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In this note we study $F$-projective stable stems in dimension $n$ with $7 \leqq$ $n \leqq 22$, where $F$ denotes the complex $(F=C)$ or quaternionic ( $F=H$ ) number field. D. Randall [9] determined them in dimension $\leqq 6$.

We use the notations and terminologies defined in the previous paper [8] or the book of Toda [11] without any reference.

## 1. Definitions and results

Given a pointed space $X$ and a positive integer $m$, we define

$$
\pi_{m}^{S F}(X)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { image of } p_{n}^{*}:\left\{F P_{n}, X\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{n d-1}, X\right\} \quad \text { if } m=n d-1 \\
0 \quad \text { if } m \neq-1 \bmod (d) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

An element of $\pi_{m}^{S F}(X)$ is said to be $F$-projective. In this note we only consider the case of $X$ being the spheres. Remark that $\pi_{n d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{l}\right)$ is a subgroup of $G_{n d-l-1}$. We say that the $m$-stem $G_{m}$ is fully $F$-projective if there exist integers $l$ and $n$ with $m=n d-l-1$ and $\pi_{n d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{l}\right)=G_{m}$.

Given a positive integer $m$, we consider the following problems.
(Q.1) $)_{m}$ Compute $\pi_{n d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{l}\right)$ for each $n$ and $l$ with $m=n d-l-1$.
(Q.2) ${ }_{m} \quad$ What elements of $G_{m}$ are $F$-projective?
$(\mathrm{Q} .3)_{m} \quad$ Is $G_{m}$ fully $F$-projective?
Of course answers of $(\mathrm{Q} .1)_{m}$ solve $(\mathrm{Q} .2)_{m}$ and $(\mathrm{Q} .3)_{m}$. Our main results are tabled as follows. Here 0 means that the problem is completely solved but no signed place not completely solved yet*). Details are given in (1.6) and § 2 .

In what follows in this section we prove some general results. Since $p_{n}^{H}$ is the composition of $p_{2 n}^{C}$ and the canonical map $C P_{2 n} \rightarrow H P_{n}$, we have

[^0]|  | $(\mathrm{Q} .1)_{m}$ |  | $(\mathrm{Q} .)_{m}$ |  | $(\mathrm{Q} .3)_{m}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m$ | $H$ | $C$ | $H$ | $C$ | $H$ | $C$ |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | no | no |
| 8 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | no | yes |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | no | yes |
| 10 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | no | yes |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | yes |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | yes | yes |
| 15 |  |  |  | 0 | no | yes |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | no |  |
| 21 |  |  |  | 0 |  | yes |
| 22 |  |  | 0 | 0 | yes | yes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Proposition 1.1. $\quad \pi_{4 n-1}^{S H}\left(S^{l}\right)$ is contained in $\pi_{4 n-1}^{S C}\left(S^{l}\right)$ for any $l$ and $n$.
We have also
Proposition 1.2. If $a \in G_{m}$ or $b \in G_{n}$ is $F$-projective, then $a b \in G_{m+n}$ is $F$-projective.

Proposition 1.3. If $0 \leqq j<d, \pi_{(n+k) d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{n d-j}\right)$ is equal to the image of $p_{n+k, k} *:\left\{F P_{n+k, k}, S^{n d-j}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{(n+k) d-1}, S^{n d-j}\right\}$.

These can be proved easily so we omit the details.
In [7] we proved the following.
Proposition 1.4. $\pi_{(n+k) d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{n d}\right)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of the order $\operatorname{den}\left[F\{n, k\} \alpha_{F}(n, k)\right]$.

Recall that $F P_{n+k, k}$ can be identified with the Thom space $\left(F P_{k}\right)^{n \xi_{k}}$ [3]. Let $M_{k}(F)$ be the order of $\xi_{k}$ in the $J$-group $J\left(F P_{k}\right)$, which was determined by AdamsWalker [2] and Sigrist-Suter [10]. Then we have

Proposition 1.5. If $m \equiv n \bmod \left(M_{k+1}(F)\right)$, then

$$
\pi_{(m+k) d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{m d-j}\right)=\pi_{(n+k) d-1}^{S F}\left(S^{n d-j}\right)
$$

for $0 \leqq j<d$.
Proof. For a vector bundle $\tau, S(\tau)$ and $D(\tau)$ denote the associated sphere and disk bundle respectively. Without any loss of generality we may assume $m>n$. By assumption there exists an integer $l$ and a fibre homotopy equivalence [3]

$$
f^{\prime}: S\left((m-n) \xi_{k+1} \oplus l \underline{l}\right) \rightarrow S((\underline{m-n) d+l)}
$$

where $\underline{j}$ denotes the real $j$-dimensional trivial vector bundle over $F P_{k+1}$. Naturally we can extend $f^{\prime}$ to a fibre homotopy equivalence

$$
D\left((m-n) \xi_{k+1} \oplus l\right) \rightarrow D((\underline{m-n}) d+l)
$$

and to a fibre homotopy equivalence

$$
f^{\prime \prime}:\left(D\left(m \xi_{k+1} \oplus l\right), S\left(m \xi_{k+1} \oplus \underline{l}\right)\right) \rightarrow\left(D \left(n \xi _ { k + 1 } \oplus \left(\frac{(m-n) d+l)}{S\left(n \xi_{k+1} \oplus((\underline{m-n)}) d+l)\right)} .\right.\right.\right.
$$

Hence we have a homotopy equivalence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}: E^{l} F & P_{m+k+1, k+1}=\left(F P_{k+1}\right)^{m \xi_{k+1}} \oplus \underline{l} \\
& \left.\rightarrow\left(F P_{k+1}\right)^{n \xi_{k+1} \oplus((m-n) d+l}\right)=E^{(m-n) d+l} F P_{n+k+1, k+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E$ denotes the reduced suspension. Consider the following diagram in which the horizontal sequences are the natural cofibrations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
E^{l} S^{(m+k) d-1} \xrightarrow{E^{l} p_{m+k}} E^{l} F P_{m+k, k} \stackrel{i}{\subset} E^{l} F P_{m+k+1, k+1} \\
E^{(m-n) d+l} S^{(n+k) d-1} \xrightarrow{E^{(m-n) d+l} p_{n+k, k}} E^{(m-n) d+l} F P_{n+k, k} \stackrel{i}{\subset} E^{(m-n) d+l} F P_{n+k+1, k+1}
\end{array} \\
& \xrightarrow{q} E^{l+1} S^{(m+k) d-1} \\
& \xrightarrow{q} E^{(m-n) d+l+1} S^{(n+k) d-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By cellular approximation we may assume that there exists

$$
f: E^{l} F P_{m+k, k} \rightarrow E^{(m-n) d+l} F P_{n+k, k}
$$

with $i \circ f=f^{\prime \prime \prime} \circ i$ and so there exists

$$
h: E^{l+1} S^{(m+k) d-1} \rightarrow E^{(m-n) d+l+1} S^{(n+k) d-1}
$$

with $h \circ q=q \circ f^{\prime \prime \prime}$. In the stable category $f$ is clearly an equivalence and so $h$ is an equivalence, too. Therefore in the stable category we have the following commutative square in which the vertical stable maps are equivalences.


This and (1.3) complete the proof.
We prove a negative result.
Theorem 1.6. Let $\mu_{k}(k \geqq 0)$ denote the Adams element in $G_{8 k+1}[1]$. Then $\mu_{k}$ is not $H$-projective.

