

## SPECTRAL PROPERTIES OF THE LAPLACE OPERATOR IN $L^p(\mathbf{R})$

WERNER J. RICKER\*

(Received January 28, 1987)

**1. Introduction.** One of the useful tools for analyzing a linear operator  $T$  in a Banach space  $X$ , if available, is a functional calculus. In general, no reasonable functional calculus may exist. If it is known that  $T$  is a closed operator then there is available a restricted functional calculus for  $T$  based on functions which are holomorphic in a neighbourhood of the spectrum  $\sigma(T)$ , of  $T$ , and have a limit at infinity, [4; Ch. VII]. To admit a richer functional calculus it would be expected that  $T$  should satisfy some additional properties. For  $0 \leq \alpha < \pi$ , define the open cone  $S_\alpha = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}; |\arg(z)| < \alpha\}$ . A closed operator  $T$  in  $X$  is said to be of type  $\omega$  [12], where  $0 \leq \omega < \pi$ , if  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \bar{S}_\omega$  (the bar denotes closure and, by definition,  $\bar{S}_0 = [0, \infty)$ ) and, for  $0 < \varepsilon < (\pi - \omega)$  there is a positive constant  $c_\varepsilon$  such that

$$\|R(\lambda; T)\| \leq c_\varepsilon |\lambda|^{-1}, \quad \lambda \notin \bar{S}_{\omega+\varepsilon}.$$

Here  $R(\lambda; T)$  denotes the resolvent operator of  $T$  at  $\lambda$ . We remark that  $-T$ , for the case  $0 \leq \omega \leq \pi/2$ , is the infinitesimal generator of a holomorphic semigroup [12; Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.2].

In the case when  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $T$  is of type  $\omega$  there are results of A. Yagi [13] and more recently, of A. McIntosh [10], which give conditions equivalent to the existence of a functional calculus for  $T$  based on the algebra  $H^\infty(S_{\omega+\varepsilon})$ , for every  $0 < \varepsilon < (\pi - \omega)$ . For example, this is the case if the purely imaginary powers  $T^{iu}$ ,  $u \in \mathbf{R}$ , exist as bounded operators in  $X$  or if  $T$  satisfies certain square function estimates. However, these results are specific to Hilbert space. The situation in Banach spaces, even reflexive ones, is less clear and more complex; some positive results in this setting can be found in [2].

Perhaps one of the simplest examples to consider is the Laplace operator  $L = -d^2/dx^2$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ . In this case, it turns out that  $L$  is of type  $\omega = 0$  and, as indicated in Section 2,  $L$  has an  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$ -functional calculus for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Another algebra of functions acting on  $L$  is the space  $BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  of functions on  $[0, \infty)$  which are of bounded variation. We note that these

---

\* This paper is dedicated to the late Professor N. Dunford.

algebras are distinct. Indeed, the function  $z \rightarrow z^\varepsilon$  belongs to  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  for every  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$  but its restriction to  $[0, \infty)$  is surely not of bounded variation. It is just as easy to exhibit elements of  $BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  which are not the restriction to  $[0, \infty)$  of any element of  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; the characteristic function  $\chi_J$  of any interval  $J \subseteq [0, \infty)$ , other than  $[0, \infty)$  itself, will do.

The most desirable functional calculus is one admitting the largest possible class of functions defined on  $\sigma(L) = [0, \infty)$ . If  $p = 2$ , then  $L$  is self-adjoint and hence it is possible to form a continuous linear operator  $\psi(L)$  for every bounded Borel function  $\psi$  on  $[0, \infty)$ . The question arises of whether this is still the case for  $p \neq 2$ , that is, whether  $L$  is a scalar-type spectral operator in the sense of N. Dunford [5]? As noted above an operator  $\psi(L)$  exists whenever  $\psi = \chi_J$  for some interval  $J \subseteq [0, \infty)$ . Since such sets generate the Borel subsets of  $[0, \infty)$  one might be hopeful of a positive answer. Unfortunately, the main aim of this note is to show that  $L$  is not a scalar-type spectral operator in Dunford's sense if  $p \neq 2$ ; see Theorem 1 below.

**2. Some functional calculi for  $L$ .** Unless stated otherwise it is assumed that  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Consider the closed operator  $L$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  given by  $L = -d^2/dx^2$ . The domain of  $L$  is taken to be the dense subspace of  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  specified by

$$\mathcal{D}(L) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); f' \in AC(\mathbf{R}), f'' \in L^p(\mathbf{R})\}$$

where  $AC(\mathbf{R})$  is the space of functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  which are absolutely continuous on bounded intervals. Then  $\sigma(L) = [0, \infty)$  and  $-L$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous  $C_0$ -semigroup of contractions, namely the Gauss-Weierstrass semigroup given by

$$(G_t f)(u) = \frac{1}{2} (\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u-w) e^{-w^2/4t} dw, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}),$$

for each  $t > 0$  [7; § 21.4]. It is known that

$$(1) \quad \|R(\lambda; L)\| \leq 1/|\lambda| \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2} \arg(\lambda)\right), \quad \lambda \in \rho(L) = \mathbf{C} \setminus [0, \infty),$$

[8; IX § 1.8], from which it follows that  $L$  is of type  $\omega = 0$ . Let  $D = -id/dx$  denote the differentiation operator with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(D) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); f \in AC(\mathbf{R}), f' \in L^p(\mathbf{R})\}.$$

Then  $D$  is closed, densely defined and  $\sigma(D) = \mathbf{R}$ .

