. Hence $\alpha_{i} \cap E=\emptyset(i=1,2)$. Then, by the same argument as in Case 2, we have a contradiction.

Case 4. Both endpoints of $\beta$ are contained in the boundary of one separating disk component $D$ of $S \cap C$, and $\beta$ lies in the solid torus $T_{0}$ splitted by
$D$ from $C$.
Let $S^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ be as in Case 2.
Claim 5. $A^{\prime}$ is incompressible in $C$.
Proof. Assume that $A^{\prime}$ is compressible in $C$. Since $S^{\prime}$ is incompressible, the core curve of $A^{\prime}$ is contractible in $S^{\prime}$. Hence there is a planar surface $P$ in $S^{*}$ such that $\partial P=l_{0} \cup l_{1} \cup \cdots \cup l_{r}$, where $r \geq 1, l_{0} \cap D=l_{0} \cap \partial D$ an arc, $l_{1}, \cdots, l_{r}$ are boundary of disk components of $S^{\prime} \cap C$. See Figure 3.2. Since $\mathscr{D}$ is a complete disk system for $W$, each component of $P-(\mathscr{D} \cap P)$ is simply connected. This shows that there is a component $b$ of $\mathscr{D} \cap P\left(\subset \mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}\right)$ which satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.3, contradicting the minimality of $p$.
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By Claims 1 , and 5 , we see that $S \cap C$ has no non-separating disk component. Let $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, \cdots, D_{q}\right\}$ be the system of disk components of $S \cap C$ which lies in this order. Then, by Claim 3, these components are mutually parallel in $C$. Let $A$ be an annulus in $\partial_{+} C$ such that $A$ contains $\partial D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \partial D_{q}$, and each $\partial D_{i}$ is ambient isotopic in $A$ to a core of $A$. We suppose that $\#\left\{\partial \mathscr{D} \cap \partial D_{i}\right\}$ is minimal in the ambient isotopy class of $\partial \mathscr{D}$ in $\partial W\left(=\partial_{+} C\right)$, and hence, $I=\partial \mathscr{D} \cap A$ is a system of essential arcs in $A$. We lable the points $\partial D_{i} \cap I$ by $i$, then in each component of $I$, they lie in this order.

Claim 6. There exists a subsystem $P$ of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$ such that there exists a component $I_{0}$ of $I$ which satisfies the following.
(1) Every arc of $P$ has one of its endpoints in $I_{0}$.
(2) Every arc of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$ which has one of its endpoints in $I_{0}$ belongs to $P$.
(3) Every arc $t$ of $P$ joins $I_{0}$ with one of components of $I$ which are neighbouring of $I_{0}$ in $\partial \mathscr{D}$, i.e. if $s_{1}, s_{2}$ are subarcs of $\partial \mathscr{D}$ such that (Int $\left.s_{i}\right) \cap I=\emptyset$, and one of its endpoints lies in $\partial I_{0}$ and the other in the boundary of a component $I_{i}$ of $I$, say, then one of the endpoints of $t$ lies in $I_{1} \cup s_{1} \cup s_{2} \cup I_{2}$ (Figure 3.3).

Proof. Let $I_{1}$ be a component of $I$. Suppose that $I_{1}$ does not satisfy the conclusions of Claim 6. Then there is an $\operatorname{arc} t_{1}$ of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$ such that one of its
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endpoints lies in $I_{1}$ and does not join two neighbouring components of $I$. Let $E_{1}$ be the closure of a component of $\mathscr{D}-t_{1}$, and $I_{2}$ a component of $I$ contained in $\partial E_{1}$. If $I_{2}$ does not satisfy the conclusions of Claim 6, then there is an arc $t_{2}$ of $E_{1} \cap S^{*}$ such that one of its endpoints lies in $I_{2}$ and does not join two neighbouring of $I$. Let $E_{2}$ be the closure of the components of $\mathscr{D}-t_{2}$ such that $E_{2} \subset E_{1}$. By continueing in this way, it is easy to see that we finally obtain a component of $I$ satisfying the conclusion of Claim 6.

Claim 7. For each component of $P$ in Claim 6, both of its endpoints are contained in $I$, and have the same label.

Proof. Assume that there exists an arc $\alpha$ such that it has one of its endpoints in $I_{0}$ and the other not in $I$. Then $\alpha$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 2.3, contradciting the minimality of $p$. Let $a_{1}, a_{2}$ be the closures of the components of $\partial \mathscr{D}-\partial P$ which contains $s_{1}, s_{2}$ respectively. Since $D_{1}, \cdots, D_{q}$ are mutually parallel separating disks in $C$, we see that the points $\partial a_{i}$ are contained in either $\partial D_{1}$ or $\partial D_{q}$. This immediately shows that, for each component $\alpha$ of $P$, the endpoints of $\alpha$ have the same label (Figure 3.4).


Figure 3.4
Claim 8. $\partial P \subset I_{0} \cup I_{1}$, say (Figure 3.5).
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Proof. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the component of $P$ such that one of its endpoints contained in $I_{0}$ is labelled by $i$. Assume that one endpoint of $\alpha_{1}$ is contained in $I_{1}$, and that there exists $\alpha_{i}$ such that one endpoint of $\alpha_{i}$ is contained in $I_{2}$. Then by Claim 7, $\partial \alpha_{q}$ is contained in $\partial D_{q}$, and one endpoint of $\alpha_{q}$ is contained in $I_{2}$. Let $\Delta$ be a disk in $\mathscr{D}$ which is splitted by $\alpha_{q}$ and does not contain $\alpha_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{q-1}$. We may suppose that $\Delta \cap \partial_{+} C$ is not contained in the solid torus splitted by $D_{q}$ from $W$. Assume that there exists a component $\alpha$ of $\mathscr{D} \cap S^{*}$ in $\Delta-\alpha_{q}$. Then $\partial \alpha$ is contained in annulus components of $S \cap C$. Hence it reduces to Case 1, and we have a contradiction. Therefore $\Delta \cap S^{*}=\alpha_{q}$. Let $\beta_{q}=\Delta \cap \partial \mathscr{D}$. Since $\beta_{q}$ cannot lie in the solid torus $T_{0}$, it reduces to Case 3 , a contradiction.

Let $P=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{q}\right\}$ be as above. Let $\Delta_{1}$ be the disk in $\mathscr{D}$ splitted by $\alpha_{1}$ and does not contain $\alpha_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{q}$, and $\Delta_{i}(2 \leq i \leq q)$ the closure of the component of $\mathscr{D}-\alpha_{i}$ such that $\Delta_{i} \supset \Delta_{1}$. By moving $S$ by an ambient isotopy along $\Delta_{i}$ successively, we obtain a surface $S^{\prime \prime}$ which intersects $C$ in annuli, and in particular, there exist $q$ annuli which are mutually parallel in $C$. Let $\bar{l}$ be one of the components of $\partial A$. Then $\bar{l}$ is a simple closed curve in $\partial W$, and by Claim 2, we may assume that $\bar{l}$ is disjoint from $f^{N(m)+1}(l)(=\partial E)$. Let $\tilde{S}$ be an essential component of $S^{\prime \prime} \cap W$. Then $(\widetilde{S}, \partial \widetilde{S}) \subset(W, \partial W-\bar{l})$. By Proposition 3.2, we see that $-\chi(S) \geq-\chi(\tilde{S})>m$. This completes the proof.