Proof. Consider a commutative diagram in which $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ are stable maps


Apply $\tilde{K}$ to this diagram; since $\tilde{K}(X)=0$ if $X$ is a finite complex with cells of only odd dimensions, we have the following commutative diagram


Let $a \in \tilde{K}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{n+1 k, 2 k}\right)\right)$ be an element which maps to the generator $g_{c}^{2 n-1} \in$ $\tilde{K}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$, and $b \in \tilde{K}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{n+2 k, 2 k}\right)\right)$ be the generator of the image of $\pi^{*}$ with $f^{\prime *}(b)=z^{n+2 k}$. Then $a$ and $b$ generate $\tilde{K}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{n+2 k, 2 k}\right)\right)$. We have

$$
\psi^{2}(a)=2^{2 n-1} a+\lambda b
$$

for some integer $\lambda$, and

$$
e_{C}\left(f \circ p_{n+2 k, 2 k}\right)=\lambda /\left(2^{2 n+4 k}-2^{2 n-1}\right)
$$

Put $f^{\prime} *(a)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 k} a_{i} z^{n+i}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi^{2}\left(f^{\prime *}(a)\right)=\sum_{i} a_{i}\left(z^{2}+4 z\right)^{n+i}=\sum_{i, j} a_{i}\binom{n+i}{j-i} 4^{n+2 i-j} z^{n+j} \\
& \psi^{2}\left(f^{\prime *}(a)\right)=f^{\prime} *\left(\psi^{2}(a)\right)=2^{2 n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{2 k} a_{i} z^{n+i}+\lambda z^{n+2 k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $z^{n+2 k}$, we have

$$
\lambda=\sum_{i=0}^{2 k-1} a_{i}\binom{n+i}{2 k-i} 4^{n+2 i-2 k}+\left(2^{2 n+4 k}-2^{2 n-1}\right) a_{2 k}
$$

and so

$$
e_{C}\left(f \circ p_{n+2 k, 2 k}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 k-1} a_{i}\binom{n+i}{2 k-i} 4^{n+2 i-2 k} /\left(2^{2 n+4 k}-2^{2 n-1}\right) .
$$

On the other hand

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =f^{*}\left(\operatorname{ch}\left(g_{C}^{2 n-1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{ch}\left(f^{*}\left(g_{C}^{2 n-1}\right)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{2 k-1} a_{i}(\operatorname{ch}(z))^{n+i} \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{2 k-1} a_{i}\left(\phi_{H}(t)\right)^{n+i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\phi_{H}(t)=t+$ higher terms, we have

$$
a_{0}=a_{1}=\cdots=a_{2 k-1}=0
$$

and then

$$
e_{C}\left(f \circ p_{n+2 k, 2 k}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $\mu_{k}$ has non-trivial $e_{C}$-invariant, the conclusion follows.
Since $\mu_{0}=\eta, \mu_{0}$ is $C$-projective. We shall prove that $\mu_{1}$ is $C$-projective (2.9).

## 2. Computations

From now on, we work in the stable category of pointed spaces and stable maps between them with exceptions in (2.3), (ii) of (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7).

Concerning with $F$-projective 7 -stems we have
Theorem 2.1. (i) $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right) \cong Z / \operatorname{den}\left[H\{n, 2\} \alpha_{H}(n, 2)\right]$.
(ii) $\pi_{2 n+7}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right) \cong Z / \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 4\} \alpha_{C}(n, 4)\right]$.

Proof. Given $f \in\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n}\right\}$, we have

$$
e_{C}\left(f \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)=-\operatorname{deg}(f) \alpha_{H}(n, 2)
$$

from Theorem 1.1 of [7]. Since $e_{C}: G_{7} \rightarrow Z / 2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ is an isomorphism, the conclusion (i) follows. By the same methods (ii) follows too.

By an easy calculation we have

$$
\operatorname{den}\left[H\{n, 2\} \alpha_{H}(n, 2)\right] \mid 2^{2} \cdot 3 \cdot 5
$$

and these are equal when for example $n=4$, and

$$
\operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 4\} \alpha_{C}(n, 4)\right] \mid 2^{3} \cdot 3 \cdot 5
$$

and these are equal when for example $n=13$. Thus, since $G_{7}=Z_{2}{ }^{4}\{\sigma\} \oplus Z_{15}$, we have

Corollary 2.2. $2 \sigma \in G_{7}$ is not $H$-projective but $C$-projective, and $\sigma$ is not C-projective.

Recall that $g_{4}=p_{2}^{H}: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4}$ denotes the Hopf map. Let $g_{n}=E^{n-4} g_{4} \in \pi_{n+3}\left(S^{n}\right)$ for $n>4$. Then we have

Lemma 2.3. $g_{5}=\nu_{5}+\alpha_{1}(5)$.
We have also
Lemma 2.4. (i) $\langle\eta, m \nu, n \nu\rangle=\left\langle\eta, m g_{\infty}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \supset \frac{1}{2} m n\langle\eta, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle$ for any integers $m$ and $n$ with $m n \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$.
(ii) $\left\{\eta_{5}, \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}\right\}_{1}=\left\{\eta_{5}, m g_{6}, 2 n g_{9}\right\}_{1}=\mathcal{E}_{5}$ for any odd integers $m$ and $n$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\eta, m g_{\infty}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle=\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle+\left\langle\eta, m \alpha_{1}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \quad \text { by (3.8) of [11], } \\
&\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \subset\langle\eta, m \nu, n \nu\rangle+\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n \alpha_{1}\right\rangle \quad \text { by (3.8) of ibid., } \\
&\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n \alpha_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle\eta, m \nu, 16 n \alpha_{1}\right\rangle \quad \text { since } 3 \alpha_{1}=0 \\
& \subset\left\langle\eta, 16 m \nu, n \alpha_{1}\right\rangle \quad \text { by (3.5) of ibid., } \\
& \equiv 0 \quad \text { since } 8 \nu=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so

$$
\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \subset\langle\eta, m \nu, n \nu\rangle
$$

but their indeterminacies are equal to $\eta G_{7}$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\eta, m \nu, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle & =\langle\eta, m \nu, n \nu\rangle \\
& \supset \frac{1}{2} m n\langle\eta, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle \quad \text { by (3.5) and (3.8) of [11]. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We have also

$$
\left\langle\eta, m \alpha_{1}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle=\left\langle\eta, 4 m \alpha_{1}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \supset\left\langle 4 \eta, m \alpha_{1}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \equiv 0
$$

and so

$$
\left\langle\eta, m \alpha_{1}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle \equiv 0
$$

and then

$$
\left\langle\eta, m g_{\infty}, n g_{\infty}\right\rangle=\langle\eta, m \nu, n \nu\rangle \supset \frac{1}{2} m n\langle\eta, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle .
$$

Thus the conclusion (i) follows.
By the proof of (6.1) of [11]

$$
E^{2} \varepsilon_{3}=\varepsilon_{5}=\left\{\eta_{5}, \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}\right\}_{1}
$$

Given $a \in \pi_{11}\left(S^{8}\right)$ and $b \in \pi_{8}\left(S^{5}\right)$ with $b \circ a=0$, we consider the Toda bracket

$$
\left\{\eta_{5}, E^{1} b, E^{1} a\right\}_{1} \in \pi_{13}\left(S^{5}\right) /\left(\pi_{10}\left(S^{5}\right) E^{2} a+\eta_{5} E^{1} \pi_{12}\left(S^{5}\right)\right) .
$$

By Toda [11] it is easy to see that $\eta_{5} E^{1} \pi_{12}\left(S^{5}\right)=\pi_{10}\left(S^{5}\right) E^{2} a=0$. Hence $\left\{\eta_{5}, E^{1} b, E^{1} a\right\}_{1}$ consists of a single element. Then by the same methods as the proof of (i) we have

$$
\left\{\eta_{5}, \nu_{6}, 2 \nu_{9}\right\}_{1}=\left\{\eta_{5}, m \nu_{6}, 2 n \nu_{9}\right\}_{1}=\left\{\eta_{5}, m g_{6}, 2 n g_{9}\right\}_{1}
$$

for any odd integers $m$ and $n$. Thus the conclusion (ii) follows.
We have
Lemma 2.5. (i) $i^{*}:\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{4 n}, S^{4 n-1}\right\}$ is an isomorphism.
(ii) $i^{*}:\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{4 n}, S^{4 n-2}\right\}$ is an isomorphism if $n$ is odd.
(iii) If $n$ is even, we have a split exact sequence:

$$
0 \rightarrow\left\{S^{4 n+4}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \xrightarrow{q^{*}}\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \xrightarrow{i^{*}}\left\{S^{4 n}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Proof. Considering the Puppe exact sequence associated with the cofibration $S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow H P_{n+1,1} \subset H P_{n+2,2}$, we obtain (i), since $G_{4}=G_{5}=0$. Recall that

