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## Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the lengths of the second fundamental forms of a certain class of homogeneous submanifolds, called $R$-spaces, minimally imbedded into a unit sphere $S$. Among these submanifolds, we find Veronese surfaces and generalized Clifford surfaces. These have been characterized as minimal submanifolds with second fundamental form of minimal positive constant length by Chern-Do Carmo-Kobayashi [2]. Also Simons [9] discusses the lengths of the second fundamental forms of submanifolds in $S$.

Our main results are as follows. Let $\|A\|^{2}$ be the square of the length of the second fundamental form of an $R$-space $N$ minimally imbedded into $S$. Then if $N$ is regular (See section 2), $\|A\|^{2}$ is a certain multiple of $\operatorname{dim} N$. If $N$ is symmetric (See section 4), then $\|A\|^{2}$ is a rational number. These results are independent of the choice of an invariant Riemannian metric on $N$.

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to Professor M. Takeuchi for his kind guidance and encouragements.

## 1. Preliminaries

1.1. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type. Put $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{p}$, where $($ resp. $\mathfrak{p})$ is the eigenspace of $\sigma$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 (resp. -1 ). Let $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{g})$ be the group of automorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$. Identifying the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\mathfrak{g}$, let $K$ be the connected Lie subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{f} \mathfrak{g}$. Then $K$ leaves the subspace $\mathfrak{p}$ invariant. Let (, ) be an inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$ invariant under Aut $(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $K$ acts as an isometry group on the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{p}$ with the inner product (, ), the restriction of the inner product (,) on $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{p}$. Let $S$ be the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{p}$, and $H$ an element of $S$. Let $N$ be the orbit of $K$ through $H$. Denoting by $L$ the stabilizer of $H$ in $K$, the space $N$ may be identified with the quotient space $K / L$, which is called an $R$-space.
1.2. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace in $\mathfrak{p}$. We shall identify $\mathfrak{a}$ with
the dual space $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ of $\mathfrak{a}$ by the map $\iota: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}^{*}, \iota(X)(Y)=(Y, X)$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{a}$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$, we define the subspace $\boldsymbol{f}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{f}_{\lambda}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{f} ; \operatorname{ad}(H)^{2} X=-(\lambda, H)^{2} X, \text { for any } H \in \mathfrak{a}\right\} \\
& \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{p} ; \operatorname{ad}(H)^{2} X=-(\lambda, H)^{2} X, \text { for any } H \in \mathfrak{a}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathfrak{t}_{-\lambda}=\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}=\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{0}=\mathfrak{a}$. If we put

$$
\mathfrak{r}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a} ; \lambda \neq 0, \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} \neq\{0\}\right\},
$$

$\mathfrak{r}$ is a root system in $\mathfrak{a}$ (Satake [7]). The root system $\mathfrak{r}$ is called the restricted root system of $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$. We choose a linear order in $\mathfrak{a}$ and fix it once for all. We denote by $\mathfrak{r}^{+}$the set of positive roots in $\mathfrak{r}$ with respect to this linear order in $\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have the following orthogonal decomposition of $\mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ with respect to the inner product (, ) (cf. Helgason [3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{t}=\mathfrak{f}_{0}+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}} \mathfrak{f}_{\lambda}, \mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}} \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. Let $(M, h)$ and $\left(M^{\prime}, g\right)$ be Riemannian manifolds, and $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ an isometric immersion. Let $T_{x}(M)$ be the tangent space of $M$ at a point $x \in M$, and $T_{x}^{\perp}(M)$ the orthogonal complement of $T_{x}(M)$ in $T_{f(x)}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Let $A: T_{x}^{\perp}(M)$ $\times T_{x}(M) \rightarrow T_{x}(M)$ be the Weingarten form at $x \in M$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{f_{1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, f_{m}\right\}$ ) be an orthonormal basis of $T_{x}(M)$ (resp. $\left.T_{x}^{\perp}(M)\right)$. Then the square of the length of the second fundamental form $\|A\|^{2}(x)$ at $x$ is given by

$$
\|A\|^{2}(x)=\sum_{p=1}^{n} \sum_{q=1}^{m}\left|A_{f_{q}} e_{p}\right|^{2},
$$

where $|X|^{2}=g(X, X)$ for $X \in T_{f(x)}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\rho(x)$ be the scalar curvature of $M$ at $x$.

Lemma 1. If the immersion $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ is minimal and $M^{\prime}$ is a space form with the sectional curvature $c$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)=n(n-1) c-\|A\|^{2}(x), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=\operatorname{dim} M$.
Proof. If $c>0$, Simons [9] proves the formula. In the general case, we can prove the formula in the same way as in Simons [9].

## 2. Second fundamental forms of $\boldsymbol{R}$-spaces

2.1. As in section 1, we assume that the point $H$ is contained in the unit sphere $S$. Moreover we may assume that $H \in S \cap \mathfrak{a}$ and $(\lambda, H) \geqslant 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}$, by virtue of the following lemma.