For ease of presentation we now assume that  $p \in (1, 2)$ . Then it is possible to reformulate the domains of  $L$  and  $D$  in terms of the Fourier transform mapping  $\hat{\cdot} : L^p(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow L^q(\mathbf{R})$  where  $q$  is the conjugate index to  $p$ . Indeed,

$$\mathcal{D}(L) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); \xi^2 \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi) \text{ for some } g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})\}$$

and, for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , it turns out that  $Lf = g$  where  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies  $\hat{g}(\xi) = \xi^2 \hat{f}(\xi)$  [7; § 21.4]. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{D}(D) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); \xi \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi) \text{ for some } g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})\}$$

and, for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ , it is the case that  $Df = g$  where  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies  $\hat{g}(\xi) = \xi \hat{f}(\xi)$ .

Let the bounded measurable function  $m: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a  $p$ -multiplier [11; IV § 3]. Then there exists a bounded operator in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , say  $T_m$ , such that

$$(T_m f)^\wedge(\xi) = m(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi), \quad f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbf{R}).$$

Observing that  $(Df)^\wedge(\xi) = \xi \hat{f}(\xi)$ , for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ , it is natural to define  $m(D)$  to be the operator  $T_m$ . If  $\gamma: \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is the function  $\gamma(z) = z^2$ , then  $\gamma(D) = D^2 = L$  where  $D^2$  is defined in the usual way for positive integral powers of an unbounded operator. So, if  $m$  is a bounded measurable function on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $m \circ \gamma: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a  $p$ -multiplier, then we can define an operator  $m(L)$  by

$$(2) \quad m(L) = (m \circ \gamma)(D).$$

Since the linear space of bounded measurable functions  $m: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  such that  $m \circ \gamma: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a  $p$ -multiplier forms an algebra under pointwise multiplication it follows that the action of such functions  $m$  on  $L$  as specified by (2) is multiplicative. It is the formula (2) which will imply that  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  acts on  $L$  for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The following result on multipliers will be needed. It is essentially Theorem 3 of [11; p. 96]. An examination of its proof shows that the constant  $A_p$  specified there has the form of the right-hand-side of (3) for some universal constant  $\alpha_p$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . There exists a constant  $\alpha_p$  such that if  $m: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is any  $C^1$ -function in  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$  for which both  $m$  and  $\xi \mapsto \xi m'(\xi)$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$ , are bounded, then  $m$  is a  $p$ -multiplier and the associated operator  $T_m$ , considered in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , satisfies*

$$(3) \quad \|T_m\| = \|m(D)\| \leq \alpha_p \max\{\|m\|_\infty, \|\xi m'(\xi)\|_\infty\}.$$

Now, fix  $0 < \varepsilon < \pi$  and let  $\psi \in H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$ . Then  $\psi \circ \gamma \in H^\infty(C_{\varepsilon/2})$  where, for any  $0 \leq \rho < \pi/2$ ,  $C_\rho$  is the open double cone  $S_\rho \cup (-S_\rho)$  and  $-S_\rho = \{-z; z \in S_\rho\}$ . Furthermore, the norm  $\|\psi \circ \gamma\|_\infty = \sup\{|\psi(z^2)|; z \in C_{\varepsilon/2}\}$  of  $\psi \circ \gamma \in H^\infty(C_{\varepsilon/2})$  coincides with the norm  $\|\psi\|_\infty = \sup\{|\psi(w)|; w \in S_\varepsilon\}$  of  $\psi \in H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$ . If  $\phi$  is any element of  $H^\infty(C_{\varepsilon/2})$ , then it follows from the Cauchy integral formula that

$$|\phi'(x)| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty / |x| \sin(\varepsilon/2), \quad x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

and hence

$$(4) \quad |(\psi \circ \gamma)'(x)| \leq \|\psi \circ \gamma\|_\infty / |x| \sin(\varepsilon/2) = \|\psi\|_\infty / |x| \sin(\varepsilon/2),$$

for each  $x \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . Defining  $(\psi \circ \gamma)(0)$  to be zero, say, it follows from Lemma 1 that the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}$  of  $\psi \circ \gamma$ , again denoted by  $\psi \circ \gamma$ , is a  $p$ -multiplier and hence the bounded operator  $\psi(L) = (\psi \circ \gamma)(D)$  certainly exists. Noting that  $1/\sin(\varepsilon/2) \geq 1$  it follows from (4) that

$$\max\{\|\psi \circ \gamma\|_\infty, \|\xi(\psi \circ \gamma)'(\xi)\|_\infty\} = \|\psi \circ \gamma\|_\infty / \sin(\varepsilon/2) = \|\psi\|_\infty / \sin(\varepsilon/2)$$

and hence, (3) implies the continuity of the mapping  $\psi \mapsto \psi(L) = (\psi \circ \gamma)(D)$  from  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  into the space of bounded linear operators on  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  equipped with the uniform operator topology. Accordingly,  $L$  admits a  $H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  functional calculus.