$$
p_{n+1,1}=n g_{4 n}: S^{4 n+3} \rightarrow H P_{n+1,1}=S^{4 n}
$$

from [5] (or see (1.14) of [8]). We have the following exact sequence:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\left\{S^{4 n+1}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} & \xrightarrow{p_{n+1,1} *}\left\{S^{4 n+4},\right. \\
\left.=S^{4 n-2}\right\} & \xrightarrow{q^{*}}\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \\
=Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{2}\right\}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Since $p_{n+1,1}{ }^{*}\left(g_{\infty}\right)=n g_{\infty}^{2}=n \nu^{2}, p_{n+1,1} *$ is epimorphic and $i^{*}$ is isomorphic if $n$ is odd. Thus the conclusion (ii) follows. If $n$ is even, $p_{n+1,1}{ }^{*}=0$ and we obtain the short exact sequence in (iii). Hence $\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \cong Z_{4}$ or $Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$. Suppose that $\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \cong Z_{4}$. Then $q^{*}\left(\nu^{2}\right)$ is divisible by 2. Hence $p_{n+2,2}{ }^{*}\left(q^{*}\left(\nu^{2}\right)\right)$ $=0$ since $2 G_{9}=0$. But $q \circ p_{n+2,2}=p_{n+2,1}=(n+1) g_{4 n+4}$, therefore $p_{n+2,2}{ }^{*}\left(q^{*}\left(\nu^{2}\right)\right)=$ $(n+1) \nu^{3} \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus $\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\} \cong Z_{2} \oplus Z_{2}$. This completes the proof.

Recall that $K O^{*}\left(H P_{n}\right)=K O^{*}[\xi] /\left(\tilde{\xi}^{n}\right)$. Using the complexification $c: K O^{*} \rightarrow$ $K^{*}$ we can easily prove the following. Details are omitted.

Lemma 2.6. $\psi^{3}(\tilde{\xi})=3^{4} \tilde{\xi}+3^{3} y_{1} \tilde{\xi}^{2}+3^{2} y_{2} \hat{\xi}^{3}$.
Now we determine $H$-projective 8 and 9 -stems. Recall that $G_{8}=Z_{2}\{\bar{\nu}\}$ $\oplus Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\}$ and $G_{9}=Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\mu\}$ with the relations $\eta \sigma=\bar{\nu}+\varepsilon$ and $\eta \bar{\nu}=\nu^{3}$. We have

Theorem 2.7. The groups $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-j}\right)(j=1,2)$ are given by the following table.

| $n \bmod (4)$ | $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)$ | $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\}$ | $Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\}$ |
| 2 | $Z_{2}\{\bar{\nu}\}$ | $Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\}$ |
| 3 | $Z_{2}\{\eta \sigma\}$ | $Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \sigma\right\}$ |
| 0 | 0 | $Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\}$ |

Proof. By (i) of (2.5), $\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right\} \cong Z_{2}$. Let $f$ be a generator of it. Then $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)$ is a subgroup of $G_{8}$ generated by $f \circ p_{n+2,2}$ and we have the following commutative diagram


Since $p_{n+1,1}=n g_{4 n}$ and $p_{n+2,1}=(n+1) g_{4 n+4}$, we have

$$
f \circ p_{n+2,2} \in\left\langle\eta, n g_{\infty},(n+1) g_{\infty}\right\rangle .
$$

By (i) of (2.4) this Toda bracket contains $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)\langle\eta, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\eta, n g_{\infty},(n+1) g_{\infty}\right\rangle & = \begin{cases}\eta \circ G_{7} & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \text { or } 3 \bmod (4) \\
\langle\eta, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \text { or } 2 \bmod (4)\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\{0, \eta \sigma\} & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \text { or } 3 \bmod (4) \\
\{\varepsilon, \bar{\nu}\} & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \text { or } 2 \bmod (4)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence
(*) $f \circ p_{n+2,2}=0$ or $\eta \sigma$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $3 \bmod (4)$, and $\varepsilon$ or $\bar{\nu}$ if $n \equiv 1 \operatorname{or} 2 \bmod (4)$.
Suppose that $n \equiv 1 \bmod (4) . \quad$ By (ii) of (2.4), $\varepsilon_{5}=\left\{\eta_{5}, n g_{6},(n+1) g_{9}\right\}_{1}$. Consider the following diagram:


Then we have

$$
\varepsilon_{5} \in \text { Image of }\left(E^{2} p_{3}\right)^{*}:\left[E^{2} H P_{3}, S^{5}\right] \rightarrow \pi_{13}\left(S^{5}\right)
$$

and $\varepsilon \in \pi_{11}^{S H}\left(S^{3}\right)$, and then

$$
\pi_{11}^{S H}\left(S^{3}\right)=Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\} .
$$

If $n \geqq 2$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{4 n-1}=E^{4 n-6}\left\{\eta_{5}, n g_{6},(n+1) g_{9}\right\}_{1} \in\left\{\eta_{4 n-1}, n g_{4 n},(n+1) g_{4 n+3}\right\}_{4 n-5}
$$

by Proposition (1.3) of [11]. Since the Toda bracket in the right hand is a coset of $\pi_{4 n+4}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)(n+1) g_{4 n+4}+\eta_{4 n-1} E^{4 n-5} \pi_{12}\left(S^{5}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\varepsilon_{4 n-1}=\left\{\eta_{4 n-1}, n g_{4 n},(n+1) g_{4 n+3}\right\}_{4 n-5}
$$

Since $\left[H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right] \cong\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right\}, f$ is representable by an unstable map, we denote it by the same letter $f$. Then

$$
\varepsilon_{4 n-1}=f \circ p_{n+2,2}
$$

Thus $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)=Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\}$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (4)$. From (ii) of (2.5), $\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\}$ $=\eta\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right\} \cong Z_{2}$ if $n$ is odd. Hence $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)=Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\}$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (4)$.

We use the Adams $d_{R^{-}}$and $e_{R^{\prime}}$-invariants [1]. Let $e_{1} \in K O^{-1}$ be the generator, and put $e_{9}=g_{R} e_{1} \in K O^{-9}$. For $f \in\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-1}\right\}$ we have the commutative diagram:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{4 n+7} \xrightarrow{P_{n+2,2}} H P_{n+2,2} \subset \quad H P_{n+3,3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Apply $\widetilde{K O}{ }^{-4 n-9}$ to this diagram, then we have the following commutative diagram in which the horizontal sequences are exact:


Let $a \in \widetilde{K_{O}}{ }^{-4 n-9}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)\right)$ be an element which maps to a generator of $\widetilde{K O^{-4 n-9}}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right) \cong Z$, and $b \in \widetilde{K O^{-4 n-9}}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)\right)$ be the element which is the
 and $\widetilde{K O^{-4 n-9}}\left(H P_{n+3,3}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\left\{e_{1} \tilde{\xi}^{n+2}\right\}$ we have

$$
f^{\prime *}(a)=x e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}+y e_{1} \tilde{\xi}^{n+2}
$$

for some $x, y \in Z_{2}$. We have also

$$
\psi^{3}(a)=3^{4 n+4} a+\lambda b
$$

for some $\lambda \in Z_{2}$, and

$$
e_{R}\left(f \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)=\lambda .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime *}\left(\psi^{3}(a)\right) & =f^{\prime *}\left(3^{4 n+4} a+\lambda b\right)=3^{4 n+4} f^{\prime} *(a)+\lambda f^{\prime *}(b) \\
& =3^{4 n+4} x e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}+\left(3^{4 n+4} y+\lambda\right) e_{1} \tilde{\xi}^{n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime *}\left(\psi^{3}(a)\right)= \psi^{3}\left(f^{\prime *}(a)\right)=\psi^{3}\left(x e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}+y e_{1} \widehat{\xi}^{n+2}\right) \\
&= x \psi^{3}\left(e_{9}\right) \psi^{3}\left(\tilde{\xi}^{n}\right)+y \psi^{3}\left(e_{1}\right) \psi^{3}\left(\tilde{\xi}^{n+2}\right) \\
&= x 3^{4} e_{9}\left(3^{4 n} \widetilde{\xi}^{n}+3^{4 n-1} n y_{1} \widetilde{\xi}^{n+1}+3^{4 n-2} n y_{2} \tilde{\xi}^{n+2}\right) \\
& \quad \begin{aligned}
& \quad y e_{1} 3^{4(n+2)} \xi^{n+2} \quad \text { by }(2.6)
\end{aligned} \\
&= x 3^{4 n+4} e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}+\left(x 3^{4 n+2} n+y 3^{4 n+8}\right) e_{1} \tilde{\xi}^{n+2} \text { since } e_{9} y_{1}=0 \\
& \quad \text { and } e_{9} y_{2}=e_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $e_{1} \widetilde{\xi}^{n+2}$, we have

$$
\lambda=n x \quad\left(\text { in } Z_{2}\right)
$$

On the other hand the following triangle is commutative by (i) of (2.5).