Now we give the proof of the latter half of Proposition 3.1. Let $W^{\prime}$ be a genus $g$ compression body with $\partial_{-} W^{\prime}$ a genus $g-1$ closed surface, $m_{1}^{\prime}, m_{2}^{\prime}, l^{\prime}$ simple closed curves on $\partial_{+} W^{\prime}$ as in Figure 3.1. Then by applying the above argument to $W^{\prime}$ and $f^{\prime}=T_{m_{2}^{\prime}} \circ T_{m_{2}^{2}}^{2 q^{\prime}}$ together with Sect. 6 of [12] we have:

Proposition 3.2'. For each $m(\geq-1)$, there exists a constant $N^{\prime}(m)$ such that if $p>N^{\prime}(m)$, then $h_{W^{\prime}}(\bar{l})>m$ for each simple closed curve $\bar{l}$ on $\partial_{+} W^{\prime}$ which is disjoint from $f^{p}\left(l^{\prime}\right)$ and not contractible in $\partial^{+} W^{\prime}$.

Let $\phi^{\prime}$ be the involution on $W^{\prime}$ as in Figure 3.6. Let $N^{\prime}$ be a 3-manifold
obtained from $W^{\prime}$ by attaching a 2-handle along $f^{N^{\prime}(m)+1}\left(l^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have:
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Lemma 3.3'. The involution $\phi^{\prime}$ extends to the involution $\bar{\phi}^{\prime}$ of $N^{\prime}$. Moreover, the quotient space of $N^{\prime}$ under $\bar{\phi}^{\prime}$, denoted by $B^{\prime}$, is homeomorphic to (2-sphere) $\times[0,1]$, and the singular set $t^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$ consists of a union of $2 g$ arcs such that the endpoints of each component of $t^{\prime}$ are contained in pairwise different components of $\partial B^{\prime}$.

Moreover, by applying the argument of the proof of Lemma 3.4 to $N^{\prime}$, we have:

Lemma 3.4'. Let $S$ be an essential surface in $N^{\prime}$. Then we have $-\chi(S)>m$.
The proofs of these are essentially the same as above, and we omit them.
Proof of the latter half of Proposition 3.1. Let $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{t})$ be a tangle which is obtained from $(B, t)$ by capping off $\left(B^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ so that $\partial t$ is joined with $\partial t^{\prime}$ in a component of $\partial B^{\prime}$. Then the 2 -fold branched cover $\widetilde{N}$ of $\widetilde{B}$ branched over $\tilde{t}$ is regarded as a union of $N$ and $N^{\prime}$. Let $F=N \cap N^{\prime}$, then $F$ is a closed orientable surface of genus $g-1$.

Claim. $\tilde{N}$ is irreducible and $F$ is incompressible in $\widetilde{N}$.
Proof. Since $h_{W}\left(f^{N(m)+1}(l)\right)>m, \partial_{+} W-f^{N(m)+1}(l)$ is incompressible in $W$. We note that $W$ is irreducible. Then by the handle addition lemma, we see that $N$ is irreducible and $\partial N$ is incompressible in $N$. Similarly, $N^{\prime}$ is irreducible and $\partial N^{\prime}$ is incompressible in $N^{\prime}$. Hence $\widetilde{N}$ is irreducible and $F$ is incompressible in $\tilde{N}$.

First we show that $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{t})$ has height $m$. Let $S$ be an essential surface in $\tilde{N}$, chosen to minimize $-\chi(S)$. Suppose that $S \cap F=\emptyset$. If $S$ is boundary-parallel in $N$ or $N^{\prime}$, then $-\chi(S)=2 g-4>m$. If $S$ is not boundary-parallel (hence, essential) in $N$, then by Lemma 3.4, $-\chi(S)>m$. If $S$ is not boundary-parallel (hence, essential) in $N^{\prime}$, then by Lemma 3.4', we see that $-\chi(S)>m$.

Suppose that $S \cap F \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap F$ has the minimal number of the components among all the essential surfaces in $\widetilde{N}$ ambient isotopic to $S$. Then,
by the irreducibility of $N$, we see that each component of $S \cap N$ is incompressible in $N$. Moreover, by using the minimality of $\#\{S \cap F\}$ again, we see that each component of $S \cap N$ is an essential surface in $N$. Hence we have $-\chi(S \cap N)>m$, by Lemma 3.4. On the other hand, since $F$ is incompressible in $N^{\prime}, S \cap N^{\prime}$ has no disk components. Therefore $\chi\left(S \cap N^{\prime}\right) \leq 0$, and, hence, $-\chi(S)=-\left(\chi(S \cap N)+\chi\left(S \cap N^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq-\chi(S \cap N)>m$.

Next, we show that $(\tilde{B}, \tilde{t})$ has Property I. Let $\tilde{X}=\operatorname{cl}(\tilde{B}-N(\tilde{t}, \tilde{B}))$ be the tangle space and $X=\tilde{X} \cap B, X^{\prime}=\tilde{X} \cap B^{\prime}$. Let $P=X \cap X^{\prime}$. Then $P$ is a planar surface properly embedded in $\tilde{X}$. By Propositions 3.2 and 3.2', it is easy to see that $P$ is incompressible in $X$ and $X^{\prime}$. Suppose that there exists a compressing disk $D$ for $\partial \tilde{X}$, and $\#\{D \cap P\}$ is minimal among all the compressing disks for $\partial \tilde{X}$.

If $D \cap P=\emptyset$, then $D \subset X^{\prime}$ and $\partial D \subset \partial X^{\prime}-P$. Hence by moving $D$ by a rel $P$ ambient isotopy of $X^{\prime}$, we may suppose that $\partial D \subset \partial X^{\prime} \cap \partial \widetilde{B}$. Since $\partial X^{\prime} \cap \partial \widetilde{B}$ is incompressible in $X^{\prime}$, we see that $\partial D$ bounds a disk in $\partial X^{\prime} \cap \partial \widetilde{B}$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $D \cap P \neq \emptyset$. Since $P$ is incompressible in $\tilde{X}$, and $\tilde{X}$ is irreducible, by standard innermost disk argument, we may suppose that $D \cap P$ has no circle components. Moreover, by the minimality of $\#\{D \cap P\}$, we see that $D \cap P$ has no inessential components in $P$. Let $\alpha$ be an outermost arc component of $D \cap P$ in $D$, i.e. there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $D$ such that $\Delta \cap P=\alpha, \Delta \cap \partial D=\beta$ an arc such that $\partial \Delta=\alpha \cup \beta$ and $\partial \alpha=\partial \beta$. Then $\Delta$ is properly embedded in either $X$ or $X^{\prime}$. The first case contradicts the incompressibility of $P$ in $X$. Then we consider the second case. Suppose that the endpoints of $\alpha$ are contained in different boundary components of $P$, say $d_{1}, d_{2}$. Let $t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}$ be the components of $t^{\prime}$ such that $N\left(t_{i}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \cap P=d_{i}(i=1,2)$. Let $A=\mathrm{Fr}_{X^{\prime}} N\left(N\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right)\right.$ $\left.\cup \Delta \cup N\left(t_{2}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right), X^{\prime}\right)$. Recall that $N^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ is the 2 -fold branched cover with $\bar{\phi}^{\prime}$ generating the group of covering translation. Let $\tilde{A}$ be the lift of $A$ in $N^{\prime}$. Then $A$ consists of two annuli. If $A$ is compressible in $N^{\prime}$, then by equivariant loop theorem ([10]), there exists a compressing disk $\tilde{D}$ such that $\phi(\widetilde{D}) \cap \tilde{D}=\emptyset$ or $\phi(\widetilde{D})=\widetilde{D}$. The first case contradicts the incompressibility of $A$. Since $\phi$ exchanges the components of $\tilde{A}$, the second case does not occur. Therefore $\tilde{A}$ is incompressible in $N^{\prime}$. Since $\tilde{A}$ is not boundary parallel, $\tilde{A}$ is essential in $N^{\prime}$ with $\chi(\tilde{A})=0$. This contradicts Lemma 3.4.' Suppose that $\partial \alpha$ lies in one component of $\partial P$, say $\alpha_{0}$. Let $t_{0}^{\prime}$ be the component of $t^{\prime}$ such that $N\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \cap$ $P=\alpha_{0}$. Let $A$ be the component of $\operatorname{Fr}_{X^{\prime}} N\left(N\left(t_{0}^{\prime}, B^{\prime}\right) \cup \Delta\right)$ such that each component of $P-(A \cap P)$ contains even components of $\partial P$. Then we have a contradiction as above, completing the proof.