It is worth noting that this functional calculus includes the resolvent operators of  $L$ . Indeed, if  $w \in \mathbf{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ , then there exists  $u \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \mathbf{R}$  such that  $u^2 = w$ . Of course, the other square root of  $w$  is then  $-u$ . Let  $R_w(z) = (z - w)^{-1}$  for  $z \neq w$ . Let  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi)$  be any number such that  $R_w \in H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  in which case  $R_w \circ \gamma \in H^\infty(C_{\varepsilon/2})$ . It follows from the definition that  $R_w(L) = (R_w \circ \gamma)(D)$  since  $R_w(x^2) = (x^2 - w)^{-1}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , is a  $p$ -multiplier. But,  $R_w(x^2) = \psi_1(x) - \psi_2(x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\psi_1(x) = [2u(x - u)]^{-1}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $\psi_2(x) = [2u(x + u)]^{-1}$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ . Lemma 1 implies that both  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are  $p$ -multipliers and so  $R_w(L) = (R_w \circ \gamma)(D) = \psi_1(D) - \psi_2(D)$ . But, noting that  $u$  and  $-u$  are in the resolvent set of  $D$ , it is easily checked from the definition of  $D$  in terms of the Fourier transform that  $\psi_1(D) = (2u)^{-1}(D - uI)^{-1}$  and  $\psi_2(D) = (2u)^{-1}(D + uI)^{-1}$ . Since  $D$  is a closed operator it follows, for each  $\lambda \in \rho(D)$ , that the range of  $D - \lambda I$  on  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  is all of  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , [7; Theorem 2.16.3], and hence, that the operator  $(D - \lambda I)^{-1}$  is everywhere defined. Accordingly,  $(D - \lambda I)^{-1} = R(\lambda; D)$  and so the resolvent identities for  $D$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(D) - \psi_2(D) &= R(u; D)R(-u; D) = (D - u)^{-1}(D + u)^{-1} \\ &= (D^2 - u^2)^{-1} = (L - w)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

But,  $L$  is also a closed operator and hence  $(L - w)^{-1} = R(w; L)$ . It follows that  $R_w(L) = (R_w \circ \gamma)(D) = R(w; L)$ .

We remark that if  $\psi(z) = f(z)/g(z)$  where  $f$  and  $g$  are polynomials such that  $\deg(f) \leq \deg(g)$  and the zeros of  $g$  are in the resolvent set  $\mathbf{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$  of  $L$ , then it is natural to define a bounded operator  $\tilde{\psi}(L)$  by

$$\tilde{\psi}(L) = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} a_{nj} R(w_n; L)^j = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} a_{nj} [(L - w_n)^{-1}]^j$$

where  $\psi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{m_n} a_{nj} (z - w_n)^{-j}$  is the partial fraction decomposition of  $\psi$ . Here

$\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  are the zeros of  $g$  and, for each  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , the multiplicity of the zero  $w_n$  is  $m_n$ . Now if  $\varepsilon \in (0, \pi)$  is any number such that  $\{w_n\}_{n=1}^k \cap \bar{S}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$ , then  $\psi \in H^\infty(S_\varepsilon)$  and hence there is also the operator  $\psi(L)$  defined via (2). It is clear from the previous paragraph that the operators  $\tilde{\psi}(L)$  and  $\psi(L)$  coincide.

We now outline, briefly, the action of  $BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  on  $L$ . If  $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is any function, then  $V(f)$  denotes the total variation of  $f$ . The linear space  $BV(\mathbf{R})$  consists of all  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  which have finite total variation. It is a Banach algebra with respect to pointwise multiplication and norm defined by

$$\|f\|_{BV} = \|f\|_\infty + V(f), \quad f \in BV(\mathbf{R}).$$

Fix  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then each  $m \in BV(\mathbf{R})$  is a  $p$ -multiplier and the mapping  $m \rightarrow m(D)$ ,  $m \in BV(\mathbf{R})$ , is a continuous algebra homomorphism for the uniform operator topology [1; pp. 208–209]. Define  $BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  to be the closed subalgebra of  $BV(\mathbf{R})$  consisting of those functions  $f$  such that  $f \equiv 0$  in  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Then, for each  $f \in BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$ , the function  $f \circ \gamma: x \rightarrow f(x^2)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , belongs to  $BV(\mathbf{R})$  and  $V(f \circ \gamma) \leq 2V(f)$ . Accordingly, the map

$$m \mapsto m(L) = (m \circ \gamma)(D), \quad m \in BV(\mathbf{R}^+),$$

is a functional calculus for  $L$ . We remark that if  $w \in \rho(L) = \mathbf{C} \setminus [0, \infty)$ , then the restriction to  $[0, \infty)$  of  $R_w(z) = (z - w)^{-1}$ ,  $z \neq w$ , belongs to  $BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  since its derivative is an element of  $L^1([0, \infty))$ . As noted previously, the operator  $R_w(L)$ , defined to be  $(R_w \circ \gamma)(D)$ , agrees with the resolvent operator  $R(w; L) = (L - wI)^{-1}$ .