Hence we have the commutative triagle

and $i^{*} f^{*} j^{*}(a)=x e_{9} \tilde{\xi}^{n}$ where $j^{*}(a)$ is the generator of $\widetilde{K_{O}}{ }^{-4 n-9}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right) \cong Z$. Since $\eta^{*}=d_{R}(\eta) \neq 0$, we have $x \neq 0$ and so

$$
e_{R}\left(f \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)=n
$$

Since $e_{R}(\eta \sigma) \neq 0$ [1], by $\left(^{*}\right)$ we know that $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)=Z_{2}\{\eta \sigma\}$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$, or 0 if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$. Then $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \sigma\right\}$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$ from (2.5).

Suppose that $n$ is even. By the fact $e_{C}\left(\nu^{3}\right)=e_{C}(\eta \varepsilon)=0$ and the proof of (1.6), we see that

$$
Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \subset \pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right) \subset Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\} .
$$

If $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\}, \pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ contains the $J$-image $\eta^{2} \sigma=\nu^{3}+\eta \varepsilon$, that is, there exists $h \in\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\}$ with $h \circ p_{n+2,2}=\eta^{2} \sigma$. Using $\widetilde{K_{O}}{ }^{-4 n-10}$ and the same methods as above we have

$$
e_{R}\left(h \circ p_{n+2,2}\right)=n x=0
$$

for some $x \in Z_{2}$, but this is a contradiction since $e_{R}\left(\eta^{2} \sigma\right) \neq 0[1]$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \text { if } n \text { is even. } \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next suppose that $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4) . \quad B y(*), \pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)=Z_{2}\{\overline{\bar{v}}\}$ or $Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\}$. If $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)=Z_{2}\{\varepsilon\}, \pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ contains $\eta \varepsilon$. This contradicts to $\left(^{* *}\right)$. Thus $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-1}\right)=Z_{2}\{\overline{\mathrm{~V}}\}$ and the proof is completed.

Concerning with $C$-projective 8 -stems we prove
Theorem 2.8. $\pi_{2 n+7}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ is equal to
(i) $G_{8} \quad$ if $n \equiv 2$ or $4 \bmod (8)$,
(ii) $0 \quad$ if $n$ is odd,
(iii) $Z_{2}\{\eta \sigma\}$ or $G_{8} \quad$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $6 \bmod (8)$.

Proof. Suppose that $n$ is even. Since $q_{3} \circ p_{n+4,4}=p_{n+4,1}=\eta$ from (i) of (1.13) of [8], $\pi_{2 n+7}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ contains $\sigma \circ q_{3} \circ p_{n+4,4}=\sigma \eta$. Then by (1.1) and (2.7), $\pi_{2 n+7}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)=G_{8}$ if $n \equiv 2$ or $4 \bmod (8)$.

Next suppose that $n$ is odd. Put $n=2 m+1$. Consider the following Puppe exact sequences:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{S^{4 m+3}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \xrightarrow{\left(E P_{2 m+2,1}\right)^{*}}\left\{S^{4 m+4}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \xrightarrow{q^{*}}\left\{C P_{2 m+3,2}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \\
& \rightarrow\left\{S^{4 m+2}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \xrightarrow{p_{2 m+2,1}^{*}}\left\{S^{4 m+3}, S^{4 m+1}\right\}, \\
&\left\{S^{4 m+6}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \xrightarrow{q^{*}}\left\{C P_{2 m+4,3}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \xrightarrow{i^{*}}\left\{C P_{2 m+3,2}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \\
& \rightarrow\left\{S^{4 m+5}, S^{4 m+1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $p_{2 m+2,1}=\eta$ and $\eta^{3}=12 g_{\infty},\left\{C P_{2 m+4,3}, S^{4 m+1}\right\} \simeq Z_{12}$. Let $a \in\left\{C P_{2 m+4,3}, S^{4 m+1}\right\}$
be an element with $i^{*}(a)=q^{*}\left(g_{\infty}\right)$. Then $a$ is a generator. Let $f \in\left\{C P_{2 m+5,4}\right.$ $\left.S^{4 m+1}\right\}$ be an element. Then $\left.f\right|_{C P_{2 m+4,3}}=x a$ for some integer $x$. Consider the following commutative diagram:

where the fact $p_{2 m+5,1}=0$ assures the existence of $s$. We have

$$
x g_{\infty} \circ \pi \circ q \circ i=x g_{\infty} \circ q=x a \circ i
$$

Since $i^{*}$ is monomorphic in the above Puppe sequence, we have

$$
x g_{\infty} \circ \pi \circ q=x a
$$

Then

$$
f \circ p_{2 m+5,4}=x a \circ s=x g_{\infty} \circ \pi \circ q \circ s=x g_{\infty} \circ 0=0
$$

since $\pi \circ q \circ s \in G_{5}=0$. This completes the proof.
Concerning with $C$-projective 9 -stems we prove
Theorem 2.9. $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ is equal to
(i) $G_{9} \quad$ if $n \equiv 5,7 \bmod (8), 3,9 \bmod (16)$, or $17 \bmod (32)$,
(ii) $Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \sigma\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\} \quad$ if $n \equiv 11 \bmod (16)$ or $1 \bmod (32)$,
(iii) $Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\} \quad$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$,
(iv) $0 \quad$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$.

Proof. By (1.1) of [7]

$$
e_{c}\left(f \circ p_{n+5,5}\right)=-\operatorname{deg}(f) \alpha_{c}(n, 5)
$$

for $f \in\left\{C P_{n+5,5}, S^{2 n}\right\}$. Hence $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $\mu$ if and only if $\nu_{2}(C\{n, 5\} \times$ $\left.\alpha_{C}(n, 5)\right)=-1$, since $e_{C}(\mu)=\frac{1}{2}$ and $e_{C}\left(\nu^{3}\right)=e_{C}(\eta \varepsilon)=e_{C}\left(\eta^{2} \sigma\right)=0$. By (1.16) and (3.1) of [8] and an elementary analysis, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nu_{2}(C\{n, 5\})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \text { if } n \equiv 4,5,6 \text { or } 7 \bmod \left(2^{3}\right) \\
3 \text { if } n \equiv 3 \bmod \left(2^{3}\right) 8,9 \operatorname{or} 10 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right) \\
2 \text { if } n \equiv 1,2 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right) \text { or } 16 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right) \\
1 \text { if } n \equiv 32 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right) \\
0 \text { if } n \equiv 0 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right),
\end{array}\right. \\
\nu_{2}\left(\alpha_{C}(n, 5)\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-5 \text { if } n \equiv 5 \text { or } 7 \bmod \left(2^{3}\right) \\
-4 \text { if } n \equiv 6 \bmod \left(2^{3}\right), 3 \text { or } 9 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right) \\
-3 \text { if } n \equiv 10 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right), 11 \operatorname{or} 17 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right) \\
-2 \text { if } n \equiv 4,8 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right), 18 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right), 27 \text { or } 33 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right) \\
-1 \text { if } n \equiv 16,28 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right), 2 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right) \text { or } 59 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right) \\
\geqq 0 \text { if } n \equiv 0,12 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right), 1,34 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right) \text { or } 123 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $\mu$ if and only if $n \equiv 5,7 \bmod \left(2^{3}\right), 3,9 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right)$, or $17 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right)$.

