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The main purpose of this note is to present tables of $S^{1}$-invariant (or "complex projective") homotopy of spheres through the stable 13 -stem and unstable 6 -stem. Randall [8] has previously computed these through the stable 6 -stem, while various cases in the unstable 1, 2 and 3 -stems have been computed by Rees [10], and O shima [5]. It has recently come to our attention that O shima [6] has also computed the $S^{1}$-invariant stable homotopy through the 13 -stem (by quite different methods) and obtained some partial results in higher stems. His results, however, require substantial arithmetical work to reduce them to the explicit form we give here. We use his results to settle one question concerning the 3 -primary part of the 11 -stem which our methods did not cover. In all common cases, after a good deal of calculation, our results and O shima's can be seen to agree.

Another purpose of this note is to attempt to introduce a consistent and suggestive terminology for what has been referred to in the past as "symmetric," "projective," or " $F$-projective" homotopy. The more general notion of $G$ invariant homotopy is defined in $\S 1$, and some basic properties are given. In §2 the methods used for computing the stable stems are outlined, and in §3 the non-stable cases are dealt with. Tables summarzing the results appear in §4. This work was part of a Master's Thesis by the first named author [4].

## 1. $G$-invariant homotopy

Let $G$ be a topological group acting on $S^{n}$ such that the orbit space $Y=$ $S^{n} / G$ is a $C W$-complex (necessarily of dimension less than or equal to $n$ ). We usually write $Y_{r}$ for the quotient $Y / Y^{(r-1)}$, and $\gamma$ for any of the quotient maps $S^{n} \rightarrow Y$ or $S^{n} \rightarrow Y_{r}$.

Definition. 1.1 A map $S^{n} \xrightarrow{f} X$ is called strictly $G$-invariant if $f=f_{1} \gamma$ for some $f_{1}: Y \rightarrow X$, and $G$-invariant if $f \simeq f_{1} \gamma$ for some $f_{1}: Y \rightarrow X$. A class $\alpha \in \pi_{n}(X)$ is called $G$-invariant if it is represented by a strictly $G$-invariant map $S^{n} \xrightarrow{f} X$ (in which case any representative of $\alpha$ is $G$-invariant).

We write $\pi_{n}^{G}(X)$ for the subset of $G$-invariant homotopy classes of $\pi_{n}(X)$ (or $\pi_{n}^{\mu}(X)$ in case the group action $\mu: G \times S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is not obvious).

The following proposition gives the most elementary properties of $G$ invariant homotopy, the proofs being trivial.

Proposition. 1.2. (a) $\pi_{n}^{G}(X) \neq \phi$, indeed $0 \in \pi_{n}^{G}(X)$.
(b) If $g: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ then $g_{*} \pi_{n}^{G}(X) \subset \pi_{n}^{G}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$.
(c) If $H \subset G$ acts on $S^{n}$ by restriction of the $G$-action, then $\pi_{n}^{G}(X) \subset \pi_{n}^{H}(X)$.

The cases $G=Z_{2}, S^{1}, S^{3}$ acting in the standard way on spheres $S^{n}, S^{2 n+1}, S^{4 n+3}$ respectively, have been subjected to the most study and have been called real projective, complex projective, and quaternionic projective respectively (also real symmetric, etc.). In general $\pi_{n}^{G}(X)$ is not a subgroup, as we see in the next example.

Example 1.3. $\quad \pi_{3}^{S_{1}}\left(S^{2}\right)=\left\{k^{2} \eta: k \in Z\right\}$.
Proof. See [4], [5], or [10].
Proposition 1.4. If $X$ is an $H$-space or $n$ is in the stable range (i.e. $n<2 r-1$ and $X$ is $(r-1)$-connected), then $\pi_{n}^{G}(X)$ is a subgroup of $\pi_{n}(X)$.

Proof. See [4] or [11].
An alternative definition for $\pi_{n}^{G}(X)$ is:

$$
\pi_{n}^{G}(X)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\gamma^{\sharp}:[Y, X] \rightarrow\left[S^{n}, X\right]=\pi_{n}(X)\right] .
$$

The following useful lemma is an easy consequence of the homotopy extension property and cellular approximation.

Lemma 1.5. If $X$ is $(r-1)$-connected then

$$
\pi_{n}^{G}(X)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\gamma^{*}:\left[Y_{r}, X\right] \rightarrow \pi_{n}(X)\right]
$$

Proof. See [4].