## 4. Characteristic knots

Let $M$ be a closed 3-manifold throughout this section.

Two knots $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ in $M$ are equivalenet if there exists an ambient isotopy $h_{t}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ of $M$ such that $h_{0}=\mathrm{id}$, and $h_{1}\left(K_{0}\right)=K_{1}$. We say that $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are inequivalent if they are not equivalent. Let $g$ be an inetger such that $g \geq 1$. A knot $K$ in $M$ is a $g$-characteristic knot if the exterior of $K$ has no 2 -sided closed incompressible surfaces of genus less than or equal to $g$ except for boundary-parallel tori.

In this section, we prove the following theorem. The proof of this is a generalization of a construction of simple knots in [14] (see also [5]).

Theorem 4.1. For each integer $g(\geq 1)$, every closed orientable 3-manifold $M$ contains infinitely many, mutually inequivalent $g$-characteristic knots.

Remark. We note that if $\operatorname{rank} H_{1}(M ; Q) \geq 2$, then, for each knot $K$ in $M$, there exists a non-separating closed incompressible surface in $E(K)$.

Proof. First we recall a special handle decomposition of $M$ from [14]. A handle decomposition $\left\{h_{i}^{k}\right\}$ of $M$ is special if;
(1) The intersection of any handle with any other handle is either empty or connected.
(2) Each 0-handle meets exactly four 1-handles and six 2-hanles.
(3) Each 1-handle meets exactly two 0-handles and three 2-handles.
(4) Each pair of 2-handles either
(a) meets no common 0 -handle or 1 -handle, or
(b) meets exactly one common 0 -handle and no common 1 -handle, or
(c) meets exactly one common 1 -handle and two common 0 -handles.
(5) The complement of any 0 -handles in $H$ is connected, where $H$ is the union of the 0 -handles and the 1 -handles.
(6) The union of any 0 -handle with $H^{\prime}$ is a handlebody, where $H^{\prime}$ is the union of the 2-handles and the 3-handles.

Note that every closed orientable 3-manifold has a special handle decomposition [14, Lemma 5.1].

Now we fix a special handle decomposition $\left\{h_{i}^{k}\right\}$ of $M$. For each 1-handle $h_{j}^{1}$, we identify $h_{j}^{1}$ with $D \times[0,1]$, where $D$ is a disk and $D \times[0,1]$ meets 0 -handles in $D \times\{0,1\}$. Let $g$ be an integer such that $g \geq 1$. Let $\alpha_{j}$ be a system of $2 g+2$ arcs properly embedded in $h_{j}^{1}$ such that each arc is identified with \{one point\} $\times[0,1]\left(\subset D^{2} \times[0,1]\right)$. Let $\tau_{i}=\left(B_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ be a copy of $(4 g+4)$-string tangle with height $4 g-4$ and Property $I$ (Progosition 3.1). Identify each 0 -handle $h_{i}^{0}$ with $B_{i}$ in a way that $\partial t_{i}$ is joined with the boundary of the $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha_{j_{i}(1)}, \alpha_{j_{i}(2)}, \alpha_{j_{i}(3)}$, $\alpha_{j_{i}(4)}$, where $h_{j_{i}(1)}^{1}, \cdots, h_{j_{i}(4)}^{1}$ are the four 1 -handles which meet the 0 -handle $h_{i}^{0}$, and $\left(\cup_{i} t_{i}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j} \alpha_{j}\right)$ becomes a knot $K$ where the unions are taken over all the 0 -handles and 1 -handles of the handle decomposition.

Let $V=\left(\cup_{i} h_{i}^{0}\right) \cup\left(\cup_{j} h_{j}^{1}\right)$ and $V^{\prime}=M$-Int $V$. Then we note that $\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ is a Heegaard splitting of $M$.

Assertion 1. The above knot $K$ in $M$ is a g-characteristic knot.
Proof. Let $V_{1}=\operatorname{cl}(V-N(K)), V_{2}=V^{\prime}, X_{i}^{0}=V_{1} \cap h_{i}^{0}$, and $X_{i}^{1}=V_{1} \cap h_{i}^{1}$. Then $X_{i}^{1} \cap\left(\cup X_{i}^{1}\right)$ consists of four disk-with-( $2 \mathrm{~g}+2$ )-holes properly embedded in $V_{1}$, say $P_{i 1}, P_{i 2}, P_{i 3}, P_{i 4}$.

Claim 1. Each $P_{i j}$ is incompressible in $V_{1}$, and $V_{1}$ is irreducible.
Proof. Suppose that $X_{k}^{0} \cap X_{l}^{1}=P_{k j}$. Since the height of $\tau_{i}$ is greater than -1 , we see that $P_{k_{j}}$ is incompressible in $X_{k}^{0}$. Since ( $X_{l}^{1}, P_{k j}$ ) is homeomorphic to ( $P_{k j} \times[0,1], P_{k j} \times\{0\}$ ), we see that $P_{k j}$ is incompressible in $X_{l}^{1}$. From these facts, it is easy to see that each $P_{k j}$ is incompressible in $V_{1}$. Then the irreducibility of each $X_{k}^{0}, X_{l}^{1}$, and the incompressibility of each $P_{i j}$ imply that $V_{1}$ is irredicible.

Let $Q_{i}=\partial X_{i}^{0} \cap \partial B_{i} . \quad$ Then $Q_{i}$ is an $(8 g+8)$-punctured sphere properly embedded in $E(K)$.

Claim 2. Each $Q_{i}$ is incompressible in $E(K)$, and $E(K)$ is irreducible.
Proof. Let $W=\operatorname{cl}\left(V-U_{j} X_{j}^{1}\right)$ and $W^{\prime}=V^{\prime} \cup\left(\cup_{j} X_{j}^{1}\right)$ (Figure 4.1). Then we note that $W, W^{\prime}$ are handlebodies.
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Suppose that there exists a compresing disk $D$ for $Q_{i}$ in $E(K)$. Since $\left(B_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ has height $4 g-4$, we see that Int $D$ is not contained in $h_{i}^{0}$. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a disk in $\partial h_{i}^{0}$ such that $\partial D^{\prime}=\partial D$. We note that $V^{\prime} \cup h_{i}^{0}$ is a handlebody by the definition of a special handle decomposition (6). Then it is easy to see that $W^{\prime} \cup h_{i}^{0}$ is a
handlebody. Hecne $W^{\prime} \cup h_{i}^{0}$ is irreducible, and the 2 -sphere $D \cup D^{\prime}$ bounds a 3-ball $B$ in $W^{\prime} \cup h_{i}^{0}$. Since $V-h_{i}^{0}$ is connected by the definition of a special handle decomposition (5), we see that $W-h_{i}^{0}$ is connected. Since $\partial D=\partial D^{\prime} \subset Q_{i}$, and $W-h_{i}^{0}$ is not contained in $B$, this implies that $\partial D$ bounds a disk in $Q_{i}$. Hence $Q_{i}$ is incompressible. Since $E(K)=W^{\prime} \cup\left(\cup_{i} X_{i}^{0}\right), W^{\prime} \cap X_{i}^{0}=Q_{i}$, by the irreducibility of $W^{\prime}, X_{i}^{0}$, and the incompressibility of $Q_{i}$, we see that $E(K)$ is irreducible.

Let $S$ be a closed incompressible surface in the exterior $E(K)$ of $K$ in $M$ which is not a boundary parallel torus in $E(K)$. Then $S$ must intersect $V_{1}$ since $V_{2}$ is a handlebody. We suppose that $\#\left\{S \cap \partial V_{1}\right\}$ is minimal among all surfaces which is ambient isotopic to $S$ in $E(K)$.