**3. The non-spectrality of  $L$ .** At this stage it is natural to inquire whether  $L$  admits a functional calculus based on some richer family of functions. Indeed, this is the case for  $p=2$ . Suppose that  $J \subseteq [0, \infty)$  is an interval. Then  $\chi_J \circ \gamma \in BV(\mathbf{R}^+)$  is the characteristic function of the set  $\{t^{1/2}; t \in J\} \cup \{-t^{1/2}; t \in J\}$  which, with obvious notation, is the union of the two intervals  $J^{1/2}$  and  $-J^{1/2}$ . Accordingly,  $\chi_J \circ \gamma = \chi_{J^{1/2}} + \chi_{-J^{1/2}} - \chi_J(0)\chi_{\{0\}}$  and so the operator  $\chi_J(L)$  defined via (2) is just  $\chi_{J^{1/2}}(D) + \chi_{-J^{1/2}}(D)$ ; it is a projection commuting with  $L$ . Furthermore, the family of projections  $\{\chi_J(L); J \text{ an interval in } [0, \infty)\}$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , [11; p. 100]. For the case  $p=2$  this family of projections can be extended so that a projection is assigned to each Borel subset of  $[0, \infty)$  and the so extended family forms the resolution of the identity for the self-adjoint operator  $L$ . However, if  $p \neq 2$ , then the state of affairs is quite different as seen by the following

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{R}^+$  denote the algebra of subsets of  $(0, \infty)$  generated by all intervals in  $[0, \infty)$ , in which case the additive set function  $J \rightarrow \chi_J(L)$  has a unique extension from the semi-algebra of all intervals in  $[0, \infty)$  to  $\mathcal{R}^+$ . If  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , but  $p \neq 2$ , then the family of projections  $\{\chi_E(L); E \in \mathcal{R}^+\}$  is not uniformly bounded in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ .*

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Suppose then that

$$(5) \quad \sup \{ \|\chi_E(L)\|_p; E \in \mathcal{R}^+ \} < \infty$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the operator norm considered with respect to the Banach space  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ . Let  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the algebra of subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$  generated by the intervals in  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{R}_0 = \{F \in \mathcal{R}; F = -F\}$ . If  $F \in \mathcal{R}_0$ , then it is clear that  $F^2 = \{t^2; t \in F\}$  is an element of  $\mathcal{R}^+$ . The discussion prior to Lemma 2 together with the finite additivity of  $E \rightarrow \chi_E(D)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $E \rightarrow \chi_E(L)$ ,  $E \in \mathcal{R}^+$  implies that  $\chi_{F^2}(L) = \chi_F(D)$ . It follows from (5) that

$$(6) \quad \sup \{ \|\chi_F(D)\|_p; F \in \mathcal{R}_0 \} < \infty.$$

Let  $F \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then  $F_- = F \cap (-\infty, 0)$  is a finite disjoint union of intervals in  $(-\infty, 0)$  and  $F_+ = F \cap [0, \infty)$  is a finite disjoint union of intervals in  $[0, \infty)$ . Define  $F(1) = F_- \cup (-F_-)$  and  $F(2) = F_+ \cup (-F_+)$ . Since both  $F(1)$  and  $F(2)$  are elements of  $\mathcal{R}_0$ , it follows from (6), the identities  $\chi_{F_-} = \chi_{F(1)}\chi_{(-\infty, 0)}$ ,  $\chi_{F_+} = \chi_{F(2)}\chi_{[0, \infty)}$  and  $\chi_F = \chi_{F_+} + \chi_{F_-}$  and the finite additivity of  $\chi_{(\cdot)}(D)$  that

$$\sup \{ \|\chi_F(D)\|_p; F \in \mathcal{R} \} < \infty.$$

That this is not the case is well known.

Lemma 2 implies that the family of projections  $\{\chi_E(L); E \in \mathcal{R}^+\}$  cannot be enlarged to form a spectral measure in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , [5; XVII Lemma 3.3 and Corollary 3.10]. This point suggests that  $L$  ought not to be a scalar-type spectral operator. However, to make a precise argument along these lines would require showing that if there were some spectral measure in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , say  $P$ , a priori having no connection what-so-ever with the projectors  $\chi_J(L)$ , for which  $L = \int_0^\infty \lambda dP(\lambda)$ , then necessarily  $P$  arises by extension of the set function  $J \mapsto \chi_J(L)$ , with domain all intervals  $J$  in  $[0, \infty)$ , to the collection of all Borel sets in  $[0, \infty)$ . That is, it would have to be established that  $P(J) = \chi_J(L)$  for each such interval  $J$ . Rather than pursuing this approach directly we prefer a slightly different argument to establish the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p \neq 2$ , then  $L$  is not a scalar-type spectral operator in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ .*