## 2. Computation of stable $G$-invariant homotopy

The following theorem can be used for computing any stable $G$-invariant homotopy subgroup:

Theorem 2.1. Take $X$ to be $(r-1)$-connected and $n<2 r-1$. Then

$$
\pi_{n}^{G}(X) \approx \operatorname{Ker}\left[(D p \wedge 1)_{*}: \pi_{M-2}\left(S^{M-n-2} \wedge X\right) \rightarrow \pi_{M-2}\left(D\left(C_{\gamma}\right) \wedge X\right)\right]
$$

where $M$ is sufficiently large, $D=D_{M-1}$ is the Spanier-Whitehead (M-1)-dual, and
$p: C_{\gamma} \rightarrow S^{n+1}$ is the map induced by the cofibration sequence of $S^{n} \xrightarrow{\gamma} Y_{r}$.
Proof. Applying the functor $\{-, \Sigma X\}$ to the cofibration sequence results in an exact sequence:

$$
\left\{C_{\gamma}, \Sigma X\right\} \stackrel{p^{\ddagger}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left\{S^{n+1}, \Sigma X\right\} \stackrel{(\Sigma \gamma)^{\sharp}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left\{Y_{r}, \Sigma X\right\}
$$

Hence, $\pi_{n}^{G}(X)=\operatorname{Im} \gamma^{*} \approx \operatorname{Im}(\Sigma \gamma)^{\sharp}=\operatorname{Ker} p^{*}$.
Next, consider the following diagram:


Since the vertical maps are given respectively by ( $M-1$ )-duality, desuspension and stability this diagram commutes and these maps are isomorphisms. Hence $\pi_{n}^{G}(X) \approx \operatorname{Ker} p^{*} \approx \operatorname{Ker}(D p \wedge 1)_{*}$.

In the case of $S^{1}$-invariant homotopy, say $\pi_{2 r+2 k-1}(X)$ with $X(2 r-2)$ or $(2 r-1)$-connected, we have $Y_{2 r}=Y_{2 r-1}=C P_{r}^{r+k-1}$, where $C P_{q}^{p}=C P^{p} / C P^{q-1}$, $C P_{q}=C P^{\infty} / C P^{q-1}$ as usual. Hence $C_{\gamma}=C P_{r}^{r+k}$. Furthermore, taking $M$ divisible by any sufficiently large power of $2, D_{2 M-1} C P_{r}^{r+k}=\Sigma C P_{M-r-k-1}^{M-r-1}$ ([2]), and $D_{2 M-1}(p)=\Sigma J$ where $J: S^{2(M-r-k-1)} \rightarrow C P_{M-r-k-1}^{M-r-1}$ is the inclusion into the bottom cell. For $X$ a sphere the theorem now gives:

Lemma 2.2. (a) For $k<r-1$
$\pi_{2 r+2 k-1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2 r-1}\right) \approx \operatorname{Ker}\left[J_{*}: \pi_{2 M-2 r-2}\left(S^{2 M-2 r-2 k-2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2 M-2 r-2}\left(C P_{M-r-k-1}\right)\right]$.
(b) For $k<r$

$$
\pi_{2 r+2 k-1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2 r}\right) \approx \operatorname{Ker}\left[J_{*}:{ }_{2 M-2 r-3}\left(S^{2 M-2 r-2 k-2}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{2 M-2 r-3}\left(C P_{M-r-k-1}\right)\right] .
$$

These lemmas refer to the entire groups but are also true when the groups are replaced by their $p$-primary components. In our computations we deal with the $2,3,5$ and 7 -primary components individually. All others are trivial in the range being considered.

For the 2-primary component Mosher's results [3] can be applied to Lemma 2.2 directly, giving $\pi_{n+k}^{s^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 11$ (2-primary part) in the stable range. However, at any prime $p$, the following method is applicable.

The first step is to compute the stable homotopy groups of $C P_{m}$ and $S^{2 m}$ through the appropriate stem. This is done by means of the Adams Spectral Sequence. For any space $X$ the $E_{2}$ term can be computed by constructing a minimal resolution $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$ for $H^{*}(X)$ and using the equality

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(H^{*}\left(X ; Z_{p}\right), Z_{p}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}^{t}\left(M_{s}, Z_{p}\right)
$$

where $A$ is the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra. Let $\left\{M_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{N_{s}\right\}$ represent minimal resolutions of $H^{*}\left(C P_{m}\right)$ and $H^{*}\left(S^{2 m}\right)$, respectively.

Having computed the $E_{2}$ terms, it is routine to find

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ker}\left[J_{\#, 2}: \operatorname{Ext}_{A}\left(H^{*}\left(S^{2 m}\right) ; Z_{p}\right), Z_{p}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}\left(H^{*}\left(C P_{m} ; Z_{p}\right), Z_{p}\right)\right]
$$

by the following procedure. Starting with $J^{*}: H^{*}\left(C P_{m} ; Z_{p}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}\left(S^{2 m} ; Z_{p}\right)$, we can choose $\bar{J}^{*}:\left\{M_{s}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{N_{s}\right\}$ to be an $A$-chain map which covers $J^{*} . J_{\#, 2}$ is defined to be $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(\bar{J}^{*}, Z_{p}\right)$.

