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Introduction. S. Abhyankar, W. Heinzer and P. Eakin treated the following problem in [1]; if $A[X]=B[Y]$, when is $A$ isomorphic or identical to $B$ ? Replacing the polynomial ring by the torus extension we shall take up the following problem; if $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, when is $A$ isomorphic or identical to $B$ ? We say that $A$ is torus invariant (resp. strongly torus invariant) whenever $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ implies $A \cong B$ (resp. $A=B$ ). The rolles played by polynomial rings in [1] are played by the graded rings in our theory. A graded ring $A=\sum A_{i}, i \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, with the property that $A_{i} \neq 0$ for each $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}$, will be called a $Z$-graded ring. Main results are the followings.

An affine domain $A$ of dimension one over a field $\boldsymbol{k}$ is always torus invariant. Moreover $A$ is not strongly torus invariant if and only if $A$ has a graded ring structure. An affine domain of dimension two is not always torus invariant. We shall construct an affine domain of dimension two which is not torus invariant. Let $A$ be an affine domain over $\boldsymbol{k}$ of dimension two. Assume that the field $\boldsymbol{k}$ contains all roots of "unity" and is of characteristic zero. If $A$ is not torus invariant, then $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring such that there exist invertible elements of non-zero degree.

In Section 1 we study elementary properties of graded rings. Especially we are interested in $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded rings with invertible elements of non-zero degree. In Section 2 we discuss some conditions for $A$ to be torus invariant. In Section 3 we give several sufficient conditions for an integral domain to be strongly torus invariant. Some relevant results will be found in S. Iitaka and T. Fujita [2]. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of the main results mentioned above. In Section 5 we fix an integral domain $D$ and we treat only $D$-algebras and $D$-isomorphisms there. We shall prove the following two results. When $A$ is a $D$-algebra of $t r . \operatorname{deg}_{D} A=1$ and $A$ is not $D$-torus invariant, $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring such that $D$ is contaned in $A_{0}$. If $A$ is a $Z$-graded ring such as $D=A_{0}$, then the number of elements of the set of $\{D$-isomorphic classes of $D$-algebras $B$ such that $\left.A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]\right\}$ is $\Phi(d)$, where $d$ is the smallest positive integer among the degrees of units in $A$ and $\Phi$ is the Euler function.

I'd like to express my sincere gratitude to the referee for his valiable advices.

## 1. Some properties of graded rings

Let $R$ be commutative ring with indentity. The ring $R$ is said to be a graded ring if $R$ is a graded module, $R=\sum R_{i}$, and $R_{n} R_{m} \subseteq R_{n+m}$.

Lemma 1.1. Let $R$ be a graded domain. Then we have the following.
(1) The unity element of $R$ is homogeneous.
(2) If $a$ is homogeneous and $a=b c$, then $b$ and $c$ are both homogeneous. In particular every invertible element is homogeneous.
(3) If $R$ contains a field $k$, then $k$ is a subring of $R_{0}$.

Proof. (1) follows immediately from the relation $1^{2}=1$. The proof of (2) is easy and will be omitted. To prove (3) we can assume $\boldsymbol{k}$ is different from $F_{2}$ by (1). Let $a$ be an element of $\boldsymbol{k}$ different from 1. Then $1-a$ is homogeneous from (2). The unity 1 is homogeneous of degree 0 by (1). Hence $a$ should be homogeneous of degree 0 .

We call a graded ring $R=\sum R_{i}$ to be a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring if $R_{i} \neq 0$, for some $i \in$ $\boldsymbol{Z}^{+}$and $\boldsymbol{Z}^{-}$.

Proposition 1.2. Let $R$ be a $Z$-graded domain. Let $S=\left\{i \in Z ; R_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Then $S=n \boldsymbol{Z}$ for a certain integer $n$.

Proof. Since $R$ is a domain, $S$ is a semi-group. Hence (1.2) is immediately seen by the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. Let $S \subseteq Z$ be a semi-group. If $S \cap Z^{+} \neq 0$ and $S \cap Z^{-} \neq 0$, then $S$ is a subgroup of $Z$.

If $R$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded domain, then we may assume $R_{i} \neq 0$ for any $i \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.
Proposition 1.4. Let $R$ be a graded ring. If there is an invertible element $x$ in $R_{1}$, then $R=R_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$.

Proof. For any $\imath \in R_{n}, r=r\left(x^{-1} x\right)^{n}=r x^{-n} x^{n}$ and $r x^{-n}$ is in $R_{0}$, therefore $r \in R_{0} x^{n}$. Hence $R=R_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$.

Corollary. Let $R$ be a $Z$-graded domain. If $R_{0}$ is a field, so $R=R_{0}[x$, $\left.x^{-1}\right]$ for every $x \in R_{1}, x \neq 0$.

Proof. Choose non-zero elements $x \in R_{1}$, and $y \in R_{1}$. Since $R_{0}$ is a field, $0 \neq x y$ is invertible, therefore $x$ and $y$ are units in $R$, hence $R=R_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$.

## 2. Torus invariant rings

A ring $A$ is said to be torus invariant provided that $A$ has the following property:

If there exist a ring $B$, a variable $Y$ over $B$, and a variable $X$ over $A$ such that $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ is isomorphic to $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$,

$$
\Phi: A\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]
$$

then $A$ is always isomorphic to $B$.
Especially if we have always $\Phi(A)=B$ in such case, we say that the ring $A$ is strongly torus invariant.

To show $A$ is torus invariant (resp. strongly torus invariant) it suffices to prove that $A$ is isomorphic to $B$ (resp. $A=B$ ) under the assumption: $A[X$, $\left.X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$.
(2.0) We begin with some elementary observations. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $X$ and $Y$ are units of $R$. It follows from (1.1) that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=v Y^{f} \text { and } Y=u X^{f^{\prime}}, v \in B \text { and } u \in A \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=u^{-f} X^{1-f f^{\prime}} \text { and } u=v^{-f^{\prime}} Y^{1-f f^{\prime}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of our paper we shall use the letters $u$ and $v$ to denote the elements of $A$ and $B$ respectively satisfying the relations (2) and (3) whenever we encounter the situation (1).
(2.1) The element $u$ is in $B$ if and only if $f f^{\prime}=1$. In this case we have $A[X$, $\left.X^{-1}\right]=B\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, thus we have $A \cong B$.