Lemma 2 (Helgason [3]). For any $X \in \mathfrak{p}$, there exists an element $k \in K$ such
that $k X \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $(\lambda, k X) \geqslant 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}$.
We identify the tangent space $T_{H}(N)$ of $N$ at $H$ with a subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$ in a canonical manner. Then we have $T_{H}(N)=[\ell \in H]$. Put

$$
\mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+} ;(\lambda, H)=0\right\}, \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+} ;(\lambda, H)>0\right\}
$$

The tangent space $T_{H}(N)$ and the orthogonal complement $T_{H}^{\perp}(N)$ in $T_{H}(S)$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{H}(N)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathrm{r}_{2}^{+}} \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda},  \tag{2.1}\\
& T_{H}^{\perp}(N)=\mathfrak{a}_{H}+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_{H}=\{X \in \mathfrak{a} ;(X, H)=0\}$.
We shall call the submanifold $N$ regular, if $\mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}=\mathfrak{r}^{+}$.
2.2. Let $\Delta$ be the fundamental root system of $\mathfrak{r}$ with respect to the order in a. Put

$$
\Delta_{1}=\left\{\lambda \in \Delta ; \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ the subspace of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ spanned by $\mathfrak{h}$. The inner product (, ) on $\mathfrak{g}$ can be extended uniquely to a complex symmetric bilinear form, denoted also by (, ) on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}$ be the root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ relative to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. An element $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{\mathfrak { x }}$, if $\alpha \neq 0$ and there exists a non-zero vector $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $[H, X]=(\alpha, H) X$ for any $H \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. Let $\mathfrak{G}_{0}$ be the real part of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$, i.e. the real subspace of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ spanned by $\tilde{\mathrm{x}}$. Note that then $\mathfrak{h}_{0}=\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}$. We denote by the same letter $\sigma$ the con-
 of Satake [7] which has the following property. Let $\widetilde{\Delta}$ be the fundamental system with respect to this order in $\mathfrak{Y}_{0}$, and denote by $p$ the projecion of $\mathfrak{h}_{0}$ onto $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{a}$. Then $\sqrt{-1} \Delta=p(\widetilde{\Delta})-\{0\}$. We denote the Satake diagram of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ also by $\widetilde{\Delta}$. Put $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1}=p^{-1}\left(\sqrt{-1} \Delta_{1}\right)$. It is known (Takeuchi [11]) that isomorphic pairs ( $\left.\widetilde{\Delta}, \widetilde{\Delta}_{1}\right)$ of Satake diagrams gives rise to isomorphic pairs $(K, L)$ : We say that the pair ( $\widetilde{\Delta}, \widetilde{\Delta}_{1}$ ) is isomorphic to the pair ( $\left.\widetilde{\Delta}^{\prime}, \widetilde{\Delta}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ if there exists an isomorphism $\varphi$ of $\widetilde{\Delta}$ onto $\widetilde{\Delta}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi$ maps $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1}$ onto $\widetilde{\Delta}_{1}^{\prime}$, and that the pair $(K, L)$ is isomorphic to the pair $\left(K^{\prime}, L^{\prime}\right)$ if there exists an isomorphism $f$ of $K$ onto $K^{\prime}$ such that $f$ maps $L$ onto $L^{\prime}$.
2.3. Let $\Delta_{1}$ be a subsystem of $\Delta$. Put

$$
A\left(\Delta_{1}\right)=\left\{H \in \mathfrak{a} \cap S ; \begin{array}{l}
(\lambda, H) \geqslant 0, \text { for any } \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}, \\
\{\lambda \in \Delta ;(\lambda, H)=0\}=\Delta_{1}
\end{array}\right\} .
$$

Then there exists an element $H \in A\left(\Delta_{1}\right)$ such that the orbit of $K$ through $H$ is minimal in $S$. This follows easily from Hsiang-Lawson [4] (Corollary 1.8). If $(\mathrm{g}, \sigma)$ is irreducible and the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric, then for the subsystem $\Delta_{1}$ of $\Delta$ obtained from $N=K / L$ as in 2.2 the set $A\left(\Delta_{1}\right)$ consists of only one element (cf. Takeuchi [11]). Therefore in this case the submanifold $N$ is minimal.
2.4. Let $A: T_{H}^{\frac{1}{H}}(N) \times T_{H}(N) \rightarrow T_{H}(N)$ be the Weingarten form of the submanifold $N$ of $S$ at $H$. The following proposition is due to Takagi-Takahashi [10].

Proposition 3. For $X_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}$, the Weingarten form $A$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{Z_{0}} X_{\lambda}=-\frac{\left(\lambda, Z_{0}\right)}{(\lambda, H)} X_{\lambda}, \quad \text { if } Z_{0} \in \mathfrak{a}_{H} \\
& A_{Z_{\mu}} X_{\lambda}=-\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left[\left[H, X_{\lambda}\right], Z_{\mu}\right], \quad \text { if } Z_{\mu} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mu}, \mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

There exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{X_{\lambda \cdot 1}, \cdots, X_{\lambda \cdot m_{\lambda}}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{Y_{\lambda \cdot 1}, \cdots, Y_{\lambda \cdot m_{\lambda}}\right\}$ ) of $\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{f}_{\lambda}$ ) such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
{\left[H, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right]=-(\lambda, H) Y_{\lambda \cdot p},}  \tag{2.3}\\
{\left[H, Y_{\lambda \cdot p}\right]=(\lambda, H) X_{\lambda \cdot p} \quad \text { for any } H \in \mathfrak{a}, ~}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $m_{\lambda}$ is the multiplicity of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}$, i.e. $m_{\lambda}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}$.
Proposition 4. The square of the length of the second fundamental form $\|A\|^{2}$ at $H$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\|A\|^{2}=-n+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}} & \left(m_{\lambda}|\lambda|^{2}\right.  \tag{2.4}\\
& \left.+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|X_{(\lambda \cdot p ; \mu \cdot q)}\right|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Here $n=\operatorname{dim} N, X_{(\lambda \cdot p: \mu \cdot q)}=\left[Y_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\mu \cdot q}\right]$ and $|X|^{2}=(X, X)$ for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular when $N$ is regular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|^{2}=-n+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}} m_{\lambda} \frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{H, H_{1}, \cdots, H_{l}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{a}$. Applying Proposition 3 and (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A\|^{2} & =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left|A_{H_{k}} X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right|^{2}+\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|A_{X_{\mu \cdot q}} X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left(\lambda, H_{k}\right)^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|\left[Y_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\mu \cdot q}\right]\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}\left(|\lambda|^{2}-(\lambda, H)^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|X_{(\lambda \cdot p \cdot \mu \cdot q)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& =-n+\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}|\lambda|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{r}_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|X_{(\lambda \cdot p ; \mu \cdot q)}\right|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first formula of the proposition. The second formula (2.5) is the immediate consequence of (2.4).
2.5. Let $\alpha: T_{H}(N) \times T_{H}(N) \rightarrow T_{H}^{\frac{1}{H}}(N)$ be the second fundamental form at H. Then we have (cf. Kobayashi-Nomizu [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha(X, Y), Z)=\left(A_{Z} X, Y\right) \quad \text { for } X, Y \in T_{H}(N) \text { and } Z \in T_{\frac{1}{H}}(N) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 5. The submanifold $N$ of $S$ is minimal if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda=n H \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By definition, $N$ is minimal if and only if