At this point we must consider the effect of any possible Adams differentials as well as those cases where an element in the kernel of $J_{\neq, 2}$ gives a homotopy class which can map under $J_{*}$ to a non-zero class of higher filtration. Using this information it is possible to compute $J_{*}$.

There are many cases where no differentials can exist because of dimensional reasons, and other places where their existence can be decided by naturality. In certain cases the exact homotopy sequence of the cofibration

$$
S^{2 m} \xrightarrow{J} C P_{m} \rightarrow C P_{m+1}
$$

can also be used to help determine the existence of differentials.
Diagrams 2.4. The Adams Spectral Sequences for the 2-primary parts of $\pi_{*}\left(S^{2 m}\right)$ and $\pi_{*}\left(C P_{m}\right)$ are illustrated through total degree $2 m+7$ by the diagrams below (for $C P_{m}$ not all relations are shown as it would be confusing). The action of $J_{*}$ is indicated as follows. When elements $\hat{e}_{i, j}$ and $\hat{f}_{i, j}$ are represented by disks it implies that $J_{\#, 2}\left(\hat{e}_{i, j}\right)=\hat{f}_{i, j} . \quad J_{\#, 2}$ is zero on those represented by circles. For example, in the $m \equiv 1(8)$ diagram, $J_{\sharp, 2}\left(\hat{e}_{2,8}\right)=\hat{f}_{2,8}, J_{\# 2}$ $\left(\hat{e}_{3,6}\right)=0$. All differentials are indicated (by a remark or an arrow $\mathbb{)}$ ), and if any class is mapped to one of a higher filtration it is noted. The corresponding diagrams at the primes $3,5,7$ are generally much simpler and are not given here.

$$
\pi_{*}\left(S^{2 m}\right) \xrightarrow{J_{*}} \pi_{*}\left(C P_{m}\right)
$$


$m \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$

$m \equiv 2(\bmod 8)$

$$
{ }^{*} \pi_{2 m+7}\left(C P_{m}\right)=Z_{8} ; m \equiv 2(\bmod 16)
$$

$$
Z_{16} ; m \equiv 10(\bmod 16)
$$



$m \equiv 4,(\bmod 8)$

$m \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$

by cellular approximation.
Lemma 3.4. For $n>1$ the Hopf map $\eta: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ induces isomorphisms $\eta_{*}: \pi_{2 n+1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{3}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \pi_{2 n+1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2}\right)$.

Proof. Consider the Hopf fibration $S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3} \xrightarrow{\eta} S^{2}$. For $n>1$, this induces the fibre mapping sequence:

$$
\cdots \rightarrow 0=\left[C P^{n}, S^{1}\right] \rightarrow\left[C P^{n}, S^{3}\right] \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}}\left[C P^{n}, S^{2}\right] .
$$

Because of cup products, for $n>1$, any $f: C P^{n} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is such that $f^{*}: H^{2}\left(S^{2} ; Z\right)$ $\rightarrow H^{2}\left(C P^{n} ; Z\right)$ is trivial, and hence $0=f_{*}: H_{2}\left(C P^{n} ; Z\right) \rightarrow H_{2}\left(S^{2} ; Z\right) . \quad$ By [1], p. 68, $f$ lifts to $S^{3}$ and $\eta_{\#}$ is therefore an epimorphism. Thus $\eta_{\#}$ is an isomorphism. By the following commutative diagram

$\eta_{*}$ maps $\pi_{2 n+1}^{S 1}\left(S^{3}\right)=\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{1 \ddagger}$ isomorphically onto $\pi_{2 n+1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im} \gamma_{\sharp}$.
Proposition 3.5. $\quad \pi_{2 n+1}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{3}\right)=0 \subset \pi_{5}\left(S^{3}\right)=Z_{2}$.
Proof. Consider the factorization


Since $\pi_{5}^{s^{1}}\left(S^{4}\right)=0$ (from stable case), $\gamma \simeq 0$ and the result follows.
Corollary. $\quad \pi_{5}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2}\right)=0$ (by 3.4 and 3.5)
This result, along with previous non-stable computations by O shima and Rees, completes the nustable $1,2,3$ stems. The next proposition gives the results for the 4-stem.

Proposition 3.6. (a) $\pi_{9}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{5}\right)=0 \subset \pi_{9}\left(S^{5}\right) \approx Z_{2}$.
(b) $\pi_{7}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{3}\right)=\pi_{7}\left(S^{3}\right) \approx Z_{2}$.

Proof. (a) $\pi_{9}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{5}\right) \subset \pi_{9}^{Z}\left(S^{5}\right)=0[7]$.
(b) $\pi_{7}\left(S^{3}\right)=Z_{2}\left[\zeta \circ \eta_{6}\right]$. Now $\eta_{6} \in \pi_{7}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{6}\right)(\S 2)$, so $\zeta \circ \eta_{6} \in \pi_{7}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{3}\right)$ by naturality.