Before indicating a proof we recall more precisely the notion of a scalar-type spectral operator, briefly, a scalar operator. So, let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $L(X)$  be the space of all continuous linear operators from  $X$  into itself. By a spectral measure in  $X$  is meant a set function  $P: \Sigma \rightarrow L(X)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of some set  $\Omega$ , such that  $P$  is multiplicative (i.e.  $P(E \cap F) = P(E)P(F)$  for every  $E \in \Sigma$  and  $F \in \Sigma$ ),  $P(\Omega)$  is the identity operator  $I$  in  $X$  and  $P$  is countably additive for the strong operator topology in  $L(X)$ . Given a

$\mathbf{C}$ -valued,  $\Sigma$ -measurable function on  $\Omega$ , say  $\psi$ , it is possible to define a closed, densely defined operator  $P(\psi)$  in  $X$  as follows: the domain  $\mathcal{D}(P(\psi))$  of  $P(\psi)$  consists of those elements  $x \in X$  such that  $\psi$  is integrable with respect to the  $X$ -valued measure  $P(\cdot)x: E \mapsto P(E)x, E \in \Sigma$  (in the usual sense [9]), in which case  $P(\psi)x$  is defined to be the element  $\int_{\Omega} \psi(w)dP(w)x$ , denoted briefly by  $\int_{\Omega} \psi dPx$ . It turns out that  $P(\psi) \in L(X)$  if and only if  $\psi$  is  $P$ -essentially bounded on  $\Omega$ . A linear operator  $T$  in  $X$  is said to be a scalar operator if there exists a spectral measure  $P: \Sigma \rightarrow L(X)$  and a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $\psi$  such that  $T = P(\psi)$ . This is the case if and only if there exists a spectral measure  $Q$  in  $X$  defined on the Borel sets  $\mathcal{B}(\sigma(T))$  of  $\sigma(T)$  such that  $T = Q(\lambda)$ . Here  $\lambda$  denotes the identity function in  $\mathbf{C}$ . All of the above definitions and statements concerning scalar operators can be found in [3] and [5].

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is as follows. Since  $iD$  is the infinitesimal generator of the translation group in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  given by  $T_t f = f(t + \cdot), t \in \mathbf{R}$ , that is,  $T_t = e^{itD}, t \in \mathbf{R}$ , it follows from [6; Theorem 2] and [5; XVIII Theorem 2.17] that  $iD$  and hence, also  $D$ , is *not* a scalar operator if  $p \neq 2$ . Now, if  $L$  were a scalar-operator, then it ought to follow from  $L = D^2$  that  $D = L^{1/2}$  and hence,  $D$  would also be a scalar operator [5; XVIII Theorem 2.17] which is a contradiction. Although this is not quite correct (if it were, then  $\sigma(D) = \sigma(L^{1/2})$  would be  $[0, \infty)$ !) it is the spirit in which the proof will proceed. The difficulty is that  $D$  is "not quite" a function of  $L$  (see (7)). So, it is necessary to identify the positive square root  $L^{1/2}$ , of  $L$ , more precisely.

Suppose again that  $p \in (1, 2)$ . Let  $H \in L(L^p(\mathbf{R}))$  denote the Hilbert transform. That is,  $H$  is the operator corresponding to the  $p$ -multiplier  $\xi \mapsto \text{sgn}(\xi), \xi \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $H^2 = I$  and so  $\sigma(H) = \{-1, 1\}$ . Define a closed operator  $S$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  with dense domain

$$\mathcal{D}(S) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi) \quad \text{for some } g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})\}$$

by  $Sf = g, f \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ , where  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  satisfies  $\hat{g}(\xi) = |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi)$ . To see that  $S$  is actually closed and densely defined we observe that  $-S$  is the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous  $C_0$ -semigroup, namely the Poisson semigroup given by

$$(P_t f)(w) = t\pi^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(w-u)(t^2+u^2)^{-1} du, \quad f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}),$$

for each  $t > 0$ ; see [7; § 21.4], for example. It is clear from the definition of  $L$  in terms of the Fourier transform that  $S$  is the natural candidate to be called the positive square root of  $L$ . Indeed,  $S^2 = L$  and, in addition,  $\sigma(S) = [0, \infty)$ . To see this, we note that if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(S)$ , then

$$((S-\lambda I)f)^\wedge(\xi) = (|\xi|-\lambda)\hat{f}(\xi), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Since  $\xi \mapsto (|\xi|-\lambda)^{-1}$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ , is a  $p$ -multiplier whenever  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  (cf. Lemma 1), it is clear that the corresponding operator is the resolvent operator of  $S$  at  $\lambda$ . This shows that  $\sigma(S) \subseteq [0, \infty)$  and it is not difficult to show equality. If  $f$  is a "nice function", then a direct computation shows that

$$(Df)^\wedge(\xi) = \xi \hat{f}(\xi) = |\xi| \hat{f}(\xi) \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) = (SHf)^\wedge(\xi) = (HSf)^\wedge(\xi),$$

a formula which suggests the known equality  $D=SH=HS$  [7; § 22.5], written more suggestively as

$$(7) \quad D = HL^{1/2} = L^{1/2}H.$$

It is this identity, the correct version of " $D=L^{1/2}$ ", which will lead to a proof of Theorem 1.