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{r}_{2}^{+}} \sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \alpha\left(X_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right)=0
$$

By (2.6) and Proposition 3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha\left(X_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right)= & \sum_{k=1}^{l}\left(A_{H_{k}} X_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right) H_{k} \\
& +\sum_{\mu \in r_{1}^{+}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left(A_{X^{\mu \cdot q}} X_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right) X_{\mu \cdot q} \\
= & -\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \sum_{k=1}^{1}\left(\lambda, H_{k}\right) H_{k} \\
= & H-\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \alpha\left(X_{\lambda \cdot p}, X_{\lambda \cdot p}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} m_{\lambda}\left(H-\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda\right) \\
& =n H-\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda,
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the proposition.
2.6. Assume that the algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes into the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{1}+\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ of two ideals $\mathfrak{g}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ invariant under $\sigma$. For $i=1,2$, let $\mathfrak{g}_{i}=\mathfrak{f}_{i}+\mathfrak{p}_{i}$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{i}=$ $\mathfrak{g}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{g}_{i} \cap \mathfrak{p}$, and put $S_{i}=S \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}, \mathfrak{a}_{i}=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}$. Assume that an element $H_{i} \in \mathfrak{a}_{i} \cap S_{i}$ satisfies $\left(\lambda, H_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}$. Let $N_{i}$ be the orbit of $K$ through $H_{i}$, and suppose that the submanifold $N_{i}$ of $S_{i}$ is minimal. Let $\left\|A_{i}\right\|^{2}$ be the square of the second fundamental form of the submanifold $N_{i}$ of $S_{i}$. Then we have

Proposition 6. Assume that the submanifold $N$ is the orbit of $K$ through $H=\sqrt{\frac{n_{1}}{n}} H_{1}+\sqrt{\frac{n_{2}}{n}} H_{2}$, where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} N_{i} . \quad$ Then $N$ is a minimal submanifold of the unit sphere $S$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|^{2}=n\left(1+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\left\|A_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\left\|A_{2}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Put $\left(\mathfrak{r}_{i}\right)_{s}^{+}=\mathfrak{r}_{s}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}, i, s=1$, 2. By (2.7) we have

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in\left(r_{i}\right)_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{\left(\lambda, H_{i}\right)} \lambda=n_{i} H_{i} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda & =\sum_{\lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{1}\right)_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda+\sum_{\lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)_{2}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda \\
& =\sqrt{n n_{1}} H_{1}+\sqrt{n n_{2}} H_{2} \\
& =n H
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the minimality of $N$. By (2.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A\|^{2}= & -n+\sum_{\lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{1}\right)_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}|\lambda|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{1}\right)_{1}} \sum_{\bar{q}=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|X_{(\lambda \cdot p: \mu \cdot q)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
& +\sum_{\lambda \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)_{2}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}\left(m_{\lambda}|\lambda|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{p=1}^{m_{\lambda}} \sum_{\mu \in\left(\mathfrak{r}_{2}\right)_{1}} \sum_{q=1}^{m_{\mu}}\left|X_{(\lambda \cdot p ; \mu \cdot q)}\right|^{2}\right) \\
= & -n+\frac{n}{n_{1}}\left(\left\|A_{1}\right\|^{2}+n_{1}\right)+\frac{n}{n_{2}}\left(\left\|A_{2}\right\|^{2}+n_{2}\right) \\
= & n\left(1+\frac{1}{n_{1}}\left\|A_{1}\right\|^{2}+\frac{1}{n_{2}}\left\|A_{2}\right\|^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (2.8).
2.7. Example. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ be the orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra corresponding to a symmetric pair $(S U(3), S O(3))$. Then if $N$ is not regular, the pair ( $K, L$ ) is either ( $S O(3), S(O(1) \times 0(2))$ ) or $(S O(3), S(O(2) \times O(1)))$. In these cases the submanifolds $N$ are minimal, and they are isometric. They are the so-called Veronese surfaces. Applying (2.4) and (2.7), we get

$$
\|A\|^{2}= \begin{cases}6, & \text { if } N \text { is regular and minimal } \\ \frac{4}{3}, & \text { if } N \text { is the Veronese surface }\end{cases}
$$

## 3. The case where the submanififold $N$ is regular

3.1. In this section we assume that the submanifold $N$ is regular. Put

$$
\mathfrak{B}=\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r} ; 2 \lambda \notin \mathfrak{r}\} \text { and } \mathfrak{g}^{+}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathfrak{B} ; \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}\right\} .
$$