${ }^{*} J_{*}$ takes the class of $a$ to the class of $a^{\prime}$. However, on the $E_{2}$ level, $J_{\sharp}(a)=0$.

## 3. Unstable computations

Calculating $\pi_{n+k}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right)$ in the unstable range $k \geq n-1$ presents extra difficulties since in general this is not a subgroup. Although no general technique such as that of $\S 2$ is available, one useful method is to write

$$
\pi_{2 m+1}^{S^{1}}(X)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\gamma^{\ddagger}:\left[C P_{r}^{m}, X\right] \rightarrow \pi_{2 m+1}(X)\right]
$$

and then pick the truncation number $r$ as large as possible (cf. Lemma 3.1 below). A second method is to apply the stable computations of $\S 2$ when possible.

Lemma 3.1. For $k>n-1$

$$
\pi_{2 n+2 k+1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left[\gamma^{\sharp}:\left[C P_{n+1}^{n+k}, S^{2 n}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{2 n+2 k+1}\left(S^{2 n}\right)\right] .
$$

Proof. By cellular approximation, truncation at $C P_{n}^{n+k}$ is possible. Let $\zeta_{n}$ generate $H^{2 n}\left(C P_{n}^{n+k} ; Z\right)$. Since $k \geq n, \zeta_{n}^{2} \neq 0$. It follows that any map $f: C P_{n}^{n+k} \rightarrow S^{2 n}$ induces $f^{*}=0: H^{2 n}\left(S^{2 n} ; Z\right) \rightarrow H^{2 n}\left(C P_{n}^{n+k} ; Z\right)$, and therefore $f$ factors through $C P_{n+1}^{n+k}$.

Remark 3.2. In the remaining non-stable case $k=n-1$ the Hopf invariant $h: \pi_{4 n-1}\left(S^{2 n}\right) \rightarrow Z$ will yield useful information, at least on the infinite cyclic subgroup of $\pi_{4 n-1}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. In $\pi_{2 n+2 k+1}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2 n+1}\right)$ truncation occurs automatically at $C P_{n+1}^{n+k}$,

Corollary. $\quad \pi_{7}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{2}\right)=\pi_{7}\left(S^{2}\right) \approx Z_{2}$ (by 3.4).
We now turn to the two remaining cases in the 5 -stem.
Theorem 3.7. $\quad \pi_{9}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{4}\right)=0 \subset \pi_{9}\left(S^{4}\right) \approx Z_{2}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we must consider

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left[\gamma^{\sharp}:\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{4}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{9}\left(S^{4}\right)\right]
$$

The cofibration sequence $S^{7} \xrightarrow{\gamma} S^{6}=C P_{3}^{3} \rightarrow C P_{3}^{4} \rightarrow S^{8} \xrightarrow{\Sigma \gamma} S^{7} \rightarrow \cdots$ gives rise to the exact sequence

$$
\pi_{7}\left(S^{4}\right) \stackrel{\mid \gamma^{\sharp}}{\leftarrow} \pi_{6}\left(S^{4}\right) \leftarrow\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{4}\right] \leftarrow \pi_{8}\left(S^{4}\right) \stackrel{\left(\sum \gamma\right)^{\sharp}}{\longleftarrow} \pi_{7}\left(S^{4}\right) \leftarrow \cdots .
$$

Now $\pi_{7}^{s^{1}}\left(S^{6}\right)=Z_{2}\left[\eta_{6}\right](\S 2)$, hence $\gamma \simeq \eta_{6}$ and $\Sigma \gamma \simeq \eta_{7}$. It follows readily from known facts about compositions in the homotopy of spheres [12] that $\gamma^{*}$ is monic and $(\Sigma \gamma)^{\#}$ epic. Hence $\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{4}\right]=0$.

Lemma 3.8. $\left[C P_{3}^{5}, S^{6}\right] \approx Z$ with generator $[\beta]$ such that the composition $S^{6} \xrightarrow{J} C P_{3}^{5} \xrightarrow{\beta} S^{6}$ has degree 8 (note $\left[C P_{3}^{5}, S^{6}\right]$ is a group by stability).