Claim 3. $S \cap V_{1}$ is incompressible in $V_{1}$, and there exists $X_{i}^{0}$ such that $X_{i}^{0} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By the irreducibility of $E(K)$ (Claim 2), and the minimality of $\#\left\{S \cap \partial V_{1}\right\}$, we see that $S \cap V_{1}$ is incompressible in $V_{1}$. Assume that $X_{i}^{0} \cap$ $\left(S \cap V_{1}\right)=\emptyset$ for each $i$, i.e. $S \cap V_{1} \subset \cup X_{j}^{1}$. Suppose that $X_{j}^{1} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $S_{j}=X_{j}^{1} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right)$. Then, by [4, Sect. 8 Lemma], we see that each component of $S_{j}$ is an annulus which is parallel to an annulus in $X_{j}^{1} \cap \partial V_{2}$, contradicting the miniimality of $\#\left\{S \cap \partial V_{1}\right\}$.

Now we suppose that $\#\left\{\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \cap\left(\cup_{i} Q_{i}\right)\right\}$ is minimal among the ambient isotopy class of $S \cap V_{1}$ in $V_{1}$. Let $X_{i}^{0}$ be the tangle space in a 0 -handle $h_{i}^{0}$ such that $X_{i}^{0} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$, and $S_{i}=X_{i}^{0} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right)$. Let $p: N \rightarrow B_{i}$ be the 2-fold branched cover of $B_{i}$ over $t_{i}$ with $\phi$ generating the group of the covering translation. Let $\widetilde{S}_{i}=p^{-1}\left(S_{i}\right)$. If $\widetilde{S}_{i}$ is compressible in $N$, there exists a compressing disk $D$ for $\widetilde{S}_{i}$ in $N$ such that either $\phi(D) \cap D=\emptyset$ or $\phi(D)=D[10]$. However the first case contradicts the incompressibility of $S_{i}$. Hence $\phi(D)=D$ and $p(D)$ is a disk in $B_{i}$ meeting $t_{i}$ in one point. Then compress $S_{i}$ by $p(D)$ (hence, the surface intersects $K$ in two points). By repeating this step finitely many times for all $i$ such that $X_{i}^{0} \cap\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we finally get a 2-manifold $S^{\prime}$ in $M$ such that each component of $\tilde{S}_{i}^{\prime}=p^{-1}\left(S_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is incompressible in $N$, where $S_{i}^{\prime}=B_{i} \cap$ ( $S^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$ ). Then we have the following two cases.

Case 1. There exists $i$ such that $\tilde{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ has a non-boundary-parallel component.

Then $\tilde{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ has an essential component $F$ in $N$. Since ( $B_{i}, t_{i}$ ) has height $4 g-4,-\chi(F)>4 g-4$. Suppose that $p(F)$ does not intersect with the singular set. Then either $p(F)$ is homeomorphic to $F$, or $p: F \rightarrow p(F)$ is a regular covering, and, hence, we have either $\chi(F)=\chi(p(F))$, or $\chi(p(F))=\chi(F) / 2$. By the minimality of $\#\left\{\left(S \cap V_{1}\right) \cap\left(\cup_{i} Q_{i}\right)\right\}$, incompressibility of $Q_{i}$, and Claim 2, we see that each component of $\partial p(F)$ is essential in $S$. Hence we have $-\chi(S) \geq$
$-\chi(F)>2 g-2$, and the genus of $S$ is greater than $g$. Suppose that $F$ intersects the singular set in $q(\geq 1)$ points. Then we have $\chi(p(F)-K)=(\chi(F)-q) / 2<$ $(\chi(F)) / 2<2-2 g$. By the same reason as above, we see that each component of $\partial p(F)$ is essential in $S$. Hence we see that $-\chi(S)=-\chi\left(S^{\prime}-K\right) \geq-\chi(p(F)-$ $K)>2 g-2$. Hence the genus of $S$ is greater than $g$.

Case 2. For every $i$, each component of $\tilde{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ is boundary-parallel in $N$.
Move $\tilde{S}_{i}^{\prime}$ by an equivariant ambient isotopy along those parallelisms so that $S_{i}^{\prime}$ is pushed off $B_{i}$. By Claim 3, we see that $S^{\prime}$ meets $K$. Let $A_{j}=\partial h_{j}^{1}-$ $\left(U_{i} \partial h_{i}^{0}\right)$. Assume that $S^{\prime} \cap\left(U_{j} A_{j}\right)=\emptyset$. Then $S^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Int}\left(\cup_{j} h_{j}^{1}\right)$. Then, by [4, Sect. 8 I.emma], we see that each component of $S^{\prime}$ is a 2 -sphere intersecting exactly one component of $\alpha_{j}$ in two points. This implies that $S$ is a boundaryparallel torus, contradicting our assumption. Therefore $S^{\prime} \cap\left(\cup_{j} A_{j}\right) \neq \emptyset$. Since $S$ is incompressible in $E(K)$, and $E(K)$ is irreducible (Claim 2), the minimality of $\#\left\{S \cap \partial V_{1}\right\}$ implies that $S^{\prime} \cap\left(\cup_{j} A_{j}\right)$ has no inessential components in $U_{j} A_{j}$. Hence, by [4, Sect. 8 Lemma], we see that each component of $S^{\prime} \cap h_{j}^{1}$ is a horizontal disk in $h_{i}^{1} \cong D \times[0,1]$. It follows that $S^{\prime}$ meets all the components of $\alpha_{j}$. Since $\alpha_{j}$ consists of $2 g+2$ arcs, this shows that for each component $F^{\prime}$ of $\kappa^{\prime}$, we have $\chi\left(F^{\prime}-K\right) \leq 2-(2 g+2)=-2 g$. Hence $\chi(S)=\chi\left(S^{\prime}-K\right) \leq-2 g$. Then we conclude that the genus of $S$ is greater than $g$.

Let $n$ be the number of 0 -handles of $\left\{h_{j}^{i}\right\}$. Let $F_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be a closed surface of genus $4 g+4$ in $E(K)$ obtained by pushing $\partial X_{i}^{0}$ slightly into Int $E(K)$.

Assertion 2. $F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}$ are incompressible in $E(K)$ and $F_{i}$ is not parallel to $F_{j}$ for each $i \neq j$.

Proof. Assume that there is a compressing disk $D$ for $F_{i}$ in $E(K)$. Since the tangle $\tau_{i}$ has Property I, $D$ lies in $\operatorname{cl}\left(E(K)-X_{i}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the union of $4 g+4$ annuli in $\operatorname{cl}\left(E(K)-X_{i}\right)$ such that one boundary component of each annulus is contained in $F_{i}$ and the other boundary component is a union of core curves of the annuli in $\partial E(K)$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Fr}_{B_{i}} N\left(t_{i}, B_{i}\right)$ (Figure 4.2).

If $D \cap \mathcal{A}=\emptyset$, by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy of $E(K)$, we may assume that $\partial D$ lies in $Q_{i}=\partial B_{i} \cap X_{i}$. This contradicts the incompressibility of $Q_{i}$ in $E(K)$ (Claim 2 in the proof of Theorem 4.1). Hence we have $D \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. Then we suppose that $\#\{D \cap \mathcal{A}\}$ is minimal among all compressing disks for $F_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{cl}\left(E(K)-X_{i}\right)$ is irreducible, we see that $D \cap \mathcal{A}$ has no circle components, by standard innermost disk argument. Let $\alpha$ be an outermost arc component of $D \cap \mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, i.e. there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\Delta \cap D=\alpha, \Delta \cap \partial \mathcal{A}=\beta$ an arc such that $\partial \Delta=\alpha \cup \beta$ and $\partial \alpha=\partial \beta$. Then by compressing $D$ along $\Delta$ toward $F_{i}$ we have two disks $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\partial D^{\prime} \subset F_{i}, \partial D^{\prime \prime} \subset F_{i}$. Since $D$ is a compressing disk for $F_{i}$, we see that one of $D^{\prime}, D^{\prime \prime}$ is a compressing disk for $F_{i}$, contradicting the minimality of $\#\{D \cap \mathcal{A}\}$. Hence $F_{i}$ is incompressible in $E(K)$.
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Next suppose that $F_{i}$ and $F_{j}$ are parallel in $E(K)$ for some $i \neq j$. Then $n=2$, and contradicting the fact that $\left\{h_{i}^{j}\right\}$ is special (cf. [5, Fact 1 of Proposition 3]).