Then $\mathfrak{B}$ is a reduced root system. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{B}^{+}$, put $k_{\lambda}=m_{\lambda}+m_{\lambda / 2}$, where $m_{\lambda / 2}=0$ unless $\frac{\lambda}{2} \in \mathfrak{r}$. Then by Proposition 4, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|^{2}=-n+\sum_{\lambda \in \Theta^{+}} k_{\lambda} \frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the submanifold $N$ is minimal if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}} \frac{k_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda=n H \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Proposition 5.
Theorem 1. If the submanifold $N$ is regular and minimal, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A\|^{2}=n\left(\left|\mathfrak{B}^{+}\right|-1\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (3.1) it is sufficient to show that

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}} k_{\lambda} \frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}=n \cdot\left|\mathfrak{Z}^{+}\right| .
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda, n H\right)=n \cdot\left|\mathfrak{B}^{+}\right| .
$$

Therefore by (3.2) it is sufficient to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{Q}^{+}} k_{\lambda} \frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}=\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathscr{Y}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda, \sum_{\mu \in \overline{\mathscr{Q}}^{+}} \frac{k_{\mu}}{(\mu, H)} \mu\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the formula, we prepare two lemmas. Let $V$ be an $h$-dimensional real
vector space. Let $\Phi$ be a reduced root system in $V$, and $W$ the Weyl group of $\Phi$. Let (, ) be an inner product on $V$ invariant under $W$. We choose a linear order in $V$. Let $\Phi^{+}$be the set of positive roots with respect to this order. For $\lambda \in \Phi^{+}$, put

$$
\Phi_{\lambda}^{+}=\left\{\xi \in \Phi^{+} ; \xi=s \lambda \quad \text { for some } s \in W\right\}
$$

We can take a subset $\Lambda$ of $\Phi^{+}$such that the union $\Phi^{+}=\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}$is disjoint. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $H \in V$ such that $(\eta, H) \neq 0$ for any $\eta \in \Phi$, put

$$
K(\lambda, H)=\sum_{\xi \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\xi, H)} \xi
$$

Lemma 7. $|K(\lambda, H)|^{2}=\sum_{\xi \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}} \frac{|\xi|^{2}}{(\xi, H)^{2}}$.
Proof. Since

$$
|K(\lambda, H)|^{2}=\sum_{\xi \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}} \frac{|\xi|^{2}}{(\xi, H)^{2}}+2 \sum_{\substack{\xi, \eta \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} \\ \xi<\eta^{+}}} \frac{(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi, H)(\eta, H)},
$$

it suffices to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\xi, \eta \in \oplus_{\lambda}^{+} \\ \xi<\eta_{土}^{+}}} \frac{(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi, H)(\eta, H)}=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\xi, \eta \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\xi<\eta$. Then $|\xi|=|\eta|=|\lambda|$. If $(\xi, \eta)>0$ (resp. $<0$ ), we have $(\xi, \eta)=\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.-\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}\right)$ (cf. Serre [8]). Suppose $(\xi, \eta)<0$. Then $(\xi, \xi+\eta)=|\xi|^{2}+(\xi, \eta)=\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}$, and similarly $(\eta, \xi+\eta)=\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}$. It follows easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi, H)(\eta, H)}+\frac{(\xi, \xi+\eta)}{(\xi, H)(\xi+\eta, H)}+\frac{(\eta, \xi+\eta)}{(\eta, H)(\xi+\eta, H)}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{+}=\left\{(\xi ; \eta) \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} \times \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} ;(\xi, \eta)>0, \xi<\eta\right\} \\
& A^{-}=\left\{(\xi ; \eta) \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} \times \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} ;(\xi, \eta)<0, \xi<\eta\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define a mapping $f$ of $A^{+}$to $A^{-}$by

$$
f(\xi ; \eta)= \begin{cases}(\xi ; \eta-\xi), & \text { if } \xi<\eta-\xi \\ (\eta-\xi ; \xi), & \text { if } \eta-\xi<\xi\end{cases}
$$

Let $S_{\xi}$ be the symmetry with respect to $\xi$. Then, if $(\xi, \eta)>0,(\xi, \eta)=\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}$ and so $S_{\xi}(\eta)=\eta-\xi$. Therefore the above mapping is well-defined. If $(\xi, \eta)<0$,
then $(\xi, \eta)=-\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{2}$ and so $S_{\xi}(\eta)=\xi+\eta$. Therefore we have esaily

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(\xi ; \eta)=\{(\xi ; \xi+\eta),(\eta ; \xi+\eta)\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (3.6), implies (3.5). The proof of Lemma 7 is completed.
Lemma 8. $(K(\lambda, H), K(\mu, H))=0 \quad$ for $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda, \lambda \neq \mu$.
Proof. We have

$$
(K(\lambda, H), K(\mu, H))=\sum_{\xi \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}} \sum_{\eta \in \Phi_{\mu}^{+}} \frac{(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi, H)(\eta, H)} .
$$

If $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are contained in the different irreducible components of $\Phi$, the formula is trivially true, and so we may assume that the root system $\Phi$ is irreducible. Then if $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ are such that $|\alpha|=|\beta|$, there exists an element $s \in W$ such that $\beta=s \alpha$. Therefore we have $|\lambda| \neq|\mu|$. We may assume $|\lambda|<|\mu|$. Since the root system $\Phi$ is reduced, we have $|\mu|^{2}=2|\lambda|^{2}$ or $3|\lambda|^{2}$ (cf. Serre [8]).

In the case of $|\mu|^{2}=3|\lambda|^{2}, \Phi$ is of type $G_{2}$ and we may assume that $\Lambda$ is a fundamental root system of $\Phi$. Then we have $(\lambda, \mu)=-\frac{3}{2}|\lambda|^{2}, \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}=$ $\{\lambda, \lambda+\mu, 2 \lambda+\mu\}$ and $\Phi_{\mu}^{+}=\{\mu, 3 \lambda+\mu, 3 \lambda+2 \mu\}$. In this case the proof is straightforward.