Proof. Consider the cofibration sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{7} \xrightarrow{\gamma} C P_{3}^{3}=S^{6} \xrightarrow{J} C P_{3}^{4} \rightarrow S^{8} \xrightarrow{\Sigma \gamma} S^{7} \rightarrow \cdots, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma \simeq \eta_{6}$ as in 3.7. This induces the exact sequence.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[S^{7}, S^{6}\right] \stackrel{\eta^{\#}}{\leftarrow}\left[S^{6}, S^{6}\right] \stackrel{j^{\#}}{\leftarrow}\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{6}\right] \leftarrow\left[S^{8}, S^{6}\right] \stackrel{\eta^{\#}}{\leftarrow}\left[S^{7}, S^{6}\right] \leftarrow \cdots}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{6}\right] \approx Z$ with generator $[\alpha]$ such that $[\alpha j]=j^{*}[\alpha]=2 \iota_{6}$.
Now look at the Puppe sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{9} \xrightarrow{\gamma} C P_{3}^{4} \xrightarrow{i} C P_{3}^{5} \rightarrow S^{10} \rightarrow \cdots . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to identify $[\gamma] \in \pi_{9}\left(C P_{3}^{4}\right)$ we consider the induced homotopy sequence, which is stable and hence exact:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\pi_{9}\left(S^{9}\right) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{*}} \pi_{9}\left(C P_{3}^{4}\right) & \rightarrow \pi_{9}\left(C P_{3}^{5}\right) \rightarrow & \pi_{9}\left(S^{10}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
\text { " } & \text { " } & \text { " } & \| \\
Z & Z_{4}+Z_{3} & Z_{3} & 0
\end{array}
$$

It follows from exactness that $[\gamma]$ generates the $Z_{4}$ summand.

From (1) we get the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\pi_{9}\left(S^{7}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} \pi_{9}\left(S^{6}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{*}} \pi_{9}\left(C P_{3}^{4}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{9}\left(S^{8}\right) \xrightarrow{\eta_{*}} \pi_{9}\left(S^{7}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
\text { " } & \text { "I } & \text { " } & \text { "l }
\end{array}
$$

Again, using exactness we find $j_{*}[\nu]=[\gamma]$ where $\nu$ generates $Z_{8}$, i.e. $\gamma \simeq j \nu$. From this and (2) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{9}\left(S^{6}\right) \stackrel{\gamma_{*}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{6}\right] \stackrel{i^{*}}{\leftarrow}\left[C P_{3}^{5}, S^{6}\right] \leftarrow \pi_{10}\left(S^{6}\right)=0 \leftarrow \cdots \\
& Z_{3}+Z_{8} \nu_{\nu^{*} j^{*}} / \mathbb{Z} \\
& \pi_{6}\left(S^{6}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\gamma^{\sharp}[\alpha]=\nu^{\sharp} j^{*}[\alpha]=\nu^{\sharp}\left(2 \iota_{6}\right)=2 \nu$, which implies by exactness that $\left[C P_{3}^{5}, S^{6}\right]$ $\approx Z$ with generator $[\beta]$ such that $i^{*}[\beta]=4[\alpha]$. Thus $\left[\beta j_{1}\right]=j^{*}[\beta]=j^{*} i^{*}[\beta]=$ $j^{*}(4[\alpha])=8 \iota_{6}$.

Theorem 3.9. $\quad \pi_{11}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{6}\right)=\left\{32 k^{2} w_{6}: k \in Z\right\}$, where $\pi_{11}\left(S^{6}\right)=Z\left[w_{6}\right]$.
Proof. Consider any map $\alpha: C P_{3}^{5} \rightarrow S^{6}$. By the lemma $[\alpha]=k[\beta]$ for some integer $k$. It also follows from the lemma that $\alpha^{*}\left(u_{6}\right)=8 k v_{3}$, where $u_{6}$ generates $H^{6}\left(S^{6} ; Z\right) \approx Z$ and $v_{i}$ generates $H^{2 i}\left(C P_{r}^{n} ; Z\right) \approx Z, r \leq i \leq n$. Setting $f=\alpha \gamma$, there is a homotopy commutative diagram of cofibration sequences

for a suitable map $\varphi$.
Clearly $H^{6}\left(C_{f} ; Z\right)=Z[u]$ with $j^{*}(u)=u_{6}$, and $H^{12}\left(C_{f} ; Z\right)=Z[v]$ with $\varphi^{*}(v)$ $=v_{6}$. Since $i^{*}$ is an isomorphism in dimension 6, it is easy to see that $\varphi^{*}(u)$ $=8 k v_{3}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{*}\left(u^{2}\right) & =\left(\varphi^{*}(u)\right)^{2}=64 k^{2} v_{3}^{2}=64 k^{2} v_{6}=\varphi^{*}\left(64 k^{2} v\right), \\
u^{2} & =64 k^{2} v
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $h$ denote the Hopf invariant, this shows

$$
h(f)=64 k^{2}=h\left(32 k^{2} w_{6}\right), \text { since } h\left(w_{6}\right)=2
$$

But $h$ is monic here, so $f \simeq 32 k^{2} w_{6}$.