So, suppose that  $L$  is a scalar operator. The first aim is to show that  $S$  is then also a scalar operator for which the following result is needed. The proof is immediate from the fact that  $\sigma(L)=[0, \infty)$  and the estimates (1).

**Lemma 3.** *If  $A=-L$ , then  $R(\lambda; A)$  exists for  $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)>0$  and*

$$\sup \{ |\operatorname{Re}(\lambda)| \cdot \|R(\lambda; A)\|; \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)>0 \} < \infty.$$

It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$(8) \quad -\pi^{-1} \sin(\alpha\pi) \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} (\lambda I + L)^{-1} Lf d\lambda, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L),$$

is defined for each  $0 < \alpha < 1$  [14; Ch. IX, § 11 Theorem 3]. In the notation of § 11 of Chapter IX in [14] with  $A=-L$ , if  $\hat{A}_\alpha$  is the infinitesimal generator of the holomorphic semigroup  $\hat{T}_{\alpha,t} \equiv \hat{T}_t$  defined there, then for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(L)$  the value  $\hat{A}_\alpha f$  is equal to (8); see [14; (3) and (4), p. 260]. Noting that  $\hat{A}_{1/2}$  is precisely the generator of the Poisson semigroup [14; p. 268], that is,  $\hat{A}_{1/2} = -S$ , it follows from (8) with  $\alpha=1/2$  that

$$(9) \quad Sf = -(-Sf) = \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} (\lambda I + L)^{-1} Lf d\lambda, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

In particular,  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S)$ .

Now, by assumption,  $L = \int_0^\infty \mu dV(\mu) = V(\mu)$  for some spectral measure  $V: \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow L(L^p(\mathbf{R}))$ . Accordingly, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ , then the functional calculus for scalar operators implies that

$$(\lambda I + L)^{-1} Lf = \int_0^\infty \mu(\lambda + \mu)^{-1} dV(\mu)f, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Substituting this expression into (9) and using Fubini's theorem gives

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} Sf &= \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mu \left( \int_0^\infty \lambda^{-1/2} (\mu + \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \right) dV(\mu) f = \\ &= \pi^{-1} \int_0^\infty \mu (\pi \mu^{-1/2}) dV(\mu) f = \int_0^\infty \mu^{1/2} dV(\mu) f, \end{aligned}$$

for each  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ . To justify the use of Fubini's theorem it must be established that the function  $\mu \mapsto \mu^{1/2}$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , is  $V(\cdot)f$ -integrable whenever  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ . But, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L) = \mathcal{D}(V(\mu))$ , then by definition of the operator  $V(\mu)$  the identity function  $\mu$  on  $[0, \infty)$  is  $V(\cdot)f$ -integrable and hence, so is  $\mu \mapsto \mu^{1/2} \chi_{[1, \infty)}(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ ; see [9; Ch. II, § 3 Theorem 1]. Since  $\mu \mapsto \mu^{1/2} \chi_{[0, 1]}(\mu)$ ,  $\mu \geq 0$ , is bounded on  $[0, \infty)$  it is also  $V(\cdot)f$ -integrable [9; Ch. II § 3 Lemma 1] and the desired conclusion follows.

Now, define a set function  $P: \mathcal{B}([0, \infty)) \rightarrow L(L^p(\mathbf{R}))$  by  $P(E) = V(\{\mu \geq 0; \mu^{1/2} \in E\})$  for each Borel set  $E \subseteq [0, \infty)$ . Then  $P$  is a spectral measure and  $\tilde{S} = P(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda dP(\lambda)$  is a scalar operator such that

$$(11) \quad \tilde{S}f = \int_0^\infty \lambda dP(\lambda) f = \int_0^\infty \mu^{1/2} dV(\mu) f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(P(\lambda)) = \mathcal{D}(V(\lambda^{1/2}));$$

see [5; XVIII Theorem 2.17]. In particular,  $\sigma(\tilde{S}) = [0, \infty)$ , [5; XVIII Lemma 2.25]. The argument used above to justify the use of Fubini's theorem in (10) shows that  $\mathcal{D}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\tilde{S})$ .