In the case of $|\mu|^{2}=2|\lambda|^{2}$, assume that $\xi \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+}$and $\eta \in \Phi_{\mu}^{+}$. If $(\xi, \eta)>0$ (resp. $<0$ ), then we have $(\xi, \eta)=|\lambda|^{2}$ (resp. $-|\lambda|^{2}$ ) (cf. Serre [8]). If $(\xi, \eta)<0$, it follows easily

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{(\xi, \eta)}{(\xi, H)(\eta, H)} & +\frac{(\xi+\eta, \eta)}{(\xi+\eta, H)(\eta, H)}+\frac{(\xi+\eta, 2 \xi+\eta)}{(\xi+\eta, H)(2 \xi+\eta, H)}  \tag{3.8}\\
& +\frac{(\xi, 2 \xi+\eta)}{(\xi, H)(2 \xi+\eta, H)}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{+}=\left\{(\xi ; \eta) \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} \times \Phi_{\mu}^{+} ;(\xi, \eta)>0\right\} \\
& A^{-}=\left\{(\xi ; \eta) \in \Phi_{\lambda}^{+} \times \Phi_{\mu}^{+} ;(\xi, \eta)<0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We define a mapping $f$ of $A^{+}$to $A^{-}$by

$$
f(\xi ; \eta)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\xi ; \eta-2 \xi), \quad \text { if } \eta-2 \xi \in \Phi^{+}, \\
(\xi-\eta ; \eta), \quad \text { if } 2 \xi-\eta \in \Phi^{+} \text {and } \xi-\eta \in \Phi^{+} \\
(\eta-\xi ; 2 \xi-\eta), \quad \text { if } 2 \xi-\eta \in \Phi^{+} \text {and } \eta-\xi \in \Phi^{+}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $(\xi, \eta)>0$, then $(\xi, \eta)=|\lambda|^{2}$ and so $S_{\xi}(\eta)=\eta-2 \xi, S_{\eta}(\xi)=\xi-\eta$. Therefore the above mapping $f$ is well-defined. If $(\xi, \eta)<0$, then $(\xi, \eta)=-|\lambda|^{2}$ and so
$S_{\xi}(\eta)=\eta+2 \xi, S_{\eta}(\xi)=\xi+\eta$. Therefore we have easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(\xi ; \eta)=\{(\xi ; 2 \xi+\eta),(\xi+\eta ; \eta),(\xi+\eta ; 2 \xi+\eta)\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (3.8), implies the assertion, thus completing the proof of the lemma.

We return to the proof of Theorem 1. Taking $\mathfrak{E}, \mathfrak{B}^{+}$for $\Phi, \Phi^{+}$, let $\Lambda \subset \mathfrak{B}^{+}$ be as above. Since $k_{\xi}=k_{\lambda}$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda, \xi \in \mathfrak{\Xi}_{\lambda}^{+}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}} \frac{1}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda, \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}} \frac{k_{\mu}}{(\mu, H)} \mu\right)=\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K(\lambda, H), \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} k_{\mu} K(\mu, H)\right) \\
= & \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} k_{\lambda}|K(\lambda, H)|^{2}+\sum_{\substack{\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda \\
\lambda \neq \mu}} k_{\mu}(K(\lambda, H), K(\mu, H)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 7 and Lemma 8, we get (3.4), and this proves Theorem 1.

## 4. The case where the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric

4.1. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the complexification of $\mathfrak{g}$. For a subspace $\mathfrak{v}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, we denote by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ the subspace of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ spanned by $\mathfrak{v}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ invariant under $\sigma$. Put $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{h}^{+}+\mathfrak{h}^{-}$, where $\mathfrak{b}^{+}=\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^{-}=\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. We denote also by (, ) the symmetric $\boldsymbol{C}$-bilinear form on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ which is the extension of the inner product (, ) on g . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ be the root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ relative to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. An element $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ belongs to $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$, if $\alpha \neq 0$ and there exists a non-zero vector $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $[H, X]=(\alpha, H) X$ for any $H \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. We have the root space decomposition

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}+\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha},
$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}$ is the eigenspace belonging to $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$. Let $\tau$ be the conjugation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{g}$. We can choose a Weyl canonical basis $\left\{E_{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}\right\}$ such that $\tau E_{\alpha}=E_{-\infty}$ for each $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ (cf. Serre [8]). We denote also by the same letter $\sigma$ the conjugation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{f}+\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{p}$. Then we have $\sigma(\tilde{\mathfrak{r}})=\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ and $\sigma\left(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}\right)=\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\sigma \omega}$. Put $\sigma E_{\alpha}=\rho_{\alpha} E_{\sigma \omega}$ for each $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$, and define $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}=\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} ; \sigma \alpha=-\alpha\}$. Then we have easily $\left|\rho_{\alpha}\right|=1$ for any $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ and $\rho_{\alpha}=\rho_{-\infty}= \pm 1$ for $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}$. Put

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}^{+}=\left\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0} ; \rho_{\alpha}=1\right\}, \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}^{-}=\left\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0} ; \rho_{\alpha}=-1\right\} .
$$

Then we have the following decompositions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{\mathfrak{f}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^{+}+\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{T}}_{0}^{+}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{x}+\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}-\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}} \boldsymbol{C}\left(E_{\alpha}+\sigma E_{-\alpha}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}=\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^{-}+\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}^{-}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}-\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0}} \boldsymbol{C}\left(E_{\alpha}-\alpha E_{-\alpha}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last summations in (4.1) and (4.2) run over all unordered pairs $(\alpha, \sigma \alpha)$
such that $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}-\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{0} . \quad$ Put

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{1}=\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} ; \sigma \alpha=\alpha\} .
$$

The following lemma is an easy consequence of (4.1).
Lemma 9. $\mathfrak{h}^{+}$is maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{t}$, if and only if the set $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_{1}$ is empty.