Theorem 3.10. $\quad \pi_{11}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{5}\right)=0 \subset \pi_{11}\left(S^{5}\right)=Z_{2}$.
Proof. We must consider


By the usual cofibrations one readily finds

$$
0=j^{\#}:\left[C P_{3}^{4}, S^{5}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{6}\left(S^{5}\right),
$$

where $j$ : $S^{6} \hookrightarrow C P_{3}^{4}$. Letting $j_{1}$ equal the composition $S^{6} \xrightarrow{j} C P_{3}^{4} \xrightarrow{i} C P_{3}^{5}, j_{1}^{\#}=j^{\#} i^{*}$ $=0$. Using this we can trucate to


Now we must identify [ $\gamma$ ]. From the Puppe sequence

$$
S^{11} \xrightarrow{\gamma} C P_{4}^{5} \xrightarrow{i} C P_{4}^{6} \rightarrow S^{12} \rightarrow \cdots
$$

and stability we get the following induced exact sequence:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\pi_{11}\left(S^{11}\right) & \stackrel{\gamma_{*}}{\rightarrow} \\
\pi_{11}\left(C P_{4}^{5}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}} & \pi_{11}\left(C P_{4}^{6}\right) \rightarrow & \pi_{11}\left(S^{12}\right) \rightarrow \cdots \\
Z & Z_{2}+Z_{8} & Z_{4} & \|
\end{array}
$$

$[\gamma]$ generates $\operatorname{Ker}\left[i_{*}: \pi_{11}\left(C P_{4}^{5}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{11}\left(C P_{4}^{6}\right)\right]$ so $[\gamma]=\left(\eta_{10}, 2 \nu_{8}\right)$.
We know $\pi_{8}\left(S^{5}\right)=Z_{8}\left(\nu_{5}\right)$ and $\pi_{10}\left(S^{5}\right)=Z_{2}[\beta]$ with $\beta=\nu_{5} \circ \eta_{8}^{2}$, [12], p. 44. $\operatorname{Now}\left(0, \nu_{5}\right) \circ\left(\eta_{10}, 2 \nu_{8}\right)=2 \nu_{5} \circ \nu_{8}=0 \in Z_{2}$ and $(\beta, 0) \circ\left(\eta_{10}, 2 \nu_{8}\right)=\beta \circ \eta_{10}=\nu_{5} \circ \eta_{8}^{2} \circ \eta_{10}=\nu_{5} \circ \eta_{8}^{3}$ $=\nu_{5} \circ\left(4 \nu_{8}\right)=4\left(\nu_{5}^{2}\right)=0 \in Z_{2}$. Since these classes generate any classes of $\pi_{11}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{5}\right)$ it follows that $\pi_{11}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{5}\right)=0$.

Theorem 3.11. $\quad \pi_{9}^{s^{1}}\left(S^{3}\right)=0 \subseteq \pi_{9}\left(S^{3}\right) \approx Z_{3}$.
Proof.


Consider the mod 3 Postnikov system for $S^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K\left(Z_{3}, 9\right) \rightarrow \stackrel{\vdots}{X_{9}} \xrightarrow{x_{11}} \\
& K\left(Z_{3}, 6\right) \xrightarrow{i} X_{6}^{\downarrow} \xrightarrow{x_{10}} K\left(Z_{3}, 10\right) \\
& \stackrel{\downarrow}{K(Z, 3)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^{1} \iota_{3}} K\left(Z_{3}, 7\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=\mathscr{P}^{1} \iota_{6}$.

$$
\left[C P_{2}^{4}, S^{3}\right] \approx\left[C P_{2}^{4}, X_{6}\right] \approx\left[C P_{2}^{5}, X\right]
$$

We have the fibre mapping exact sequence:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[C P_{2}^{5}, K(Z, 2)\right]} & \rightarrow\left[C P_{2}^{5}, K\left(Z_{3}, 6\right)\right] \\
\text { " } & \text { ॥ } \\
0 & H^{6}\left(C P_{2}^{5}, Z_{3}\right)=Z_{3}\left[Y_{3}^{5}, X_{6}\right]
\end{array} \rightarrow\left[C P_{2}^{5}, K(Z, 3)\right] ~ \text { ॥ }
$$

For any $f: C P_{2}^{4} \rightarrow X_{6}$ there is an extension to some $\bar{f}: C P_{2}^{5} \rightarrow X_{6}$. The map $\bar{f}$ will lift to $C P_{2}^{5} \rightarrow X_{9}$ if and only if $f^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=0$. But

$$
f^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=\zeta_{3}^{*} i^{*}\left(x_{10}\right)=\zeta_{3}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{1} \iota_{6}\right)=\mathcal{P}^{1} \zeta_{3}=0 .
$$

Therefore, $\bar{f}$ lifts to $g: C P_{2}^{5} \rightarrow X_{9}$. The map $g$ lifts to $C P_{2}^{5} \rightarrow S^{3}$ since all other obstructions are in dimensions $\geq 11$ so they they vanish. Therefore, any map $f: C P_{2}^{4} \rightarrow S^{3}$ will factor through the inclusion $\mu$ as


Since $S^{9} \xrightarrow{\gamma} C P_{2}^{4} \xrightarrow{\mu} C P_{2}^{5}$ represents two stages of a cofibration sequence it follows that $f \gamma \simeq g \mu \gamma=g(\mu \gamma) \simeq 0$.