Proof is easy and is omitted.
Proposition 2.2. Let $\boldsymbol{k}$ be a field and $A$ be a $\boldsymbol{k}$-algebra. If $A^{*}$ (the set of all invertible elements in $A)=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, then the ring $A$ is torus invariant.

Proof. Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] . \quad B y(1.1)$ the field $\boldsymbol{k}$ is contained in $B$. Since $A^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, the unit element $u$ of $A$ is in $\boldsymbol{k}$, hence in $B$, It follows from (2.1) that $A$ is torus invariant.

Proposition 2.3. Let $A=A_{0}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n},\left(t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{n}\right)^{-1}\right]$ where $t_{i}$ 's are independent variables over $\boldsymbol{k}$-algebra $A_{0}$ and $A_{0}^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, then $A$ is torus invariant.

Proof. Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Then by the lemma (1.1) $Y=$ $u X^{f^{\prime}}$ and $X=v Y^{f}$. Since $u$ is invertible in $A=A_{0}\left[t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n},\left(t_{1} \cdots t_{n}\right)^{-1}\right], Y=$


On the other hand as $t_{i}$ is invertible in $R=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right], t_{i}=b_{i} Y^{f_{i}}, b_{i} \in B^{*}$. Then we have that

$$
f f^{\prime}+\sum e_{i} f_{i}=1
$$

Therefore the following natural homomorphism is surjective.

$$
\begin{gathered}
j: \boldsymbol{Z}^{(n+1)}=\boldsymbol{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \boldsymbol{Z} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Z} \\
j\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{n}\right)=i_{0} f^{\prime}+\sum i_{j} e_{j} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{Z}$ is P.I.D., we can construct a basis of $\boldsymbol{Z}^{(n+1)}$ containing this vector ( $f^{\prime}$, $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ ). Put this basis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e_{0}=\left(f^{\prime}, e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right) \\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& e_{i}=\left(f_{i}, f_{i 1}, \cdots, f_{i n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
R=A_{0}\left[u_{1}, \cdots, u_{n},\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{n}\right)^{-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] .
$$

Therefore $A$ is isomorphic to $B$. Hence $A$ is torus invariant.
(2.4) Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. An ideal $I$ of $R$ is said to be vertical relative to $A$ if there exists an ideal $J$ of $A$ such that $J R=I$. If $J$ is an ideal of $A$ such that $J R$ is vertical relative to $B$, then we will simply say that $J$ is vertical relative to $B$. If $A$ is a $k$-affine domain, the prime ideals defined by the singular locus of $\operatorname{Spec} A$ are vertical relative to $B$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. If there exists a maximal ideal of $A$ which is vertical relative to $B$, then $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. In particular $A$ and $B$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Let $m$ be a maximal ideal of $A$ which is vertical elative to $B$. Then there exists an ideal $n$ of $B$ such that $m R=n R$. Therefore $R / m R=A / m[X$, $\left.X^{-1}\right]=R / n R=B / n\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, where $X=\bar{v} Y^{f}$ and $Y=\bar{u} X^{f^{\prime}}$. Since $m$ is a maximal ideal, $A / m$ is a field. Hence $\bar{u}$ is in $B / n$ by (1.1). Therefore we obtain $f= \pm 1$ by (2.1). Thus $A$ is isomorphic to $B$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $A$ be a $k$-affine domain with isolated singular points, then $A$ is torus invariant.

## 3. Strongly torus invariant rings

In this section we investigate strongly torus invariant rings.
Proposition 3.1. Let $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. If $Q(A) \subseteq Q(B)$, then $A=B$, where $Q(R)$ is the total quotient field of $R$.

Proof. Let $x$ be an element of $A$, then there exist two elements $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ of $B$ such as $x=b / b^{\prime}$. Hence $b=b^{\prime} x$. In the graded ring $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ the elements $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ are homogeneous of degree zero, thus $x$ is also degree zero. Hence we have $A \subseteq B$. Let $b$ be an element of $B$. Then $b=\sum a_{j} X^{j}, a_{j} \in A$. By (2) of (2.0) we have that $b=\sum a_{j} v^{j} Y^{j f}$. If $f=0$, then $X \in B$. Thus $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \subseteq B$,
it's a contradiction, hence $f \neq 0$. Since $a_{j} v^{j} \in B$ and $Y$ is a variable over $B$, $b=a_{0} \in A$. Thus $A=B$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\bar{A}$ denote the integral closure of $A$. If $\bar{A}$ is strongly torus invariant, then $A$ is also so.

Proof. It is easily seen that if $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ then $\bar{A}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=$ $\bar{B}\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Since $\bar{A}$ is strongly torus invariant, $\bar{A}=\bar{B}$. Hence $Q(A)=Q(B)$, and we have that $A=B$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $A$ be a domain with $J(A) \neq 0$, where $J(D)$ is the Jacobson radical of a ring $D$. Then $A$ is strongly torus invariant.

Proof. Let $a$ be a non-zero element of $J(A)$. Then $1+a$ is unit, so in the graded ring $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right], 1+a$ is homogeneous. Since the "unity 1 " is a homogeneous element of degree 0 , the element $a$ is also so. Thus the element $a$ is contained in $B$.

Let $x$ be any element of $A$. Since $x a$ is contained in $J(A), x a$ is in $B$. Hence $A$ is contained in $Q(B)$. By (3.1), we have that $A=B$.

Corollary 3.4. If $A$ is a local domain, then $A$ is strongly torus invariant.
Proposition 3.5. Let $A$ be an affine ring over a field $k$ and let $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ $=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Then $A=B$ if and only if every maximal ideals of $A$ is vertical relative to $B$.

Proof. It suffices to prove the "if" part of the (3.5). By (3.3) we may assume that $J(A)=0$. Let $x$ be an element of $B$ and let $x=\sum_{j=s}^{t} a_{j} X^{j}$, where $s<t, a_{j} \in A$ and $a_{t} \neq 0$ and $a_{s} \neq 0$. For any maximal ideal $m$ of $A$ there exists a maximal ideal $n$ of $B$ such as $m R=n R$, where $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. Let $\bar{x}$ denote the residue class of $x$ in $B / n$. Then $\bar{x}$ is algebraic over the coefficient field $\boldsymbol{k}$, hence there exist elements $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots \lambda_{n-1}$ in $\boldsymbol{k}$, such that $f(x)=x^{n}+\lambda_{n-1} x^{n-1}+\cdots$ $+\lambda_{0} \in n R=m R$. If $t \neq 0$, then the highest degree term of $f(x)$ with respect to $X$ is $a_{t}^{n} x^{n t} \in m R$, thus $a_{t}$ is contained in $m$ for every maximal ideal in $A$. Since $J(A)=0, a_{t}=0$. It's a contradiction. Therefore $t=0$. By the same way, we have that $s=0$, hence $x$ is in $A$. Thus $A=B$.