In the following, let $\mathfrak{h}^{+}$be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{f}$. By Lemma 9 we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 10 (Murakami [6]).

$$
\alpha+\sigma \alpha \notin \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} \quad \text { for any } \alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} .
$$

Since the group $K$ is compact, we can consider the root system of $\tilde{\mathbb{f}}$ relative to $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}^{+}$, say $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Put $\bar{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\sigma \alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}} . \quad$ By (4.1) and Lemma 9 we have

Lemma 11 (Murakami [6]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Sigma}=\{\bar{\alpha} ; \alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 12. For $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$, we have

$$
\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \sigma \alpha=-\alpha  \tag{4.4}\\ 2, & \text { if } \sigma \alpha \neq-\alpha,(\sigma \alpha, \alpha)=0 \\ 4, & \text { if } \sigma \alpha \neq-\alpha,(\sigma \alpha, \alpha) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $(\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha)=(\alpha, \alpha)$ and $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})}=\frac{4(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha-\sigma \alpha, \alpha-\sigma \alpha)}=\frac{4}{2-\frac{2(\sigma \alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $(\sigma \alpha, \sigma \alpha)=(\alpha, \alpha)$ and $\sigma \alpha \neq \alpha$, we have $\frac{2(\alpha, \sigma \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}=-2, \pm 1$ or 0 , and $\frac{2(\alpha, \sigma \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}=-2$ if and only if $\sigma \alpha=-\alpha$ (cf. Serre [8]). Suppose $\sigma \alpha \neq-\alpha$.
Since $\alpha+\sigma \alpha \notin \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ by Lemma 10 , we must have $\frac{2(\sigma \alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \geqq 0$ (cf. Serre [8]). Therefore for each $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2(\sigma \alpha, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}=-2,1 \text { or } 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This, together with (4.5), completes the proof.
4.2. We define two $K$-invariant Riemann metrics $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ on the quotient space $K / L$ as follows: The metric $g$ is induced from the imbedding $\varphi: K / L \rightarrow S$, $\varphi(k L)=k H$ for $k \in K$. The other metric $g^{\prime}$ is induced from the $K$-invariant inner product (, ) on $\mathfrak{f}$, the restriction of the inner product (, ) on $g$ to $\mathfrak{f}$.

Lemma 13 (Takeuchi-Kobayashi [12]). If the orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra $(\mathrm{g}, \sigma)$ is irreducible and the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=(\lambda, H)^{2} g^{\prime} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta-\Delta_{1}=\{\lambda\}$.
Remark. Under the assumptions of Lemma 13, we have $(\xi, H)^{2}=(\eta, H)^{2}$ for any $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}$.

Let $\rho$ (resp. $\rho^{\prime}$ ) be the scalar curvature with respect to the metric $g$ (resp. $g^{\prime}$ ). Under the assumptions of Lemma 13, (4.7) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}} \rho^{\prime} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $(\mathrm{g}, \sigma)$ is irreducible and the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric. Let $\theta$ be the involutive automorphism of $K$ defining the symmetric pair $(K, L)$. Then $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{l}+\mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{l}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}$ ) is the eigenspace of $\theta$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 (resp. -1 ), and $\mathfrak{l}$ is the Lie algebra of $L$. We have the following decomposition (cf. Helgason [3]):

$$
\mathfrak{f}=\mathfrak{f}_{0}+\mathfrak{f}_{1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{t}_{r}
$$

where each $\mathfrak{t}_{j}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{t}$ invariant under $\theta,\left(\mathfrak{t}_{0}, \theta\right)$ is of Euclidean type, and $\left(\mathfrak{f}_{i}, \theta\right), i=1, \cdots, r$, is irreducible of compact type. Put $\mathfrak{l}_{j}=\mathfrak{f}_{j} \cap \mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{j}=\mathfrak{f}_{j} \cap \mathfrak{m}$, $j=0,1, \cdots, r$. Then $\mathfrak{f}_{j}=\mathfrak{l}_{j}+\mathfrak{m}_{j}$. Let $\mathfrak{b}_{j}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{m}_{j}$, and $\sum_{j}$ the restricted root system of $\left(\mathfrak{f}_{j}, \theta\right)(j=0,1, \cdots, r)$. For each $\mathfrak{b}_{j}$, we choose a linear order in $\mathfrak{b}_{j}$. Let $\Sigma_{j}^{+}$be the set of positive roots in $\sum_{j}$ with respect to this order.

Lemma 14. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{h_{i}}{b_{i}} \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{i}^{+}} m_{\omega}|\omega|^{2}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{j}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{m}_{j}, b_{j}=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{b}_{j}(j=0,1, \cdots, r)$, and $m_{\omega}$ is the multiplicity of $\omega \in \sum_{i}^{+}$.
Proof. Put $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}_{0}+\mathfrak{b}_{1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{b}_{r}$. For $\omega \in \sum_{i}^{+}, i=1, \cdots, r$, we define the subspace $\boldsymbol{m}_{\omega}$ as follows:

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}=\left\{X \in \mathfrak{m} ; \operatorname{ad}(H)^{2} X=-(\omega, H)^{2} X \text { for any } H \in \mathfrak{b}\right\}
$$

Then we have the decomposition

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{j=0}^{r} \mathfrak{b}_{j}+\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{\omega \in \sum_{i}^{+}} \mathfrak{m}_{\omega}
$$