For the proof of Theorem 4.1, we need the following theorm which is due to Haken.

Theorem 4.2. ([4], [6]). Let M be a compact, orientable 3-manifold. There is an integer $n(M)$ such that if $\left\{F_{1}, \cdots, F_{k}\right\}$ is any collection of mutually disjoint incompressible closed surfaces in $M$, then either $k<n(M)$, or for some $i \neq j, F_{i}$ is parallel to $F_{j}$ in $M$.

Completion of the Proof of Theorem 4.1. First we note that for every nonnegative integer $h$, there exists a special handle decomposition of $M$ with more than $h 0$-handles [5, Fact 2 of Proposition 3].

Let $K_{0}=K$ be a $g$-characteristic knot in $M$ obtained by the above construction (Assertion 1). Let $M_{0}=M$-Int $N\left(K_{0}\right)$. Then we find a special handle decomposition of $M$ with $h 0$-handles, where $h>n\left(M_{0}\right)$. Let $K_{1}$ be a $g$-characteristic knot constructed as above by using this handle decomposition. Then $M_{1}=M$-Int $N\left(K_{1}\right)$ contains $h$ incompressible, mutually non-parallel closed surfaces (Assertion 2). Then, by Theorem 4.2, we see that $M_{1}$ is not homeomorphic to $M_{0}$. Hence $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are inequivalent. Continuing in this way, we obtain infinitely many inequivalent $g$-characteristic knots in $M$.

## 5. Existence of a non-simple position knot

Let $H$ be a handlebody, and $k$ a knot in $H$. We say that $k$ is in a simple
position in $H$ if there exists a disk $D$ properly cmbedded in $H$ such that $D \cap k=\emptyset$, and $D$ splits a solid torus $V$ from $H$ such that $k \subset V$ and $k$ is a core curve of $V$ (Figure 5.1). We note that $k$ is in a simple position in $H$ if and only if $\mathrm{cl}(H-N(k))$ is a compression body.
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Then the prupose of this section is to prove:
Thoerem 5.1. Suppose that a closed, orientable 3-manifold $M$ admits a Heegaard splitting of genus $h$. Then for each integer $g \geq 1$, there exists a $g$ characteristic knot $K$ in $M$ such that, for any genus $h$ Heegaard splitting $(V, W)$ of $M, K$ is not ambient isotopic in $M$ to a simple position knot in $V$.

Proof. Let $\left\{h_{j}^{i}\right\}$ be a special handle decomposition of $M$ with $n 0$-handles, where $n \geq 8(3 h-3)+1$. By applying the argument of Sect. 4 to this handle decomposition, we get a $g$-characteristic knot $K$ whose complement contains a system of mutually disjoint, non-parallel incompressible closed surfaces of genus $4 g+4$, denoted by $\mathscr{F}=\left\{F_{1}, \cdots, F_{n}\right\}$ (Sect. 4 Assertion 2).

We show that this knot $K$ satisfies the conclusion of Theorem 5.1.
Assume that there is a genus $h$ Heegaard splitting $(V, W)$ of $M$ such that $K$ is in a simple position in $V$. Let $V_{1}=\mathrm{cl}(V-N(K))$ and $V_{2}=W$. Then $V_{1}$ is a genus $h$ compression body with $\partial_{-} V_{1}$ is a torus. We note that $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}\right)$ is a Heegaard splitting of $E(K)$. Then, by the irreducibility of $E(K), \mathscr{F}$ can be ambient isotoped to be normal with respect to ( $V_{1}, V_{2}$ ) (see Sect. 2). We suppose that $\#\left\{\mathscr{F} \cap V_{1}\right\}$ is minimal in the ambient isotopy class of $\mathscr{F}$ in $E(K)$.

First we show that there exists a system $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ of surfaces which is ambient isotopic to $\mathscr{F}$ in $E(K)$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$ has at least five annulus components $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{5}$ which are mutually parallel in $V^{\prime}$, and essential in $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathscr{E}_{i}=\mathscr{F} \cap V_{i}(i=1,2)$. Then we note that since $\partial V_{i}$ can contain at most $3 h-3$ parallel classes of mutually disjoint essential simple closed curves, there exists a system of mutually parallel disk components $\left\{D_{1}, \cdots, D_{q}\right\}$ of $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ which lies in this order in $V_{1}$, where $q \geq 9$.

By the argument of the proof of Proposition 2.2, there exists a complete disk system $\mathscr{D}$ for $V_{2}$ such that each component of $\mathscr{D} \cap \mathscr{F}_{2}$ is an essential arc in $\mathscr{I}_{2}$. Let $A$ be an annulus in $\partial_{+} V_{1}$ such that $A$ contains $\partial D_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \partial D_{q}$, and each
$\partial D_{i}$ is isotopic to a core of $A$. We suppose that $\#\left\{\partial \mathscr{D} \cup \partial D_{i}\right\}$ is minimal in the ambient isotopy class of $\partial \mathscr{D}$ in $\partial V_{2}\left(=\partial_{+} V_{1}\right)$, and hence, $I=\partial \mathscr{D} \cap A$ is a system of essential arcs in $A$. We label the points $\partial D_{i} \cap I$ by $i$, then, in each component of $I$, they lie in this order. Let $D$ be a component of $\mathscr{D}$ such that $D \cap A \neq$ $\emptyset$. Then by applying the argument of Claim 6 of Lemma 3.4, we see that there exists a subsystem $P$ of $D \cap \mathscr{I}_{2}$ such that there exists a component $I_{0}$ of $I$ which satisfies the following.
(1) Every arc of $P$ has one end-point in $I_{0}$.
(2) Every arc of $D \cap \mathscr{F}_{2}$ which has one end point in $I_{0}$ belongs to $P$.
(3) Every arc $t$ of $P$ joints $I_{0}$ with one of components of $I$ which are neighbouring of $I_{0}$ in $\partial D$.

Moreover, by the argument of Claim 7 of Lemma 3.4, for each component of $P$, both of its endpoints are contained in $I$. Then, by using Lemma 2.3, we see that the endpoints of each component of $P$ have the same label. Hence $P$ consists of at most two subsystems each of which contains all arcs of $P$ joing two components of $I$. Therefore by labelling " $1,2, \cdots, q$ " instead of " $q, q-1$, $\cdots, 1 "$ if necessary, we may assume that there exists a subsystem of at least five arcs $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{p}\right\}(p \geq 5)$ of $D \cap \mathscr{F}_{2}$ such that $\alpha_{i}$ joints two points in $I_{0}$ and $I_{1}$, say. Let $\Delta_{1}$ be the disk in $D$ splitted by $\alpha_{1}$ and does not contain $\alpha_{2} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{p}$, and $\Delta_{i}$ $(2 \leq i \leq p)$ the closure of the component of $D-\alpha_{i}$ such that $\Delta_{i} \supset \Delta_{1}$. Move $\mathscr{F}$ by an ambient isotopy along $\Delta_{i}$ successively, and denote the image by $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$. Then we see that $\mathscr{F}^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$ has $p$ mutually parallel annuli $\left\{A_{1}, \cdots, A_{p}\right\}$ in $V_{1}$. By the argument of the proof of Claim 5 of Lemma 3.4, we see that $A_{i}$ is incompressible, hence essential in $V_{1}$.