If $n$ is odd, $q_{4} \circ p_{n+5,5}=p_{n+5,1}=\eta$ and $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S c}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $\left\{S^{2 n+8}, S^{2 n}\right\} \circ q_{4} \circ p_{n+5,5}$ $=G_{8} \circ \eta=Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \sigma\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\}$. Thus the conclusions (i) and (ii) follow.

Next consider the case of $n$ being even. First we show that $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ does not contain $J$-image $\eta^{2} \sigma=\nu^{3}+\eta \varepsilon$. Consider a commutative diagram:


We apply $\widetilde{K O}$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$ or $\widetilde{K O}{ }^{-4}$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$ to this diagram. The methods for $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$ and $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$ are quite similar to a part of the proof of (2.7), so we sketch the proof only for $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$. Put $n=4 m$. We have the following commutative diagram:


Let $a$ and $b$ be elements of $\widetilde{K O}\left(C\left(f \circ p_{4 m+5,5}\right)\right)$ such that $a$ maps to a generator of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{8 m}\right) \cong Z$ and $b$ is the image of the generator of $\widetilde{K O}\left(S^{8 m+10}\right) \cong Z_{2}$. Then

$$
\psi^{3}(a)=3^{4 m} a+\lambda b
$$

for some $\lambda \in Z_{2}$, and

$$
e_{R}\left(f \circ p_{4 m+5,5}\right)=\lambda
$$

Since $\widetilde{K O}\left(C P_{4 m+6,6}\right)=Z\left\{z_{0}^{2 m}, z_{0}^{2 m+1}, z_{0}^{2 m+2}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\left\{z_{0}^{2 m+3}\right\}$ [4], we may put $f^{\prime} *(a)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{3} d_{i} z_{0}^{2 n+i}$ for some integers $d_{i}(0 \leqq i \leqq 2)$ and $d_{3} \in Z_{2}$. Analysing the equation $f^{\prime *}\left(\psi^{3}(a)\right)=\psi^{3}\left(f^{\prime *}(a)\right)$, we know that $\lambda=0$. Hence $J$-image $\eta^{2} \sigma$ is not contained in $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$, since $e_{R}\left(\eta^{2} \sigma\right) \neq 0$ [1]. Therefore $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=0, Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\}$ or $Z_{2}\{\eta \varepsilon\}$ if $n$ is even.

Second we show (iii). Cnsider the following diagram in which the triangle is commutative by (1.15) of [8].


Since $p_{n+4,1}=\eta, \nu^{2} p_{n+4,1}=0$ and there exists $h \in\left\{C P_{n+5,2}, S^{2 n}\right\}$ with $h \circ i=\nu^{2}$. Then $h \circ p_{n+5,2}=\nu^{2} \circ\left(\frac{1}{2} n+3\right) g_{\infty}=\nu^{3}$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod$ (4) or 0 if $n \equiv 2 \bmod$ (4). Thus $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{\nu^{3}\right\}$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$, and the conclusion (iii) follows.

Third we show (iv). Suppose that $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$. Consider the following diagram in which the two horizontal and one vertical sequences are parts of suitable Puppe exact sequences.


Since $p_{n+2,1}=\eta$ and $\eta^{3}=12 g_{\infty} \neq 0, p_{n+2,1} *$ is monomorphic and the image of $q_{1}{ }^{*}$ is not contained in the image of $i^{\prime *}$, and so $\left\{C P_{n+3,3}, S^{2 n}\right\} \cong Z$ and $i^{*}$ is isomorphic on a free subgroup. Then we can choose $h \in\left\{C P_{n+4,4}, S^{2 n}\right\}$ which is a generator
of a free part and satisfies $i^{\prime \prime *} i^{\prime} * i^{*}(h)=\operatorname{deg}(h)=C\{n, 4\}$. Let $s \in\left\{S^{2 n+9}, C P_{n+4,4}\right\}$ be an element with $p_{n+5,5}=i_{1} \circ s$. Let $f$ be any element of $\left\{C P_{n+5,5}, S^{2 n}\right\}$. Then $f \circ i_{1}=(\operatorname{deg}(f) / C\{n, 4\}) h+e \circ q$ for some $e \in\left\{S^{2 n+6}, S^{2 n}\right\}$ and

$$
f \circ p_{n+5,5}=f \circ i_{1} \circ s=(\operatorname{deg}(f) / C\{n, 4\}) h \circ s+e \circ q \circ s
$$

Since $q_{\circ} s=\left(\frac{1}{2} n+3\right) g_{\infty}$ or $\left(\frac{1}{2} n+15\right) g_{\infty}$ from (1.15) of [8], $q_{\circ} s$ is divisible by 2 , and then

$$
f \circ p_{n+5,5}=(\operatorname{deg}(f) / C\{n, 4\}) h \circ s
$$

for $\left\{S^{2 n+6}, S^{2 n}\right\} \cong Z_{2} . \quad$ By (1.16) and (3.1) of [8], we know easily that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\{n, 4\}=24 /(n, 24)=2^{2} \cdot 3 /\left(\frac{1}{2} n, 3\right), \\
& \nu_{2}(C\{n, 5\})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
4 \text { if } n \equiv 6 \bmod (8) \\
3 \text { if } n \equiv 10 \bmod (16) \\
2 \text { if } n \equiv 2 \bmod (16) .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence if $n \equiv 6 \bmod (8)$ or $10 \bmod (16), C\{n, 5\} / C\{n, 4\} \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$ and $f \circ p_{n+5,5}$ $=0$ since $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is a multiple of $C\{n, 5\}$. Thus the conclusion (iv) follows if $n \equiv 6 \bmod (8)$ or $10 \bmod (16)$. In case of $n \equiv 2 \bmod (16)$, we constructed the following commutative diagram in the proof of $(v)$ of (3.1) in [8] and found that $q_{1} \circ S_{3}$ is divisible by 2 .


Choose $u \in\left\{C P_{n+2,2}, S^{2 n}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(u)=1$. Then $\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+2,2}}=\operatorname{deg}(f) u+e \circ q_{1}$ for some $e \in\left\{S^{2 n+2}, S^{2 n}\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \circ p_{n+5,5} & =3 f \circ p_{n+5,5}, \quad \text { since } 2 G_{9}=0 \\
& =\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+2,2} \circ s_{3}} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}(f) u \circ s_{3}+e \circ q_{1} \circ s_{3} \\
& =\operatorname{deg}(f) u \circ s_{3}, \quad \text { since } e \in G_{2}=Z_{2} \text { and } 2 \mid q_{1} \circ s_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (1.16) and (3.1) of [8]

$$
\nu_{2}(C\{n, 5\}) \geqq 1
$$

hence $\operatorname{deg}(f) \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$ and

$$
f \circ p_{n+5,5}=0
$$

since $u \circ \circlearrowleft_{3} \in G_{9}$ and $2 G_{9}=0$. Thus $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=0$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (16)$ and the proof is completed.

We determine $H$-projective 10 -stems. Recall that $G_{10}=Z_{2}\{\eta \mu\} \oplus Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$.
Theorem 2.10. $\pi_{4 n+7}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)=Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (3)$ or 0 if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (3)$.
Proof. Consider the following diagram:


Given $f \in\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-3}\right\}$, we have $f \circ i=m g_{\infty}$ for some integer $m$ with $m n \equiv$ $0 \bmod (2)$, since $p_{n+1,1}=n g_{\infty}$ and $0=f \circ i \circ p_{n+1,1}=m n \nu^{2}$. By definition of Toda bracket we have

$$
f \circ p_{n+2,2} \in\left\langle f \circ i, p_{n+1,1},(n+1) g_{\infty}\right\rangle
$$

Since all Toda brackets which appear in this proof have zero indeterminacies from a similar method as the proof of (i) of (2.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle f \circ i, p_{n+1,1},(n+1) g_{\infty}\right\rangle & =\left\langle m g_{\infty}, n g_{\infty},(n+1) g_{\infty}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2} m n(n+1)\langle\nu, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle+m n(n+1)\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\nu, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle & =-\langle 2 \nu, \nu, 2 \nu\rangle & & \text { by (3.10) of [11] } \\
& =-\langle\nu, 4 \nu, \nu\rangle & & \text { by (3.5) of ibid. } \\
& =-2\langle\nu, 2 \nu, \nu\rangle & & \text { by (3.8) of ibid. } \\
& =0 & &
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle=\beta_{1} \quad \text { by p. } 180 \text { of ibid. }
$$

and then

$$
f \circ p_{n+2,2}=m n(n+1) \beta_{1} .
$$

Conversely for any $m$ with $m n \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$ there exists $f \in\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-3}\right\}$ with $f \circ i=m g_{\infty}$. Thus the conclusion follows.