Let $S($,$) be the Ricci tensor of \left(K / L, g^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\left(\mathfrak{f}_{0}, \theta\right)$ is of Euclidean type and $\left(\mathfrak{f}_{i}, \theta\right), i=1, \cdots, r$, is irreducible, there exist constants $c_{j}, j=0,1, \cdots, r$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(X, Y)=c_{j}(X, Y) \quad \text { for any } X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}_{j} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we identify the tangent space $T_{0}(K / L)$ at the origin with $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\left\{H_{j \cdot 1}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, H_{j \cdot b_{j}}\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{X_{\omega \cdot 1}, \cdots, X_{\omega \cdot m \omega}\right\}$ ) be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{b}_{j}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m}_{\omega}$ ) with respect to (, ). By (4.10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{\prime} & =\sum_{j=0}^{r}\left(\sum_{p=1}^{b_{j}} S\left(H_{j \cdot p}, H_{j \cdot p}\right)+\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{j}^{+}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{\omega}} S\left(X_{\omega \cdot q}, X_{\omega \cdot q}\right)\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} c_{i} h_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

because $c_{0}=0$. Let $R$ be the curvature tensor of $\left(K / L, g^{\prime}\right)$. Then we have, (cf. Helgason [3])

$$
R(X, Y) Z=-[[X, Y], Z] \text { for any } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{m}
$$

Therefore for $1 \leq i \leq r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{i}=S\left(H_{i \cdot p}, H_{i \cdot p}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{r}\left(\sum_{q=1}^{b_{j}}\left(R\left(H_{j \cdot q}, H_{i \cdot p}\right) H_{i \cdot p}, H_{j \cdot q}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{j}^{+}} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\omega}}\left(R\left(X_{\omega \cdot q}, H_{i \cdot p}\right) H_{i \cdot p}, X_{\omega \cdot q}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{i}^{+}} m_{\omega}\left(\omega, H_{i \cdot p}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{i} c_{i} & =\sum_{p=1}^{b_{i}} S\left(H_{i \cdot p}, H_{i \cdot p}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& =\sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{i}^{+}} m_{\omega}|\omega|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The formulas (4.11) and (4.12) imply (4.9) in the lemma.
Theorem 2. If the orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra $(\mathrm{g}, \sigma)$ is irreducible and the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric, then the square of the length of the second fundamental form $\|A\|^{2}$ is a rational number.

Proof. By (1.2) it is sufficient to show that $\rho$ is rational. By (4.8) and (4.9) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\frac{1}{(\lambda, H)^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{h_{i}}{b_{i}} \sum_{\omega \in \Sigma_{i}^{+}} m_{\omega}|\omega|^{2} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{j}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{f}_{j}$ containing $\mathfrak{b}_{j}$, and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{j}$ the root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{f}}_{j}$ relative to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{j}(j=0,1, \cdots, r)$. Put $\mathfrak{h}^{+}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}+\mathfrak{h}_{1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{h}_{r}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ containing $\mathfrak{h}^{+}$and $\tilde{\mathfrak{x}}$ the root system of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ relative to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{h}}$. For $i=1$, $\cdots, r$, let $B_{i}$ be the Killing form of $\mathfrak{f}_{i}$. Note that the restriction of the inner product (, ) to $\mathscr{f}_{i}$ is a positive multiple of $-B_{i}$, because $\sum_{i}$ is irreducible and (, ) is invariant under $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{F}_{i}\right)$. By the relation between $\Sigma_{i}$ and $\Sigma_{i}$ given by Araki [1] (the proof of Proposition 2.1), for $\omega \in \sum_{i}$ there exists a root $\beta \in \tilde{\Sigma}_{i}$ such that

$$
\frac{-(\beta, \beta)}{(\omega, \omega)}=1,2 \text { or } 4
$$

By (4.3) there exists a root $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ such that $\beta=\bar{\alpha}$, and we have by (4.4)

$$
\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\beta, \beta)}=1,2 \text { or } 4
$$

Since $\mathfrak{r}$ is irreducible and the inner product (,) on $\mathfrak{g}$ is invariant under $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\frac{-(\alpha, \alpha)}{(\lambda, \lambda)}$ is rational by the same reason as above. Therefore $\frac{|\omega|^{2}}{|\lambda|^{2}}$ is rational. By (4.13) it is now sufficient to show that $\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}$ is rational. Let $\Delta=\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, \lambda_{l+1}=\lambda\right\}$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{i j}=\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right), i, j=1, \cdots, l+1 \\
& A_{0}=1, A_{s}=\left|a_{i j}\right|_{i, j=1, \cdots, s}, s=1, \cdots, l+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by induction on $j$, we have easily $A_{j}>0, j=0,1, \cdots, l+1$. Put

$$
\xi=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 l+1} \\
\cdots & & & \\
a_{l 1} & a_{l 2} & \cdots & a_{l l+1} \\
\lambda_{1} & \lambda_{2} & \cdots & \lambda_{l+1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Then we have easily $\left(\lambda_{i}, \xi\right)=0(i=1, \cdots, l),\left(\lambda_{l+1}, \xi\right)=A_{l+1}$ and $(\xi, \xi)=\left(\lambda_{l+1}, \xi\right) A_{l}$. Since $H$ is a multiple of $\xi$, we have $\left(\lambda_{l+1}, H\right)^{2}=\frac{A_{l+1}}{A_{l}}$. Since $\mathfrak{r}$ is irreducible, we have $\frac{a_{i j}}{|\lambda|^{2}}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{i}, \lambda_{j}\right)}{\left(\lambda_{l+1}, \lambda_{l+1}\right)}$ and these are rational numbers. Hence we have