The claim is that  $S = \tilde{S}$ . The formulae (10) and (11) show that

$$(12) \quad \tilde{S}f = Sf, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

Since  $\sigma(S) = [0, \infty) = \sigma(\tilde{S})$ , the resolvent sets  $\rho(S)$  and  $\rho(\tilde{S})$  also coincide. If  $\lambda$  belongs to this common resolvent set, then it follows from (12) that

$$(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)f = (S - \lambda I)f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

Operate on the left with the bounded resolvent operator  $R(\lambda; \tilde{S})$  gives

$$R(\lambda; \tilde{S})(S - \lambda I)f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(L).$$

But,  $f = R(\lambda; S)(S - \lambda I)f$  whenever  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(S)$  and it follows that  $R(\lambda; \tilde{S})g = R(\lambda; S)g$  for all  $g$  in the range of the operator  $(S - \lambda I)$  restricted to  $\mathcal{D}(L)$ . Assume for the moment that the space of all such functions  $g$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  whenever  $\lambda < 0$ . Then  $R(\lambda; S) = R(\lambda; \tilde{S})$  for all  $\lambda < 0$ . Both  $S$  and  $\tilde{S}$  are closed operators and so  $R(\lambda; S) = (S - \lambda I)^{-1}$  and  $R(\lambda; \tilde{S}) = (\tilde{S} - \lambda I)^{-1}$  for each  $\lambda \in \rho(S) = \rho(\tilde{S})$ . Accordingly, the equality  $R(\lambda; S) = R(\lambda; \tilde{S})$ , valid for each  $\lambda < 0$ , implies that

$$\mathcal{D}(S) = \text{Range}(S - \lambda I)^{-1} = \text{Range}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)^{-1} = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{S}).$$

Fix  $\lambda < 0$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{D}(S) = \mathcal{D}(\tilde{S})$ , then

$$(S - \lambda I)^{-1}(S - \lambda I)f = f = (\tilde{S} - \lambda I)^{-1}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)f = (S - \lambda I)^{-1}(\tilde{S} - \lambda I)f$$

from which  $Sf = \tilde{S}f$  follows by injectivity of  $(S - \lambda I)^{-1}$ . Accordingly,  $S = \tilde{S}$ . So, it remains to establish the following

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\lambda < 0$ . Then the space of functions  $\{(S - \lambda I)f; f \in \mathcal{D}(L)\}$  is dense in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ .*

*Proof.* The aim is to show that the stated space of functions contains the set  $\mathcal{D}(S - \lambda I) = \mathcal{D}(S)$  and hence, it will be dense in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ . So, if  $h \in \mathcal{D}(S - \lambda I)$ , then it is to be shown that  $h = (S - \lambda I)f$  for some  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ .

By definition of  $\mathcal{D}(S - \lambda I)$  there is  $g \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $(|\xi| - \lambda)\hat{h}(\xi) = \hat{g}(\xi)$  and hence,  $\hat{h}(\xi) = (|\xi| - \lambda)^{-1}\hat{g}(\xi) = (|\xi| - \lambda)(|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}\hat{g}(\xi)$ . Since  $\xi \mapsto (|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}$  is a  $p$ -multiplier (cf. Lemma 1) there is  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $(|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}\hat{g}(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi)$ . In particular,  $\hat{h}(\xi) = (|\xi| - \lambda)\hat{f}(\xi)$  and so it remains to show that  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ . But,  $\xi^2\hat{f}(\xi) = \xi^2(|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}\hat{g}(\xi)$ . Since  $\xi \mapsto \xi^2(|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}$  is also a  $p$ -multiplier (by Lemma 1 again) there is  $\psi \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $\xi^2(|\xi| - \lambda)^{-2}\hat{g}(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\xi)$  and hence  $\xi^2\hat{f}(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\xi)$ . This shows that  $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$  and completes the proof of the lemma.

So, we are at the stage of having established that  $S = \tilde{S} = \int_0^\infty \lambda dP(\lambda)$  is a scalar operator if  $L$  is a scalar operator.

Now, the Hilbert transform  $H$  is equal to  $Q_1 - Q_2$  where  $Q_1$  is the projection corresponding to the  $p$ -multiplier  $\chi_{[0, \infty)}$  and  $Q_2$  is the projection corresponding to the  $p$ -multiplier  $\chi_{(-\infty, 0]}$ . In particular,  $Q_1Q_2 = 0 = Q_2Q_1$  and  $Q_1 + Q_2 = I$ . If we define  $Q(E) = \chi_E(1)Q_1 + \chi_E(-1)Q_2$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C})$ , then  $Q$  is a spectral measure in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$  such that  $H = \int_{\mathbf{C}} \mu dQ(\mu)$ . Since  $H$  and  $S$  commute, it follows that  $HP(E) = P(E)H$  for each  $E \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$ , [5; XVIII Corollary 2.4]. But,  $H$  is also a scalar operator, with  $Q$  its resolution of the identity, and hence  $Q_jP(E) = P(E)Q_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $E \in \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$ , [5; XV Corollary 3.7].