Now in these parallelisms $A_{i} \times[0,1]$ in $V_{1}$ where $A_{i} \times\{0\}=A_{i}, A_{i} \times\{1\}=$ $A_{i+1}(1 \leq i \leq p-1)$, there exist annuli $\Lambda_{i}$ such that each $\Lambda_{i}$ corresponds to $C_{i} \times[0,1]$ where $C_{i}$ is a core curve of $A_{i}(i=1, \cdots, p-1)$ (Figure 5.2).
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Let $E(K)=X_{0} \cup X_{1} \cup \cdots \cup X_{n}$ where $X_{j}$ corresponds to the 'inside' of $F_{j}$
(hence $X_{0} \cap X_{j}=F_{j}, j=1, \cdots, n$ ). Then $\Lambda_{i}$ is an annulus properly embedded in $X_{k}$, for some $k$. Assume that there exists a compressing disk $D$ for $\Lambda_{i}$ in $X_{j}$. Let $\Lambda$ be a subannulus in $\Lambda_{i}$ cobounded by $\partial D$ and $C_{i}$. Move the disk $D \cup \Lambda$ slightly by an ambient isotopy so that $D \cup \Lambda$ becomes a properly embedded disk in $X_{k}$. This contradicts the incompressibility of $\mathscr{F}$ in $E(K)$. Hence, $\Lambda_{i}$ is incompressible in $X_{k}$. We have either $\Lambda_{1} \subset X_{0}$ or $\Lambda_{2} \subset X_{0}$. If $\Lambda_{1} \subset X_{0}$, then we have $\Lambda_{3} \subset X_{0}$, and if $\Lambda_{2} \subset X_{0}$, then we have $\Lambda_{4} \subset X_{0}$. Now we suppose that $\Delta_{1} \subset X_{0}, \Lambda_{2} \subset X_{1}$, and $\Lambda_{3} \subset X_{0}$. (The case of $\Lambda_{2}, \Lambda_{4} \subset X_{0}$ is essentially the same.)

Claim. We have either one of:
(1) $\Lambda_{1}$ is boundary-parallel in $X_{0}$, or
(2) $\Lambda_{2}$ is boundary-parallel in $X_{1}$, or
(3) $\Lambda_{3}$ is boundary-parallel in $X_{0}$.

Proof. Recall that $Q_{i}$ is a planar surface in $\partial X_{i}$, which corresponds to $\partial X_{i} \cap \partial B_{i}$ (Sect. 4). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a disjoint union of annuli properly embedded in $X_{0}$, which is defined in the proof of Assertion 2 of Sect. 4 (Figure 4.2). We suppose that $\#\left\{\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}\right\}$ is minimal among the ambient isotpy class of $\Lambda_{1}$ in $X_{0}$. Suppose that $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$. If there are inessential arc components of $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$ in $\Lambda_{1}$, let $\alpha$ be the outermost arc component of $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$ in $\Lambda_{1}$, i.e. there exists a disk $\Delta$ in $\Lambda_{1}$ such that $\Delta \cap \mathcal{A}=\alpha, \Delta \cap \partial \Lambda_{1}=\alpha$ an arc in $\partial \Lambda_{1}$ such that $\partial \Delta=\alpha \cup \beta$ and $\partial \alpha=\partial \beta=\alpha \cap \beta$. Let $\Delta^{\prime}$ be the disk in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{Fr}_{\mathcal{A}} \Delta^{\prime}=\alpha$. Then, by moving $\Lambda \cup \Delta^{\prime}$ in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}$ by an ambient isotopy of $X_{0}$, we get a disk properly embedded in $X_{0}$, whose boundary contained in $Q_{1}$. Since $Q_{1}$ is incompressible in $E(K)$ and $X_{0}$ is irreducible, we see that this disk is parallel to a disk in $Q_{1}$, This shows that $\alpha \cap Q_{1}$ is an ineseential arc in $Q_{1}$. Therefore there is an ambient isotopy which removes $\alpha$ from $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$, contradicting the minimality of $\#\left\{\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}\right\}$. Suppose that every component of $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is an essential arc in $\Lambda_{1}$. Let $\Pi$ be a disk in $\Lambda_{1}$ which is bounded by two arcs $a_{1} a_{2}$, of $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$ and two arcs in $\partial \Lambda_{1}$ such that Int $\Pi \cap \mathcal{A}=\emptyset$. Let $\Delta_{i}$ be a disk in $\mathcal{A}$ such that $a_{i}$ bounds $\Delta_{i}$ with an arc in $\partial \mathcal{A}(i=1,2)$. Assume that one of $\Lambda_{i}$ is contained in the other. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\Delta_{1} \subset \Lambda_{2}$. Then by moving $\Pi \cup \Delta_{1}$ by rel $a_{2}$ isotopy, we get a disk $\Pi^{\prime}$ in $X_{0}$ such that $\Pi^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{A}=a_{2}, \Pi^{\prime} \cap \partial X_{0}=\operatorname{cl}\left(\partial \Pi^{\prime}-a_{2}\right)$, and $\left(\Pi^{\prime} \cap \partial X_{0}\right) \cap Q_{1}=\beta^{\prime}$ an arc. By the above argument, we see that $\beta^{\prime}$ is an inessential arc in $Q_{1}$ (i.e. there is a disk $\Delta^{*}$ in $Q_{1}$ such that $\mathrm{Fr}_{Q_{1}} \Delta^{*}=\beta^{\prime}$ ). Since $\Pi$ is reproduced by adding a band to $\Pi^{\prime}$ along an arc $\gamma$ such that $\gamma \cap \Delta^{*} \neq \emptyset$, we wee that $\Pi \cap Q_{1}$ consists of two inessential arcs in $Q_{1}$, contradicting the minimality of $\#\left\{\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}\right\}$. Hence $\Delta_{1} \cap \Delta_{2}=\emptyset$. Let $E=\Pi \cup \Delta_{1} \cup \Delta_{2}$. Then, by moving the disk $E$ in a neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}$ by an ambient isotopy of $X_{0}$, we may assume that $E$ is a disk properly embedded in $X_{0}$ and $\partial E$ in $Q_{1}$. Then by the above argument we see that $E$ is parallel to a
disk in $Q_{1}$ The same is hold for any pair of neighbouring arcs of $\Lambda_{1} \cap \mathcal{A}$ Then we conclude that $\Lambda_{1}$ is boundary parallel in $X_{0}$. Similarly, if every component of $\Lambda_{3} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is an essential arc in $\Lambda_{3}, \Lambda_{3}$ is boundary-parallel in $X_{0}$.