We prove

Theorem 2.11. $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ is equal to
(i) $G_{10} \quad$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (6)$,
(ii) $Z_{2}\{\eta \mu\} \quad$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (6)$,
(iii) $Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\} \quad$ if $n \equiv 4 \bmod (6)$,
(iv) $0 \quad$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (6)$,
(v) 0 or $Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\} \quad$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (6)$,
(vi) $Z_{2}\{\eta \mu\}$ or $G_{10}$ if $n \equiv 5 \bmod (6)$.

Proof. First we suppose that $n$ is odd. Since $q_{4} \circ p_{n+5,5}=p_{n+5,1}=\eta$, $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ contains $\mu \circ q_{4} \circ p_{n+5,5}=\mu \eta$ and (vi) follows, (i) also follows from (1.1) and (2.10). Given $f \in\left\{C P_{n+5,5}, S^{2 n-1}\right\}$, we have

$$
0=\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+1,1}} \circ p_{n+1,1}=\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+1,1}} \circ \eta
$$

so $\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+1,1}}=0$ and

$$
\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)=\text { image of } p_{n+5,4}^{*}:\left\{C P_{n+5,4}, S^{2 n-1}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{S^{2 n+9}, S^{2 n-1}\right\}
$$

In case of $n \equiv 3 \bmod (6)$ we construct a commutative diagram:


Since $q_{3} \circ p_{n+5,4}=p_{n+5,1}=\eta, q_{3} \circ 2 p_{n+5,4}=0$ and there exists $s_{1}$ with $i \circ s_{1}=2 p_{n+5,4}$. By (1.15) of [8] $q_{2} \circ s_{1}=(n+3) g_{\infty}$. Then $4 q_{2} \circ s_{1}=0$ and there exists $s_{2}$ with $i \circ s_{2}=4 s_{1}$. Since $q_{1} \circ s_{2} \in G_{5}=0$, there exists $s_{3}$ with $i \circ s_{3}=s_{2}$. Thus the construction of the above diagram is completed. Given $f \in\left\{C P_{n+5,4}, S^{2 n-1}\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
8 f \circ p_{n+54} & =\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+2,1} \circ S_{3}} \\
& =0, \text { since } G_{3} \circ G_{7}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ does not contain $Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$ and hence (ii) follows.
Next we suppose that $n$ is even. If $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ contains $\eta \mu$, that is, there exists $f \in\left\{C P_{n+5}, S^{2 n-1}\right\}$ with $f \circ p_{n+5}=\eta \mu$, we have the following commutative triangle


But $6 n+9 \equiv 1 \bmod (8)$ (if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (4)$ ) or $5 \bmod (8)$ (if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$ ) and hence $\widetilde{K O^{-6 n-9}}\left(C P_{n+5}\right)=0$ by Theorem 2 of Fujii [4] and

$$
d_{R}(\eta \mu)=p_{n+5} * f^{*}=0 .
$$

This is a contradiction since $d_{R}(\eta \mu) \neq 0$ [1]. Thus $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ does not contain $\eta \mu$. Hence (v) follows.

In case of $n \equiv 0 \bmod (6)$, we obtain the following commutative diagram by the methods used in the proof of (3.1) of [8].


Given $f \in\left\{C P_{n+5,5}, S^{2 n-1}\right\}$, we have

$$
2^{8} \cdot 5 f \circ p_{n+5,5}=\left.f\right|_{C P_{n+1,1} \circ s_{4} \in G_{1} \circ G_{9}=Z_{2} .} .
$$

Thus $\pi_{2 n+9}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n-1}\right)$ does not contain $Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1}\right\}$. Hence (iv) follows.
In case of $n \equiv 4 \bmod (6)$, we construct the following commutative diagram which implies (iii) since $h \circ g \circ p_{n+5,4} \in\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right\rangle=\beta_{1}$.

$\alpha_{1}^{2}=0$ assures the existence of $h$. By Theorem 2.6 of Randall [9], there exists $f$ with $f \circ p_{n+5,4}=\alpha_{1}$. Consider the Puppe exact sequence

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cdots \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+2,1}, S^{2 n+2}\right\} \xrightarrow{p_{n+2,1}^{*}}\left\{S^{2 n+3}, S^{2 n+2}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+3,2}, S^{2 n+3}\right\} \\
\left\{C P_{n+2,1}, S^{2 n+3}\right\}=0 \rightarrow \cdots
\end{array}
$$

Since $p_{n+2,1}=(n+1) \eta=\eta$, the above $p_{n+2,1}^{*}$ is an epimorphism, hence $\left\{C P_{n+3,2}\right.$, $\left.S^{2 n+3}\right\}=0$. Considering the suitable Puppe sequences, we know easily that $i^{*}:\left\{C P_{n+5,4}, S^{2 n+3}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+4,3}, S^{2 n+3}\right\}$ and $q_{2}^{*}:\left\{S^{2 n+6}, S^{2 n+6}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+4,3}, S^{2 n+6}\right\}$ are isomorphisms. Consider the Puppe exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cdots \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+3,2}, S^{2 n+2}\right\} \xrightarrow{P_{n+3,2}^{*}}\left\{S^{2 n+5},\right. & \left.S^{2 n+2}\right\} \xrightarrow{q_{2}^{*}}\left\{C P_{n+4,3}, S^{2 n+3}\right\} \\
& \rightarrow\left\{C P_{n+3,2}, S^{2 n+3}\right\}=0 \rightarrow \cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cong \uparrow i^{*} \\
& \left\{C P_{n+5,4}, S^{2 n+3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 2.6 of [9], $\alpha_{1} \in \pi_{2 n+5}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n+2}\right)$. Hence the image of $\alpha_{1^{*}}$ in the left hand side is contained in $\pi_{2 n+5}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n+2}\right)$, and the image of $\alpha_{1^{*}}$ in the right hand side is zero. Therefore $i^{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \circ f\right)=\alpha_{1^{*}}(f \circ i)=0$ and $\alpha_{1} \circ f=0$. Thus there exists $g$ with $q \circ g=f$.

This completes the proof.
We determine $F$-projective 11-stem. Given $f \in\left\{H P_{n+3,3}, S^{4 n}\right\}$ we have

$$
e_{R}^{\prime}\left(f \circ p_{n+3,3}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg}(f) \alpha_{H}(n, 3)
$$

by (1.5) of [8]. Since $e_{R}^{\prime}: G_{11} \rightarrow Z_{504}$ is an isomorphism, we have
Theorem 2.12. $\quad \pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right) \cong Z / \operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} H\{n, 3\} \alpha_{H}(n, 3)\right]$.
We have also
Theorem 2.13. $\quad \pi_{2 n+11}^{S c}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to
(i) $Z / 2 \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$ if $n \equiv 0 \bmod (2), 5,7 \bmod (8), 11 \bmod (16)$, 1 or $3 \bmod (32)$,
(ii) $Z / \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$ if $n \equiv 9 \bmod (16), 17$ or $19 \bmod (32)$.