We denote the subring generated by all the units of A by $A_{u}$.
Proposition 3.6. Let $A$ be a $k$-affine domain with an isolated singular point. If $A$ is algebraic over $A_{u}$, then $A$ is strongly torus invariant.

Proof. Let $A\left[X, X^{-1}{ }_{\jmath}=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]\right.$ and let $m$ be the maximal ideal defined by the isolated singular point. Then there exists a maximal ideal $n$ of $B$ such as $m R=n R$. Let $a$ be a unit element of $A$. In the graded ring $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, the
element $a$ is also invertible, so $a=b Y^{j}$, for some invertible element $b$ in $B$ and a certain integer $j$. Since $A / m$ is algebraic over $k$, there exist elements $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{n}$ $\in \boldsymbol{k}$ such that $\lambda_{n} a^{n}+\cdots+\lambda_{1} a+\lambda_{0} \in m R=n R$. If $j \neq 0, \lambda_{n} b^{n}$ is in $n$, hence $b$ is not invertible, it's a contradiction. Thus we have that $A_{u} \subseteq B$. By the following lemma our proof is over.

Lemma 3.7. Let $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. If $A$ is algebraic over $A \cap B$, then $A=B$.

Proof. Since $A$ is algebraic over $A \cap B, A$ is also algebraic over $B$, but $B$ is algebraically closed in $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, therefore $A$ is contained in $B$. Thus we have that $A=B$.

Let $A$ be an integral domain containing a field $\boldsymbol{k}$. We denote the set of all automorphisms of $A$ over $\boldsymbol{k}$ by $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$.

Proposition 3.8. Let $A$ be an integral domain containing an infinite field $\boldsymbol{k}$. If $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ is a finite set, then $A$ is strongly torus invariant.

Proof. Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Let $\Phi_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, be an automorphism of $R$ defined by $\Phi_{\lambda}(Y)=\lambda Y$ and $\Phi_{\lambda}(b)=b$ for $b \in B$. Following the notation of (2.0) we have $X=v Y^{f}$, thus $\Phi_{\lambda}(X)=\lambda^{f} X$, therefore $R=\Phi_{\lambda}(A)[X$, $\left.X^{-1}\right]$. Let $p$ be the projection $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \rightarrow A$ defined by $p(X)=1$ and $i$ be the canonical injection $A \hookrightarrow A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. Define $\sigma_{\lambda}=q \circ \Phi_{\lambda} \circ i$. Then $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is an endomorphism of $A$. We shall show that $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is surjective. Let $x$ be an element of $A$. Since $R=\Phi_{\lambda}(A)\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, there exist elements $a_{j}$ 's of $A$ such as $x=$ $\sum \Phi_{\lambda}\left(a_{j}\right) X^{j}$. Hence $x=p(x)=\sum p \Phi_{\lambda}\left(a_{j}\right)$. Let $x^{\prime}=\sum a_{j} \in A$, then $\sigma_{\lambda}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=$ $\sum p \Phi_{\lambda}\left(a_{j}\right)=x$. Thus $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is surjective. Next we shall show that $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is injective. Since $\Phi_{\lambda}^{-1}\left((X-1) R \cap \Phi_{\lambda}(A)\right)=\Phi_{\lambda}^{-1}(X-1) R \cap A=\left(\lambda^{-f} X-1\right) R \cap A=0$, we have $(X-1) R \cap \Phi(A)=0$, therefore $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is injective. Hence $\sigma_{\lambda}$ is an automorphism of $A$.

We shall prove that the set $\left\{\sigma_{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \boldsymbol{k}^{*}\right\}$ is infinite when $A \neq B$. Since $u=v^{-f} Y^{1-f f^{\prime}}, \sigma_{\lambda}(u)=\lambda^{1-f f^{\prime}} u$. Therefore our assertion is proved when $1-f f^{\prime}$ $\neq 0$. Suppose $f f^{\prime}=1$. Then we may assume that $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. If $A \subseteq B$, then $A=B$, so there exists an element $x$ of $A$ not contained in $B$, say $x=\sum_{j=s}^{t} b_{j} X^{j}, t>s$. Since ker $p=(X-1) R$ and $(X-1) R \cap B=0, p\left(b_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for $b_{j} \neq 0$. Since $\sigma_{\lambda}(x)=\sum p\left(b_{j}\right) \lambda^{j}$ and $p\left(b_{j}\right) \neq 0$ for some $j \neq 0$, the set $\left\{\sigma_{\lambda} ; \lambda \in \boldsymbol{k}^{*}\right\}$ is infinite.

Next we shall give two cases of rings which are not strongly torus invariant. If $A$ has a non-trivial locally finite iterative higher derivation $\psi: A \rightarrow A[T]$, then $A[T]=B[T]$, where $B=\psi(A)$ and $A \neq B$, as is proved in [4]. Hence we have that $A\left[T, T^{-1}\right]=B\left[T, T^{-1}\right]$ and $A \neq B$. If $A$ is a graded ring, then $A$ is not strongly torus invariant. Indeed, let $X$ be a variable over $A$ and let
$B_{i}=\left\{a_{i} X^{i} ; a_{i} \in A_{i}\right\}$. Then $B_{i}$ is an $A_{0}$-module contained in $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. Let $B=\sum B_{i}$. Then $B$ is a graded ring and we easily see that $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B[X$, $X^{-1}$ ]. We shall show that $X$ is a variable over $B$. Assume that there exist elements $b_{0}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ in $B$ such that $b_{n} \neq 0$ and $b_{n} X^{n}+\cdots+b_{1} X+b_{0}=0$. By the definition of $B$ we denote $b_{i}=\sum a_{i j} X^{j}, a_{i j} \in A_{j}$. In the graded ring $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ the homogeneous term of degree $t$ of this equation is that

$$
\left(a_{n, t-n}+a_{n-1, t-n+1}+\cdots+a_{0, t}\right) X^{t}=0
$$

Since $A$ is a graded ring and $a_{i j}$ is a homogeneous element of degree $j$, we obtain $a_{i j}=0$ for all index $i$ and $j$, hence $X$ is a variable over $B$.