Now suppose that $\partial \Lambda_{i} \cap \partial \mathcal{A}=\emptyset(i=1,3)$ (hence $\Lambda_{i} \cap \mathcal{A}=\emptyset$ or each component of $\Lambda_{i} \cap \mathcal{A}$ is an essential circle in $\Lambda_{i}$ ). Then $\partial \Lambda_{2} \cap \partial \mathcal{A}=\emptyset$. Assume that $\Lambda_{2}$ is not boundary-parallel in $X_{1}$. Let $p: N \rightarrow B_{1}$ be the 2-fold branched cover over $t_{1}=K \cap B_{1}$ with $\phi$ generating the group of covering translation. Let $\tilde{\Lambda}_{2}=p^{-1}\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$. Since the tangle $\left(B_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ has height $4 g-4, \tilde{\Lambda}_{2}$ is compressible in $N$. Then there exists a compressing disk $\widetilde{D}$ for $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{2}$ in $N$ such that $\phi(\widetilde{D}) \cap \widetilde{D}=\emptyset$ or $\phi(\tilde{D})=\tilde{D}([10])$. The first case contradicts the incompressibility of $\Lambda_{2}$ in $X_{1}$. In the second case, $D=p(\tilde{D})$ meets $t_{1}$ in one point. Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ be disks obtained by compressing $\Lambda_{2}$ by $D$. Since the height of $\left(B_{1}, t_{1}\right)$ is greater than -1 , there is a closure of a component of $B_{1}-D_{i}$, say $B^{i}$, such that ( $B^{i}, B^{i} \cap t_{1}$ ) is a 1 -string trivial tangle. Then we have either $B^{1} \cap B^{2}=\emptyset$, or one of $B^{1}, B^{2}$ is contained in the other (Figure 5.3). In the first case, we see that $\Lambda_{2}$ is parallel to an annulus in $\partial X_{0}$ corresponding to a component of $\mathrm{Fr}_{B_{1}} N\left(t_{1}, B_{1}\right)$. In the second case, we see that $\Lambda_{2}$ is parallel to an annulus in $Q_{1}$. Hence we have the conclusion (2) of Claim.
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Now we may assume that $\Lambda_{i}$ is boundary-parallel in $X_{j}$ for some $i$ and $j$. By extending the ambient isotopy along this parallelism, we can remove two annuli $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ from $\mathscr{F}^{\prime} \cap V_{1}$. Denote this image by $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime \prime}$. Then moving $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime}$ by an ambient isotopy, which corresponds to the reverse that of $\mathscr{F}$ to $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$, we obtained a system of surfaces $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ which intersects $V_{1}$ in essential disks and the number of the components of $\mathscr{F}^{\prime \prime \prime} \cap V_{1}$ is less than that of $\mathscr{F} \cap V_{1}$. This contradicts the minimality of the number of the components of $\mathscr{F} \cap V_{1}$, completing the proof.

## 6. Proof of Main Theorem

In this section, we give a proof of Hass-Thompson conjecture. First we prepare the follwing lemma.

Lemma 6.1. ([3]). Let $\left(W_{1}, W_{2}\right)$ be a Heegaard splitting of a 3-manifold M. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a disjoint union of essential 2 -spheres and disks in $M$. Ther, there exists a disjoint union of essential 2 -spheres and disks $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ in $M$ such that
(1) $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is obtained from $\mathcal{S}$ by ambient 1 -surgery and isotopy,
(2) each component of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ meets $\partial_{+} W_{1}-\partial_{+} W_{2}$ in a curcle,
(3) there exists complete disk systems $\mathscr{D}_{i}$ for $W_{i}$, such that $\mathscr{D}_{i} \cap \mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\emptyset$ $(\imath=1,2)$.
(4) if $M$ is irreducibli, then $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is actually isotopic to $\mathcal{S}$.

Let $M$ be a compact, orientable 3-manifold such that $\partial M$ has no 2-sphere components. A Heegaard splitting $(V, W)$ of $M$ is of type $T$ (unnel), if $W$ is a handlebody (hence $V$ is a compression hody with $\partial_{-} V=\partial M$ ). Then we define the T-Heegaard genus of $M$, denoted by $g^{T}(M)$, as the minimal genus of the type $T$ Heegaard splittings. Then for the proof of Main Theorem, we first show:

Proposition 6.2. Let $M$ be a connected 3-manifold such that $\partial M$ has no 2-sphere components. Suppose that there exists a compressing disk for $\partial M$ in $M$. Let $\bar{M}$ be a 3-manifold obtained by cutting $M$ along $D$. Then

$$
g^{T}(\bar{M})= \begin{cases}g^{T}(M), & \text { if } \bar{M} \text { is disconnected, }, \\ g^{T}(M)-1, & \text { if } \bar{M} \text { connected }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. First we note that the T-Heegaard genus is additive under connected sum [3]. Let $S$ be a system of 2 -spheres which gives a prime decomposition of $M$. By standard innermost disk argument, we may assume that $D$ is disjoint from $S$. Therefore we may assume, without loss of generality, that $M$ is irreducible.

Case 1. $D$ is separating in $M$.
Let $\bar{M}=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$ where $M_{i}(i=1,2)$ is a connected component of $\bar{M}$. Then $M$ is a boundary connected sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, i.e. $M=M_{1} \nmid M_{2}$. Hence, the fact that $g^{T}(\bar{M})=g^{T}(M)$ follws from Lemma 6.1 (for the detailed argument, see [3]).

Case 2. $D$ is non-separating in $M$.
Let $(V, W)$ be a minimal genus type T Heegaard splitting of $M$. Then, by Lemma 6.1, we may assume that $D$ meets $\partial W$ in a circle. Let $\bar{D}=D \cap W$ and $\bar{A}=D \cap V$. Then $\bar{D}$ is an essential disk in $W$ and $\bar{A}$ is an essential annulus in $V$. Let $\bar{W}=\operatorname{cl}(W-N(\bar{D}, W))$, and $N$ a sufficiently small regular neighborhood of $D$ in $M$ such that $N \cap \bar{W}=\emptyset$. We identity $\bar{M}$ to $\operatorname{cl}(M-N)$, and let $\bar{V}=$ $\operatorname{cl}(\bar{M}-\bar{W})$. Then we see that $(\bar{V}, \bar{W})$ is a type T Heegaard splitting of $\bar{M}$. Hence $g^{T}(\bar{M}) \leq g(\partial \bar{W})=g^{T}(M)-1$.

Next suppose that $(\bar{V}, \bar{W})$ is a type T Heegaard splitting of $\bar{M}$ which realizes T-Heegaard genus of $\bar{M}$. By considering dual picture, we identify $\bar{V}$ to $\partial_{-} \bar{V} \times I \cup(1$-handles). We identify $N(D, M)$ as $\mathrm{D} \times[0,1]$, then $M=\bar{M} \cup$ $(D \times[0,1])$. Let $\alpha$ be an arc obtained by extendiog the core of $D \times[0,1]$ vertically to $\partial_{-} \bar{V} \times[0,1]$. By general position argument, we may suppose that $\alpha \cap(1$-handles $)=\emptyset$ (hence, $\alpha$ is properly embedded in $\operatorname{cl}(M-\bar{W}))$. Let $N^{\prime}$ be a regular neighborhood of $\alpha$ in $\operatorname{cl}(M-\bar{W}), W=\bar{W} \cup N^{\prime}$, and $V=\operatorname{cl}(M-W)$. Then it is easy to see that $W$ is a handlebody in $\operatorname{Int} M$, and $V$ is a compression body in $M$. Therefore $(V, W)$ is a type T Heegaard splitting of $M$. Hence $g^{T}(M) \leq g(\partial W)=g(\partial \bar{W})+1=g^{T}(\bar{M})+1$. Therefore $g^{T}(\bar{M})=g^{T}(M)-1$.

Proof of Main Theorem. The 'if' part of Main Theorem is clear. Hence we give a proof of 'only if' part. Let $M, V$ be as in Main Theorem. Let $E=\mathrm{cl}(M-V)$. If $E$ is a handlebody, then we are done. Hence we suppose that $E$ is not a handlebody. Let $\bar{g}$ be an integer such that $V$ can be extended to a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting of $M(\bar{V}, \bar{W})$, i.e. there exists a system of mutually disjoint $\bar{g}-g$ arcs $\mathcal{A}$ properly embedded in $E$ such that $\bar{V}=V \cup N(\mathcal{A}, E), \bar{W}=$ $\operatorname{cl}(M-\bar{V})$ are handlebodies. Let $K$ be a $g$-characteristic knot in $M$ which is not ambient isotopic to a simple position in any genus $\bar{g}$ handlebody giving Heegaard splittings of $M$ (Theorem 5.1). Then take a handlebody $V_{*}$ in $M$ with the following properties; (i) $V_{*}$ contains $K$, (ii) $V_{*}$ can be extended to a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting, and (iii) the genus of $V_{*}$, denoted by $g_{*}$, is minimal among all the handlebodies in $M$ satisfying the above conditions (i), and (ii). We note that $V$ satisfies the above conditions (i), and (ii), and, hence, $g_{*} \leq g$. Let $E_{*}=\operatorname{cl}\left(M-V_{*}\right)$. Then in the rest of this sectoin, we show that $E_{*}$ is a handlebody, which completes the proof of Main Theorem.