Proposition 3.12. $\quad \pi_{13}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{7}\right)=0 \subseteq \pi_{13}\left(S^{7}\right) \approx Z_{2}$.
Proof.


Consider ${ }^{13} \xrightarrow{\gamma} C P_{4}^{6} \rightarrow C P_{4}^{7} \rightarrow S^{14} \rightarrow \cdots$. By stability this induces the exact se-
quence

$$
\pi_{13}\left(S^{13}\right) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{*}} \pi_{13}\left(C P_{4}^{6}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{13}\left(C P_{4}^{7}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{13}\left(S^{14}\right)=0 \rightarrow \cdots,
$$

hence $[\gamma]$ generates $\operatorname{Ker}\left[\pi_{13}\left(C P_{4}^{6}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{13}\left(C P_{4}^{7}\right)\right]$. By computing the 2-primary components of these groups we see that $[\gamma] \equiv 0\left(\bmod C_{2}\right)$, hence $\pi_{13}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{7}\right)=0$.

Remark. In [9] Randall shows that the Whitehead square $w_{n}$ is $S^{1}$-invariant only if $n=2^{m}-1$ for some $m$. From the results in this section we see that $w_{3}$, $w_{7}$ (also $w_{1}$ trivially) are $S^{1}$-invariant. It seems plausible to conjecture that $w_{n}$ is otherwise never $S^{1}$-invariant.

## 4. Tables

Tables I and II below give the 2- and 3-primary subgroups of the $S^{1}$ invariant homotopy of spheres through the stable 13 -stem. In this range all other $p$-primary parts are trivial, with the exceptions $\pi_{n+7}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right)=Z_{5}$ when $n \neq 0$ $(\bmod 5)$ and $\pi_{n+11}^{S_{1}^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right)=Z_{7}$ when $n \equiv 0(\bmod 7)$. Table III gives the unstable $S^{1}$-invariant subsets through the 6-stem.

Table I. $\pi_{n+k}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right), k<n-1,2$-primary part ( $A, B, \ldots$ explained in Table Ia)

| $n$ modulo 16 | 0 | 1. | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6. | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10. | 11. | 12 | 13 | 14. | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k=0$ |  | 0 | $\square$ | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0. | $\square$ | 0 | $\square$ |  | $\square$ | 0 | $\square$ | 0. |
| 1. | 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2} \\ \eta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $7$ | 0 | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline Z_{2} \\ \eta \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 7 | 0 | 7 | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2} \\ \eta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 0. | $\square$ | $Z_{2}$ $\eta$ | 7 |
| 2 | $7$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} \\ & \eta^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\square$ | 0 | - | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2} \\ \eta^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ | 0 | $\angle$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline Z_{2} \\ \eta^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 |  | $Z_{2}$ $\eta^{2}$ | - | 0 |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} \\ & 4 \nu \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} \\ & 4 \nu \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} Z_{8} \\ \nu \end{gathered}$ | $\square$ | $Z_{4}$ $2 \nu$ | $7$ | $Z_{4}$ $2 \nu$ |  | 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} \overline{Z_{8}} \\ \nu \end{gathered}$ | - | $Z_{4}$ $2 \nu$ | 7 |
| 4 |  | 0 | $\square$ | 0 | - | 0 | $\square$ | 0 |  | 0 | $7$ | 0 | $4$ | 0 | - | 0 |
| 5 | 0 |  | 0 | $1$ | 0: |  | 0. | $1$ | 0 | $\nearrow$ | 0 | $1$ | 0 | $7$ | 0 | 7 |
| 6 | $\angle$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} Z_{2} \\ \nu^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\angle$ | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2} \\ \nu^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline Z_{2} \\ \nu^{2} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $7$ | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2} \\ \nu^{2} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $1$ | 0 |  | 0 |
| 7 | $A_{7}$ | $\square$ | $B_{7}$ |  | $C_{7}$ |  | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} Z_{8} \\ 2 \sigma \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline Z_{8} \\ 2 \sigma \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{4} \\ & 4 \sigma \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $Z_{2}$ <br> $8 \sigma$ | $\square$ |
| 8 |  | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline Z_{2}^{2} \\ \bar{\nu}, \eta \sigma \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{r} Z_{2}^{2} \\ \bar{D}, \eta \sigma \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 0 |  | $\begin{gathered} Z_{2}^{2} \\ \bar{D}, \eta \sigma \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 0 | $\square$ |  <br> $Z_{2}$ <br> $\eta \sigma^{\prime}$ |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} . \\ & \eta \bar{D} \end{aligned}$ |  | $B_{9}$. | $7$ | 0 | $l$ | $D_{9}$ | $1$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} Z_{2} \\ \eta \bar{\nu} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & V Z_{\bar{D}, \eta^{3} \sigma,}^{3}, \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{\bar{D}}^{3}, \eta^{2} \sigma, A \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 10 | $1$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} . \\ & n \mu \end{aligned}$ | $7$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline Z_{2} \\ \eta \mu \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $1$ | 0 |  | $\begin{array}{l\|} \boldsymbol{Z}_{2} \\ \eta \mu \end{array}$ | $\square$ | 0 | $7$ | $Z_{2}$ $\eta \mu$ | - | 0 |
| 11 | $A_{11}$ | $7$ |  | $1$ | $C_{11}$. | $\square$ | $D_{11}$. | $\square$ | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{2} . \\ & 4 \zeta \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} Z_{2} \\ 4 \zeta \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} Z_{8} \\ \zeta \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & Z_{4} \\ & 2 \zeta \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $7$ |
| 12 |  | 0. |  | 0 |  | 0 | $1$ | 0 |  | 0 : | $\angle$ | 0 | $7$ | 0. |  | 0 |
| 13 | $0$ |  | 0 |  | 0 | $1$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | $\square$ | 0 | $\square$ | 0 | $\square$ |