By [4] we have that a $k$-algebra $A$ has a non-trivial locally finite iterative higher derivation if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $G_{a}=$ Spec $\boldsymbol{k}[T]$. We easily see that $A$ is a non-trivial graded ring if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $G_{m}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(\boldsymbol{k}\left[T, T^{-1}\right]\right)$.

Proposition 3.9. $A$ k-algebra $A$ is not strongly torus invariant, if $A u t_{k}(A)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $G_{a}$ or $G_{m}$.

Assume that $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ is an infinite group. If $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ has an algebraic group structure, then there exists the following exact sequence;

$$
0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)_{0} \rightarrow \theta \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)_{0}$ is the connected component containing the identity $I_{A}$, and $T$ is a maximal torus subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)_{0}$ and $\theta$ is an abelian variety. Let $P$ be an arbitrary closed point of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$. If $T=0$, then there exists a regular map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi: \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(A) \\
\sigma \rightarrow \sigma(P) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ is a projective variety contained in the affine variety $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$, the set $\operatorname{Im}(\Phi)$ consists of one point, it contradicts $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)_{0}>0$. Hence we have that $T \neq 0$. Since $T \supseteqq G_{a}$ or $G_{m}$, we have the following result:

Proposition 3.10. If $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A)$ is not a finite set and has an algebraic group structure, then $A$ is not strongly torus invariant.

## 4. Affine domains of dimension $\leqq 2$

Let $\boldsymbol{k}$ be a field of characteristic zero which contains all roots of "unity". In this section let $A$ be an affine domain over $\boldsymbol{k}$. We shall see that if $\operatorname{dim} A=1$, then $A$ is always torus invariant. Moreover $A$ is not strongly torus invariant if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(A) \supseteqq G_{m}$. Let $\operatorname{dim} A \geqq 2$. Then $A$ is not always torus
invariant. But if an integrally closed domain $A$ is not a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring, then $A$ is torus invariant.

For the proof we need a lemma.
Lemma 4.1. Let $K$ be a finite separable algebraic field extension of a field $\boldsymbol{k}$. If $A$ is a one-dimensional affine normal ring such that $\boldsymbol{k} \subset A \subseteq K\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, then $A$ is a polynomial ring or a torus ring over $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ where $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ is the algebraic closure of $\boldsymbol{k}$ in $A$.

Proof. We may assume that $\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$. Following the similar device to the proof of (2.9) in [1, p 322], we have $Q(A)=\boldsymbol{k}(\theta)$ for some element $\theta$ of $A$.

Since $\boldsymbol{k}[\theta] \subseteq A \subset \boldsymbol{k}(\theta), A=\boldsymbol{k}[\theta]$ or $A=\boldsymbol{k}\left[\theta, \frac{1}{f(\theta)}\right]$ for some polynomial $f(\theta) \in \boldsymbol{k}[\theta]$. Let $A=\boldsymbol{k}\left[\theta, \frac{1}{f(\theta)}\right]$. Then we may assume that $f(\theta)$ has no multiple factors. The element $f(\theta)$ is invertible in $A$, so is also invertible in $K\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. Thus we have $f(\theta)=\beta X^{r}, \beta \in K, \theta \in K\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. We may assume that $r \geqq 0$, if neccessary, by replacing $X$ with $X^{-1}$. Then we easily see that $\theta \in K[X]$. The uniqueness of the irreducible decomposition in a polynomial ring implies that $\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} f(\theta)=1$, since the polynomial $f(\theta)$ has not multiple factors and $f(\theta)=\beta X^{r}$. Hence we may assume that $f(\theta)=\theta$ and we obtain $A=\boldsymbol{k}\left[\theta, \frac{1}{\theta}\right]$.

Let $A$ be an integral domain. If $A$ is contained in $K\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, then $\bar{A}$ is a polynomial ring or a torus ring over $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $A$ be a one-dimensional affine domain over a field $\boldsymbol{k}$ of characteristics zero. Then we obtain that
(1) $A$ is torus invariant,
(2) $A$ is not strongly torus invariant if and only if $A t_{k}(A)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to $G_{m}$. If $A$ is not strongly torus invariant and $A$ is integrally closed, then $A$ is a polynomial ring or a torus ring over the algebraic closure of $\boldsymbol{k}$ in $A$.

Proof. At first we shall prove (2). The sufficiency follows from (3.9). Let $R=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ in which $A \neq B$. If $m R \cap A \neq 0$ for any maximal ideal $m$ of $B$, then $m$ is vertical relative to $A$, and we have $A=B$ by (3.5). Hence there exists a maximal ideal $m$ such as $m R \cap A=0$. Since ch $\boldsymbol{k}=0, B / m=K$ is a finite separable algebraic field over $\boldsymbol{k}$. The residue mapping of $R$ to $R / m R$ yields (up to isomorphism) $k \subset A \subseteq K\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ where $Y$ is algebraiclly independent over $K$. Therefore $A$ is a polynomial ring or a torus ring by the lemma (4.1). Thus the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}_{k} A$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to $G_{m}$.

Assume that $A$ is not integrally closed. Then prime divisors in $A$ of the conductor $\mathrm{t}(\bar{A} / A)$ are vertical relative to $B$. Hence we may assume $X=Y$ by
(2.5). The above lemma (4.1) implies that $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ or $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$ where $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$ is the algebraic closure of $\boldsymbol{k}$ in $\bar{A}$.

Firstly let $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$. Since $\bar{A} \cong \bar{B}$, there exists an element $s$ in $\bar{B}$ such as $\bar{B}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[s]$. Since $\bar{R}=\bar{A}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=\bar{B}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$, we have $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[X, X^{-1}\right][t]=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ [s], hence we easily see that $t=f_{1}(X) s+f(X)$ and $s=g_{1}(X) t+g(X)$ where $f_{1}(X)$ $g_{1}(X)=1$ and $f(X), g(X) \in \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$. We may assume that $t=X^{n} s+f(X)$ and $s=X^{-n} t+g(X)$. Let $\bar{n}$ be a prime divisor in $\bar{A}$ of the conductor $\mathrm{t}(\bar{A} / A)$.