Now assume that $E_{*}$ is not a handlebody. Since $E(K)$ is irreducible and $E_{*} \subset E(K), E_{*}$ is irreducible. Hence there exists a maximal compression body $W_{*}$ for $\partial E_{*}$ in $E_{*}$ unique up to ambient isotopy [2]. Since $E_{*}$ is not a handlebody, $\partial_{-} W_{*} \neq \emptyset$. Let $Y=V_{*} \cup W_{*}$, then $\left(V_{*}, W_{*}\right)$ is a Heegaard splitting of $Y$. We note that $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ lies in $E(K)$, and the sum of the genus of components of $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ is less than or equal to $g_{*}$. Then, by the property of $g$ characteristic knot $K$, each component of $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ is a boundary-parallel torus or a compressible closed surface in $E(K)$. Hence we have the following two cases.

Case 1. Each component of $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ is a boundary-parallel torus in $E(K)$.
Assume that $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ has more than one components $T_{1}, \cdots, T_{n}(n \geq 2)$. Let $P_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be the paralleisms between $T_{i}$ and $\partial E(K)$. By exchanging the suffix if necessary, we may suppose that $P_{i} \subset P_{j}$ if $i<j$. Then we have $P_{1} \supset W_{*}$. On the other hand, we have $\partial W_{*}=\partial V_{*} \cup \partial_{-} W_{*}=\partial V_{*} \cup T_{1} \cup T_{2} \cdots \cup T_{n}$. Hence $P_{1} \supset T_{2}, \cdots, T_{n}$, a contradiction.

Therefore $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ consists of one boundary-parallel torus in $E(K)$. Then
we see that $Y=V_{*} \cup W_{*}$ is a solid torus. Let $D$ be a meridian disk of $Y$. Since $Y$ is irreducible, by moving $D$ by an ambient isotopy, we may suppose that $D$ meets $\partial V_{*}$ in a circle (Lemma 6.1). By considering dual picture, we identify $W_{*}$ to $\partial_{-} W_{*} \times[0,1] \cup$ (1-handles). Then, by Lemma 6.1 (3), we may suppose that $D \cap W_{*}$ is disjoint from the 1 -handles. Let $\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{g_{*}-1}$ be arcs properly embedded in $W_{*}$ obtained by extending the cores of the 1 -handles vertically to $\partial_{-} W_{*} \times[0,1]$ (hence $\partial_{-} W_{*} \cup \alpha_{1} \cup \cdots \cup \alpha_{g_{*}-1}$ is a deformation retract of $\left.W_{*}\right)$. Let $Q=N(Y, M)$. Then, move $K$ by an ambient isotopy in $Q$ so that $K \subset \partial Y, N(K, Q) \cap N\left(\alpha_{i}, Y\right)=\emptyset$, and $K \cap D=K \cap \partial D$ consists of one point. Let $Y^{*}=Y \cup N(K, Q)(\cong Y)$, and identify $\operatorname{cl}\left(Q-Y^{*}\right)$ with the product of a torus $T\left(=\partial Y^{*}\right)$ and an interval $T \times[0,1]$. Then, we may view $W_{*}, V_{*}$ as follows: $W_{*}=(T \times[0,1]) \cup\left(\cup_{i} N\left(\alpha_{i}, Y\right)\right), V_{*}=\operatorname{cl}\left(Y^{*}-\left(\cup_{i} N\left(\alpha_{i}, Y\right)\right)\right.$.

Let $\Delta=\operatorname{Fr}_{Y^{*}}(N(K, Q) \cup N(D, Y))$ be a disk properly embedded in $V_{*}$. Then $\Delta$ splits a solid torus $N(K, Q) \cup N(D, Y)$ from $V_{*}$, and $K$ lies in it as a core curve. This implies that $K$ is in a simple position in $V_{*}$. Since $V_{*}$ can be extended to a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting, which is ambient isotopic to ( $\bar{V}, \bar{W}$ ), we see that $K$ is ambient isotopic to a simple position in $\bar{V}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. There exists a component of $\partial_{-} W_{*}$ which is compressible in $E(K)$.

Let $D$ be a compressing disk for $\partial_{-} W_{*}$. Since $W_{*}$ is a maximal compression body for $\partial E_{*}$ in $E_{*}$, we see that $D \subset Y$. Let $\bar{Y}$ be the 3-manifold obtained by cutting $Y$ along $D$. Then, by the proof of Proposition 6.2, there exists a minimal genus Heegaard spltting $\left(V^{*}, W^{*}\right)$ of $Y$ such that $V^{*} \cap D$ is an essential disk in $V^{*}$. We note that since $D \subset E(K), K$ is disjoint from $D$. Moreover, by moving $K$ by an ambient isotopy in $\bar{Y}$, we may suppose that $K \subset V^{*}$ $-\left(D \cap V^{*}\right)$. If $g\left(V^{*}\right)<g_{*}$, attach $g_{*}-g\left(V^{*}\right)$ trivial 1-handles in $W^{*}$ disjoint from $D$ to $V^{*}$. We denote the new genus $g_{*}$ Heegaard splitting of $Y$ by $\left(V^{*}, W^{*}\right)$, again. Then $\left(V^{*}, W^{*}\right)$ is a genus $g_{*}$ Heegaard splitting of $Y$ such that $V^{*}$ cotains $K$ and there exists an essential disk $D^{*}=V^{*} \cap D$ in $V^{*}$ which is disjoint from $K$.

Let $E^{*}=\operatorname{cl}(M-Y) \cup W^{*}$. Since $W_{*}$ and $W^{*}$ are compression bodies such that $\partial_{-} W_{*}=\partial_{-} W^{*}=\partial Y$, and $\partial_{+} W_{*} \cong \partial_{+} W^{*}$ a genus $g_{*}$ closed surface, $W_{*}$ is homeomorphic to $W^{*}$. Hence $E_{*}=\operatorname{cl}\left(M-V_{*}\right)=\operatorname{cl}(M-Y) \cup W_{*} \cong \operatorname{cl}(M-Y)$ $\cup W^{*}=E^{*}$ i.e, $E_{*}$ is homeomorphic to $E^{*}$.

By the assumption, $V_{*}$ can be extended to a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting $\left(\bar{V}_{*}, \bar{W}_{*}\right)$ of $M$. Let $V_{*}^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(N\left(\bar{V}_{*}, M\right)-V_{*}\right)$, and $W_{*}^{\prime}=\operatorname{cl}\left(E_{*}-V_{*}^{\prime}\right)$. Then ( $V_{*}^{\prime}, W_{*}^{\prime}$ ) is a genus $\bar{g}$ type T Heegaard splitting of $E_{*}$. Since $E^{*}$ is homeomorphic to $E_{*}$, there is a genus $\bar{g}$ type T Heegaard splitting $\left(V^{* \prime}, W^{* \prime}\right)$ of $E^{*}$ corresponding to $\left(V_{*}^{\prime}, W_{*}^{\prime}\right)$. We note that since $\partial V^{* \prime} \cap V^{*}=\partial_{-} V^{* \prime}=\partial V^{*}$,
$V^{* \prime} \cup V^{*}$ is a handlebody in $M$. Hence ( $V^{* \prime} \cup V^{*}, W^{* \prime}$ ) is a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting of $M$. Let $\tilde{V}$ be a component of $V^{*}-N\left(D^{*}\right)$ which contains $K$ inside. Then $\widetilde{V}$ is a handlebody of genus less than $g_{*}$ and it can be extended to a genus $\bar{g}$ Heegaard splitting $\left(V^{* \prime} \cup V^{*}, W^{* \prime}\right)$ of $M$. This contradicts the minimality of $g_{*}$.

This completes the proof of Main Theorem.
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