Proof. Let $u(n)$ be the order of the cyclic group $\pi_{2 n+11}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$. Given $f \in$ $\left\{C P_{n+6,6}, S^{2 n}\right\}$, we have

$$
e_{R}^{\prime}\left(f \circ p_{n+6,6}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a_{6}(f)-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{deg}(f) \alpha_{c}(n, 6)
$$

for some integer $a_{6}(f)$ by (1.5) of [8]. Choose $f_{0}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(f_{0}\right)=C\{n, 6\}$. Then

$$
u(n)=\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{6}\left(f_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]
$$

for $e_{R}^{\prime}: G_{11} \rightarrow Z_{504}$ is an isomorphism. Then it is easy to see that $u(n)$ is equal to $\operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$ or $2 \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$, and equal to $2 \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$ if $\nu_{2}\left(\operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]\right) \geqq 1 . \quad$ By (1.16) and (3.1) of [8], $\nu_{2}\left(\operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{c}(n, 6)\right]\right) \geqq 1$ if and only if $n \equiv 7 \bmod (8), 11 \bmod (16)$ or $n \equiv 0$ $\bmod (2)$ and $n \neq 4 \bmod (8), 50 \bmod (64)$ and $0 \bmod (128)$. First suppose that $n \equiv 4 \bmod (8), 50 \bmod (64)$ or $0 \bmod (128)$. Since $q_{5} \circ p_{n+6,6}=p_{n+6,1}=\eta, \pi_{2 n+11}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $\mu \eta \circ q_{5} \circ p_{n+6,6}=\mu \eta^{2}=4 \zeta$ and hence $u(n)$ is even and in fact $u(n)=$ $2 \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$. Thus $u(n)=2 \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 6\} \alpha_{C}(n, 6)\right]$ if $n$ is even. Next consider the case of $n$ being odd. By (1.16), (3.1), (iii) of (1.4) of [8] and an easy calculation, we check that $a_{6}\left(f_{0}\right) \equiv 0 \bmod (2)$ (if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$ or $33 \bmod (64)$ ) or $1 \bmod (2)$ (if $n \equiv 5 \bmod (8), 9 \bmod (16), 17 \bmod (32)$ or $1 \bmod (64)$ ). Then by also an easy calculation $u(n)$ is determined as the forms given in Theorem. The proof is completed.

It is easily seen from (1.16) and (2.1) of [8] that $\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} H\{3,3\} \alpha_{H}(3,3)\right]$ $=504$, and hence $G_{11}$ is fully $H$-projective and fully $C$-projective by (1.1). Thus we have

Corollary 2.14. $G_{11}$ is fully $H$ - and $C$-projective .
Concerning with $F$-projective 12 -stems, we have no problems, since $G_{12}=0$. Recall that $G_{13}=Z_{3}\left\{\beta_{1} \alpha_{1}\right\}$. We have

Theorem 2.15. $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ is equal to
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { (i) } G_{13} & \text { if } n \equiv 0 \text { or } 2 \bmod (3), \\ \text { (ii) } 0 & \text { if } n \equiv 1 \bmod (3) .\end{array}$
Proof. Since $q_{2} \circ p_{n+3,3}=p_{n+3,1}=(n+2) g_{\infty}$ from (2.10) of [5] (or see (1.14) of [8]), $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ contains $\beta_{1} \circ q_{2} \circ p_{n+3,3}=(n+2) \beta_{1} \alpha_{1}$. Thus the conclusion (i) follows. Suppose that $n \equiv 1 \bmod (3)$. Then $8 q_{2} \circ p_{n+3,3}=0$ and there exists $s \in\left\{S^{4 n+11}, H P_{n+2,2}\right\}$ with $i_{1} \circ s=8 p_{n+3,3}$. Given $f \in\left\{H P_{n+3,3}, S^{4 n-2}\right\}$ we have

$$
f \circ p_{n+3,3}=16 f \circ p_{n+3,3}=2 f \circ i_{1} \circ s
$$

But $2\left\{H P_{n+2,2}, S^{4 n-2}\right\}=0$ by (2.5). Thus $2 f \circ i_{1} \circ s=0$ and the conclusion (ii) follows.

We have also
Theorem 2.16. $\pi_{2 n+13}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ is equal to
(i) $G_{13}$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $2 \bmod (3)$,
(ii) $0 \quad$ if $n \equiv 1 \bmod (3)$.

Proof. By Randall [9, Theorems 2.5, 2.6], $\alpha_{1} \in \pi_{2 n+13}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n+10}\right)$ if and only if $n \equiv 0$ or $2 \bmod (3)$. Then (i) follows from (1.2). In case of $n \equiv 1 \bmod (6)$, (ii) was proved in the proof of (vii) of [8]. By the same methods we can prove (ii) in case of $n \equiv 4 \bmod (6) . \quad$ We omit the details.

Concerning with $F$-projective 14 -stems, we prove the following. Recall that $G_{14}=Z_{2}\left\{\sigma^{2}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\kappa\}$.

Theorem 2.17. $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)=Z_{2}\left\{\sigma^{2}\right\}$ if $n \equiv 6 \bmod (8)$.
Proof. Suppose that $n \equiv 6 \bmod (8)$. Since $q_{1} \circ p_{n+3,2}=p_{n+3,1}=(n+2) g_{\infty}$, $3 q_{1} \circ p_{n+3,2}=0$ and there exists $s \in\left\{S^{4 n+11}, H P_{n+2,1}\right\}$ with $i_{1} \circ s=3 p_{n+3,2}$. Since $\sigma \circ p_{n+2,1}=(n+1) \sigma \circ g_{\infty}=(n+1) \sigma \nu=0$, there exists $f \in\left\{H P_{n+3,2}, S^{4 n-3}\right\}$ with $f \circ i_{1}$ $=\sigma$. Put $n=8 m+6$. Then by (ii) of (1.13) of [8], we have

$$
e_{c}(s)=(8 m+7)(20 m+17) / 2^{4} \cdot 3 \cdot 5
$$

Hence $\# s \equiv 0 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
f \circ p_{n+3,2} & =f \circ 3 p_{n+3,2}, \text { since } 2 G_{14}=0 \\
& =\sigma s \\
& =\sigma^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)$ contains $\sigma^{2}$. By the following Theorem (2.18), $\eta \circ \pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)$ (which is a subgroup of $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-4}\right)$ ) does not contain $\eta \kappa$ and hence $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)$ does not contain $\kappa$. This completes the proof.

Recall that $G_{15}=Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \oplus Z_{2^{5}}\{\rho\} \oplus Z_{15}$ and there is a split exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \rightarrow G_{15} \xrightarrow{e_{C}} Z / 2^{5} \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \rightarrow 0 .
$$

We have
Theorem 2.18. $\pi_{4 n+15}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to
(i) $\quad Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \oplus Z / v(n) \quad$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $3 \bmod (4)$,
(ii) $Z / v(n) \quad$ if $n \equiv 5 \bmod (8)$,
(iii) $Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \oplus Z / v(n)$ or $Z / v(n)$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$ or $1 \bmod (8)$, and $\pi_{4 n+15}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ does not contain $\eta \kappa$ if $n \equiv 5 \bmod (8)$, where $v(n)=\operatorname{den}[H\{n, 4\} \times$ $\left.\alpha_{H}(n, 4)\right]$.

Proof. The conclusions (i), (ii) and (iii) follow from (1.2) of [8], because
$\eta \kappa \in \pi_{4 n+15}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ if $n \equiv 0$ or $3 \bmod (4)$ from (2.2) of [8]. Next consider the case of $n \equiv 5 \bmod (8)$. Since $q_{3} \circ p_{n+4,4}=(n+3) g_{\infty}, 3 q_{3} \circ p_{n+4,4}=0$ and there exists $s \in\left\{S^{4 n+15}, H P_{n+3,3}\right\}$ with $i_{1} \circ s=3 p_{n+4,4}$. Let $a \in\left\{H P_{n+3,3}, S^{4 n}\right\}$ be an element with $\operatorname{deg}(a)=H\{n, 3\}$. Then $a$ generates a free part of $\left\{H P_{n+3,3}, S^{4 n}\right\}$ which is of rank 1. Given $f \in\left\{H P_{n+4,4}, S^{4 n}\right\}$, we have

$$
f \circ i_{1}=(\operatorname{deg}(f) / H\{n, 3\}) a+e \circ q_{2}
$$

for some $e \in\left\{H P_{n+3,1}, S^{4 n}\right\}=G_{8}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 f \circ p_{n+4,4} & =f \circ i_{1} \circ s \\
& =(\operatorname{deg}(f) / H\{n, 3\}) a \circ s+e \circ q_{2} \circ s \\
& =(\operatorname{deg}(f) / H\{n, 3\}) a \circ s, \text { since } G_{8} \circ G_{7}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

But by (1.16) and (2.1) of [8], $\nu_{2}(H\{n, 3\})=3$ and $\nu_{2}(H\{n, 4\})=6$. Thus $\operatorname{deg}(f) / H\{n, 3\} \equiv 0 \bmod (8)$ since $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ is a multiple of $H\{n, 4\}$. Suppose that $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ contains $\eta \kappa+x$ for some $x$ which is orthogonal to $Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\}$, then $\eta \kappa+x=f \circ p_{n+4,4}$ for some $f \in\left\{H P_{n+4,4}, S^{4 n}\right\}$. Then

$$
\eta \kappa+3 x=3 f \circ p_{n+4,4}=(\operatorname{deg}(f) / H\{n, 3)\} a \circ s
$$

and hence $\eta \kappa+3 x$ is divisible by 8 . This is a contradiction, for $\#(\eta \kappa)=2$. Thus $\pi_{4 n+11}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ does not contain $\eta \kappa+x$ for any $x \in G_{15}$ which is orthogonal to $Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\}$. This completes the proof.