Then there exists a maximal ideal $\bar{m}$ of $\bar{B}$ such as $\bar{n} \bar{R}=\bar{m} \bar{R}$. Since $\bar{A} / \bar{n}$ is algebraic over $\boldsymbol{k}$, there exist elements $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{d-1} \in \boldsymbol{k}$ such that $t^{d-1}+\lambda_{d-1}$ $t^{d-1}+\cdots+\lambda_{0} \in \bar{m} \bar{R}=\bar{n} \bar{R}$. Hence we have that $\left(X^{n} s+f(X)\right)^{d}+\lambda_{d-1}\left(X^{n} s+f(X)\right)^{d-1}$ $+\cdots+\lambda_{0} \in \bar{n} \bar{R}$. The constant term of this polynomial with respect to $s$ is the following;

$$
f(X)^{d}+\lambda_{d-1} f(X)^{d-1}+\cdots+\lambda_{0} \in \bar{n} \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[s]\left[X, X^{-1}\right] .
$$

Therefore $f(X)=f \in \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}$. Hence we may assume that $t=X^{n}$ s. We shall show that $A$ is a graded ring. Let $a$ be an element of $A$. Since $a$ is contained in $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$ and $t=X^{n} s$, we have that $a=\sum \lambda_{j} t^{j}=\sum \lambda_{j} s^{j} X^{j n}, \lambda_{j}{ }^{j} \in \bar{B}$. On the other hand, as the element $a$ is contained in $B\left[X, X^{-1}\right], a=\sum b_{i} X^{i}, b_{i} \in B$. Comparing the coefficient of the each term in the following; $\sum \lambda_{j} s^{j} X^{j n}=\sum b_{i} X^{i}$, we have $b_{i}=\lambda_{j} s^{j}(i=j n)$ and $b_{i}=0(i \notin n \boldsymbol{Z})$. If $b_{i} \neq 0$, then $b_{i} X^{i}=\lambda_{j} s^{j} X^{j n}=$ $\lambda_{j} t^{j} \in B\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cap \bar{A}=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right] \cap \bar{A}=A$. Therefore $A$ has a graded ring structure.

Secondary let $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. Then $\bar{B}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[s, s^{-1}\right]$. Since $t$ and $s$ are invertible in $\bar{R}$, we may assume that $t=s^{i} X^{n}$ and $s=t^{j} X^{m}$, then $t=\left(t^{j} X^{m}\right)^{i} X^{n}=t^{i j} X^{i m+n}$, therefore $i j=1$. Hence we may assume $t=s X^{n}$. By the same method as in the case $\bar{A}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$ we have that $A$ is a graded ring.

Proof of (1). If $A$ is not integrally closed, then the prime divisors of the conductor $\mathfrak{t}(\bar{A} / A)$ are vertical relative to $B$. Since non-zero prime ideals of $A$ are maximal, the ring $A$ is isomorphic to $B$ by (2.5). If $A$ is integrally closed and $A$ is neither a polynomial ring nor a torus ring, then $A$ is strongly torus invariant, hence $A$ is torus invariant. If $A$ is either a polynomial ring or a torus ring, $A$ is torus invariant by (2.2) and (2.3).

Next we shall consider the case; the coefficient field $k$ has all roots of "unity" and its characteristic is zero. Then we prove the following:

Theorem 4.3. Let $A$ be an integrally closed $k$-affine domain of dimension two, where the field $\boldsymbol{k}$ has all roots of "unity" and ch $\boldsymbol{k}=0$. If $A$ is not torus invariant, then $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring which contains units of non-zero degree.

Proof. Assume that $A$ is not torus invariant. Then there exist a $\boldsymbol{k}$-algebra $B$ and independent variables $X, Y$ such that $A$ is not isomorphic to $B$ and $R=$ $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right] . \quad$ By $(2.0)$ and $(2,1)$ we obtain $f f^{\prime} \neq 1$. We shall show
that it follows from $f f^{\prime} \neq 1$ that $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring. We may only consider the case $1-f f^{\prime}>0$. Let $x$ be a $\left(1-f f^{\prime}\right)-t h$ root of $u$ and let $y=x^{-f} \mid X$. Then $y^{1-f f^{\prime}}=v$. Since $\left(y^{-f^{\prime}} y\right)^{1-f f^{\prime}}=u, x=\lambda y^{-f^{\prime}} Y$ for some $\left(1-f f^{\prime}\right)-t h$ root $\lambda$ of "unity". From the relations; $y=x^{-f} X$ and $Y=u X^{f^{\prime}}$, we have $\lambda=1$.

Since $y=x^{-f} X$ and $x=y^{-f^{\prime}} Y$ are invertible, we have $A[x]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B[y]$ $\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]=A[x]\left[y, y^{-1}\right]=B[y]\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. Define a surjective homomorphism $j: A[x]\left[y, y^{-1}\right] \rightarrow A[x]$ by $j(y)=1$. Let $A_{0}=j(B[y]) \subseteq A[x]$. We shall show that $A[x]=A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. Let a be an element of $A$. Then $a=\sum b_{i} x^{i}, b_{i} \in B$. Since $j(a)=a$ and $j(x)=x$, we have that $a=\sum j\left(b_{i}\right) x^{i}, j\left(b_{j}\right) \in A_{0}$. Thus $A[x]=$ $A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ and $x$ is algebraically independent over $A_{0}$. By the same way $B[y]=$ $B_{0}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$.

Since the every ( $1-f f^{\prime}$ )-th roots of "unity" is contained in $k$ and ch $k=0$ and $A$ is normal, the extension $A[x] / A$ is a Galois extension with a cyclic group $G=\langle\sigma\rangle$ (cf. [3] p 214). Indeed when $|G|=n, n \mid 1-f f^{\prime}$ and there exists a primitive $n-t h$ root $\lambda$ of "unity" such that $\sigma(x)=\lambda x$ and the invariant subring $(A[x])^{\sigma}=A$ and $A[x]=A+A x+\cdots+A x^{n-1}$ is a free $A$-module.

Since the element $u$ is a unit of $A$ and $\operatorname{ch}(\boldsymbol{k})=0$, the extension $A[x] / A$ is etale. Since $A$ is a normal domain, $A[x]$, hence $A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, is also a normal domain. From this we see that $A_{0}$ is always normal.