Table Ia

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{7}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad ; n \equiv 0(\bmod 128) \\
Z_{2}[8 \sigma] ; n \equiv 64(\bmod 128) \\
Z_{4}[4 \sigma] ; n \equiv 32(\bmod 64) \\
Z_{8}[2 \sigma] ; \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right\} \\
& C_{7}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0 & ; n \equiv 4(\bmod 32) \\
Z_{2}[8 \sigma] ; & n \equiv 20(\bmod 32)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& B_{9}=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
Z_{2}[\eta \bar{\nu}]+Z_{2}\left[\eta^{2} \sigma\right] & ; n \equiv 2(\bmod 64) \\
Z_{2}[\eta \bar{\nu}]+Z_{2}\left[\eta^{2} \sigma\right]+Z_{2}[\mu] ; n \equiv 18(\bmod 32) \\
Z_{2}[\eta \bar{\nu}]+Z_{2}\left[\eta^{2} \sigma\right]+Z_{2}[\mu] ; n \equiv 34(\bmod 64)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& D_{9}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{2}[\eta \bar{\nu}]+Z_{2}\left[\eta^{2} \sigma\right] & ; n \equiv 22(\bmod 32) \\
Z_{2}[\eta \bar{\nu}]+Z_{2}\left[\eta^{2} \sigma\right]+Z_{2}[\mu] ; n \equiv 6(\bmod 32)
\end{array}\right\} \\
& A_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{2}[4 \zeta] ; n \equiv 0(\bmod 256) \\
Z_{4}[2 \zeta] ; \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right\} \quad B_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{2}[4 \zeta] ; n \equiv 2(\bmod 64) \\
0 \quad ; \text { othersiwise }
\end{array}\right\} \\
& C_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Z_{2}[4 \zeta] ; n \equiv 100(\bmod 128) \\
Z_{4}[2 \zeta] ; n \equiv 36(\bmod 128) \\
Z_{8}[\zeta] ; \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right\} \quad D_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \quad ; n \equiv 38(\bmod 64) \\
Z_{2}[4 \zeta] ; n \equiv 6(\bmod 64) \\
Z_{8}[\zeta] ; \text { otherswise }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table II
$\pi_{n+k}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right), k<n-1$
(3-primary part)

| $n \equiv 0(9)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k=0$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | 0 |
| 11 | $P_{11}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $Q_{11}$ | $Z_{9}$ | 0 | $Z_{9}$ | $Z_{9}$ | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{9}$ |
| 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 | $Z_{3}$ | $Z_{3}$ | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 ; n \equiv 0(\bmod 81) \\
Z_{3} ; n \equiv 27,54(\bmod 81) \\
Z_{9} ; n \equiv 9,18(\bmod 27)
\end{array}\right. \\
& Q_{11}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 ; n \equiv 2(\bmod 27) \\
Z_{3} ; n \equiv 11(\bmod 27) \\
Z_{9} ; n \equiv 20(\bmod 27)
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table III
$\pi_{n_{+k}}^{S^{1}}\left(S^{n}\right), k \geqq n-1$

| $n=2$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k=1$ | $A_{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2 |  | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| 3 | 0 |  | $A_{3}$ | - | - | - |
| 4 |  | $Z_{2}$ |  | 0 | - | - |
| 5 | $Z_{2}$ |  | 0 |  | $A_{5}$ | - |
| 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=\left\{k^{2} \eta: k \in Z\right\} \\
& A_{3}=\left\{k^{2} \nu_{4}+\frac{k(k-1)}{2} \delta+6 t \cdot \delta: k \in Z, t=0,1\right\} \\
& A_{5}=\left\{32 k^{2} \omega_{6}: k \in Z\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table I the subgroups are given with their corresponding generators, as these are not always obvious. In all other cases the subsets automatically determine the generators.
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