By (1.16) and (2.1) of [8] we have easily that $\nu_{2}(v(n)) \leqq 4$, and $\nu_{2}(v(n))=4$ if and only if $n \equiv 25 \bmod (32)$. Hence we have

Corollary 2.19. $\rho \in G_{15}$ is not H-projective but $2 \rho$ or $2 \rho+\eta \kappa$ is $H$-projective.
By (1.1), (2.18) and the above split exact sequence we have
Theorem 2.20. $\pi_{2 n+15}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ is isomorphic to
(i) $Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \oplus Z \mid w(n) \quad$ if $n$ is even,
(ii) $Z_{2}\{\eta \kappa\} \oplus Z \mid w(n)$ or $Z / w(n)$ if $n$ is odd, where $w(n)=\operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 8\} \alpha_{C}(n, 8)\right]$.

By (1.16) and (3.1) of [8] we have that $\nu_{2}(w(n))=5$ if and only if $n \equiv 50$ $\bmod (64)$, and in case of $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$, we have that $\nu_{3}(w(n))=1$ if and only if $n \equiv 14,22,26,34 \bmod (36), 10,38,46,74 \bmod (108), 82$ or $190 \bmod (324)$, and $\nu_{5}(w(n))=1$ if and only if $n \equiv 2,14,18 \bmod (20), 10,30,70$ or $90 \bmod (100)$. Hence we have

Corollary 2.21. $G_{15}$ is fully $C$-projective and the smallest $n$ for which $\pi_{2 n+15}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=G_{15}$ is 178.

Recall that $G_{17}=Z_{2}\left\{\eta \eta^{*}\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\nu \kappa\} \oplus Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \rho\right\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\bar{\mu}\}$. We have

Proposition 2.22. $\bar{\mu}$ and the Adams element $\mu_{2} \in G_{17}$ are not contained in $\pi_{2 n+17}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ if $n \neq 3 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right)$.

Proof. Since $e_{C}(\bar{\mu})=e_{C}\left(\mu_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ from (12.13) of [1], it will suffice to show that $\nu_{2}\left(C\{n, 9\} \alpha_{C}(n, 9)\right) \geqq 0$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right)$. Indeed by (1.16) and (3.1) of [8] we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C\{n, 9\} /\left(C\{n, 8\} \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 8\} \alpha_{C}(n, 8)\right]\right) \\
&= \begin{cases}1 & \text { or } 2 \text { if } n \equiv 3 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right) \text { or } 1 \bmod \left(2^{9}\right) \\
1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and an calculation shows that if $n \equiv 3 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right)$ and $1 \bmod \left(2^{9}\right)$ we have $\nu_{2}\left(C\{n, 9\} \alpha_{C}(n, 9)\right) \geqq 0$, and if $n \equiv 1 \bmod \left(2^{9}\right)$ we have $\nu_{2}\left(C\{n, 8\} \alpha_{C}(n, 9)\right) \geqq 0$ and hence $\nu_{2}\left(C\{n, 9\} \alpha_{C}(n, 9)\right) \geqq 0$, and the conclusion follows.

By Randall [9, Theorems 2.5, 2.6] we know that $\nu \in \pi_{2 n+17}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n+14}\right)$ if and only if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$. And by (i) of (1.13) of [8], $p_{n+9,1}=(n+8) \eta=n \eta$, and so $\eta \in \pi_{2 n+17}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n+16}\right)$ if and only if n is odd. Thus if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4), \pi_{2 n+17}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $\nu \kappa, \eta \eta^{*}$ and $\eta^{2} \rho$. Hence we have

Corollary 2.23. If $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$, then $\pi_{2 n+17}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains $Z_{2}\left\{\eta \eta^{*}\right\} \oplus$ $Z_{2}\{\nu \kappa\} \oplus Z_{2}\left\{\eta^{2} \rho\right\}$.

Recall that there exists a split exact sequence [1]

$$
0 \rightarrow Z_{2} \rightarrow G_{19} \xrightarrow{e_{R}^{\prime}} Z_{264} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

By (1.5) of [8] we have
Proposition 2.24. $\pi_{4 n+19}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n}\right)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of the order $\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} H\{n, 5\} \alpha_{H}(n, 5)\right]$.

Take $f \in\left\{C P_{n+10,10}, S^{2 n}\right\}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f)=C\{n, 10\}$. From (1.5) of [8]

$$
e_{R}^{\prime}\left(f \circ p_{n+10,10}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a_{10}-\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 10\} \alpha_{c}(n, 10)
$$

for some integer $a_{10}$, and so $\pi_{2 n+19}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of the order $\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{10}-\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 10\} \alpha_{c}(n, 10)\right]$. Even if we can not determine $a_{10} \bmod (2)$, we have $\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} a_{10}-\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 10\} \alpha_{C}(n, 10)\right]=\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 10\} \alpha_{c}(n, 10)\right]$ when

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{2}\left(C\{n, 10\} \alpha_{c}(n, 10)\right) \leqq-1 \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example if $n \equiv 10,12,14 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right), 18,20,22 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right), 6,34,36 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right)$ or
$102 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right)$, then $C\{n, 10\}=C\{n, 7\} \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 7\} \alpha_{C}(n, 8)\right]$ by (3.1) of [8] and $\left.{ }^{*}\right)$ is satisfied. This follows from elementary but routine calculation using (1.16) of [8]. Hence we have

Proposition 2.25. If $n \equiv 10,12,14 \bmod \left(2^{4}\right), 18,20,22 \bmod \left(2^{5}\right), 6,34$, $36 \bmod \left(2^{6}\right)$ or $102 \bmod \left(2^{7}\right)$, then $\pi_{2 n+19}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of the order $\operatorname{den}\left[\frac{1}{2} C\{n, 7\} \cdot \operatorname{den}\left[C\{n, 7\} \alpha_{C}(n, 8)\right] \cdot \alpha_{C}(n, 10)\right]$.

Recall that $G_{21}=Z_{2}\{\eta \bar{\kappa}\} \oplus Z_{2}\left\{\sigma^{3}\right\}$ from [6]. By (1.2) and (2.17) we have
Proposition 2.26. If $n \equiv 4 \bmod (8)$, then $\pi_{4 n+19}^{S H}\left(S^{4 n-2}\right)$ contains $\sigma^{3}$.
Since $p_{m, 1}^{c}=(m-1) \eta$, by (2.26) we have
Proposition 2.27. If $n \equiv 7 \bmod (16)$, then $\pi_{2 n+21}^{S C}\left(S^{2 n}\right)=G_{21}$.
Recall that $G_{22}=Z_{2}\{\varepsilon \kappa\} \oplus Z_{2}\{\nu \bar{\sigma}\}$ from [6]. Since $p_{m, 1}^{H}=(m-1) g_{\infty}$, by (1.2) and (2.7) we have

Proposition 2.28. $\pi_{4 n+19}^{S F}\left(S^{4 n-3}\right)$ is equal to $G_{22}$ if $n \equiv 3 \bmod (4)$, and contains $Z_{2}\{\varepsilon \kappa\}$ if $n \equiv 2 \bmod (4)$ or $Z_{2}\{\nu \bar{\sigma}\}$ if $n$ is odd.
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[^0]:    *) Recently in his dissertation, R.E. Snow has determined the C-projectivity of the 2-components for the stems less than or equal to 15 .