We shall show that there exists a subring $A_{0}^{\prime}$ in $A[x]$ such that $A[x]=A_{0}^{\prime}[x$, $\left.x^{-1}\right]$ and $\sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)=A_{0}^{\prime}$. If $A_{0}$ is strongly torus invariant, then $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)=A_{0}$; for $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)\left[x, x^{-1}\right]=A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, therefore $A_{0}$ satisfies the conditions. If $A_{0}$ is not strongly torus invariant, then $A_{0}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$ or $=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$ by (4.2). Firstly let $A_{0}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[t]$. Since $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right][t]=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right][\sigma(t)]$, we easily see that $\sigma(t)=\mu x^{i} t+$ $f(x), \mu \in k^{*}$ and $f(x) \in \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. The order of $\sigma$ is $n$, i.e. $\sigma^{n}=$ Identity, so $\sigma^{n}(t)=t$, the other hand $\sigma^{n}(t)=\mu^{n} \lambda^{(1+\cdots n-1) i} x^{i n} t+g(x), g(x) \in \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, therefore we have that $i=0$, thus $\sigma(t)=\mu t+f(x)$ and $\mu^{n}=1$. Let $f(x)=\sum f_{i} x^{i}$ and define the set $\Delta=\left\{j \in Z ; \lambda^{j} \neq \mu\right\}$. Let $h(x)=\sum_{j \in \Delta} h_{j} x^{j}$, where $h_{j}=f_{j}\left(\mu-\lambda^{j}\right)^{-1}$, and put $s=t+h(x)$. Then $\sigma(s)=\mu s+\sum_{i \notin \Delta} f_{j} x^{i}$, hence $\sigma^{n}(s)=\mu^{n} s+n \mu^{n-1}\left(\sum_{i \notin \Delta} f_{i} x^{i}\right)=s+n \mu^{n-1}$ $\left(\sum_{i \notin \Delta} f_{i} x^{i}\right)$. Since $\sigma^{n}(s)=s$, we have $\sigma(s)=\mu s$. We set $A_{0}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}[s]$, then $A_{0}^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions.

Secondary let $A_{0}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[t, t^{-1}\right]$. Since $\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[t, t^{-1}\right]=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[\sigma(t), \sigma(t)^{-1}\right]$, we easily see that $\sigma(t)=\mu x^{i} t$ or $\sigma(t)=\mu x^{i} t^{-1}, \mu \in \boldsymbol{k}^{\prime *}$.

Case (i); $\sigma(t)=\mu x^{i} t$. Since $\sigma^{n}(t)=\mu^{n} \lambda^{(1+\cdots+n-1) i} x^{n i} t$ and $\sigma^{n}(t)=t$, we have that $\sigma(t)=\mu t$, so $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)=A_{0}$.

Case (ii); $\sigma(t)=\mu x^{i} t^{-1}$. If $n$ is odd, 'say $n=2 m+1$, then $\sigma^{n}(t)=\mu \lambda^{i m} x^{i} t^{-1}$, but this is imposible for $\sigma^{n}(t)=t$. Therefore $n$ is even, say $n=2 m$. Then $\sigma^{n}(t)=\lambda^{i m} t$. Since $\lambda$ is a primitive $n-t h$ root of "unity", the integer $i$ is even, say $i=2 j$. Let $s=x^{-j} t$ and $A_{0}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime}\left[s, s^{-1}\right]$. Then $A_{0}^{\prime}$ satisfies the conditions.

Next we shall show that $A$ has a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring structure. Let a be an element of $A$. Since $a \in A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right], a=\sum a_{i} x^{i}$. Then $a=\sigma(a)=\sum \sigma\left(a_{i}\right) \lambda^{i} x^{i}$ and $\sigma\left(a_{i}\right) \in A_{0}^{\prime}$. Comparing the coefficient of each Iterm in the equality; $\sum a_{i} x^{i}=$ $\sum \sigma\left(a_{i}\right) \lambda^{i} x^{i}$, we have that $a_{i}=\sigma\left(a_{i}\right) \lambda^{i}$, then $\sigma\left(a_{i} x^{i}\right)=a_{i} x^{i}$. Thus $a_{i} x^{i}$ is an element of $A$. Therefore $A$ is a graded ring. Since there exists units of non-zero degree, $A$ has a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring structure.

Remark. The converse of this theorem is false. Indeed we find by (2.3) that the ring $\boldsymbol{k}[T]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring with respect to $X$ which is torus invariant.

Example. We shall construct an example of an affine dimension $A$ of dimension two which is not torus invariant.