$$
\frac{|\lambda|^{2}}{(\lambda, H)^{2}}=\frac{\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2 l}} A_{l}}{\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{2(l+1)}} A_{l+1}}=\frac{\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{a_{11}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \frac{a_{12}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{11}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots & & \\
\frac{a_{l 1}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \frac{a_{l 2}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{l l}}{|\lambda|^{2}}
\end{array}\right|}{\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{a_{11}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \frac{a_{12}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{1 l+1}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \\
\cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots & & \\
\frac{a_{l 1}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \frac{a_{12}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{l+1}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \\
\frac{a_{l+11}}{|\lambda|^{2}} \frac{a_{l+12}}{|\lambda|^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{a_{l+1+1}}{|\lambda|^{2}}
\end{array}\right|}
$$

and this is a rational number. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.
Corollary. If the submanifold $N$ is minimal and the pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric, then $\|A\|^{2}$ is a rational number.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ decomposes into the direct sum $\mathfrak{g}=\mathrm{g}_{1}+\cdots+\mathrm{g}_{r}$ of ideals $\mathfrak{g}_{i}$ invariant under $\sigma$ and $\left(\mathfrak{g}_{i}, \sigma\right)$ is irreducible. Put $\mathfrak{g}_{i}=\mathfrak{f}_{i}+\mathfrak{p}_{i}, S_{i}=S \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}$, $\mathfrak{a}_{i}=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}$ and $\left(\mathfrak{r}_{i}\right)_{s}^{+}=\mathfrak{r}_{s}^{+} \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, r, s=1,2)$, where $\mathfrak{f}_{i}=\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{i}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{i}=\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{i}$. Let $H=a_{1} H_{1}+\cdots+a_{r} H_{r}$, where $H_{i} \in S_{i} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{i}$ and $\left(\lambda, H_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^{+}$. Let $N_{i}$ be the orbit of $K$ through $H_{i}$. Then by Takeuchi [11] and the remark in 2.3, the submanifold $N_{i}$ of $S_{i}$ is a symmetric space and minimal is $S_{i}$. Put $n_{i}=$ $\operatorname{dim} N_{i} . \quad$ By (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n H & =\sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{(\lambda, H)} \lambda \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{\lambda \in\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)_{2}^{+}} \frac{m_{\lambda}}{\left(\lambda, a_{i} H_{i}\right)} \lambda \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{n_{i}}{a_{i}} H_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we have $a_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{n_{i}}{n}}$. Applying Theorem 2 and (2.8), the corollary follows by induction on $r$.
4.3. We give the table of $\|A\|^{2}$ in the following cases:
(1) The orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra ( $\mathrm{g}, \sigma$ ) is irreducible.
(2) The pair $(K, L)$ is symmetric.

Here $S^{\prime}(O(p-1) \times O(q-1))$ is the subgroup of $S O(p) \times S O(q)$ consisting of matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\varepsilon & O & & \\
O & A & & \\
& & \varepsilon & O \\
& & O & B
\end{array}\right), \varepsilon= \pm 1, \quad A \in O(p-1), \quad B \in O(q-1)
$$

| (g. $\sigma$ ) | $N$ | $\operatorname{dim} N$ | $\\|\boldsymbol{A}\\|^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $S U(p+q) / S(U(p) \times U(q))$ | $2 p q$ | $2 p q(p q-1)$ |
| B | $S O(2 n+1) / S O(2) \times S O(2 n-1)$ | $2(2 n-1)$ | $4(n-1)(2 n-1)$ |
| C | $S p(n) / U(n)$ | $n(n+1)$ | $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)(n-1)(n+2)$ |
| D | (1) $S O(2 n) / S O(2) \times S O(2 n-2)$ <br> (2) $S O(2 n) / U(n)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4(n-1) \\ & n(n-1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4(n-1)(2 n-3) \\ & \frac{1}{2} n(n-1)(n+1)(n-2) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{6}$ | symmetric space of type EIII | 32 | $32 \times 15$ |
| $\mathrm{E}_{7}$ | symmetric space of type EVII | 54 | $54 \times 26$ |
| AI | $S O(p+q) / S(O(p) \times O(q))$ | $p q$ | $\frac{1}{2} p q\left(\frac{p q(p+q+2)}{p+q}-2\right)$ |
| AII | $S p(p+q) / S p(p) \times S p(q)$ | $4 p q$ | $4 p q\left(\frac{2 p q(p+q-1)}{p+q}-1\right)$ |
| AIII | $U(n)$ | $n^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} n^{2}(n-1)(n+1)$ |
| BDI | (1) $S O(p) \times S O(q) / S^{\prime}(O(p-1)$ $\times O(q-1))$ <br> (2) $S O(p)$ | $\begin{aligned} & p+q-2 \\ & \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2(p-1)(q-1) \\ & \frac{1}{2} p(p-1)(p-2)(p+2) \end{aligned}$ |
| DIII | $U(2 n) / S p(n)$ | $n(2 n-1)$ | $n(n-1)^{2}(2 n+1)$ |
| CI | $U(n) / O(n)$ | $\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)$ | $\frac{1}{8} n(n-1)(n+2)^{2}$ |
| CII | $S p(n)$ | $n(2 n+1)$ | $n(n-1)(n+1)(2 n+1)$ |
| EI | I is of type $C_{4}$ $\mathfrak{I}$ is of type $C_{2}$ < $C_{2}$ | 16 | $\frac{16 \times 25}{3}$ |
| EIV | $F_{4} /$ Spin (9) | 16 | $16 \times 3$ |
| EV | I is of type $A_{7}$ $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $C_{4}$ | 27 | $27 \times 14$ |
| EVII | $\boldsymbol{T}$ is of type $\boldsymbol{R} \times \boldsymbol{E}_{6}$ $\mathfrak{l}$ is of type $F_{4}$ | 27 | $26 \times 9$ |
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