Let  $\Omega = [0, \infty) \times \{-1, 1\}$  and let  $\Sigma$  denote the Borel subsets of  $\Omega$ . Define a set function  $\Lambda: \Sigma \rightarrow L(L^p(\mathbf{R}))$  by

$$\Lambda(U) = Q_1P(\{t \geq 0; (t, 1) \in U\}) + Q_2P(\{t \geq 0; (t, -1) \in U\}), \quad U \in \Sigma.$$

Then it is routine to check that  $\Lambda$  is a spectral measure which may be considered as being defined on all of  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C})$  with  $\Omega$  as its support. Let  $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be the  $\Sigma$ -measurable function defined by  $(\lambda, \mu) \mapsto \lambda\mu$  for each  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \Omega$ . The corresponding scalar operator  $\Lambda(\psi)$  that is so induced has domain given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\psi)) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); \psi \text{ is } \Lambda(\cdot)f\text{-integrable}\}.$$

Since, for each  $U \in \Sigma$ , we have the identity

$$\Lambda(U)f = Q_1P(\{t \geq 0; (t, 1) \in U\})f + Q_2P(\{t \geq 0; (t, -1) \in U\})f$$

whenever  $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R})$ , it is clear that  $\psi$  is  $\Lambda(\cdot)f$ -integrable if and only if the identity function  $\lambda$ , on  $[0, \infty)$ , is  $P(\cdot)f$ -integrable. Accordingly,

$$\mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\psi)) = \{f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}); \lambda \text{ is } P(\cdot)f\text{-integrable}\} = \mathcal{D}(S),$$

where we have used the fact that  $S = P(\lambda)$ . But, (7) implies that  $\mathcal{D}(S) = \mathcal{D}(D)$ . Hence, if  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Lambda(\psi)) = \mathcal{D}(D)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda(\psi)f &= \int_{\Omega} \lambda \mu d\Lambda(\lambda, \mu)f = Q_1 \int_0^{\infty} \lambda dP(\lambda)f - Q_2 \int_0^{\infty} \lambda dP(\lambda)f = \\ &= Q_1 Sf - Q_2 Sf = HSf = Df \end{aligned}$$

which shows that  $D = \Lambda(\psi)$ . Accordingly,  $D$  is a scalar operator. This is the desired contradiction and completes the proof of Theorem 1 for the case when  $1 < p < 2$ .

For  $2 < p < \infty$  we proceed via duality. Indeed, noting that the dual operator  $L^*$ , of  $L$  (when  $L$  is considered in  $L^p(\mathbf{R})$ ), is just  $L$  in  $L^q(\mathbf{R})$ , it suffices to establish the fact that in a reflexive Banach space  $X$  the dual operator  $T^*$  of a scalar operator  $T$  is a scalar operator in  $X^*$ . But, if  $T = P(\psi)$  where  $P: \Sigma \rightarrow L(X)$  is a spectral measure and  $\psi$  is a  $\Sigma$ -measurable function, then it is an easy consequence of the reflexivity of  $X$  and the Orlicz-Pettis lemma that the set function  $P^*: \Sigma \rightarrow L(X^*)$  defined by  $P^*(E) = P(E)^*$ ,  $E \in \Sigma$ , is a spectral measure and hence  $P^*(\psi)$  is a scalar operator in  $X^*$ . It remains only to verify the identity  $T^* = P^*(\psi)$ . But, this follows from the reflexivity of  $X$  and [5; XVIII Theorem 2.11 (i)]. The proof of Theorem 1 is thereby complete.

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank Professors M. Cowling, B. Jefferies, A. McIntosh and A. Yagi for valuable discussions. The support of a Queen Elizabeth II Fellowship is gratefully acknowledged.

### References

- [1] I. Colojoara & C. Foias: Theory of generalized spectral operators, Mathematics and its Application Vol. 9, Gordon and Breach, New York-London-Paris, 1968.
- [2] M. Cowling: *Square functions in Banach spaces*, Miniconference on linear analysis and function spaces (Canberra), 1984, Proc. Centre Math. Anal., Australian National University 9 (1985), 177-184.
- [3] P.G. Dodds, & W. Ricker: *Spectral measures and the Bade reflexivity theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **61** (1985), 136-136.
- [4] N. Dunford & J.T. Schwartz: *Linear operators I: General theory*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1964.
- [5] N. Dunford & J.T. Schwartz: *Linear operators III: Spectral operators*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.

- [6] T.A. Gillespie: *A spectral theorem for  $L^p$  translations*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **11** (1975), 499–508.
- [7] E. Hille & R.S. Phillips: *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. 31 (4th revised ed.), Providence, 1981.
- [8] T. Kato: *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 132, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg (Corrected printing of 2nd ed.), 1980.
- [9] I. Kluvánek & G. Knowles: *Vector measures and control systems*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1976.
- [10] A. McIntosh: *Operators which have an  $H^\infty$  functional calculus*, Miniconference on Operator Theory and Partial Differential Equations (Macquarie University), 1986, Proc. Centre Math. Anal., Australian National University 14 (1986), 210–231.
- [11] E.M. Stein: *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Math. Ser. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [12] H. Tanabe: *Equations of evolution*, Monographs and Studies in Mathematics 6, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [13] A. Yagi: *Coincidence entre des espaces d'interpolation et des domaines de puissances fractionnaires d'opérateurs*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris (Ser. I) **299** (1984), 173–176.
- [14] K. Yosida: *Functional analysis*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 123, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (6th ed. printed in Tokyo), 1980.

School of Mathematics and Physics  
Macquarie University  
N.S.W. 2109  
Australia

Current address  
Fachbereich Mathematik  
Universität des Saarlandes  
D-6600 Saarbrücken  
Federal Republic of Germany