Let $D$ be an integrally closed domain of dimension one over an algebraically closed field $\boldsymbol{k}$ and $D^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$. Let $a$ be a non-unit of $D$ and $\alpha^{5}=a, \alpha \in D$. Assume that $D$ is noetherian and $D[\alpha]$ is strongly torus invariant. Since an affine domain of dimension one whose totally quotient field has a positive genus is strongly torus invariant by (4.2), this assumption can be satisfied for a suitable choice of $D$. Let $T$ be a variable over $D$ and $A=D\left[\alpha T, T^{5}, T^{-5}\right]$. Let $X$ be a variable over $A$ and $S=T^{2} X$ and $Y=T^{5} X^{2}$. Let $B=D\left[\alpha S^{3}, S^{5}, S^{-5}\right]$. Since $T=$ $S^{-2} Y$ and $X=S^{5} Y^{-2}$, we have that $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. By (1.1) invertible elements in the graded ring $A$ are homogeneous. Since $D^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, we obtain $A^{*}=\left\{\eta T^{5 i} ; \eta \in \boldsymbol{k}^{*}\right.$ and $\left.i \in \boldsymbol{Z}\right\}$. Hence the quotient $A^{*} / \boldsymbol{k}^{*}$ is generated by $T^{5}$. Similary $B^{*} / \boldsymbol{k}^{*}$ is generated by $S^{5}$. We shall show that $A$ is not isomorphic to $B$. We assume that there exists an isomorphism $\sigma$ of $A$ to $B$. Since $\sigma$ is a group-isomorphism of $A^{*}$ to $B^{*}$, we have $\sigma\left(T^{5}\right)=\mu S^{5}$ or $\sigma\left(T^{5}\right)=\mu S^{-5}$, $\mu \in \boldsymbol{k}^{*}$. We shall only consider the case: $\sigma\left(T^{5}\right)=\mu S^{5}$, since the proof of the other case is the similar. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be an isomorphism of $A[T]$ to $B[S]$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}=\sigma$ on $A$ and $\bar{\sigma}(T)=\zeta S, \zeta^{5}=\mu$. Then we have that $D[\alpha]\left[S, S^{-1}\right]=$ $\bar{\sigma}(D[\alpha])\left[S, S^{-1}\right]$, therefore $\bar{\sigma}(D[\alpha])=D[\alpha]$; for $D[\alpha]$ is strongly torus invariant. Since $\sigma(D) \subseteq D[\alpha] \cap B=D$, we have $\sigma(D)=D$, therefore we easily see that $\sigma$ is an isomorphism as graded rings. Thus we have $\sigma((\alpha T) D)=$ $\left(\alpha^{2} S\right) D$, hence $\sigma(a) \in a^{2} D$. Since the element $a$ is not a unit, $a^{2} D \subsetneq a D$, thus $\sigma(a) D \subseteq a^{2} D \subsetneq a D$, so $a D \subsetneq \sigma^{-1}(a) D$, hence we have a proper ascending chain $\left\{\sigma^{-n}(a) D\right\}$, but it contradicts the netherian assumption of $D$. Hence $A$ is not torus invariant.
(4.4) Now let $A=\sum A_{i}$ be an integrally closed $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded domain which contains invertible elements of non-zero degree. Let $e$ be an invertible element of $A$ with the smallest positive degree $d$. Let a be a unit of $A$, then a is a homogeneous elements with $\operatorname{deg} a=j d$ for some integer $j$, and there exists an element $\xi$ of $A_{0}^{*}$ such as $a=\xi e^{j}$. Let $i$ be any positive integer and $x$ be one of the $i j d$-th roots of $a$, say $x^{i j d}=a$. Since $A[x]$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring with the
invertible elements $x$ of degree one, $A[x]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ by (1.4) where $A_{0}^{\prime}$ contains $A_{0}$. Let $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ be integers such as $f f^{\prime}+i j d=1$ and let $X$ be a variable over A. Put $y=x^{-f} X$ and $Y=a X^{f^{\prime}}$. Then $x=y^{-f^{\prime}} Y$ and $X=y^{i j d} Y^{f}$. Therefore $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Since the every $n$-th roots of "unity" is contained in $k$ and $A$ is integral closed, the extension $A[x] / A$ is a Galois extension with a cyclic group $G=\langle\sigma\rangle$. Indeed $|G|=d i$ and there exists a primitive di-th root $\lambda$ of "unity" such as $\sigma(x)=\lambda x$, and $(A[x])^{\sigma}=A$. Since $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is algebraic over $A_{0}, \sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is also so, hence $\sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ is algebraic over $A_{0}^{\prime}$, but $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is algebraically closed in $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, therefore $\sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)=A_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $\sigma(y)=\lambda^{-f} y$, $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$. Let $B=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ be an automorphism of $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}(X)=X$ and $\bar{\sigma}=\sigma$ over $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. Since $\bar{\sigma}(Y)=Y$ and $\bar{\sigma}(X)=X$, we obtain $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$ $=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}=A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $A$ be an integrally closed $\boldsymbol{k}$-affine domain of dimension 2. If $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ and $f f^{\prime} \neq 1$, then $A$ has a $Z$-graded ring structure and $B$ is isomorphic to one of algebras constructed in (4.4).

Proof. The first statement is already mentioned in the proof of (4.3) and we obtained $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ and $\sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)=A_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $B^{\prime}=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$. Then $B^{\prime}$ is one of algebras in (4.4). Since $B^{\prime}\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]=$ $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right], B$ is isomorphic to $B^{\prime}$.

## 5. D-torus invariant

Let $D$ be an integral domain contining a field $\boldsymbol{k}$ of characteristic zero and $A$ be a $D$-algebra. The ring $A$ is called $D$-torus invariant; if $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B[Y$, $Y^{-1}$ ] for a certain $D$-algebra $B$ and independent variables $X$ and $Y$, then we have always $A \cong_{D} B$. Then we have the following result:

Proposition 5.1. Let $A$ be an integrally closed domain over $D$ and tr. deg ${ }_{D}$ $A=1$. If $A$ is not $D$-torus invariant, then $A$ is a $Z$-graded ring containing units of non-zero degree.

Proof. Let $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, where $B$ is a $D$-algebra and not $D$ isomorphic to $A$. By (2.0) and (2.1) we easily see that $f f^{\prime}=1$. Then we may assume $1-f f^{\prime}>0$. Let $x$ be a $\left(1-f f^{\prime}\right)-t h$ root of $u$ and $y=x^{-f} X$. Then we have that $A[x]=A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ and $B[y]=B_{0}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]$ as the proof of (4.3), where $A_{0}$ and $B_{0}$ are respectively subalgebras of $A[x]$ and $B[y]$ containing $D$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of the cyclic Galois group of the extension $A[x] / A$. We shall show that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)=A_{0}$. Since tr. $\operatorname{deg}_{D} A_{0}\left[x, X^{-1}\right]=1, A_{0}$ is algebraic over $D$, thus $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)$ is also so. Since $A_{0}$ is algebraically closed in $A_{0}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, we have that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)=A_{0}$. Following the similar devise to the proof of (4.3) we obtain
that $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring, and $D$ is contained in $A$.
In the following we shall consider the case where $A$ is a $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring and $A_{0}=D$. We consider only $D$-isomorphisms of $D$-algebras.

Theorem 5.2. Let $A$ be an integrally closed $\boldsymbol{Z}$-graded ring. Assume that the subring $A_{0}$ contains an algebraically closed field $\boldsymbol{k}$ and that $A_{0}^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$. Let $d$ be the smallest positive integer among the set of degrees of units in $A$. Then the number of the isomorphic classes of $A_{0}$-algebra as $B$ such that $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ equals to $\Phi(d)$, where $\Phi$ is the Euler function.

Proof. Let $i$ be an integer such as $1 \leqq i<d$ and $(i, d)=1$. Since $(i, d)=1$, $i j+d h=1$ for some integers $j$ and $h$. Moreover we may assume $h \geqq 0$. Fix a unit $e$ of degree $d$. Let $x$ be one of the $d$-th roots of $e$. Then we have that $A[x]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$ for a subring $A_{0}^{\prime}$ containing $A_{0}$ by (1.4). Let $\sigma$ be a generator of the cyclic Galois group of the extension $A[x] / A$. Then $\sigma(x)=\lambda x$, where $\lambda$ is a primitive $d$-th root of "unity". Since $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is algebraic over $A_{0}$ and algebraically closed in $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$, we obtain. $\sigma\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\right)=A_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $X$ be a variable over $A$ and let $y=x^{-i} X$ and $Y=e^{h} x^{j}$. Then we have that $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=A_{0}^{\prime}[y$, $\left.y^{-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Define $B_{i}=A_{0}^{\prime}\left\lceil y, y^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$ and let $\bar{\sigma}$ be an isomorphism of $A_{0}^{\prime}[x$, $\left.x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]$ defined by $\bar{\sigma}(X)=X$ and $\bar{\sigma}=\sigma$ on $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]$. Since $Y=e^{h} X^{j}$, $\bar{\sigma}(Y)=Y$, therefore we obtain that $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B_{i}\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. We can easily see that $B_{i}$ is a $X$-graded ring and $\left(B_{i}\right)_{0}=A_{0}$. Especially we have $B_{1} \cong A$.

Let $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ be integers such as $1 \leqq i_{1}<i_{2}<d$ and $\left(i_{1}, d\right)=\left(i_{2}, d\right)=1$. Let $B^{\prime}=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y, y^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[z, z^{-1}\right]^{\sigma}$ where $\sigma(y)=\lambda^{-i_{1}} y$ and $\sigma(z)=\lambda^{-i_{2}}$ i.e., $B^{\prime}=B_{i_{1}}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}=B_{i_{2}}$. We shall show that $B^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime \prime}$ are not isomorphic. Assume that there exists an $A_{0}$-isomorphism $\psi$ of $B^{\prime}$ to $B^{\prime \prime}$. Let $a$ be a unit in $B^{\prime}$ of non-zero degree, say degree $a=n, n \neq 0$. Let $b$ be a homogeneous element of $B^{\prime}$ and degree $b=t$. Then we have $b^{n}=r a^{t}$ for an element $r$ in the coefficient ring $A_{0}$, hence $\psi\left(b^{n}\right)=\psi(b)^{n}=r \psi\left(a^{t}\right)$. Since $r$ and $\psi\left(a^{t}\right)$ are homogeneous, $\psi(b)$ is also homogeneous by (1.1), therefore $\psi$ is an isomorphism as graded rings.

Let $c$ be a homogeneous element in $B^{\prime}$ of degree one. Then $c=s_{1} y$ for an element $s_{1}$ in $A_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $\sigma(c)=c$ and $\sigma(y)=\lambda^{-i_{1}} y$, we have $\sigma\left(s_{1}\right)=\lambda^{i_{1}} s_{1}$ hence $s_{1}^{d}$ is in $B^{\prime}$. Since $\psi\left(s_{1} y\right)$ is a homogeneous element of degree one, we obtain $\psi\left(s_{1} y\right)=s_{2} z$ for an element $s_{2}$ in $A_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $\sigma\left(s_{2} z\right)=s_{2} z$ and $\sigma(z)=\lambda^{-i_{2} z}$, we have $\sigma\left(s_{2}\right)=\lambda^{i^{2} s_{2}}$, hence $s_{2}^{d}$ is in $B^{\prime \prime}$. By the relations; $s_{1}^{d} \psi\left(y^{d}\right)=\psi\left(\left(s_{1} y\right)^{d}\right)=$ $\psi\left(s_{1} y\right)^{d}=s_{2}^{d} z^{d}$, we obtain $s_{2}^{d}=\psi\left(y^{d}\right) z^{-d} s_{1}^{d}$. Since $\psi\left(y^{d}\right) z^{-d}$ is an invertible element in $B^{\prime \prime}$ and degree zero, we have $\zeta=\psi\left(y^{d}\right) z^{-d} \in A_{0}^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$, therefore we have $s_{2}=\eta s_{1}$ for some $\eta \in k, \eta^{d}=\xi$. Hence $\sigma\left(s_{2}\right)=\lambda^{i_{1} s_{2}}$, but it contadicts the fact that $\sigma\left(s_{2}\right)$ $=\lambda^{i_{2} S_{2}}$ and $\lambda$ is a primitive $d$-th root of "unity". Therefore $B^{\prime} \nsubseteq B^{\prime \prime}$.

Finally we shall show that if $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ then $B$ is isomorphic to $B_{i}$ for some $i$ satisfying $0<i<d$ and $(i, d)=1$. The invertible element $u$
in (2.0) is homogeneous. Let $n$ be the degree of $u$. If $n=0$, then $A$ is isomorphic to $B$ by (2.1), hence $B \cong B_{1}$. Assume $n \neq 0$. Let $c$ be a non-zero homogeneous element of degree 1 and put $\eta=c^{n} u^{-1}$. Then $\eta$ is an element of $A_{0}$. In the graded ring $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$ the elements $u$ and $\eta$ are homogeneous, hence $c$ is also homogeneous, thus we denote $c=b Y^{j}$ for some element $b$ in $B$ and some integer $j$. Then we obtain that $c^{n}=b^{n} Y^{n j}$. On the other hand we have $c^{n}=$ $\eta u=\eta v^{-f^{\prime}} Y^{1-f f^{\prime}}$ by (2.0). Therefore we have $1-f f^{\prime}=n j$.

By the minimality of $d$ we obtain $n=l d$ for some integer $l$ and $u=\xi e$, $\xi \in A_{0}^{*}=\boldsymbol{k}^{*}$. Since the field $\boldsymbol{k}$ is algebraically closed, we may assume $\xi=1$, then the $d$-th root $x$ of $e$ is an $n$-th root of $u$. Since the element $\lambda$ is a primitive $d$-th root of "unity", there exists the unique integer $i$ such that $\lambda^{-f}=\lambda^{-i}, 0<$ $i<d$, then $(i, d)=1$ since $(f, d)=1$. Let $y^{\prime}=x^{-f} X^{j}$ and $B^{\prime}=\left(A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y^{\prime}, y^{\prime-1}\right]\right)^{\bar{\sigma}}$. Then $\sigma\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\lambda^{-f} y^{\prime}=\lambda^{-i} y^{\prime}$, hence $B^{\prime}=B_{i}$. We can easily show that $x=y^{\prime-f^{\prime}} Y^{j}$, therefore we obtain $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[x, x^{-1}\right]\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[y^{\prime}, y^{\prime-1}\right]\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Since $\sigma(X)=X$ and $\sigma(Y)=Y$, we have $A\left[X, X^{-1}\right]=B_{i}\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$, hence $B\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]=B_{i}\left[Y, Y^{-1}\right]$. Thus we have $B \cong B_{i}$.
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